1 BEFORE THE 2 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 3 4 In the Matter of : DOCKET NO. 920199-WS 5 Application for Rate Increase in : Brevard, Charlotte/Lee, Citrus : 6 Clay, Duval, Highlands, Lake, : Marion, Martin, Nassau, Orange, : 7 Osceola, Pasco, Putnam, Seminole,: Volusia and Washington Counties : by SOUTHERN STATES UTILITIES, : 8

RECEIVED Division of Records & Reporting

SEP 3 1992

Florida Public Service Commission

PROCEEDINGS: SANFORD SERVICE HEARING

INC.; Collier County by MARCO

SHORES UTILITIES (Deltona);

County by DELTONA LAKES

UTILITIES (Deltona

Hernando County by SPRING HILL :

UTILITIES (Deltona); and Volusia:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

20

23

25

BEFORE: CHAIRMAN THOMAS M. BEARD

16 Friday, August 14, 1992 -DATE:

TIME Commenced at 10:00 a.m.

18 Concluded at 1:30 p.m. 19

PLACE: Seminole County Services Building County Commission Chambers

21 1101 East First Street 22 Sanford, Florida

REPORTED BY: 24 SYDNEY C. SILVA, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporter

APPEARANCES:

KENNETH A. HOFFMAN, Messer, Vickers,

Caparello, Madsen, Lewis, Goldman and Metz, Post Office

Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida, 32302-1876, Telephone

No. (904) 224-4359. BRIAN ARMSTRONG, 1000 Color Place,

Apopka, Florida 32703 Telephone No. (407) 880-0058,

appearing on behalf of Southern States Utilities, Inc.

JACK SHREVE, Public Counsel, Office of the Public Counsel, Claude Pepper Building, Room 812, 111 West Madison Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, Telephone No. (904) 488-9330, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

LILA JABER, Florida Public Service

Commission, Division of Legal Service, 101 East Gaines

Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399, Telephone No. (904)

487-2740, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

ALSO PRESENT:

BILLIE MESSER, Florida Public Service Commission, Division of Water and Wastewater.

<u>I</u>NDEX

2		Page No.
3	OPENING STATEMENTS BY:	
4		7
5	Mr. Hoffman	7
6	Mr. Shreve	12
7	WITNESSES	
8	Name:	
9	JAMES MORAN Direct Statement	18
LO	NICHOLAS STEPHANISHEN	
11	Direct Statement	21
12	RONALD GURTLER Direct Statement	24
13	BOB O'BRIEN	
14	Direct Statement	35
15	ALBERT HOPPER Direct Statement	41
16	CLARENCE P. SADOWSKI	
17	Direct Statement	45
18	JOE ISOLA Direct Statement	47
19	MYRON LEWENTHAL	
20	Direct Statement	49
21	DONALD S. MORGAN	F.2
22	Direct Statement	52
23	ROBERT HARVEY Direct Statement	53
24		
25		
	I I	

1	Index of Exhibits Continued:	
2	Name:	Page No.
3	GASPAR LOMBARDO Direct Statement	55
4	NOVELL BEPASS	33
5	Direct Statement	64
6	RALPH E. BAILEY	69
7	Direct Statement	69
8	CLARENCE CHEEK Direct Statement	72
9	MARIE R. SILVIA	
10	Direct Statement	80
11	SOFIA ADDIS Direct Statement	84
12	LYNNE CADARETTE Direct Statement	86
13		00
14	WILLIAM P. LYONS Direct Statement	91
15	DOWNING BRYANT Direct Statement	94
16	RICHARD GALOR	
17	Direct Statement	96
18	HAROLD MARTIN Direct Statement	98
19	SIMON R. SILVA	
20	Direct Statement	100
21	JAMES R. CLAYTON Direct Statement	102
22		102
23	MRS. ROBERT LEHMANN Direct Statement	117
24		
25		

1	Index of Witnesses Continued:	
2	Name:	Page No.
3	LAWRENCE HAYDUK	119
4	Direct Statement	119
5	RONALD C. GLADE Direct Statement	123
6	NORBERT ZALESKI	
7	Direct Statement	126
8	DONALD SULLIVAN Direct Statement	129
9	JACK RUDY Direct Statement	130
10	CHARLOTTE ALLMAN	
11	Direct Statement	135
12	FLORENCE RUMPH Direct Statement	137
13	Direct Statement	137
14	VERA WOODHALL Direct Statement	139
15	JOSEPH MULLER	
16	Direct Statement	141
	ART KUNDMUELLER	4.45
17	Direct Statement	145
18	JOHN MASIARCZYK Direct Statement	149
19	GERRY MUNOZ	
20	Direct Statement	150
21	FERREL SCHRIMSCHER	
22	Direct Statement	153
23		
24		
25	CERTIFICATE OF REORTER	158
40		

1	Index Continued:		
2		EXHIBITS	
3	Number:		Identified Admitted
4	3 (Company) Notices Publication	of	12
5	140110401011		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing convened at 10:00 a.m.)

attention, please? Good morning. My name is Tom
Beard, and I'm the Chairman of the Public Service
Commission and we've come here today to hear from you.

If we can, for just a moment, let me stop, and I have
to let my lawyer take care of the reading of the notice
and a few things like that. And then I'll come back
and give you a more broad description of what we're
going to do this morning.

MS. JABER: This time and place has been set pursuant to notice in Docket No. 920199 --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Louder.

MS. JABER: This time and place has been set pursuant to notice in Docket No. 920199, application for rate increase in Brevard, Charlotte/Lee, Citrus, Clay, Duval, Highlands, Lake, Marion, Martin, Nassau, Orange, Osceola, Pasco, Putnam, Seminole, Volusia, and Washington Counties by Southern States Utilities, Inc.; Collier County by Marco Shores Utilities (Deltona); Hernando County by Spring Hill Utilities (Deltona); and Volusia County by Deltona Lakes Utilities (Deltona).

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Take appearances.

MR. HOFFMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Ladies and gentlemen, my name is Ken Hoffman; I'm with the Messer Vickers law firm, Post Office Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida, 32302. Directly to my left is Mr. Brian Armstrong, 1000 Color Place, Apopka, Florida 32703. We are here today on behalf of the Utility, Southern States Utilities, Inc.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Mr. Shreve.

MR. SHREVE: Jack Shreve, Public Counsel,
Claude Pepper Building, Tallahassee, Florida. I have
intervened on behalf of the Citizens of the State of
Florida in opposition to the rate increase.

MS. JABER: Lila Jaber on behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. Let me kind of give you all a background description, if I can. And we kind of jammed ourselves all up in here where you could see us and we could see you, instead of having peoples' backs to you.

These two gentlemen, as they said, represent Southern States Utilities, the Company. And Mr. Shreve represents the Citizens of the State in opposition to the rate increase, as he said. And these are people on my Staff that work with me in Tallahassee.

And we're here today to hear from you about complaints on service, on rates, any issue that you

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

_

have that you want to bring forward. I will try to keep this as informal as possible. I do need to swear you in so that we can make it a part of the official record of this case. I don't want anybody to feel intimidated, we'll do everybody at once, because we do want to keep it informal and we want to hear from you. That's the real purpose in being here today.

We will have a brief opening statement, I believe, from the Company and from Mr. Shreve. I am going to try to move along this morning, and we may even work through lunch. And that's a very selfish thing, there's a 2:00 flight and the next flight is 6:30 in the evening. And if we can get home at a reasonable hour, we will.

If we can't get done, then we won't. We'll work until we're done. If that's 9:00 tonight, we'll stay. But if we can work through and get done and get back at a reasonable hour, that would be nice. But the first priority is to hear from you, anybody that wants to speak. Okay.

If we can, we'll have some brief opening remarks. Go ahead.

MR. HOFFMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Ladies and gentlemen, as I said my name is Ken Hoffman, to my left is Brian Armstrong. We are

lawyers and we represent Southern States, Inc.

This is a case which stems from an application filed by Southern States with the Florida Public Service Commission to increase its water and wastewater rates. The application is extremely comprehensive. It covers virtually all of the water and sewer systems operated by Southern States which are regulated by the Florida Public Service Commission. Specifically, the application encompasses 127 systems spread throughout 19 counties in this state.

Now, based on its present rates, Southern
States is earning a combined rate of return on the
systems included in this application of only 2.5%.
Under present rates, investors in Southern States are
currently experiencing a negative overall return on
common equity of -8.32%. Translation, they're losing
money. In fact, for each of the past three years,
Southern States has not been able to recover through
its present rates sufficient revenues to cover the
interest that the Company owes to its lenders.

So, the purpose of this application is to bring the rates to a level so that Southern States will have the opportunity to earn a fair and reasonable rate of return on its investment in water and sewer facilities and would, once again, be able to attract

the necessary investment to meet customer growth and increased environmental regulatory requirements.

Now, the Commissioners, Commission Staff,
Florida legislators, virtually every entity involved in
this industry has recognized that environmental
regulation has increased and that such regulation
increases the cost of providing water and wastewater
service to Florida residents. It is no coincidence
that water and wastewater providers across the state,
whether they be privately owned or owned by counties
and cities, are currently, have in the recent past, or
will in the near future have to increase their rates in
recognition of the increased costs of environmental
regulations.

The cost of achieving the State of Florida's and Southern States' conservation and environmental goals are high. Southern States is a leader in the conservation environmental areas, as recognized at both the state and federal levels.

Now, many of the systems involved in this application have not been subject to rate increases for as long as 12 or 13 years. For example, in Orange County, the Detwyler Shores, the Lake Conway and the University Shores systems have not been involved in rate cases since 1979.

while that is not the fault of you, the customer, the fact remains that since the time that many of Southern States systems were last subject to a rate increase, Southern States has incurred significant increases in operating expenses and has constructed significant additional water and sewer plants — in many cases, to keep up with customer growth, to plan for anticipated growth and to meet environmental regulations imposed by the state and federal government.

For example, with the Deltona Lake system, here in Volusia County, the Company has invested approximately \$6 million to construct an effluent disposal system to eliminate the discharge of effluent into Lake Monroe, and to permit 100% public access reuse of effluent at the Deltona Hills and Glen Abbey golf courses.

Now, these actions were required to comply with the DER Consent Order but they also provide Class I reliability for the customers and are consistent with the State of Florida's policy of promoting public access reuse of effluent.

I would add that, by virtue of construction of this effluent disposal system, there are two very important conservation benefits achieved. The first

being that it allows the Company to conserve the use of potable, i.e., drinking water; and, secondly, the use of this system serves to recharge the Florida aquifer.

Now, the final hearing in this case will take place in Tallahassee in November. At that time, the Commission will hear evidence on a number of technical issues concerning our request, such as the amount of the Utility's investment and the amount of operating expenses incurred for providing water and wastewater service to the systems at issue. Southern States will be prepared to prove to the Commission and justify to the Commission that it is entitled to the requested increase in its rates.

This is a service hearing today. And as the Chairman said, it represents your opportunity to address the Commission, to address the Commission Staff, and to address the Company and let us know your feelings about the Company's service and its proposed rates. And we welcome the time set aside today to hear you express any concerns you may have about the Company's quality of service or its proposed rates.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide a brief statement and background on our request.

Mr. Chairman, a housekeeping matter, I have a Composite Exhibit 3, which I would ask be marked and

admitted into the record. It contains the newspaper ads, Affidavits of Publications, and the Customer Notice for this Sanford service hearing.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. That will be entered into the record as Exhibit No. 3.

(Exhibit No. 3 received in evidence.)

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Mr. Shreve?

MR. SHREVE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Hoffman mentioned that this was a very large rate filing. It's the largest water and sewer filing that there has been in the state of Florida. It encompasses 127 systems, yet we are put under the same time frame to process this case that we would be if it were just Deltona individually. It is going to be an impossible task to try and properly represent the Citizens of the State of Florida.

Deltona specifically asked that there not be a Proposed Agency Action and it go straight to a hearing. This had the effect of eliminating the possibility of an extra five months. By doing that, they also put themselves in the position of eliminating the possibility of holding down rate case expense. In other words, by going straight to a hearing, the rate case expense must be heard; you don't have an opportunity to accept the rates that might be voted out by the Public Service Commission

before having to go straight to a hearing.

In this case, they are asking for \$1.7 million just to process the case to be paid for by you.

In addition to being on the same time frame that we have for any case, the Company was given an extra 35 days, which is not in accordance with the rules, to file their testimony. This further cut into our time to process the case for you.

We're getting discovery out, getting information from the Company as fast as we can and we're going to continue to do this. You have to understand the Company took as much time as they wanted to prepare their case, but then when they file it, we're the ones that are put under the gun in trying to get back and represent you and have the factual information to show, frankly, that they don't deserve this increase.

Mr. Hoffman spoke about the return and about the environmental areas. It is true there are environmental requirements, but they are not on all the systems. There are many, many systems out here that have had very, very little investment to pursue the environmental conditions.

Deltona was mentioned. Granted, there is a very large investment there. But what I would like to

know and you should like to know, what was the investment that they had in the first place when they purchased Deltona rather than putting it on the books at what the old Deltona had? What is their actual investment and what kind of return are they making on the money they really have in there rather than a phantom increased rate base which provides them additional depreciation and rate of return. You are entitled to know that. (Applause)

And it is not just Deltona. It is Deltona,
Spring Hill, Marco Island, the companies that were
purchased from them all over the state. In addition to
the same thing with the smaller utilities that were
purchased individually. How much did they pay for them,
and how much do they have them on at the books?

Many systems, I think, probably were even given to them, but the customers are paying a return and depreciation on that noninvestment. If you had an actual calculation on a factual investment, then it would be interested to see just how much money they're making from Minnesota Power. (Applause)

And these are questions that you should insist on an answer before there is a rate increase. We are going to continue to push this and try and get the information, but you're paying the bills.

There will be a vote on an interim rate increase this coming Tuesday. I'm sure all of you are familiar with that or understand that that's the case. That is up, the Company has requested an interim rate increase — in other words, almost like an emergency rate increase — to go into effect as soon as possible. Then we'll proceed with the rate case, and that will be measured later against the final rate increase.

The Staff of the Public Service Commission has made their recommendation and that is supposed to come before the Commission next Tuesday. The law requires that that interim only bring them up, on the individual systems, to the bottom of their last return. We're going to have to watch that and see exactly how that is handled. Because in so many of these systems put together, there seems to be a transfer of costs from one system to another to the point that you can't look at an individual system and tell anything about it and what is happening. And that's the problem we're going to run into in trying to handle 127 systems in this so-called megacase.

And I think that is completely to the detriment of the customers, since we have been given no extra time to process this case -- even though it was Deltona's choice to file it in this way, to go straight

to a hearing and not have the opportunity to save money and not have the opportunity to extend additional time to you and me, the customers.

I would like very much to hear from you today. I hope some of your questions are answered. I don't think there have been very much explanation to the customers. I think probably just about all you've received is your individual rates. You should take a look at your individual rates and what the rest of the system is having.

Deltona processed this and said they had a required amount from each individual system. However, if you will check, but you may not have the information, almost every system is being asked for more than the required amount. The justification there is that some systems, a few systems, would have to pay extremely high rates and they shouldn't have to pay that. I agree with that.

But did Deltona come in and say, "XYZ System is going to have to pay rates higher than they should. We will absorb that"? No. They said, "This system is going to have to pay rates much higher than they should, we want to pass that charge on to the customers."

