BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation regarding) DOCKET NO. 920399-TP the appropriateness of payment for Dial-Around (10XXX, 950, 800) Compensation from interexchange telephone companies (IXCs) to pay telephone providers (PATS).

) ORDER NO. PSC-92-0643-PCO-TP) ISSUED: 07/13/92

ORDER MODIFYING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

By Order No. PSC-92-0276-PCO-TL, issued April 29, 1992, we set forth the prehearing procedures to be utilized in Docket No. 920255-TL, including a schedule of key events. Attached to that Order, as Appendix "A", was a tentative list of the issues to be addressed in the upcoming hearing.

Subsequent to issuing Order No. PSC-92-0276-PCO-TL, the Commission opened a new docket, Docket No. 920399-TP, dealing specifically with dial-around traffic. By Order No. PSC-92-0429-PCO-TP, issued May 29, 1992, we set forth the prehearing procedures to be utilized in Docket No. 920399-TP, including a schedule of key events and a tentative list of the issues to be addressed. In light of this new docket, we found it necessary to revise several of the issues in Docket No. 920255-TL, as reflected in Order No. PSC-92-0428-PCO-TL, issued May 29, 1992. We noted, however, that the schedule of key events for both of these dockets was identical.

Subsequently, the parties to both Docket No. 920255-TL and Docket No. 920399-TP requested a change in the schedule of key events for both of these dockets. That request was granted by Order No. PSC-92-0545-PCO-TP, issued June 23, 1992. Following the issuance of that Order, the schedule of key events for both of these dockets remained identical.

By letter dated July 2, 1992, the Florida Pay Telephone Association, Inc. (FPTA) has filed a request on behalf of all parties to Docket No. 920399-TP to grant a one week extension of time for the filing of direct and rebuttal testimony in Docket No. 920399-TP only. FPTA asserts that this change will allow for better preparation in both of the dockets.

Because all of the parties have agreed to this change and the change will not in any way delay these proceedings, I find it appropriate to approve the agreement. Accordingly, the schedule of key activities for this docket shall be revised as follows:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07558 JUL 13 1992

ORDER NO. PSC-92-0643-PCO-TP DOCKET NO. 920399-TP PAGE 2

1. July 20, 1992 - Direct Testimony to be filed.

- 2. July 20, 1992 Prehearing Statements to be filed.
- 3. August 3, 1992 Rebuttal Testimony to be filed.
- August 10, 1992 All discovery completed.
- 5. August 11, 1992 Prehearing Conference.
- 6. August 25-28, 1992 Hearings to be held.

It should be noted that the schedule for Docket No. 920255-TL remains as established in Order No. PSC-92-0545-PCO-TP and that the hearing dates shown above are for the hearings in both of the dockets.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Betty Easley, as Prehearing Officer, that the procedural schedule for this docket is hereby modified as set forth herein.

By ORDER of Commissioner Betty Easley, as Prehearing Officer, this <u>13th</u> day of <u>July</u>, <u>1992</u>.

aller

BETTY EASLEY, Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

ABG

ORDER NO. PSC-92-0643-PCO-TP DOCKET NO. 920399-TP PAGE 3

.

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, is issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.