ORIGINAL FILE COPY

MEMORANDUM

August 5, 1991

- TO : DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING
- FILE COPY
- FROM : DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (FEIL
- RE : DOCKET NO. 891280-WS OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF APPLICATION OF BETMAR UTILITIES, INC. FOR AMENDMENT OF CERTIFICATES 137-W AND 98-S IN PASCO COUNTY

Attached are Intervenor Florida Public Service Commission's Exceptions to Hearing Officer's Recommended Order to be placed in the above-referenced docket.

	MF/1]	
× 10	cc:	Susan Clark, General Counsel Division of Legal Services (Vandiver, N. Davis)
51		Division of Water and Sewer (Chapdelaine)
API		
645		
2		
QT is		
E A C		
ĩ	6	
$\sim V$	6	
2° 7 E		
RL	and the states of	
SEC	1	
WAS	·	DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
OTH	damas and a set	DUCUMENT NOT TEATLET
		07887 AUG -5 1991
		PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Objection to notice of) application of Betmar Utilities,) Inc. for amendment of Certificates) Nos. 137-W and 98-S in Pasco County)

BETMAR UTILITIES, INC.,

Petitioner,

VS.

CITY OF ZEPHYRHILLS,

Respondent,

and

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,

Intervenor.

DOCKET NO. 891280-WS

DOAH CASE NO. 91-1159

INTERVENOR FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION'S EXCEPTIONS TO HEARING OFFICER'S RECOMMENDED ORDER

Pursuant to Section 120.57(1)(b)4, Florida Statutes, and Rule 28-5.404, Florida Administrative Code, Intervenor, Florida Public Service Commission, (Commission) by and through its Staff hereby files its exceptions to the hearing officer's recommended order filed with this agency on July 16, 1991.

I. Exception to Hearing Officer's Findings of Fact.

In her recommended order, the hearing officer failed to find that Betmar Utilities, Inc., (Betmar) was a wastewater collection system only. The hearing officer rejected Betmar's proposed

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07887 AUG -5 1991

- SC-RECORDS/REPORTING

finding of fact stating this with the explanation that the finding contained an "improper legal conclusion." The evidence in the record showing that Betmar provides collection services, not treatment services, is unrebutted in the record. See, for example, transcript at pages 18 and 32. Thus, to the extent that the hearing officer failed to find Betmar provided only collection services, her findings of fact were not based on competent substantial evidence.

II. Exception to Hearing Officer's Conclusion of Law.

The hearing officer erred in concluding that Betmar was not entitled to amend its certificated territory because it failed to comply with Rule 25-30.036(d), Florida Administrative Code.

The hearing officer noted that Rule 25-30.036(d), Florida Administrative Code, requires each utility seeking to extend its service area to provide "evidence that [it] owns the land upon which the utility treatment facilities that will serve the proposed territory are located or a copy of an agreement, such as a 99-year lease, which provides for the continued use of the land." The hearing officer failed to expressly find that Betmar has wastewater collection facilities only, and no westewater treatment facilities. She concludes that because Betmar has only a twenty-five year bulk wastewater service agreement with Pasco County, Betmar has failed to comply with Rule 25-30.036(d), Florida Administrative Code. Therefore, the hearing officer recommends, the Commission should reject the amendment application.

This conclusion of law must be rejected as it is a misinterpretation of Rule 25-30.036(d), Florida Administrative Code. That rule is intended to be applied to utilities which own treatment facilities. As Betmar does not own any treatment facilities--it uses the treatment facilities of Pasco County--the rule would not be applied to Betmar. Since Betmar does not own treatment facilities, it cannot own the land upon which said facilities are located. In sum, the hearing officer erroneously applied this rule to Betmar.

III. Conclusion

The hearing officer failed to find that Betmar provides wastewater collection services only. In addition, the hearing officer made an erroneous legal conclusion by applying Rule 25-30.036(d), Florida Administrative Code, to Betmar. Because the hearing officer relied upon the aforementioned errors in concluding that Betmar's amendment application should be denied, the Florida Public Service Commission, by and through its Staff, asserts that the hearing officer's ultimate conclusion is in error.

Wherefore, Intervenor requests that the Florida Public Service Commission enter a final order adopting the above exceptions to the hearing officer's recommended order and grant Betmar the requested amendment.

Respectfully submitted on this \underline{STh} day of \underline{Mayust} , 1991, by:

Matthew Feil Florida Bar No. 0822744 Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 101 Fast Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863 (904) 487-2740

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Objection to notice of) application of Betmar Utilities,) Inc. for amendment of Certificates) Nos. 137-W and 98-S in Pasco County) DOCKET NO. 891280-WS

BETMAR UTILITIES, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs.

CITY OF ZEPHYRHILLS,

Respondent,

and

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,

Intervenor.

CERTIFICATE OF S. RVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the Intervenor Florida Public Service Commission's Exceptions to Hearing Officer's Recommended Order was furnished by regular U.S. Mail to the following individuals at the addresses indicated, this day of <u>August</u>, 1991:

Veronica E. Donnelly Hearing Officer Division of Administrative Hearings The DeSoto Building 1230 Apalachee Parkway Tallahassee, FL 32399-1550 Thomas P. McAlvanah 37818 Highway 54 West Zephyrhills, FL 33541

Scott L. Knox, Esq. 28870 U.S. 19 North Suite 230 Clearwater, FL 34621

Matthew Feil Florida Bar No. 0822744 Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863 (904) 487-2740

DOAH CASE NO. 91-1159