Why should the other customers rather than Deltona be the ones to pick up the tab for that? It's

1	their system, their investment, and they're the ones
2	asking for a profit on it.
3	I look forward to hearing from you and thank
4	you very much. (Applause)
5	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. Let's go ahead and
6	get started. Do you have the first witness?
7	MR. SHREVE: Yes, sir. Mr. Moran?
8	Yes, sir. Are you Mr. Moran?
9	MR. MORAN: I'm Moran.
10	MR. SHREVE: All right, sir. If you would,
11	if you'd come up to the mike, I believe everyone could
12	hear you better.
13	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Mr. Moran, did you say at
14	this time you ask not wish to speak?
15	WITNESS MORAN: I have just one question.
16	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. Let me if we can
17	do this. Just bear with me for a minute. Those of you
18	that have signed up to testify, if you would, if you'll
19	stand and let me swear everybody in, and we'll get that
20	out of the way and then we'll go from there.
21	(Witnesses collectively sworn.)
22	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you very much. Now,
23	one other thing. To keep the court reporter happy, as
24	you come forward, if you would, give us your name and
25	address and spell your last name if you would, please.

Thank you. Go ahead.

JAMES MORAN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS MORAN: My name is James Moran,
M-o-r-a-n. I live at 2314 Lake Helen Osteen Road in
Deltona.

There's one question I would like to ask you people. And this last statement about telling the truth, we will tell the truth and I hope you people do also. (Applause)

Now, I would like to know how you can arrive at a decision that one county can afford to pay and one county can't? How do you go about that? Is it because you have your software in your computers with all the individual personal information on it that you can arrive at this decision?

CHAIRMAN BEARD: I'm not sure I know what you're talking about, if you're asking the question to me. No decision has been arrived at yet.

WITNESS MORAN: Well, how do they arrive at it?

CHAIRMAN BEARD: You mean the request?

WITNESS MORAN: Do they take it and have

their computers with all their customers like these ladies and gentlemen here, all their personal information on a computer disk so they can arrive at whether they can afford these rates or not?

What I understand, I read and article where the SSU was accused of making Deltona pay a little more because this one county can't. How did they arrive at that?

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Specifically, I don't know.

Maybe the Company may want to try to respond. I don't

think it's done in that fashion that you take the

personal information and see who can afford to pay and

who can't pay. I can tell you that, even if that were

the way they designed their request, that will not be

how the decision is based in Tallahassee. It never has

been and it won't be.

WITNESS MORAN: I just wanted to know how they arrived at that decision. How did they arrive at this?

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Well, and for everybody's sake, let me make sure we're real clear.

The Company had a request, okay? And that's all that is at this stage of the game, is a request.

The Company had a request some a couple of years ago and that request was denied. And I don't know what

would be the outcome of this case until we hear all the 1 information. But that's all it is at this stage of the 2 3 game. WITNESS MORAN: Now, another thing, the 4 Utility has 127 different units? 5 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Yes, sir. 6 WITNESS MORAN: Now, are they all -- the 7 rates all based the same way? Like Deltona now we have 8 a basic rate. Other counties, I imagine, have the per 9 10 gallon of usage on the wastewater treatment. Now, are 11 they all the same or --CHAIRMAN BEARD: I think, and you've got the 12 form right there, I think that in most cases all of the 13 systems have a base rate and a gallonage charge. Now, 14 I'm sure there are probably some exceptions? No? 15 16 MS. MESSER: Yes, that's right, there are a few flat rates. 17 CHAIRMAN BEARD: There are a few flat rates 18 19 left; but, generally speaking, most of them have and/or 20 will be going to a flat rate plus gallonage charge. That's generally the way it's has been moving in the 21 industry. 22 WITNESS MORAN: Well, I think that would be 23 24

the proper way to do it, instead of a flat rate where a single individual is paying for the use of other people

25

1	that have five in the family, four, six or whatever.
2	It should be based on the usage.
3	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Yes, sir.
4	WITNESS MORAN: That's all I have to say.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you very much.
7	Questions?
8	MR. HOFFMAN: No questions.
9	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. Thank you very much.
10	MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir. (Applause)
11	(Witness Moran excused.)
12	
13	MR. SHREVE: Mr. Stepanishen.
14	NICHOLAS STEPANISHEN
15	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
16	the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
17	testified as follows:
18	WITNESS STEPANISHEN: My name is Nicholas
19	Stepanishen. I live at last name, you spell it
20	S-t-e-p-a-n-i-s-h-e-n. I live at 532 Tradewinds Drive
21	in Deltona, Florida 32738.
22	I am here to complain about these rates,
23	which gives you an increase of one-third on the basic
24	rate. And then they are charging you for water going
25	in and water coming out of your house. As far as I'm

concerned, I think that's an increase in sort of real estate taxes. I think that's illegal.

But I'm not an attorney. We have two attorneys representing SSU here. They've been through the Board. Thank God we have an attorney here representing us before this Board.

I just looked at these figures that you have here in front of me in this green sheet regarding Deltona Utilities. And it says, "Present monthly, basic charge, gallonage charge," okay. It doesn't say the gallonage charge on the amount of gallons that is being used here. But on the outside here it says, "The average usage was roughly 9700 gallons."

I don't know where they arrived these figures or where they came up with them, what the average usage is on gallons. My house alone, I use a lot more than this, okay? Unless they don't use their water in and out.

Now, going on that assumption, I began to wonder about these figures or their costs that they're saying. Like the learned counsel here had said, you have a depreciation charge, what did they buy the Company from? What was the initial start? What did they start at?

Now, they have three or four companies

involved. Naturally, as you know, if there's more than 1 one company involved, you can manipulate prices from 2 one to another, you can manipulate salaries, you can do 3 anything you want unless those records are taken and 4 turned before us so we can take a look at them and find 5 out where the money is going. 6 Is it possible they want increases for 7 executives? Some are getting a half a mil. They want 8 a mil. They're not happy. (Applause) 9 We have workers out here, workers that work 10 I know a few of the people. They work very for SSU. 11 hard, and they earn their pay. They have asked for a 12 small increase, which these people were refused. Why? 13 Because their executives apparently want more money. 14 15 Okay. (Applause) This is one of my basic objections to this 16 raise. I am dead set against it. Thank you very much 17 ladies and gentlemen. (Applause) Thank you. 18 MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir. 19 20 MR. SHREVE: Mr. Gurtler? 21 22 23 24

25

RONALD GURTLER

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS GURTLER: My name is Ronald

Gurtler, I live at 332 Feather Place in Longwood. This is the Brantly Harbor East section of Meredith Manor.

I am an attorney; and under Florida Bar Rule 4-3.9, I would like to state I'm here pro se, I don't represent anybody except myself.

Well, here we are again. One more time, the water company is desirous of plunging its hand deeper into our pocket. And we're all here to protest this. I would like to address a couple of broad areas which concern me.

First is the regressive nature of the existing rate structure, which is enhanced and expanded by their proposed rate increases. In our area, in Meredith Manor, the increased proposal is in the base rates. The particular band I'm in of a one-inch line, the base rate proposed increase is 129%. This is offset, of course, by the 3% reduction per gallon of water.

Now picture, if you will, a person living alone. Perhaps she's a widow, her life savings in CDs paying 3% per annum. This and a small Social Security

check constituting her only income. She lives in a modest home with her cat, Fluffy. Let's assume this lady uses 3500 gallons a month. What will be the effect of this new rate increase on her bill? When she opens her new bill, she had find that her rate -- her bill has increased 76%. Of course, if she sacrifices and makes a 50% cut in her water usage, she can cut her bill from \$68 a month to \$60 a month.

Contrast that, if you will, to a house using 50,000 gallons. Maybe they've go several maids and the chauffeur who washes the Rolls every other day. What's the effect of their bill? Astoundingly, it decreases by 4.43%. There's something really wrong here.

This approach regards profligacy and positively discourages water conservation. The big user consumes 14 times the amount of water of our widow, and yet their bill is only twice that of hers. There's something wrong here.

Every thinking person in this state recognizes that the conservation of water is an absolute necessity. These rates, as proposed, do not demonstrate even a nodding acquaintance with this reality. And all of this is caused by the base charge under which the first gallon costs \$51 a month.

The second area of concern is the philosophy of

the total corpus of this rate increase. The bottom line here is that SSU needs an increase in their rate of return. As a modest investor myself, I can certainly empathize with that. And part of the deal of being a regulated industry is that you can and should expect a fair return on your money. But 11.5%? Speculate, if you will, what would be the response if our widow went to her banker and said, "You know, I really need 11.5% right now instead of the 3% I'm getting." The poor man would require medical attention. (Laughter)

In the real world where you have some competition, if you want a better return on your investment, you first look to cut costs before you go out and try to go you out and try to raise your prices.

(Applause)

Now, on file at SSU office is the rate increase. Now, I must confess, I didn't read every page of the 38 volumes of this thing. But did I find some interesting things. I see that their expenses are 100% above the Commission's guidelines. In each instance, there was a nice little explanation of why, the guideline didn't apply or some other little explanation.

Volume I, Book 3, shows SSU expenses to be \$11 million over guidelines. On the other hand,

they're only asking for \$8.5 million, maybe some judicious cost cutting could solve the problem. Some representative examples under "Miscellaneous," banking costs. They pay \$103,000 for banking costs. They are running \$20 million through their bank, they need a new banker. (Laughter)

My favorite is under "Miscellaneous" at the bottom there's another subject called "Other," \$324,000. What is this? We don't know.

Workmen's compensation, they dismiss this saying, "Well, the rates are set by the state." Not true. There is an experience adjustment to all insurance rates including workmen's compensation. If you'd increase your safety record, you wouldn't pay as much in workmen's compensation. Maybe they've done that, but they don't say that. And if they did do that, they would be very proud to say that.

"Other Insurance" is the same way. They are owned by Minnesota Power. With the clout of that company, they ought to be able to keep their insurance rates down, explore captive insurance companies or retained earnings, retained loss, rather than just sending out invitations to bid. Self-insured retention is an area they can look at.

A&G, which is I think is Administration and

General, salaries, \$1.3 million over guidelines; not surprising when 28% of your employees are administration in general.

Telephone, 388,000 including mobile phones and an 800 number. Well, mobile phones are notorious for being costly.

Why do they have an 800 number? Well, from looking at their map, I see they're spread all over the state. Maybe that's why their costs are so high. They bought up a lot of little noncontiguous operations.

This is the case and this is why they're losing money.

That's bad decisionmaking and that should go back to their stockholders. Fire the people that make the decisions, if necessary, but don't put it on the backs of the consumers if the management made some bad decisions. (Applause)

Another area which concerns me is debt servicing. I didn't find anything in here to really explain the debt servicing, but I see they have long-term debt of \$39 million. Related debt of 27 million. I take that to be owed to sister companies or parent company. I don't know how much it costs there, but keep in mind the prime rate right now is 6%.

Perhaps some rescheduling and renegotiations are in order, particularly with Minnesota Power.

Perhaps some of these items are covered in the 38 volumes, I don't know; I didn't find it. I didn't find anything to indication that management has given any thought to cost containment.

This week I had occasion to go to SSU to look at these 38 volumes. Nice new offices they have, too, by the way. Standing in the lobby, I overheard a couple of the employees commenting on the irrigation system running at 11:00 in the morning to water their new flowers. Another employee in the lobby answered very simply, "Hey, we're the water company." (Laughter)

The Commission is the only entity which stands between the rapacious demands of a state-authorized monopoly on the one hand, and its captive customers on the other.

I urge you to send SSU back to the drawing board to utilize some of their obviously talented accountants to configure a rate system which is not regress and oppressive and which supports the long-term goals of the Citizens of the state of Florida. Just as importantly, tell their managers to actually manage and demonstrate some real savings in the way they do business. And then, and only then, can it be determined if rate increases really are justified.

Thank you for your attention. (Applause)

CHAIRMAN BEARD: One thing I forgot to do 1 earlier, but after the eloquence of the speech, it 2 reminded me. Some people aren't as comfortable in 3 front of the microphones others are. And if some of 4 you aren't that comfortable, but you hear someone else 5 say something that basically represents your thoughts 6 7 and ideas, you can come up and you can say, "Hey, I agree with what that guy said." Okay? If that makes 8 you more comfortable. 9 The other thing that you can do, on those 10 sheets, I think the yellow sheets, at the back, there's 11 12 one that you can actually write your comments in if 13 you're more comfortable -- on the back of the green sheet? Okay. And write it in and sign it. That way 14 we can get it into the record. Either way, whatever 15 that makes you most comfortable. 16 17 FROM THE AUDIENCE: Commissioner Beard, we all agree with that man. (Applause) 18 19 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Questions? 20 MR. HOFFMAN: No questions. 21 MR. SHREVE: I have a question. And it is an 22 excellent presentation. 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. SHREVE: 25 Q In reviewing the filing and you can see where

they've represented they're asking for 11.5%, which, of 1 course, as you mentioned an awful lot of people are 2 lucky to coming out with 2, 3 or 4% on their CDs -- on 3 their investment or their return on equity, did you 4 realize they're actually asking for 12.85%, which then 5 is leveraged with the debt, which you only pay interest 6 on? So what they're asking for is their profit level 7 is 12.85% after taxes. And if the customers pay taxes 8 on that, it's going to bring it up around 19 or 20% 9 that the customer will have to pay to support it. 10 (Simultaneous conversation) 11 Yeah. Well, of course, it probably -- I 12 Α didn't bring it out here, but there are two flavors of 13 One is on investment and one is on equity, and 14 those are entirely different things. 15 Yes, sir. 16 Q 17 CHAIRMAN BEARD: What was your question, Mr. Shreve? 18 MR. SHREVE: If he knew that? 19 20 WITNESS GURTLER: He's a lawyer. 21 Q (By Mr. Shreve) You realized that, didn't 22 you, sir? (Laughter) 23 A Just failed to bring it out, that's all. 24 MR. SHREVE: You had mentioned the 100% of 25 O&M expenses above the guidelines.

A Yes.

Q That will be brought out in this case and it's by a benchmark standard set by the Public Service Commission that's used on almost all the utilities. And did you realize, sir, that when Topeka Group and Minnesota Power, one of their reasons that justifies their purchasing of all of these smaller systems is their better administrative procedures and efficiency, which doesn't really seem to be represented by 100% increase over the guidelines.

MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Chairman, there are a lot of witnesses here. I think that Mr. Gurtler made a very fine presentation, but I want at this point of the hearing state my objection to Mr. Shreve testifying and Mr. Shreve making his presentation. We have a lot of folks here today, and they all want to be heard; and I think that what Mr. Shreve is doing --

(Audience response.)

MR. SHREVE: I would like to reply to Mr. Hoffman's objection.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Let's get one thing straight right here. I try to give a lot of flexibility to get information going both ways and I will continue to do that. But we are going to have one person talking and we are going to be polite and we are going to get along

and do this professionally. Okay? That goes for everybody up here, and I ask the audience to behave in the same manner. Okay. People have the right to be heard. And that's the way this hearing will be run.

Now, let's do this. (Applause)

I do really want to limit this

point-counterpoint debate, which is interesting and, I

know you guys would be fun to do this with, but we do

need to focus on getting as much as we can from the

people in. And I know you want to get information out

to them as well, I understand.

