HARRY M. LIGHTSEY III General Attorney

Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company c/o Marshail M. Criser III Suite 400 150 So. Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Phone (305) 530-5558

January 21, 1992



Mr. Steve C. Tribble Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

> Docket No. 910022-TL - Bradford County EAS Re:

Dear Mr. Tribble:

Enclosed please find an original and fifteen copies of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company's Limited Protest of Certain Portions of Order No. 25566, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached ACK L Certificate of Service.

> Sincerely yours, (PJ)

Hann M. Light Reg, 1 Harry N. Lightsev III

-Enclosures

AFA

APP

4.45

F

All Parties of Record A. M. Lombardo Harris R. Anthony R. Douglas Lackey

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00758 JAN 21 1992

=PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

A BELLSOUTH Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 910022-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by United States Mail this 2 day of forwary, 1992

to:

. .

Charles Murphy Division of Legal Services Florida Public Svc. Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Richard H. Brashear ALLTEL Florida, Inc. Post Office Box 550 Live Oak, Florida 32060

Sam Wahlen Central Telephone Company Post Office Box 2214 Tallahassee, Florida 32316

David B. Erwin, Esq. Mason, Erwin & Horton, PA 1311-A Paul Russel Road, Suite 101 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Michael W. Tye AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. 106 East College Avenue Suite 1410 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Hang M. Lightsey (05)

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

. . .

In re: Resolution by Bradford County) Docket No. 910022-TL Commission requesting extended area) service within Bradford County, Union) Filed: 1/21/92 County, and Gainesville.)

SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY'S LIMITED PROTEST OF CERTAIN PORTIONS OF ORDER NO. 25566

COMES NOW BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a/ Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company ("Southern Bell" or "Company"), pursuant to Rule 25-22-09(4), Florida Administrative Code, and files its Limited Protest of Certain Portions of Order No. 25566.

1. On January 6, 1992, the Commission issued Order No. 25566 directing Southern Bell, ALLTEL Florida, Inc., and Central Telephone Company of Florida to implement an alternative toll plan for Bradford County, Union County and Gainesville.

2. Order No. 25566 additionally states that the Commission "shall approve Southern Bell's request that any revenue reduction be applied to its EAS offset amount, to the extent that there is any actual revenue reduction after stimulation." p. 7

3. Southern Bell respectfully protests that portion of Order No. 25566 quoted above on the basis that this issue was not properly before the Commission at the time of its decision and that this issue should be considered within Docket No. 880069-TL, which is where the "EAS offset amount" has been reserved.

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 00758 JAN 21 1992 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

4. The decision on this matter was made at the December 3, 1991, Agenda Meeting of the Commission. The Staff Recommendation with regard to this matter did not contain any issue that concerned the application of any revenue offset against the amounts reserved in Docket No. 880069-TL. On information and belief, the transcript of the December 3, 1991, Agenda Meeting will reflect that the Commission did discuss the revenue offset but only in the context of some later decision. Nevertheless, both the vote sheet and Order No. 25566 set forth the direction that any revenue offset will be applied after taking into account the affect of any stimulation of calling as a result of the alternative toll plan. Southern Bell believes that the vote sheet and the Order are to that extent in error.

5. Southern Bell does not wish to protest any of the substantive decisions which Order No. 25566 reflects. Southern Bell is moving to implement the alternative toll plan within the time frames directed in the Order. However, the Commission rules require that any reconsideration of a proposed agency action must be accomplished through filing a protest rather than a motion for reconsideration. Further, Southern Bell does not wish at this time to protest any decision with regard to whether any revenue reduction should or should not be applied after stimulation. Southern Bell only wishes to request that this matter be

2

considered at the time it applies for any such offset in Docket No. 880069-TL

. . . .

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY

non HARRIS R. ANTHONY

General Attorney-Florida c/o Marshall M. Criser III 150 So. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (305) 530-5555

Harr M 02) HARRY M. DIGHTSEY III

General Attorney c/o Marshall M. Criser III 150 So. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (305) 530-5558