

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Proposed tariff filings by)	DOCKET NO. 891194-TL
SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH)	
COMPANY clarifying when a nonpublished)	ORDER NO. 22505
number can be disclosed (T-89-506 filed)	
9/29/89) and introducing Caller ID to)	ISSUED: 2-7-90
TouchStar Service (T-89-507 filed)	
9/29/89))	
)	

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

MICHAEL McK. WILSON, Chairman
 THOMAS M. BEARD
 BETTY EASLEY
 GERALD L. GUNTER
 JOHN T. HERNDON

ORDER ON PARTIAL RECONSIDERATION
OF ORDER NO. 22397

BY THE COMMISSION:

On September 29, 1989, Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell or the Company) filed two proposed tariff revisions: one adds Caller ID to its TouchStar features; the other clarifies the circumstances under which a nonpublished telephone number can be disclosed. At the time of these filings, we had several concerns about the appropriateness of these proposals. In response to our concerns, Southern Bell waived the statutory tariff suspension deadline for both filings to allow our staff additional time to research the issues raised by these proposals.

By Order No. 22397, issued January 10, 1990, we announced our finding that Caller ID was in the public interest and should be made available to Southern Bell's subscribers. The two proposed tariff revisions filed by the Company were denied. Southern Bell was directed to refile both tariffs to reflect the requirements stated in Order No. 22397, which the Company had agreed to at our December 19, 1989, Agenda Conference. The refiled tariffs would be approved administratively, with an effective date of February 1, 1990. Additionally, because of our concerns about the legitimate privacy interests of certain segments of society, we directed the Company to file a separate tariff proposal to provide for

ORDER NO. 22505
DOCKET NO. 891194-TL
PAGE 2

optional blocking. The tariff was to be filed in sufficient time to allow for our review prior to the February 1, 1990, effective date of the other two tariffs. Southern Bell has complied with the above-stated directives.

At our January 30, 1990, Agenda Conference, we considered Southern Bell's tariff proposal to provide Calling Number Delivery Blocking. After extensive debate, we determined to defer our decision on this latest tariff proposal to our February 20, 1990, Agenda Conference. Additionally, on our own motion, we voted to reconsider our prior decision in Order No. 22397 to allow the other two tariff filings to become effective on February 1, 1990. Instead, the effective date of those tariff filings will be determined at our February 20, 1990, Agenda Conference, in conjunction with our decision on the Calling Number Delivery Blocking tariff proposal.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Order No. 22397 has been reconsidered on our own motion, to the extent outlined in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall remain open.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission,
this 7th day of FEBRUARY, 1990.



STEVE TRIBBLE Director
Division of Records and Reporting

(S E A L)

ABG

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01163 FEB -7 1990

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. 22505
DOCKET NO. 891194-TL
PAGE 3

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or sewer utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.