MR. SHREVE: That's right. And I want to reply to Mr. Hoffman's remarks, too.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Very briefly, and then we're going to go back to the witnesses, which is what we're really here for today, anyway.

MR. SHREVE: I hope I haven't imposed on you by asking these questions. However, I think the customers in this case have not been adequately informed by the finer points of this case and what's out there. And I think, although we're here primarily to hear from the customers, unless the customers are knowledgeable and know what is really going on, they can't adequately comment. So I will, until you stop me, Mr. Chairman, I will keep every point brief, bring

out whatever information I think needs to be brought out to the customers. (Applause)

CHAIRMAN BEARD: And I appreciate that. Let me talk just to the customers for a moment, as well, then.

This is an extremely complex case. This is unlike probably none that I've ever done before in the area of water and wastewater. It rivals in complexity what we sometimes see in electric cases because of the size and the issues that go with that.

Nonetheless, our people, besides Mr. Shreve's people, my Staff will be spending a large number of hours going through that some 38 volumes in great detail, which is what they are trained to do. And we intend to go through and get the answers to those questions, complex questions, no question about it. It is a difficult task.

And getting that and trying to explain that sometimes for them to even try to explain it to me, and I work with it, and then get into simple explanations that people that don't work with it every day will understand, is even more difficult. We will try to do our best to do that for you. You may not like the answer, but we will get you the most honest answer we can got you. Okay? (Applause)

MR. SHREVE: Thank you very much. And I

adopt your testimony, too. 1 (Witness Gurtler excused.) 2 3 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. Next witness? 4 MR. SHREVE: Mr. O'Brien. 5 BOB O'BRIEN 6 7 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 8 testified as follows: 9 10 WITNESS O'BRIEN: My name is Bob O'Brien. live at 472 Leland Drive in Deltona. Last name spelled 11 O'-B-r-i-e-n. Thank you. 12 I guess I've just got a couple of comments 13 and one or two questions that just came up. 14 15 question I would have is why was the rate increase 16 denied three years ago? What was the --17 CHAIRMAN BEARD: I think -- and just very 18 briefly what I can tell you from what -- I didn't sit 19 on that case, but it was felt by the Commissioners that 20 did that the Company had not proved their case. They 21 denied the rate increase. That rate increase was then 22 appealed. Recently, we were upheld in that appeal. think that they then had the opportunity to take it to 23

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

the Supreme Court. I don't know if the clock has run

on that yet or not or what they intend to do. Once

24

25

that has been completed and assuming that it stays the way it is, the interim that they were granted will be refunded, principal and interest.

MR. SHREVE: Mr. Commissioner, if I may, it was my motion that was -- and it was very close. It was my motion that had their case dismissed and we pursued that during the entire procedure. At the very final decision, Commissioner Gunter and Commissioner Easley dismissed it.

It was dismissed primarily because they had selected a projected test year -- in other words, we weren't dealing with facts, we were dealing with their projections -- in that the Commission requires that you take the factual or historical information and give your logic or assumptions that walk it up to the test year.

They went from '89 to '90 to '91. They took it from '89 to '90 and then jumped from '90 to '91 with no real logic or assumptions; and because of that, that is the primary reason it was dismissed.

with a lot of what's going on.

MR. SHREVE: One thing I might should make

clear. I don't believe, now I may be wrong, but I don't think there were any systems here that were in that case. I don't think that represents anyone here.

witness o'brien: I just have a couple of other, you know, comments here. If the newspaper accounts that I have been reading about 250,000 documents -- and you people have just attested to 38 volumes or something, so I guess that number is probably pretty close -- is being filed in support of this request, I must tell you right now that SSU has been very successful in applying a very old adage: If you can't dazzle them with brilliance, baffle them with BS. Okay? (Applause)

Secondly, having been in the defense industry for 30 years, I'm going to apply another old truism, okay? When the weight of the paper equals the weight of the airplane, the airplane will fly. In this case, it's the weight of the increase that you're requesting, the paperwork must now equal the weight of it.

I requested a copy of the financial report from Deltona Utilities the other day and received a three-paged document here. But this says that it's net operating income is what that report shows. This report shows a negative return on -- can't even read my own writing. A negative return on the document. And

according to them, out of 77 of the 90 areas listed on 1 this, there is a negative return. 2 To me, that says that someone is very 3 inefficient, all the way along, and probably wasteful. 4 If I were a stockholder and I'm sure they have them, I 5 would certainly be looking to replace a lot of people 6 at SSU in management because they're not doing their 7 job. (Applause) 8 I quess another example of what I consider to be 9 the inefficiency and the waste would be Mr. Hoffman's 10 11 remark that in some areas SSU has not requested a rate 12 increase in 12 years. Something is very, very wrong there 13 if you have gone this long and have not found that you are losing money. You've got a problem. (Laughter) 14 That's all I have to say. Thank you very 15 16 much. (Applause) MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir. 17 18 MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. O'Brien, let me just 19 respond very briefly. It doesn't have to be in the 20 form of a question, but in terms of --21 MR. SHREVE: I won't even object. (Laughter) 22 MR. HOFFMAN: I wanted you to understand that 23 Southern States, in terms of the volume of information, 24 because Southern States has filed a 127-systems 25 application, Southern States is in fact required,

required, to file thousands of pages of information under Commission rules.

Secondly, after those papers were filed,
Southern States was required to file additional
information based on a Staff review and input from
Public Counsel's office.

Third, in the last case, which was discussed briefly, Southern States filed 32 systems and was criticized for doing so, was criticized by the Public Counsel's office. In this case -- and the reason we were criticized is because we didn't file virtually all the systems.

In this case, that's what we've done. While the filing is complex and does contain a lot of information and does contain a lot of paper, you should know that Public Counsel office has, as is their right, requested voluminous additional information so that they can inspect and review our case. And those are the facts.

WITNESS O'BRIEN: Right. And it all comes down to one simple thing. You people have filed 38 volumes to justify your case and everything else. You have sent each person in this area one page that says you are going to pay more, period, just simple, like that, that's all it says. Right?

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Well, let me help you with that. They have sent a piece of paper out that they are requesting that you pay more.

witness o'BRIEN: Okay. I'm sorry, excuse
me, I stand corrected on that, yes. I stand corrected,
requesting that we pay more. But it was very simple,
one page. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SHREVE: Just a minute, please, sir. I'll be very brief in my comments and I know Mr. Hoffman is not going to object.

increase, that Mr. Hoffman pointed out and you pointed out, in some systems since 1982, I think it should also be pointed out that Southern States may not have had a rate case but in most all of these systems they have had what's called pass-throughs and indexing or inflation adjustments. So you're going to find very few customers out there that have not had an increase in the rates, probably almost every year, because of the inflation adjustments allowed to them. That's the based on a schedule set up by the Public Service Commission with CPI and inflation considered.

I did certainly complain about the 32 systems that were filed in the last case, and I'm complaining

1	about the 127 systems that are filed in this one. I
2	don't think that's inconsistent. We have requested a
3	great deal more information, and are still trying to
4	get it. Because, as you probably realize, even though
5	there may be 32 volumes out there, a great deal of the
6	information that we want and we need to represent you
7	is not there and we're going after it.
8	Thank you.
9	WITNESS O'BRIEN: Good, thank you.
10	(Applause)
11	(Witness O'Brien excused.)
12	
13	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Next witness?
14	MR. SHREVE: Mr. Hopper?
14 15	MR. SHREVE: Mr. Hopper? ALBERT HOPPER
15	ALBERT HOPPER
15 16	ALBERT HOPPER was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
15 16 17	ALBERT HOPPER was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
15 16 17 18	ALBERT HOPPER was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
15 16 17 18	ALBERT HOPPER was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: WITNESS HOPPER: My name is Albert Hopper,
15 16 17 18 19	ALBERT HOPPER was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: WITNESS HOPPER: My name is Albert Hopper, H-o-p-p-e-r, 1677 West Akron Drive, Deltona.
15 16 17 18 19 20	ALBERT HOPPER was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: WITNESS HOPPER: My name is Albert Hopper, H-o-p-p-e-r, 1677 West Akron Drive, Deltona. The only thing I'm wondering, when they come
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ALBERT HOPPER was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: WITNESS HOPPER: My name is Albert Hopper, H-o-p-p-e-r, 1677 West Akron Drive, Deltona. The only thing I'm wondering, when they come up with this thing of getting the water to the golf
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: WITNESS HOPPER: My name is Albert Hopper, H-o-p-p-e-r, 1677 West Akron Drive, Deltona. The only thing I'm wondering, when they come up with this thing of getting the water to the golf courses, who's paying for the wastewater? Is the golf

because I think it tends to vary.

which I personally very much agree with, that you ought not to be taking fresh water out of the ground to water a golf course. When you still have to find some way to get rid of the effluent, you usually buy a spray irrigation. Sometime go buy property and spray it out there with water sprinklers, which I call it "sprinkling the lawn" where I come from. And that we ought to be using that treated effluent to water with instead of fresh water, which is -- anybody that's been in Florida for long, and I have been here all my life -- water in Florida, I've been tasting water that had sulfur in it since I was two years old. I mean, that's just the product; that's what we've got to start with, and it's very precious.

So but then when you get to that point, who pays for it? To some extent it probably would be paid for by the golf course; to some extent it may be paid for by the ratepayers as well. But getting the lines there, but then once it is there, they purchase it. It varies from county to county. And specifically, in this instance, I can't tell you which of these utilities pay what at this stage of the game. That will be a part of the case that we look at in detail,

1	is the cost of that and who should pay.
2	WITNESS HOPPER: Well, I'm against these rate
3	increases, and I think the golf course should pay more
4	money. I don't think there should be any part on the
5	customers that have to pay anything towards that on
6	their sewage bills. They have been pumping water into
7	Lake Monroe ever since they had this thing. And they
8	ought to know better than to pump water in there in the
9	first place, because other states have been having
10	problems pumping water into the ocean and different
11	lakes and stuff like that. And I don't think we should
12	be stuck with any bills like that.
13	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay.
14	WITNESS HOPPER: And that's all I have to
15	comment on.
16	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. Thank you very much.
17	MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir. (Applause)
18	MS. JABER: Mr. Hopper, may I ask you a
19	question?
20	Which system serves you?
21	WITNESS HOPPER: Pardon?
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Deltona.
23	MS. JABER: What system serves you? Deltona?
24	WITNESS HOPPER: Yes.
25	MS. JABER: Which golf course are you
	II

referring to? 1 WITNESS HOPPER: I'm referring to Glen Abby 2 and the other one there on Elkham Avenue. 3 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Any of them, basically, is 4 what you're referring to? 5 WITNESS HOPPER: Yes, those two golf courses 6 7 there. 8 MS. JABER: Thank you, sir. 9 MR. SHREVE: Commissioner, Deltona Utilities 10 furnished the answer to that question, I believe, at a meeting in Deltona a few days ago. I don't know if 11 they want to give that answer to the customers. 12 thought they had said that there was no charge. 13 MR. ARMSTRONG: No charge. 14 MR. SHREVE: Didn't they say that the other 15 16 night? 17 MR. ARMSTRONG: Commissioner, we will 18 introduce evidence on that. It will be an issue on the 19 case. I don't believe that's a proper representation 20 at this point, but there will be other --21 CHAIRMAN BEARD: We're not going to try the 22 case today. Okay. That question will be answered. And if it's inappropriate that there's no charge, then 23 that will be before the Commission as a vote. 24 25 (Witness Hopper excused.)

MR. SHREVE: Mr. Sadowski?

3 CLARENCE P. SADOWSKI

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS SADOWSKI: Good morning.

MR. SHREVE: Good morning.

WITNESS SADOWSKI: My name is Clarence P. Sadowski. I live at 2359 Kimberly Drive in Deltona.

I hope you all had your breakfast this
morning because I would like to show you something that
I brought that I drain out of my system every two
weeks. I have two filters on my water system that
comes in from the meter. And they tell me that these
are minerals, but to me, minerals are invisible, and
these you can see with the naked eye. And I have
brought it up to the office and I have said, "What is
this? (Indicating) This is what we drink in Deltona."

Now, this is not minerals, this is garbage.

Now, the water stinks. (Applause)

I just finished working 25 years with the Panama Canal Commission. Now, I know what water is and I know what conserving water is.

But Mr. Hoffman did a very beautiful job on

covering up exactly why they want this raise. They want us to pay for the debts of the Company. That's exactly what this raise is for. They're not giving us anything in return for it. The poor service -- 65% of the people here have to go and buy bottled water. (Applause)

And if we're paying for water, why should we have to go and buy bottled water? Why do we have to put up with this? If you want money, fine, we're willing to go along with some of your debts. But, hell, we've got debts of our own. A lot of us are retired. I, fortunately, I could retire early, and I did, but I didn't come back to the states here to get robbed without a gun. (Applause)

Everybody, everybody here is out to take everybody else. Nobody does anything for nothing.

They don't even say "thank you." (Applause) But if I could get an answer on this, I sure would be happy.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Well, I'll tell you what I'm going to try to do to help you. This lady back out in the blue dress, she works with the Commission Staff, and if you will get with her we'll work with you and the Company to get an answer for us and for you.

WITNESS SADOWSKI: Thank you. (Applause)
MR. SHREVE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay, thank you. 1 (Witness Sadowski excused.) 2 MR. SHREVE: Mr. Isola. 3 4 5 JOE ISOLA 6 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 7 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 8 testified as follows: 9 WITNESS ISOLA: Good morning. This resembles an old-time lynch mob. And I guess I will reiterate 10 some of the things that have already been said. 11 My name is Joe Isola, I-s-o-l-a. I live at 12 13 603 Teresa Court, in Maitland, Florida 32751. That is 14 actually in Seminole County, and we're served by the 15 Druid Hills situation in Seminole County. I appeared approximately three years ago at the other rate hearing 16 which was denied, so here we go again. 17 Most of us in this room are of the same 18 Most of us in this room, I believe, have been 19 opinion. or are hard-working individuals that really have not 20 asked for much along the way, and I think we're fair. 21 22 When a rate increase comes through the mail for our area requesting a plus 100% increase in base 23 rates, there's something wrong with the way the Company 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

is run. 7% on the water usage increase. There's

25

something that's wrong, because I have been in business my whole life.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To ask today for income in excess of 11 to 12% in this inflationary time is absolutely stupid. Ιt won't fly; it shouldn't fly, and it shows the greed that must be taking place on the part of Company officials. It is not our fault that through the last several years this company now that's owned out of Minnesota has gone out and purchased systems. Or what they paid for the system, we don't know. How it's run, I don't know. What the rate of pay for the executives is, I don't know. I can only tell you from reading that many executives, I'm sure the Chairman of the Minnesota company -- is well into the seven figures. What great savings that have taken place in running all of these companies now that they are purchased, we don't know. We really don't, and we have never been given enough information to make justifiable decisions.

I am somewhat concerned because approximately two months ago a major story broke in the Orlando Sentinel castigating what until that time was considered one of the great utility companies in the United States, Orlando Utilities. And the thing that most of us were talking about this morning, the rate of pay, privileges that no one knew about, came to light

concerning Orlando Utilities; top management was 2 3 changed. Mr. Beard, all I can tell you is that you 4 5 have an awesome responsibility, as you probably well 6 know, to represent us. Governmental bodies, 7 unfortunately, have not represented people very well in the last ten years with the savings and loan and the 8 junk bonds and everything else. After having met you, 9 I feel comfortable that you're in our corner or you 10 11 will, at least, read the information that's given, if it's in the readable form, to make the right decision 12 for us. Thank you very much. (Applause) 13 14 MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir. (Witness Isola excused.) 15 16 17 MR. SHREVE: Mr. Lewenthal? 18 MYRON LEWENTHAL 19 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 20 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 21 MR. LEWENTHAL: My name is Myron Lewenthal, 22 23 L-e-w-e-n-t-h-a-l. I live around the corner from Mr. 24 Isola. He described it as Druid Hills, Maitland. will call our place Hidden Estates. 25

through an investigative story in the Orlando Sentinel

1

On the entranceway to our beautiful development is Stalag 17. It is two big, used rusty water tanks that -- and I mean rusty. They stand out there and they're ugly. At one time, they told me they spent \$11,000 to beautify it. And I believe it, they may have spent it, but if that is the way they're spending their money, they spent about \$6,000 too much, putting in palm trees, putting in azaleas, trying to make it look a little better. They have completely neglected this installation for the last five years.

We went by this morning, the water was spraying, it was bubbling up. None of the azaleas have been fertilized or trimmed or weeded. And the place is just ugly.

In my case a line was drawn down Flame, and I live on a tee from Flame. At the end of my line -this was put in by Central Florida well drillers in

1968 -- is a two-inch line. After we put in a
beautiful watering system, we found it wouldn't
function; the water just dribbled out.

The fellow there -- I can't remember his last name now -- said, "Lou, put in a bigger meter, then it will function." So I went ahead and did it, and they charged me the regular price for water.

When this company took over, they got some

kind of a bill passed, a usage bill, that makes my bills \$150 for water. Now, that's ridiculous.

As far as like Joe spoke on their profit, God knows what they're doing. You can take figures and do anything. They've got a legal Staff that they hire probably perpetually; that's an expense; that goes on to our bill. If you go to fight them -- like I've got this line coming down the street -- I don't think I should be paying for their inadequate supply of water. They come down and they may have done it to other folks. And show you have 40 pounds of pressure. You can have 40 pounds of pressure with a pencil, but that's not the volume you need to run things.

I'm completely discouraged with them, and I hope you folks will take them to task. We can't do it individually. Not especially when they have a legal Staff. We have to go out and hire an attorney to fight them. I don't think I should be paying for that additional meter. I think my house should function on a normal meter.

Thank you very much. (Applause)

CHAIRMAN BEARD: If you would, before you get away -- Bev -- I would like to get some information on whether you have a different meter and see if we can't get some answers.

(Witness Lewenthal excused.) 1 2 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Next witness? 3 4 MR. SHREVE: Mr. Morgan. 5 DONALD S. MORGAN was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 6 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 7 testified as follows: 8 WITNESS MORGAN: My name is Donald S. Morgan. 9 10 I live at 1113 Lock Laggan Court in New Smyrna Beach. That's in Sugar Mill, and my Zip Code is 32168. 11 12 I had a great deal to say but it's been more than adequately covered by my predecessors and probably 13 much better than I could. 14 15 What I would like to bring to your attention is the information, the data in this thing here that 16 was handed out this morning, that says I have been 17 18 paying \$20.21 for water and \$21.45, for sewer for a total of \$41.66 a month. 19 20 Now, let me tell you what my actual bills have been. The lowest I have paid in the last 12 21 months is \$63.81; the highest that I have paid is 22 \$97.92. That's an average for the past 12 months of 23

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\$76.07, almost twice, almost twice, what they say is

the average.

25

Thank you for your time. 1 Oh, I have one other point, if I may. If 2 this thing is approved, I think my bills will go to 3 4 over \$100 a month for water and sewer. Can you imagine, can the rest of you imagine what this is going 5 to do to the value of your homes when you put them up 6 7 for sale, and they ask you what your utility is and 8 it's \$100 a month? (Applause) 9 Thank you very much. 10 (Simultaneous conversation) 11 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you. 12 MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir. (Witness Morgan excused.) 13 14 15 MR. SHREVE: Mr. Harvey? ROBERT HARVEY 16 17 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 18 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 19 20 WITNESS HARVEY: Robert Harvey, H-a-r-v-e-y, 21 1201 Prescott Boulevard, Deltona. (Pause) SSU acquired Deltona Utilities only two to 22 23 three years ago. And now they find it was an error and want us to pay for it. This trend has been going for 24 25 most of the speakers up here.

1	Our own investment, returns on our
2	investments, have fallen way down from the average of
3	6% to 3% today. It's too bad we don't have a Public
4	Service Commission that we can go appeal to.
5	CHAIRMAN BEARD: I'm certainly glad that you
6	don't, because I don't want to hear the case. I'm just
7	being facetious (Laughter)
8	WITNESS HARVEY: I wonder if they have ever
9	considered a cost reduction of billing quarterly
10	instead of monthly? This would save postage; it would
11	save the meter reading cost. This is done in other
12	areas.
13	Finally, the rate structure itself, I would
14	like to see the basic rate include a minimum gallonage
15	usage. In other words, if you pay for 4,000 gallons,
16	you don't pay for the first 4,000 but it's included in
17	the basic cost.
18	That's all, thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you. I think there
20	are some of these companies that currently operate that
21	way
22	WITNESS HARVEY: Oh, yes.
23	CHAIRMAN BEARD: And I think that that will
24	be an issue in this case.
25	WITNESS HARVEY: Okay. Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. Thank you.
2	MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir.
3	(Witness Harvey excused.)
4	
5	MR. SHREVE: Mr. Lombardo.
6	GASPAR LOMBARDO
7	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
8	the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
9	testified as follows:
10	WITNESS LOMBARDO: Good morning. My name is
11	Gaspar Lombardo, L-o-m-b-a-r-d-o. I'm from Deltona. I
12	live on 1418 Section Line Trail.
13	First of all, I want to thank the Commission
14	for giving us the opportunity to hear us and also for
15	SSU coming down.
16	I do urge personally, and with a lot of my
17	friends in Deltona, that the Commission do take at
18	heart what is happening here. A lot of the people like
19	myself, I'm retired on a fixed income, and it is tough
20	trying to meet our everyday expenses. And when
21	something like this happens, it throws us way off
22	balance, so to speak.
23	Most of the questions have been asked, but I
24	still don't myself, personally, get the answers from
25	it. You know, like one of them is the wastewater going

to the golf courses. Number one, they should have negotiated with these people way back there then when they put the water from the lake, when they were dumping it there to the golf courses.

Number two, Glen Abby is not even in Deltona and they're giving them water from Deltona. I really don't see that personally myself. I know a lot of people see that it has to happen because of the wastewater going into the lake. But I don't see why they shouldn't have been — at least a minimum amount of costs should have been given to the golf courses.

One of my other questions -- I don't know,
maybe even SSU could answer that, I don't know. Could
you answer that right off for me, or is that something
that has to be answered later?

MR. HOFFMAN: I don't have the information with me right up here on that particular question, but I think that will be an issue at the final hearing.

WITNESS LOMBARDO: Okay. One of my other questions: Why are you encouraging water customers to use more water by lowering the gallons rate in lieu of the basic rate? Here we are into a dilemma here in Florida with the amount of water we have, and the more you use -- in other words, you're encouraging them to use more water by giving them a lower rate. That don't

sound like much sense to me.

MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Lombardo, that is a rate design question, and the Company will have an expert who will give an opinion as to why the rate design was proposed in a specific manner, particularly in the manner you just suggested. And I am simply not qualified to give you an accurate answer on that, but that will be an issue in the case, and we'll have testimony on that.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Let me tell you as one, just as one Commissioner, conservation is an issue, and that will be looked at strongly. Maybe I'm a little bit radical, but in Florida when you build new homes and new developments and you put in water and wastewater lines, if it were up to me, you would be required to put in lines that would bring back the effluent so you could water your yard with the effluent and not with fresh water. (Applause)

WITNESS LOMBARDO: May I ask a question to that? If in fact that is the case, are we going to get free water just like the golf courses? (Laughter)

Another question I'm going to ask, and I don't know if you have that answer either is, how can you justify raising Deltona's rates so high that we've

got to account for some of the other systems? know, the 127 systems that you have throughout Florida, 2 why do we have to pay extra to offset their costs? CHAIRMAN BEARD: Well, let me try to keep 4 5 this brief. 6 I think the Company's position is that that's not what they're doing. I think Mr. Shreve's position 7 is very much that that's what they're doing. And it is 8 going to be our job to try to ferret out who really 9 should be paying what, what are the fair rates, and who 10 has caused what to be built or systems to be put in. 11 That is one of the key issues to this case. 12 MR. SHREVE: Commissioner, I think the 13 14 we're in agreement that there is, each one of the 15 16 systems is not being asked to provide precisely what

Company, at least at one meeting that I was at, I think the calculated required amount is. I don't think there's a difference there.

MR. HOFFMAN: He's right.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WITNESS LOMBARDO: My last question is: can you justify raising the water and wastewater rates for the amount of improvements that you have done for Deltona? I know this question has been asked, but I haven't heard an answer yet. You're going to raise

them so high that within the first couple of years these improvements are already going to be paid for but we're still going to be paying the rates after that, so that's an exorbitant amount. And I haven't heard an answer on that, and I think that should be answered also.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Give it your best shot.

MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Lombardo -- Mr. Lombardo,

the Company --

(Simultaneous conversation.)

MR. HOFFMAN: During the final hearing, the Company will be required to justify their investments and their expenses.

Your question is directed specifically to the Deltona system. That system will be held to the same burden as the other systems.

As I stated in my opening statement, the Company has invested to the tune of about \$6 million to comply with the previously existing Department of Environmental Regulation Consent Order and to meet customer growth, to comply with county regulations, and to provide an effluent disposal system, which is consistent with the State's goals and the Chairman's goals of permitting and providing public access reuse of effluent.

Now, those are just some of the reasons that 1 I would suggest justify that particular amount of 2 investment. But there -- in the case of the Deltona 3 Lakes systems, there has been more investment than that 4 \$6 million for a number of reasons, all which of the 5 Company will be required to get into and justify at the 6 7 final hearing. WITNESS LOMBARDO: Have you got any idea 8 approximately how much money you get out of Deltona for 9 your water and wastewater? 10 MR. HOFFMAN: Do I have any idea of what the 11 average bill is? 12 WITNESS LOMBARDO: No, no, the average amount 13 that's taken out each month from Deltona. In other 14 15 words, what is the combined billage of all of the 16 customers? You have 25,000 customers, about how much do you collect? 17 MR. HOFFMAN: I don't have that information 18 before me. 19 20 MR. SHREVE: I can give it to you. (Pause) 21 They divided their case and they based it on 22 a test year of 1991, and the water system, their test 23 year revenues, they say, was 3 million for water, 24 \$3,409,568. They say they need 4,503,639, or an 25 increase of 32% is what's required. That's their

1 calculation. WITNESS LOMBARDO: That's for water? 2 MR. SHREVE: For water. And that's their 3 calculation. They're asking for a 34.45%. 4 On the sewer system, their test year 5 revenues, they say they took in, in '91, \$828,729. And 6 they need \$2,159,355, or an increase to their required 7 -- their required -- level, 160%. Their request is 8 165% increase. 9 WITNESS LOMBARDO: Well, the question I have 10 11 is that I understand there's a \$6 million and a \$7 million improvement in our water and wastewater. Am I 12 somewhere near the ballpark? 13 MR. HOFFMAN: The one that I referred to was 14 15 wastewater --16 WITNESS LOMBARDO: Okay. Now, when this is all paid for within the next couple or three years or 17 18 so, are they going to lower the rates back down to 19 normal again? (Audience response.) 20 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Let me try to answer that. (Laughter) 21

As a result of the process that we go through in Tallahassee, whatever increase is or is not granted on a quarterly basis -- Staff, correct me if I'm wrong -- we require certain reports to be sent in where we

22

23

24

25

at what kind of money is being spent, what kind of
earnings they have. Mr. Shreve looks at those reports.

And the Company might think they're not overearning,
but Mr. Shreve might think that they are, or our Staff
might think that they are, and we would bring them in
for a rate case to potentially lower rates.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I don't, you know, I'm not trying to say anything good or bad about the Company, but where they might be slower to come in if they were earning lots of money, Mr. Shreve and our Staff will be faster to get them in. Whereas, conversely to that, when they think they're not earning enough money, they're quick to get in and we're not so quick to call them in. So there's kind of a balance point there. But that is something we look at. And it is a rough look; it's not the detail. We don't have them publish 38 volumes every three months. But it's a rough look to get an idea of what we call a "Surveillance Report." We keep surveillance on all the companies. So we have an idea if we think they are overearning, then we'll do a more detailed look, and if we see anything further, then we'll go to a full rate case. So we do try to provide you that protection, too.

WITNESS LOMBARDO: Thank you, Mr. Beard. I

1	appreciate you and your Staff coming down. And for
2	myself and for Deltona, we encourage you to favor us a
3	little bit, because, like I told you before, the
4	majority of the people in Deltona right now I know
5	it's going the other way, but we're retired people and
6	we're on fixed income and fair is fair, and that's all
7	I ask. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you. (Applause)
9	MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir.
10	(Witness Lombardo excused.)
11	
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Chairman, could I
13	pass? I didn't get the chance to sign in outside
14	there. But there is something about the Deltona area
15	that I
16	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Why don't you come on down.
17	And then after this, if you a don't mind, we'll take a
18	short break, because we only have one court reporter,
19	and if her fingers wear out, I'm in trouble. (Pause)
20	If you would give us your name?
21	WITNESS BEPASS: My name is Nevell Bepass.
22	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Did I swear you in earlier?
23	WITNESS BEPASS: No.
24	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Let me please do that.
25	(Witness sworn.)

NEVELL BEPASS

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, after being duly sworn, testified as follows:

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. Your name and spell it, please. B-e-p-a-s-s, Bepass.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay.

WITNESS BEPASS: I live at South Merritt Drive, 1890 South Merritt Drive, Deltona.

Now, the factor that I would like to inquire on, now, for the last two years, we have been living on restricted water usage, right? Restricted. Now, the Company, their position is to supply us water.

One, I would like to know, since in the past three years since they have taken over, have they placed any more, given any provision for additional water for the Deltona area? If you have, the other point is that under normal circumstances, no restrictions and your supply, they could achieve their money, their accounts receivable, without increasing -- it might be a small amount but not the amount of 12.5% or 32%, as they say that they would like to achieve.

Now, when restriction is applied, the average usage is reduced, so they're receivable for the month is comprehensively reduced. You have, say, well, I

said, 25,000 subscribers. I would say that on an average it's about an \$8 per month per subscriber.

That would work out to about \$200,000 a month that their accounts receivable should drop reflected. I don't know if it is that. But in the space of one year, because the restriction has been so continuous, we can hardly move around our place and get water to use, and you have to look to see if anybody is coming, to say, "Well, we'll take a chance," and they take the chance, right?

Now, at 25,000 subscribers at an average of \$8 per month, it would work out to about \$2 million-and-something per annum, and they say they want about an additional 3 million for that period. So their responsibility is to supply us water that they can maintain a normal supply without giving us restrictions all the while, and that is good business.

Now, what I think is happening is because they cannot, due to the restriction, they can not supply the water to the people around here, they are increasing the thing to take care of the shortfall when it is in the restriction. Yeah? Right.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: I'm not sure, and somebody can help me, if the restrictions on the use of water is in control of the Company?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: County. 1 CHAIRMAN BEARD: It's the County? 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Water district. 3 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Yeah, and the Water 4 Management District, right. I don't think that's 5 necessarily in their control, but your math is right, 6 7 yes. 8 WITNESS LOMBARDO: Yes, but what I'm saying is the responsibility rests with the Company to supply 9 the water, because if they had the water -- I have to 10 ask, have they the dug any more wells for the last 11 12 three years? CHAIRMAN BEARD: I understand that. But I 13 14 don't think, regardless of what water they have, because it's tapping into the aquifer, the governmental 15 agencies are saying, "You will not; you will reduce, 16 you will restrict it." The same thing happens to me in 17 Tallahassee. 18 WITNESS BEPASS: Yes, but the fact remains 19 20 that Deltona hasn't even developed. There is a hospital to come in; there are about four other 21 industrial companies to be included on the same water 22 supply, right? 23 24 What I'm saying is that who is really 25 responsible for seeing to it that the water is

equitable to supply the area? Who is responsible for that?

CHAIRMAN BEARD: The Company is --

WITNESS BEPASS: If the Company comes through and takes over and says, "Well, look" -- and they probably have made a good survey of exactly what they wanted to have done. They should realize that at that point that their aquifer or the present system cannot supply the water at a given rate, so something is wrong with the Company.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: That will be at issue in this case is whether or not the service quality, which is the quality of the water and the quantity of the water is appropriate, and that will be looked at in each of the systems.

WITNESS BEPASS: Yes. I thank you very much for giving me the chance to come through and give a point.

MR. SHREVE: Commissioner, if I may?

Is one of the points, sir, that you are making is that if during the year 1991, there were restrictions on water so that the usage was less and less revenues would have come to the Company, then there are changes that will remove those restrictions so that the customers then would use more, then it

1	would result in a windfall to the Company so they would
2	be getting more money?
3	WITNESS BEPASS: Yeah, more money, right.
4	MR. SHREVE: I see. It's a good point.
5	Thank you, sir. (Applause)
6	(Witness Bepass excused.)
7	
8	CHAIRMAN BEARD: If you will, please, bear
9	with us. I want to take a break. It's going to be
10	difficult for me to start having people stand up and
11	raise their hand and come down back and forth.
12	If you haven't signed up, during the break
13	sign up. I want everybody to have a chance, and when
14	we get to the end, if someone hasn't signed up and just
15	have something they want to say, we'll bring them
16	forward so everybody gets heard.
17	Let's take a break for the court reporter,
18	and if you haven't signed up, sir, please do so.
19	(Brief recess.)
20	
21	CHAIRMAN BEARD: If we could get everybody
22	headed back to their seat? Mr. Shreve?
23	MR. SHREVE: Mr. Zalezi? Mr. Zalezi,
24	Z-a-l-e-z-i or -k-i? Mr. Zalezi? (Pause)
25	Mr. Norbert Zaleski? (Pause)

The ones that are circled, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Just the ones that are

circled? Okay. Mr. Bailey?

RALPH E. BAILEY

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen, I thank you for the opportunity of expressing our sentiments regarding the proposed rate increases. I am Ralph Bailey, B-a-i-l-e-y. I live at 555 Bottle Brush Court, Sugar Mill Estates, New Smyrna.

I'm an officer of the Homeowners Association.

Our small community of 700 homes is protesting the magnitude of the requested rate increase, which I have calculated will average about 25% for the normal 3/4 inch meter. Our basic rates will have increased 84% since 12-20-88.

We also protest the rapid implementation of this high increase. And, incidentally, we learned this morning that the interim rates will be increased as early as this next week. Is that true, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BEARD: The interim rate will be

voted upon on Tuesday. Whether there will be, and to

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

what degree there will be, an interim increase will be voted on by the Commission on Tuesday, that is correct.

WITNESS BAILEY: Thank you. The short time allocated between notification and this meeting has prevented a thorough investigation. What I would like the know is what is SSU's management philosophy. And this sounds like beating a dead horse to death, but I was an executive, have a lot of experience in things like participative management and things of that nature which are taking place in American business today. And I would like very much to know if SSU is involved in the latest techniques, such as participative management and increased spans of control, which permit huge personnel reductions?

We have all seen what industry has done, such as the huge management reductions at TVA, a government agency and a utility, and the proposed reductions of the Post Office Department. Is SSU in the main stream of American industry, or is SSU operating as a quasi public company, simply passing on the uncontrolled increased costs to the consumer?

Under the present system, what incentive does a monopoly have to control costs? When monopolistic corporations are making millions in profits, who has the right to say that 11.57% is a reasonable return?

To be a little bit philosophical, we, as Americans, are losing the economic war in this world today while foreign corporations, earning millions and billions of dollars, are happily earning returns of less than 5%.

The usage of effluent is another subject of great concern. And I wonder if the long-term costs of avoidance are greater than a short term program for effluent usage? This is an extremely valuable commodity today, which has been used by many communities for over 15 years now. What is SSU's program for effluent usage without killing the consumer with additional high costs?

I view SSU's advice on conservation as one conceived without thought. The consumer has no incentive to install water-conserving plumbing fixtures or to even think conservation. By conserving, we reduce the Utility's income and the system gives them the right to increase rates to cover the lost income. Just doesn't make sense.

Finally, I would like to question the quality of our drinking water. Many of us have complained about the bad taste and odor of our water to no avail, resorting to expensive bottled water or filtering systems. One resident, and I have this gentleman's permission to use his name, Mr. Ray Bayus, B-a-y-u-s,

on Magnolia Circle, was told by the SSU personnel after 1 they analyzed his water that the chlorine content was 2 too high, could cause cancer, and advised him not to 3 drink the water. 4 Is this a reaction of a responsible 5 corporation seeking increased profits while providing a 6 7 completely unacceptable product? It would be nice if a monopolistic utility and the politicians would consider 8 the word "public," and give the consumer some 9 10 consideration. 11 Thank you. (Applause) 12 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Before you get away, if you would, Bev, the man whose name you mentioned, I would 13 like to have that and I would like to pursue that. 14 15 MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir. (Witness Bailey excused.) 16 17 18 MR. SHREVE: Mr. Cheek? 19 CLARENCE CHEEK 20 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 21 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 22 testified as follows: 23 WITNESS CHEEK: My name is Clarence Cheek. I reside on Brady Drive in Deltona since 1978. And I 24 25 have some facts here that I would like to present,

which I think bear on things which are not said as yet but, certainly, things which need to be said.

In 1978, I paid a water deposit of \$20, \$10 for sewage and \$10 for water.

In 1983, I received a check from the Deltona Corporation which was for \$21.60, which was my original deposit, plus \$1.60 interest. It seems to me that it is very nice that, when we have security deposits and are required to get utilities installed, that we get such an exorbitant return on our money of \$1.60 for \$20.

I have various rate increases which were granted at the time the water waste was \$10.20 and the minimum water rate was \$2.92 for 2,000 gallons. As recently as May 10th of 1991, we had a sewage increase from \$12.78 in the basic rate to \$13.30, which went into effect on May 10th of '91.

I have received more mail from SSU this past week than I have ever had and most of it is just reiterations of all these various things which lead to the increases which are proposed.

I, myself, am retired, have been since 1978.

And I think that, from the basic rate at that time,
that I have done more than my share for the Deltona

Corporation and now SSU services to pay for the
facilities which I have been using for that length of

time. I think I should be entitled to some return on my investment in their company.

Granted, they may have increased costs

because of the county restrictions upon sewage; but

also, we have county restrictions on recycling of

garbage. I don't think that the water or the sewage in

Deltona should be higher per month than what it costs

to have the garbage, which is the real trash,

collected.

I think that the timing on this hearing is very much in favor of the utility companies, because there is not adequate information supplied to the users of the services; and there will be a ruling within a few days on the 18th of August, today is the 14th, that is four days, for an Agenda interim rates proposal.

though it may be changed, we will probably only get a refund based upon the same way we get them from the telephone company and various other companies, which will be 37 cents a month or something like that taken off of our bill. I think if there is an interim rate, that there should be a Legislative requirement that all the monies be returned to the people who are assessed an overcharge.

I know that the timing is very important and

I look at these facts. But as recently, my water bill presently is \$5.08 a month and my sewage is \$13.30 because I use less than the 2,000 gallons. I try to conserve in order to keep my expenses down. Being on a limited income such as I am, I find it very difficult to make things meet every month. Regardless of what the utility company may feel, I wonder what consideration they have given to the fact of taking people off of the sewage system and allowing us to install septic tanks or some other system? Maybe we could put our refuse in a garbage bag and have the trash pick it up and recycle it. (Applause)

I mean, it's very nice to come before somebody and propose a rate increase, but it is another thing for the person who is using those services to have to pay and bear the cost of inefficiency or whatever the cause may be. The SSU services certainly was well-aware of the problems with the wastewater and also the water problems that were prevalent in Deltona since 1978 when I first came here. It seems that there has not been one month that some item has not been taken to task as far as government or the County or some other.

I cut a little piece out of the paper which first announced this increase. It was written by

Bureau. And there are various comments in here. It was brought before the attention of Phil Journeau, who represents Deltona on the Volusia County Commission, that the timing of the Utility's request couldn't have been worse. "I think they picked the wrong time to raise the rates," Journeau said, citing current economic hard times. "It's hard enough to keep your job, much less pay an increase on the most basic of basic needs, water and sewer."

I think that was a very appropriate statement but I think Mr. Journeau should have been more concerned about the fact that the increase proposed is outrageous. "Crooks, who represent the SSU, took over the Deltona Utilities in 1989, says it was forced to stop pumping treated into Lake Monroe, which the Utility had done when it was owned by the Deltona Corporation." "To stop the practice," Crooks said, "SSU had to pay 5 million for various improvements to its water and wastewater system."

Seems to me I heard something about 6.3 billion here today. "Those costs had to be passed to customers," he said. "We made good of our promise to discontinue the previous utility discharge of effluent into Lake Monroe," Crooks said. "This advanced

treatment has been costly but necessary for the protection of the local environment."

Earlier in this article, it said that Mr.

Gary Crooks, an SSU spokesman, said, "To really put it into perspective, you have to look at the impact these regulations have had and that what goes around, comes around."

Well, maybe that's what the problem is, that what goes around hasn't come around from the people that pay the bills, and I think it's time we should be heard more prevalently than we have heretofore.

I also have a comment in here from a letter sent from the Deltona Utilities -- or SSU, rather -- stating the fact they have the right to, without arbitration, increase certain rates regarding our water and wastewater. Nothing is stated as to what that right is; but I think if it is such a ruling, that the Commission ought to rescind it.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Let me comment to that. If I understand what they're talking about and if it's what I'm thinking about, the Commission doesn't have the authority to rescind it because the Legislature did it.

And what it is, is I think you are referring to certain things that can be passed through. That is to say, if you have an increase in taxes or an increase

in certain utility bills, like an electric bill, rather than having to come in for a rate case each time one of those comes up, or if there were enough of them to add up to require a rate case, then they can, within certain limits, increase rates and pass those through. And that is done in the statutes legislatively, and we do review those but --

WITNESS CHEEK: I would ask that you would review that and ask that it be rescinded because there is no provision for us, the users, to get that type of adjustment.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: I understand that.

rights that the utility companies or the state

Legislature or anybody else has to say this is a bad

law and it needs to be changed. And I don't think that

we should arbitrarily have to go through this type of

hearing all the time because the Utility company wishes

to increase their profit margin.

I worked for a small company, General Motors, and I was one of the junior officers, and I think that we had offices which did not operate at a profit margin and we found it necessary to close them. If the SSU cannot make a go of the Deltona Utilities, then they certainly, obviously, made a mistake when they did all

1 their research to buy it at a given rate. These 2 factors should have been considered at that time and an 3 adjustment made in the sale price of the utility rather 4 than coming back to us now, from 1989 until today, and 5 asking that this exorbitant rate be passed on to the consumers. 6 7 That's all I have. CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you. 8 9 MR. SHREVE: Thank you. (Applause) 10 Mr. Commissioner, you might want to ask if 11 anyone, at this point, would like to adopt some 12 testimony to save some time. Several people mentioned that to me. 13 14 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Yeah. There are a couple of 15 things you can do. One, if you have a question that 16 you really aren't all that excited about getting in 17 front of the microphone to ask, or whatever, Bev DeMello 18 in the blue outfit, who works for the Commission, will 19 help you in getting an answer to your question. Number 20 two, if you want to just state you wish to adopt 21 somebody's testimony and with that you can save some time 22 that way. So whatever you're comfortable with, as well. 23 MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir. 24 (Witness Cheek excused.)

25

MR. SHREVE: Marie Silvia.

MARIE SILVIA

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS SILVIA: Thank you, Mr. Shreve, for listening to me. I am the first lady. First, let me tell you that I am Marie Silvia, S-i-l-v-i-a, and I live at 675 Hager Street in Deltona. I'm the little widow that attorney Ronald Gurtler was talking about. I'm the lady who really can't afford to water my lawn, but I'm still going to pay a lot of money because I'm trying to conserve.

I have had a problem with the quality of the water. The gentleman that was sitting over there with that nice bottle of the brown water, that's what I gave to the SSU people, let's see, back July 23rd, when I made my complaint. And I also made the complaint to the Public Service Commission.

The gentleman came down, opened the faucet outside, he said, "Where did you get this from?" I said, "Inside the house in my sink." He said -- well, he went outside, checked where the water comes in and he shook the little bottle, he said, "Got enough chlorine." He didn't tell me why the water was brown.

He didn't tell me they would improve on it.

And the only reason that I did find this out was because, as of May 22nd, through the nice RTC and OTS, I have been unemployed from First Federal of Seminole; they took over that bank without, you know, really having a reason to. We were making money, we were getting bonuses, but they still took it over.

So due to our government, I'm out of a job.

I'm out looking for work, so I find this problem with

the water. Not only do I have that brownish problem -
it doesn't happen every single day, I wish it had today

because I would have brought it in my bottle for you -
but we also have a problem with the pressure.

And in my letter that -- I mean, I got this letter from SSU. They sent me a copy of the letter they that sent to Mike Dymek at the Public Service Commission. One of the -- the paragraph on the last -- first page says, "Mrs. Silvia was advised by our serviceman that older galvanized pipes will discolor the water."

Every one of my pipes in the house were changed over because we had a problem with a leak.

Now, this problem here comes from their meter to the main that comes into the house.

I mean, I can't see why I have to pay all

this extra money for water that they're not even going to clear up. They're not telling me how for clear it up. They're not telling me that they're going to work on it. They said, "If you have any further questions, call me at this 800 number," which we're, you know, I guess we're paying money for them to have that 800 number.

But if I call them, I don't get any service.

This gentleman came down twice, shook the bottles,
said, "It's got enough chlorine," and walked off. I
mean, this is the kind of service we get?

Well, not only that problem that we have with the pressure and everything, but I don't feel that this rate increase that they're asking for is reasonable. It's way out of reason. I mean, you know, I've lived here since 1967, and I have been a customer since 1967. I've had problems with the water; but when we had Deltona Utilities, they gave you a heck of a lot more service than SSU. SSU you get on, "Oh, yeah, it's got enough chlorine." But, I mean, that's all they tell you.

They want to raise our rates, they want to raise 200% on the sewer and they want to raise -- I mean, I have to depend on the good Lord to water my lawn because I can't afford their rates. I mean, it's too high now. What's going to happen when they raise

it up? I mean, am I going to have to move out because the water is too, you know, costly? I conserve every bit I can and, you know, my water is -- my water for the lawn is come through by the good Lord and that's about it.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: I would like for you, if you would, when we get finished here, Bill, if you will make sure she gets with Bev. And I'd like to have a follow-up on the letter and the information you have been given. And "Got enough chlorine in it."

WITNESS SILVIA: Yeah, that's it. I mean, you know, I wasn't complaining about the chlorine in the water. I was complaining that it was brown.

When the gentleman came, I had a glass and a jar, and I had them right side-by-side. And I put the water in there, and everything settled down, like this gentleman, to brown.

The guy came in and he put it back in, and he said, well, he said, "I hope it settles down like it did here." I said, "It probably will." I said, "This is what we have to drink." If you get up in the morning, say, about 6:00 or 7:00 and you don't have the light on, and you put that glass under the faucet is that what you're expected to drink? I don't think it's right. And I don't think their rate increases are

reasonable at all. I mean, I appreciate, you know, 1 your letting me speak and thanks a lot. 2 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. 3 MR. SHREVE: Thank you very much. 4 (Witness Silvia excused.) 5 6 MR. SHREVE: Sofia Addis? 7 Sofia Addis, A-d-d-i-s? 8 9 SOFIA ADDIS was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 10 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 11 testified as follows: 12 MS. ADDIS: Hello, I'm Sofia Addis. I live 13 on Hagar Street, 674 Hagar Street, I'm very nervous, in 14 Deltona. 15 And I have the same complaint on Mrs. Silvia. 16 17 The water is just terrible to drink. I had to put a 18 filter, pay the \$300 have the clear water. But it is 19 still not enough. I have to buy the water and I hope 20 they will pay me for the water, which they want to 21 increase now, they pay me for that which I spend money 22 for every week I buy water every day. 23 And the water which I put on the lawn, I wonder why do we have to pay sewer which that doesn't 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

go in the sewer? That goes in the lawn. And we still

25

have to pay sewer. What can we do so as the water 1 which goes on the lawn it doesn't goes in the sewer, 2 and we have to pay for it? 3 CHAIRMAN BEARD: And I can't tell you, 4 specifically, the answer for your company. But in many 5 cases, what we'll do -- I mean, you don't measure the 6 water coming back out of the house. I mean, I know that. 7 But there will be an assumption made -- and it is just 8 that -- that, say, 60% of the water that goes through the 9 meter going in will go into the sewer. Or it may be 70% 10 is the assumption. Then they are assuming then that 30% 11 of that water that goes in the house then is going to be 12 used for something else, it doesn't go back through the 13 sewer, it goes on the lawn or maybe in the swimming pool 14 or wherever it goes. And they set that up in the 15 structure. So there is some thought given to that. 16 WITNESS ADDIS: And there is only two people 17 18 of us. CHAIRMAN BEARD: Right. 19 WITNESS ADDIS: We don't use that much water. 20 Most water it goes is for lawn. 21 22 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Uh-huh. WITNESS ADDIS: And, oh, I forgot now what I 23 have to say. Pressure. I think the dog would water my 24 lawn better than the sewer. (Laughter) 25 (Applause)

And it is, and believe it. Before we moved 1 to Deltona in 1988 and the water pressure there was so 2 high, you can feel it when you took a shower. Now, you 3 just sit there and you say, "Is it raining or is it 4 Thank you very much. 5 shower?" CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you. 6 I hope you understand me, I 7 MS. ADDIS: 8 don't speak very well English, CHAIRMAN BEARD: You do well. 9 WITNESS ADDIS: Thank you so much. 10 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you. 11 MR. SHREVE: Thank you. (Applause) 12 13 MR. SHREVE: Lynn Cadarette. 14 LYNN CADARETTE 15 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 16 17 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 18 testified as follows: MS. CADARETTE: My name is Lynne Cadarette, 19 that's C-a-d-a-r-e-t-t-e. I live at 653 Sagamore Drive 20 21 in Deltona. My problem is really a complaint that I have. 22 I recently got back from a trip this summer and my 23 24 washing machine started leaking. And it's four-and-a-half years old. The reason I know it is 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

four-and-a-half years old is because that's when my house was built and that's when I had bought all the things that were needed to go into a house.

I had a repairman come out, and the repairman said, "Oh, it's no problem." He went in and took out everything. And he knocked on the door to my house, he was out in the garage, and he said, "Ma'am, you have to come out and see this." He said, "I've never seen anything like this in my life."

The sealer on my washing machine, which operates the whole washing machine, had completely rusted out. He took a little knife or a pallet and said, "Look at this." And then he just went around and took all or the little particles around the sealer. He said, "This whole washing machine is -- to replace it would be \$250." Well, I'm not going to put \$250 into a washing machine that's four-and-a-half years old.

He said, "There's nothing else that we can do." He said, "What it is," and he showed me, there was still -- it was brownish. He said, "What this, it was like the residue," around the -- I don't know what you call that -- the drum, yeah, I think that's what it was. And he said, "That right there, ma'am, is iron." He said, "There is a very high iron content in this water." He says, "I think you need to contact the

people that service you."

But when it all came down, I kept my washing machine there. I thought no, what I'm going to do, I took pictures, and I didn't have them developed, but I do have them. I thought I'm going give SSU a chance. I'm not going to go complain. I'm just going to have them come out. So I called up, and they were very nice. The lady was very nice. She said, "We'll get a man out there right away."

I had a man come out; and he took a little vial of water and he held it up to the sunlight and he said, "Looks fine to me, ma'am." I thought that was really scientific. And it was a vial of water. I mean, that's all he said. I said, "Well, what about the iron content?" He said, "I don't know what you're talking about. Chlorine? No, we have to have a lot of chlorine."

He said -- he wrote a lot of things on piece of paper, he said, "Ma'am," he said, "You know, I can't answer any of these questions." He says, "What I'm going to do is I'm going to have a supervisor either call you or come out and talk to you."

I said, "Well, I have a friend that I'm taking to the airport. I'll be back at, you know, such-and-such a time."

He wrote all that down. Came back, I waited three days. Nobody called me and nobody bothered to come over. And to me, it was just decent human basic courtesy. It wasn't even the fact that I had to replace -- I am of a Seminole County school teacher, and I sure don't make a lot of money, and I'm single. I did two to three washings a week maximum. Now, there's no way that I should have to replace a four-and-a-half year old washing machine. The repairman told me the next thing to go would be my hot water heater.

(Audience response)

WITNESS CADARETTE: Quite frankly, I'm scared to death. Because I thought, "I finally own a home.

I'm doing something with my life and this there is what is going to happen to me?" And -- and -- and -- even, you know, it even goes beyond that. Nobody even gave me the basic decent courtesy to just say, "Ma'am, there is a high iron content. We'll try to take care of that you." Or "ma'am, you're totally wrong, it's this, and it's that, and it's that."

I probably would have gone away very happy and thought, "Well, they'll do something about that, that's fine. I'm going to buy a new washing machine but my SSU, my water company is going to take care of

1	me." They didn't even give me that.
2	And some people might say, "Well, why didn't
3	you call them back?" I thought no, I received about
4	four letters that said there was going to be a hearing.
5	I said, "Fine, I'll go to the hearing and I'll make my
6	complaints in person in front of the Commission." And
7	that's what I am here to say right now.
8	As you can see, I'm very, very upset, and
9	that's just all have I to say.
10	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Let me ask you to do this.
11	Before you leave, Bev DeMello, the lady she's
12	outside talking to some others now, but I would like to
13	get some information to her from you about whatever
14	information you have on time and dates around this
15	thing because I would like to know what happened.
16	WITNESS CADARETTE: Okay.
17	CHAIRMAN BEARD: And get a response from the
18	Company.
19	WITNESS CADARETTE: All right. Thank you,
20	Mr. Beard.
21	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you.
22	MR. SHREVE: Thank you very much. (Applause)
23	(Witness Cadarette excused.)
24	
25	MR. SHREVE: Mr. Lyons?

WILLIAM P. LYONS

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS LYONS: My name is William Lyons, that's L-y-o-n-s. I live at 1954 Tilburg Avenue in Deltona.

My problem has been progressively getting worse since we moved there in September of 1989. It was a brand new house. Our water pressure is getting lower. Last -- two weeks ago when we was having a drought, I woke up about 3:00 a.m. in the morning, went in to get some water, I have a refrigerator with a cold water outlet on the thing, went in to get some water and it took about four minutes for that little glass to fill with water.

Now, what would have happened if we had had a fire in Deltona at this time? They have not increased the water pressure, and we live up on the hill, it's up near the Golf Course Estates, it's up on a hill, and there has been nothing done improvement.

Now, if they want more money, the way I feel about it, they've got to give us better service. These people talking about this granules and everything else. When we moved here in '89, my wife called me in one

morning, she said, "Look in that pan on the stove."

She had put some water in a pan on the stove the night before, and it looked like little bugs or something all in the water. It was just the hardness of the water that come out of the thing.

Well, I went out looking for a filter. And I was in the service, I'm -- Uncle Sam gave me too much salt and I have had three heart failures in about ten years, and it's all due to the salt. So I couldn't use the filters they had at that time, nobody had a filter without salt in it. So then they came out with the K-Light, which is a salt substitute that you can get now. So I put that on. That cost me about \$2300.

I have a well on my property. I spent better than \$2,000 on putting a well in for my sprinkler system when I first moved in there. We lived in Hollywood, and I was graduating from the University of Miami, Mechanical Engineering degree, and I worked as a construction equipment salesman for Blanchard Machinery in North Miami for nearly 40 years. I called on the Mackle Corporation, who built this all up here, I called on Marco Development over here, which is Mackle. I worked in a lot of their outfits and everything, and I know how they buy equipment. They buy the cheapest thing they can buy, and that was a fact.

•

Now, our water meter. We have been charged for 5,000 to 6,000 gallons of water a month since we have lived in Deltona. My wife and myself is the only ones there. We have no swimming pool, we have no other water usage except washing clothes, washing dishes and showers and things. That's the all the water that's ever used in our household. And it's only my wife and myself.

And Hollywood, where we lived 1960 to 1989, my average water usage was around 2500 to 2600 gallons of water a month. Now, up here, it's all been 5,000 to 6,000 gallons. My theory is this: The meters that they put in, if the water pressure drops, you use more water, the meter runs faster. It works off of pressure. And some of those meters do work off of pressure because I was told that by the City of Pompano Beach Water Department. If anybody wants to verify it, they can get hold of the City of Pompano Beach, the bunch of them. But they say that those meters, if they're not kept up, not taken care of, they will run faster or run slower.

So I just wanted to -- the service is the thing I think of. If they give us service, I'm willing to pay more money. But if they don't give us any better service than we're getting today, I say take

them and tell them to go head south because they don't deserve it. 2 That's all I have. (Applause) 3 MR. SHREVE: Thank you. 4 (Witness Lyons excused.) 5 6 7 MR. SHREVE: Mr. Bryant? 8 DOWNING BRYANT was called as a witness on behalf the Citizens of the 9 State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified 10 11 as follows: WITNESS BRYANT Mr. Chairman, ladies and 12 gentlemen, my name is Downing Bryant. I live at --13 B-r-y-a-n-t. I live at 2004 Newmark Drive, Deltona, 14 32738. Most of what I wanted to talk about has been 15 talked about, eloquently by some people, much better 16 than I could do it. 17 18 The only thing I want to complain about is the bad water. In the last year-and-a-half, I have had 19 20 glasses of water one-third full of sediments. I have called Deltona Utilities about it and asked them, and I 21 says, "Am I supposed to drink this?" "Why, sure, 22 you're supposed to drink it." 23 24 I have to go around behind my house now and turn on the water facets for 30 minutes to get rid of 25

that junk that's in there. And I'm paying for that 1 through my meter. This is three times in the last 2 3 year. One other time, my water heater didn't work 4 properly. It just didn't seem right. I put a hose on 5 it, and I opened the valve on the bottom, and I got a 6 gallon of green pebbles out of it. If you don't clean 7 your water heater every 30 days down here, man, you're 8 in big trouble because it fills up with sediments. 9 And at that time, I had a heat pump on there 10 to heat my hot water and it ruined that. Those pebbles 11 got inside my heat pump and stopped it up and I cannot 12 unstop it. So that's just lost, I lost that. 13 And as I say, everything else that I was 14 talking about has been said. So thank you very much. 15 CHAIRMAN BEARD: 16 Thank you. MR. SHREVE: Thank you, Mr. Bryant. 17 18 you, sir. 19 (Witness Bryant excused.) 20 21 MR. SHREVE: Mr. Galor? 22 23 24 25

RICHARD GALOR

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS GALOR: My name is Richard Galor, name is G-a-1-o-r. I live at 1510 Zinnia Drive in Deltona.

I didn't think I would ever come up and defend the SSU, but I think a lot of people are not aware of the problems with the old Mackle homes in Deltona, which were built approximately 30 years ago and were plumbed completely with galvanized pipe.

With the consequence, over 30 years, galvanized pipe keeps filling up with sediment until the pipe has a usable diameter about an eighth of an inch, and, consequently, your water pressure inside the house keeps dropping. I wasn't aware of this when I bought the house; but I completely replumbed the whole house with copper pipe, including the pipe from the street, which was also galvanized pipe. So, in a way, the water company gets blamed for a lot of stuff that's not their fault. In other words, A, from the meter to the house is my problem; anything from the street out is their problem.

But I do think there is one problem that I

- 1	
1	wasn't aware of until last week when a water line burst
2	in front of the house. And the water company come and
3	proceeded to dig up all of Zinnia Drive and half of
4	Cranbrook trying to find the pipe which puzzled me,
5	because I thought they might have a map or something to
6	tell them where the pipe was, but they just kept
7	digging until they found it.
8	But it's quite apparent that a lot of the
9	plumbing in Deltona is quite old, maybe 30 or 40 years
10	old; and it's going to be just a patchwork thing until
11	they replace the whole plumbing, all the water lines.
12	Because the pipe breaks, they put a piece of pipe in,
13	put a coupling on both ends, fill the hole up, and
14	further on down the street they do the same thing
15	again.
16	Well, that's a very expensive way to operate.
17	You'd would be better off to come through and just do
18	whole the damned water line from one end to the other.
19	It would be cheaper dig the street up once than digging
20	the street up five times.
21	Well, that's the only thing I have to say.
22	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you very much.
23	(Applause)
24	MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir.
25	(Witness Galor excused.)

MR. SHREVE: Mr. Martin?

HAROLD MARTIN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

well-represented here. We're out here in the -- I'm

Harold Martin, M-a-r-t-i-n. I live at 571 Fifth Street

in Chuluota. I'm here with a letter from Southeast

Seminole Voters Association, which represents quite a

majority of our residents in the Chuluota area. And I

will hand-deliver this.

"We strongly protest the rate increase requested for water and sewer service by Southern States Utilities. We believe that the proposed increases are totally unjustified in comparison with Seminole County and the City of Oviedo, Alafaya Utilities, extreme in magnitude of change, incorrectly placing the greatest increase on the smaller user. The majority of homes in this service area are small, the average usage per home is not the 350 gallons per day used by planners but near 150 gallons per day.

"Based on the usage of 5,000 gallons per month, 166 gallons per day, using water and sewer comparisons

with the City of Oviedo, Alafaya Utilities and Seminole County, show SSU's present rate is 39% higher than Oviedo, their present rate is 37% higher than the county, and their proposed rate would be 159% higher than Oviedo, and their proposed rate would be 66% higher than the proposed county rate.

"The rate increase proposed by Southern
States is 167% for basic monthly water charge, 179% for
basic monthly sewer, 96% for gallonage water charge,
and 14% for gallonage sewer charge."

WITNESS MARTIN: The rate comparison on the basic water charge for Ovieda, \$4.50 per month;

Seminole County is \$4.50. Basic per thousand gallons,

Southern States -- wait a minute. Their basic water is 5.39 at the present but their proposed is up to \$14.41 with a thousand gallons an extra dollar, but they want to charge \$1.96 on their increase.

Our monthly bill comparison for 5,000 gallons, at the present, is \$36.93. Ovieda is 26 and the County is 27. Where Southern States would propose a \$68.77 monthly bill.

We think this is totally unjustified and request that the Public Service Commission consider these facts and deny the proposed rate increases.

I thank you. (Applause)

| I chank you.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you. 1 MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir. 2 (Witness Martin excused.) 3 4 MR. SHREVE: Mr. Silva? 5 SIMON R. SILVA 6 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 7 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 8 testified as follows: 9 WITNESS SILVA: Thank you very much. 10 to ask forgiveness for my English, because I speak very 11 bad English. Well, I don't speak English at all. 12 CHAIRMAN BEARD: We want to get your name. 13 WITNESS SILVA: Simon R. Silva, S-i-l-v-a. 14 live at 1200 Wildwood Drive, Deltona 32725. 15 Well, everybody talks about everything in 16 here but most of the people forget about Sand Lake. 17 The people live in Deltona, are about 80% are retired 18 people with fixed incomes and many of them are very 19 20 small incomes. Myself, I got \$710 a month income. I 21 have five or six neighbors who get less than that every 22 month. I have to pay 198.23 mortgage for the house 23 because I don't pay the house off. I had to pay the insurance for the house; I have to pay insurance for 24 25 the car and utilities. And sometimes I can't afford

everything. So I think next month I going to cut off the cable and TV because they rise the price, and I can't afford it any more.

So that is the principle thing the Commission has to look at us. The people can't afford the price to pay for the high salaries of the owners or the Chairman of the Board of the Company, everybody making a million dollars a year; that's what the people have to do.

That's happening; it is going to be like a revolution in Deltona (phonetic). So suppose we hold the payment, everybody hold the payments for the month, for two or three months, they're going to cut off the water for everybody. How is the Company going to pay its employees? So that's what's going to happen in Deltona. Somebody has already told me "I don't going to pay; they have to cut the water in my house." And many people are going to do that.

So the Commission have to look at what the people can afford. We can't afford a raise. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you.

MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir.

(Witness Silva excused.)

_	III. DIREVII. III. I direction.
2	Mr. Fulkerson, Mr. Lewis Fulkerson? (No
3	response.)
4	Mr. Burnman? Mr. Burnman? (No response.)
5	Mr. Findley? Mr. Findley? (No response.)
6	Mr. Kleinheus? Mr. Robert Kleinheus,
7	Deltona? K-l-e-i-n-h-e-u-s or -h-e-n-s? (No
8	response.)
9	MR. SHREVE: Mr. Clayton.
LO	JAMES R. CLAYTON
11	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
L2	the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
13	testified as follows:
14	WITNESS CLAYTON: Good afternoon. My name is
15	James R. Clayton, C-l-a-y-t-o-n. I'm an attorney
16	representing the Deltona Inn, which is a motel there in
17	Deltona, in fact, the only motel in the unincorporated
18	area of Deltona. My address is 114 West Rich Avenue,
19	Deland, Florida.
20	I am a little different than the rest of the
21	people who have appeared before you today in that
22	instead of representing a resident, I represent a
23	commercial establishment. My client couldn't be here
24	today and asked me to appear on his behalf.
25	Let me give some background about Deltona

If the proposed rate increase is implemented, Inn. with five two-inch water meters and three two-inch sewer or wastewater meters, the monthly increase, based on last year's figures and a cost analysis, will be \$1,196.12, say \$1200. That's \$14,400 a year, unlike the utility companies that allegedly have financial problems and pass it on to the customers, my client, in order to be competitive, will not be able to pass this \$14,400 on to his customers; it will come right out of his pocket. Now, he's going to do what most people do when they have a budget problem; he'll work around it, and figure out a way to trim his budget and hopefully make some concessions here. And they're much like if a person in a house has a roof that's going bad and his car is broke down, but only has X-number of dollars, he makes a decision. It seems the utility companies are never ever placed in that position. They figure much like government, instead of taxing it, we'll just increase their rates. I am here, too, Mr. Beard, looking at you as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am here, too, Mr. Beard, looking at you as a representative of the people. I realize you're appointed by the Governor, as the other members are, and I realize you're not voted in. But it's the same exact responsibility for all these people who have appeared before you today. And there's a feeling of

despair, quite frankly. I have talked to a lot of them, because I also represent a lot of homeowners associations out there. And like any other government, they're ready to give up. They feel that Mr. Hoffman and Mr. Armstrong are doing a good job up here on behalf of Southern States. You have your Staff, Mr. Shreve, of course, is here to protect them; but they're really concerned perhaps this is going to be a rubber-stamp approval because of the way it's been handled. And the only way they can be assured that that's not true is to take into true consideration, Mr. Beard, that hopefully you can relay this next Tuesday, of these comments.

You have to understand also historically, and I have no idea and I apologize if you are not aware of this, that you as a representative of the people. In 1989 when Deltona Utilities was acquired by Southern States, there was a competitive process going on, Volusia County wanted to buy it. And for the very same reason that these people have expressed to me in discussions over coffee that, you know, "I just don't know if it's going to do any good to appear in front of these people," they were very concerned about Volusia County, again, government, buying this utility company. And Southern States seized upon that fear of government

-- because everybody, whether it's a small municipality or a federal government, they're scared; they don't trust them. And you'll have to recognize Deltona is the absolute largest unincorporated area in the state of Florida, and I've heard it's the second one in the nation. They don't have a Mayor or City Council they can go to, so they here to you. You're right over them.

But I have a form here and I hope you have
this. And if you don't, I want to copy it before I
leave. This is a little -- well, apparently propaganda
that was passed out back in 1989 by Southern States
when they were trying to buy Deltona Utilities.
"Deltona Acquisition Issues" front and back. The very
first sentence: "Is it in the public interest for
Volusia County to acquire the Deltona Utilities system?
No. Deltona Utilities can maintain lower rates, lower
impact fees and higher quality service with the
financial capability to make all necessary system
modifications."

Now, had these people that were so -- that packed the County Council chambers, and said, "Please, we want it to be a private enterprise; we want them to know it." Had they known then what they know now, I don't believe we'd be here today. (Applause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I want you to see how this, I want, historically, you to understand.

Question No. 2 or statement No. 2, in big bold black letters: "Will rates increase if the County acquires the system? Yes, the rate difference between potential county and present ownership will be the cost of acquisition. We project that there will be an 82% rate increase." Now, nowhere on here does it say -they're pretty slick -- will it increase if we buy it. But they imply don't let the County buy it, because you're going to get taken to the cleaners. I thought of the a different word, but it's going to happen to you, you are going to be upset.

No. 3, "Does Deltona Utilities use 100% of impact fees for capital expansion? Yes, impact fees are used only by Deltona Utilities for system expansion."

And we've had a lot of impact fees passed in the last few years by the County and they've increased somewhat. And one wonders where this money is going.

"Will Deltona Utilities provide a higher quality of the service? Yes."

"Does Deltona Utilities have the financial resources for future capital improvements? Yes. already have the monetary reserves, debt capacity and

equity availability, which is more than adequate for necessary capital improvements."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, I would never look to Southern States or look at the President, who I understand is here, Mr. Phillips, who, I understand, is in the audience. would never looked at Mr. Phillips and say, "Did you lie to these people? Did you come in here back in 1989 knowing that it was in good shape, or did you tell these people that this was going to be one of 127 utilities that Southern States owns? Did you tell these people that of those one of 127, that Southern States is also owned by Minnesota Power and Light? Did you explain to them that if Minnesota Power and Light ain't doing real good, or Southern States over all ain't doing real good, "and that no telling where it is, South Florida, North Florida or wherever, that they might come back to you to offset this quote, as the attorney said, "combined," that word caught me, the combined rate of return is insufficient.

Now, it goes on and on and on. It says,
"Will the Florida Public Service Commission
jurisdiction continue if the Deltona system is owned by
the Topeka Group? Yes."

It goes on and on and on. So you are here to protect them. It says, "Has Deltona Utilities taken

the steps necessary to stop treated effluent discharge into Lake Monroe? Yes." And now we hear today that these environmental concerns are what's causing this rate increase. What was all this back in 1989? Were we hoodwinked? (Applause)

And I recognize that as a lawyer, and I was teasing somebody, I feel sorry for Mr. Hoffman and Mr. Armstrong, I'm in the same boat -- we can't pick and choose who walks through the door. I realize I don't have a retiree, widow or widower who can pull at your heart strings. I have a businessman though, and he pays his taxes, and he's a community leader, and he's done a lot with some of the homeowners associations in taking a leadership role. And this has really got him concerned. We're out \$14,400 and have all the data based upon what they provided.

So what I want to ask Mr. Phillips, the

President of the Southern Utilities is this: Is

Deltona Utilities, the individual utility out of the

127 -- forget the other 126 -- is it operating at a

loss? (Pause) I'd like to hear. You all can whisper out loud, it doesn't matter to me. (Laughter) (Pause)

That, to me, would be something that should pop right up. Maybe Mr. Phillips knows it, he's in the audience.

1	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Well, I appreciate that. If
2	you want to, you can even go and you and Mr. Phillips
3	to stand aside and chat for 30 minutes. But there are
4	127 of them and I work at this business pretty hard,
5	and I can't keep all those in my head.
6	WITNESS CLAYTON: No, no. I'm curious since
7	they've applied for this rate increase, surely they
8	know. I don't expect you to know.
9	CHAIRMAN BEARD: He's going to look and tell
10	you.
11	MR. ARMSTRONG: I'm getting the exact number;
12	and yes, we have a negative return on equity, water and
13	sewer combined, negative 10.76% for Deltona Utilities.
14	WITNESS CLAYTON: Strictly Deltona Utilities?
15	MR. ARMSTRONG: That's strictly Deltona
16	Utilities. That's based on the historic year and the
17	December 31st, 1991.
18	WITNESS CLAYTON: Then why did you buy it?
19	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Wait a minute. This would
20	be a great debate for you guys
21	WITNESS CLAYTON: I'm sorry.
22	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Let me say this, though,
23	before they carry away with that negative.
24	One of the things we look at, and this is for
25	your education as opposed to the attorney's

edification, one of the things we look at is the way numbers are allocated between utilities. So that may be their position.

My position, when we get done, it may not be that number. Because maybe there are some allocations that I thought were inappropriate. Or maybe it will be that number, because, well, yes, the allocations were right. But those would be issues that we will look at to see if allocations from the parent company down for data processing services or whatever, were appropriate and cost out properly. So that's their position, also, not mine.

WITNESS CLAYTON: Okay, so that's going to make them feel a lot better. So let me ask you this then: Then, if it's decided that perhaps this negative rate of return for Deltona Utilities is based on improper allocation to other utilities or to Minnesota Power and Light, or who knows where else, is it possible for an application of this magnitude to be filed by extracting Deltona Utilities from it?

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Yes, it's possible. It's possible, in theory at least, that when we got done with this rate case, we could have them all separated out one-by-one. It's possible that we might tend to look at them in groups. I really don't know until I

have heard all the evidence around see what is in the long-term, best interest of the ratepayers to these utilities. And I do understand my role as a Public Service Commissioner very well.

WITNESS CLAYTON: All right, I appreciate
that. I wasn't here lecturing but you have to
understand these people are scared to death. And they
really do look upon you to help them out; not
necessarily to rubber-stamp them either. And they do
trust you to make judgment. They are not necessarily
opposed to a reasonable rate increase. That's expected
sometimes. But these are so proportionately out of
whack it seems odd.

My next question, and I guess my last question, is it able to quantify or pinpoint how much of the money -- let's say assume it's implemented -- of the whole 127 utilities? How much will leave the state of Florida and go to Minnesota Power and Light?

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Well, whatever the return on equity, that is determined that they have the opportunity to earn, okay, whatever dollars that generates, if I were a stockholder and you sent me my stockholder check -- whether I lived in Tampa where I grew up or I lived in Minnesota -- I don't think you need to be telling me how to spend that money. Once

the fair amount has been determined to be earned, where 1 it's shipped and where it goes, would be their 2 position. 3 Now, if they're earning too much, we need to 4 deal with that. I don't know how much in retained 5 earnings they'll put back in. Those will all be issues 6 that we'll look at that are reinvested in Florida. And 7 that is an importation issue, no question about it. 8 And that will help determine what is fair and 9 appropriate; depreciation rates so we can keep the 10 system modern. But once it begins to look, act, taste 11 and feel like stockholder money, then the stockholders 12 13 ought to do what they want with it. WITNESS CLAYTON: Will there be any public 14 input next Tuesday? Even though I realize chances of 15 anybody driving to Tallahassee are slim to none, is it 16 a public hearing --17 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Absolutely. 18 WITNESS CLAYTON: -- a public forum? 19 20 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Yes, sir. 21 MR. SHREVE: You are going to allow input into the interim argument? 22 CHAIRMAN BEARD: I will allow some 23 information input. I don't think that I'm going to be 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

in a position of taking hours and hours, especially

after -- this will be my second trip -- this will be 1 the third one or the fourth one we've done? 2 Third one. MR. ARMSTRONG: 3 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Third one? Okay. With the 4 other items. If are you going to load up the buses, 5 let's set another date? 6 No, no. 7 WITNESS CLAYTON: CHAIRMAN BEARD: Because I only have about 40 8 items to do that day. 9 MR. SHREVE: Well, I think you answered my 10 question. If you are going to allow input on the 11 interim, at least there will be input made. 12 13 WITNESS CLAYTON: They really want you to understand what happened back in 1989. That's critical 14 to the entire application process, because in 1989 they 15 really did believe the right decision was made when 16 17 they really tried to keep the County from buying it. And now a lot of people are saying, "Maybe we made a 18 mistake; maybe we shot ourselves in the foot." So we 19 historically we really want you to understand what 20 21 happened back in 1989. I mean, these questions, it's ironic to me 22 23 that their fear of government has brought them here

I mean, these questions, it's ironic to me
that their fear of government has brought them here
today, and now they're having to seek government again.
And the very questions, the discussion: Why do we have

24

to raise the rates that were addressed in the marketing propaganda, I guess, back in 1989. So now, they're going to be fearful from now and evermore.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: My attorney is beating me

about the ears telling me the way that was noticed was no parties participated at the hearing in Tallahassee on Tuesday. To the extent that there was something that needed to be done, though, I know the Chairman, and he sometimes makes exceptions to those if there's a reason for it. Although from the standpoint of hearing the people, I know in Kissimmee and here, I've got a pretty solid input as far as the people's feelings.

with them -- I don't know how you can do all this -- synthesize all this and hopefully get the feeling of the groups you've met with to the Public Service Commission?

CHAIRMAN BEARD: I think it's been pretty much a consensus on the part of the people.

WITNESS CLAYTON: Would you like a copy of this document that was passed out back in 1989?

CHAIRMAN BEARD: That would be great.

MR. SHREVE: Commissioner, if I could, you were exactly right on the question about the money going to Minnesota Power. But I think, just to make

1	sure, that there is money going north other than just
2	the return, there are expenses that are being paid.
3	They say they're not allocating but there are expenses
4	being paid for salaries and things like that. So it is
5	not just limited to the rate of return. Commissioner
6	Beard was correct but he was talking in terms of return
7	on equity.
8	CHAIRMAN BEARD: And that's why I mentioned
9	if you have some centralized service data
10	processing, for example that Deltona, the little
11	utility doesn't have its own computer system, but to
12	some extent there is some centralized system, there are
13	expenses allocated down. I have no question about
14	that. That's an efficiency that probably should be
15	taken advantage of. Those, wherever, they go, they may
16	go south or north depending on where the building is.
17	WITNESS CLAYTON: All right. Thank you very
18	much for the opportunity to talk.
19	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Great. Thank you.
20	MR. SHREVE: Thank you. (Applause)
21	(Witness Clayton excused.)
22	and and and and and
23	MR. SHREVE: Mr. Militello? Mr. Militello
24	M-i-l-i-t-e-l-l-o. (No response.)
25	Mr. Irugarry, I-r-u-g-a-r-r-y, I think. (No

-	response.)
2	Tina Genright? Ms. Genright? (No
3	response.)
4	I think some of these Mrs. Lehmann?
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mrs. Lehmann? Mrs.
6	Robert Lehmann? (No response.)
7	MR. SHREVE: Mrs. W. J. Lehmann.
8	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Let me try something here
9	just for fun. It might help us just a little bit
10	because I know people are leaving and coming and going.
11	If you would by raising your hand who still
12	intends to testify that hasn't been called today. Let
13	me get a count. One, two, three, four, five, six,
14	seven, eight, nine, ten, 11.
15	Can we just, do you want to just maybe bring
16	them up
17	MR. SHREVE: Up to you. I'm taking them in
18	order as they signed up.
19	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. Well, go ahead, we'll
20	see. I was trying to short circuit a little bit for
21	time sake.
22	MR. SHREVE: Well, I think a lot of these
23	people that are not here now would have adopted the
24	testimony that was already in there.
25	Mr. Vanderhoff? Mr. Vanderhoff? (No

response.)

Mrs. Lehmann?

MRS. ROBERT LEHMANN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

MRS. LEHMANN: Good afternoon. My name is Mrs. Robert Lehmann, 794 Crawford Street. And the spelling of the name is L-e-h-m-a-n-n, Deltona.

And I wanted to say not only do we have poor water quality, poor water pressure, but I have to run my water in the morning, if I'm going to draw my coffee, for at least 15 minutes in order to have a good cup of coffee. And that's at our expense.

And I'm really not surprised that SSU is losing money. I have personally observed them digging up on the corner of Crawford Street and Lilly Court at least five times the same hole with using heavy equipment, a backhoe, filling it up after the end of the day, coming back another day, doing the same thing. I'm wondering what's down in that hole. They could have dug the Panama Canal. (Laughter)

Now, is that efficient? Somebody does not know what they are doing. I have observed nine men, workmen, standing around, trucks going back and forth

1	SSU trucks, somebody asked me did I know, I said,
2	"Yes, it was the SSU logo." And I want to know why
3	they're digging all these holes and filling them up at
4	the end of the day and coming back in this is over a
5	period of time. Also on the corner of Crawford Court
6	and Lombardy, they've done that several times, using
7	their backhoe to break the macadam on the Street, which
8	I don't think is good for the equipment. And I just
9	want to know why they dig so many times the same hole?
LO	MR. SHREVE: Beats me.
l 1	MS. LEHMANN: Beats me, too. I know in the
L2	service when the drill sergeant will say to his
13	recruit, "You dig that hole and fill it up," that's
L4	teaching them a lesson but I think we don't need
15	lessons when we're trying to conserve money.
16	CHAIRMAN BEARD: When I was in the only
17	lesson it taught me was I didn't like to did holes.
L8	WITNESS LEHMANN: That's right, but I'd like
19	to know why these holes are constantly being dug?
20	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Let's do this so we can get
21	some specifics. Bev, if you would get with her
22	WITNESS LEHMANN: We need to check work
23	orders.
24	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. Well, we'll let Bev
25	DeMello get with you, and let's get some specific

I	
1	information, rough times and locations and things so we
2	can get you an answer.
3	WITNESS LEHMANN: Okay. Thank you very much.
4	(Applause)
5	(Witness Lehmann excused.)
6	
7	MR. SHREVE: Mr. Middleton. (No response.)
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He left.
9	MR. SHREVE: All right, sir. (Pause)
10	Mr. Lajza, Mr. John, L-a-j-z-a.
11	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Let's try my style this
12	time. This gentleman, you raised your hand, can you
13	come on? And we might can get along because I counted
14	probably another ten people.
15	LAWRENCE HAYDUK
16	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
17	the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
18	testified as follows:
19	MR. HAYDUK: My name is Lawrence Hayduk. I'm
20	a utility engineer with Volusia County. The address is
21	123 West Indiana Avenue, Deland, Florida, Zip, 32720.
22	CHAIRMAN BEARD: And spell your last name.
23	WITNESS HAYDUK: H-a-y-d-u-k.
24	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you.
25	MR. HAYDUK: This question is directed to

you, Mr. Beard.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Uh-oh.

MR. HAYDUCK: The Volusia County Council, through staff, sent a letter to the Public Service Commission and the Public Counsel requesting a separate public hearing on this rate increase be held in Volusia County prior to any rate decision being made. To date, we don't have a response.

I would like to be able to take some direction or answer back to the Commission for its next meeting. There's approximately 25,000 SSU customers residing in Volusia County who deserve a chance to speak on this issue. I would like to give those folks a fair shake.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Well, first, you addressed the question to the right person. You sent a letter to us?

WITNESS HAYDUK: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: I will find out. Because it's my policy to have a seven-day turnaround in response to all letters. And that means signing about 75 to 100 letters a day that I personally sign.

Now, the person responsible for making that decision is the one you're looking at. And the bottom line, if you look at the map on the fourth page there,

you'll see how scattered out this is. And I had to 1 make some decisions on taxpayer resources, how many 2 meetings I could schedule, and how close I can get to 3 people. And we're doing 11 -- excuse me, ten hearings, I keep wanting to say 11, ten hearings which is more 5 than I even did in Southern Bell, I did six. 6 that's a pretty big operation as well. And it was a 7 matter of finding a Commissioner that had an available 8 day, the resources of getting the Staff out there and 9 the location, that was as centralized as I could get 10 it. And I'm the bad guy. It's just that simple. And 11 I wish I could have done one in every county. I lived 12 for six-and-a-half years in Putnam County and for 13 eight-and-a-half years in Clay County; they didn't get 14 one either. And they're not happy with me. But I 15 can't do a thing about it other than the best balance I 16 could do. So I'm the bad guy. 17 WITNESS HAYDUK: Well, between now and, as I 18

witness Hayduk: Well, between now and, as I understand it, the final rate determination will be made in February of next year. That's six or seven months. I think there's adequate time in there to have a rate hearing in Volusia County.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Well, I appreciate that. I will be glad to spend some time with you showing you the schedule. Because this is not the only rate case.

1	We have an unusual year this year with the power
2	companies, the telephone companies and the water and
3	wastewater companies that are coming to us.
4	WITNESS HAYDUK: Okay. Well, just a response
5	back that I can take back to the County Council and
6	then they can give us direction which way to go.
7	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Sure, I will find out why.
8	Because, like I said, my policy is to have a seven-day
9	turnaround on letters and response, so that we don't
10	look any more bureaucratic than we normally do.
11	WITNESS HAYDUK: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN BEARD: You bet.
13	MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir. (Applause)
14	(Pause)
15	(Witness Hayduk excused.)
16	
17	MR. SHREVE: Do you want me to continue
18	calling them or
19	CHAIRMAN BEARD: I'm sorry. Hands in this
20	section? Who else wants right here, first row, yes,
21	sir.
22	Thank you, Mr. Shreve. I was losing tract.
23	MR. SHREVE: Sure.
24	
25	

RONALD C. GLADE

2	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
3	the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
4	testified as follows:
5	WITNESS GLADE: My name is Ronald C. Glade.
6	I'm not really too smart on the power authorities, but
7	I do own some power stock in the Niagara Mohawk Power
8	up north. And you're owned by what is it, Minnesota
9	Power?
10	Now, that's a big conglomerate. You're only
11	a little drop in the bucket to them. It's like a
12	mutual stock; some mutual stocks make money, some lose
13	Am I true or not? I'm asking you, I'm not asking your
14	lawyer.
15	Can you answer me?
16	CHAIRMAN BEARD: They're both lawyers.
17	MR. HOFFMAN: I can answer both.
18	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay, answer.
19	MR. HOFFMAN: He's not my lawyer.
20	WITNESS GLADE: Okay. I'm asking you.
21	MR. HOFFMAN: I think, yes, mutual funds hav
22	varying rates of returns.
23	WITNESS GLADE: Okay. That's like Minnesota
24	is running their corporation. You're a drop in the

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

bucket to them.

What was Minnesota's stock in 1989 and what 1 is it now? You don't know, do you? I mean, you're 2 very informative, aren't you? And you're supposed to 3 be in management for the Company? 4 5 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Mr. Glade, let me do this. 6 I know you're upset. WITNESS GLADE: No, no, no, I got --7 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Let me finish. 8 Okay. WITNESS GLADE: 9 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: He's not in Management 10 for the Company. He's an attorney representing them in 11 this case. And I don't want to rush anybody from 12 saying what they have on their mind, but I'm most 13 interested in hearing from you all about Southern 14 States and less about Minnesota Power and Light's stock 15 16 prices, please. 17 WITNESS GLADE: Okay. Now, all I know, it is a subsidy of Minnesota Power, SSU. 18 Well, I'm at the impression that anybody 19 that's in business, you're like a drop in the bucket to 20 them; some make money, some lose money. This is not 21 22 the issue. The issue is why are they still in operation? Usually, you know, they drop subsidiaries 23 24 that are not profitable. If they don't think you're

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

profitable, then why are they still maintaining you?

That's what I would like to know.

Another thing is there's a lot of things going on which the public don't know, and you haven't explained anything actually what you are completely -- you're saying you want a 11.78% increase. You said you lost how much money last year, percentage, just on Deltona Utilities? You said you lost what, 6.8%?

MR. HOFFMAN: A negative 10%.

WITNESS GLADE: Or a negative 10%. Well, all I know is I don't know much about business, but I do know about stocks and companies that who owns you -- you said not to mention it -- for them, you're a tax write-off. They're not losing any money on you; it's a tax write-off to them for the Federal Government. We're paying that anyway in the end.

Am I right or wrong?

MR. HOFFMAN: I don't think I understand your question. (Laughter)

WITNESS GLADE: The question is you're owned by, I can't mention the Company --

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Well, you're talking about Minnesota Power and Light, I understand that. But that's their position, that they underearned. And that's what at this hearing, based on the concerns we've heard today, we're going to be looking at that.

1	WITNESS GLADE: I know it. Even if they
2	don't get their price rate that they want, they're not
3	going to lose a darned cent because it's going to be a
4	tax writeoff for the Company, and who is going to end
5	up paying for it? The people paying the taxes.
6	That's all I gotta say.
7	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay.
8	WITNESS GLADE: Which this is never brought
9	up. I mean, I own a lot of stock in utility companies,
10	and I know what I'm talking about. And you can't
11	answer the questions, that means you're not too
12	informative of your job. Excuse me for saying that,
13	but that's all I gotta say.
14	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you. (Applause)
15	(Pause)
16	Yes, sir?
17	NORBERT ZALESKI
18	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
19	the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
20	testified as follows:
21	MR. ZALESKI: My name is Norbert Zaleski,
22	Z-a-l-e-s-k-i. I reside at 887 East Fairbairn Drive
23	in Deltona. I agree with what most people have talked
24	about here.
25	My only comment is on this wastewater that

they are giving to the golf courses for nothing, why
this could not be given to the parks, the schools,
public areas or sold to churches or private shopping
centers, or whoever use this watering facilities.
These lines are going by these places, and I'm sure to
tap into them you could make some money at this. And I
just can't understand this free giveaway of this
wastewater that these golf courses are getting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Let me try to help a little bit there. And keep in mind that you don't always just get from where you were yesterday to where you are today overnight. And state agencies, we actually are trying to work together, DER, and the Water Management District and the Public Service Commission. And some of these golf courses have had consumptive use permits and have drilled wells and they have the water available. And as an alternative to using this effluent, treated effluent, they can go back to using their own well and pumping. They have that permit. We have been working with Water Management Districts to try to get those permits taken away so they can't use the effluent, they have to use fresh water, and then, in fact, you can charge. Because it's hard to sell something like the treated effluent to someone who can actually just run that well and pump the water for

free, even though it's not the best thing environmentally for us to do. 2 And we've been working our way there over the 3 last couple of years and we're making headway, but it's 4 5 not an overnight process. And that's part of the reason they have in the past, and hopefully in the 6 7 future, as we move this direction, that will reverse itself. And I'm with you, I have a great deal of 8 9 concern about that. 10 WITNESS ZALESKI: Thank you. 11 MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir. (Applause) 12 (Witness Zaleski excused.) 13 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Yes, sir? I'm sorry. 14 15 WITNESS SULLIVAN: My name is Donald 16 Sullivan. I have not been sworn and probably most of 17 the other witnesses have not been sworn because they 18 signed up late. 19 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay. If I can, any of you 20 that are going to be testifying, that haven't been 21 sworn if you would stand and let me do that now. It will save a little bit of time, thank you for that 22 23 reminder. 24 (Witnesses collectively sworn.)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DONALD SULLIVAN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS SULLIVAN: My name is Donald
Sullivan, S-u-l-l-i-v-a-n, I live at 1220 Sherbrook
Drive in Deltona. I am Second Vice President of the
Deltona Civic Association. I would like to point out,
at the outset, that in spite of the large number of
persons from Deltona who appeared here this morning, if
the meeting had been in Deltona, you would have had a
much larger attendance.

I have done a little mathematics on what I consider to be possibly the average use of water by somebody on the sewer system. On the basis of an assumption that they use 3,000 gallons a month in the home and 7,000 gallons a month in watering the lawn.

Under the present rates, on an annual basis, they would pay \$311.76 for water and sewer for one year. Under the proposed rates, for the same water usage, they would pay \$825.12 per year. Which is an increase of \$514 per year, from \$300 to \$800.

And I would call your attention to the fact that the homes on the sewer system in Deltona are the older homes, the smaller homes, and for the most part

are occupied by the persons with more modest incomes.

And one last thought: The hypothetical person using the 7,000 gallons per month on his lawn is paying a sewage charge of \$261.24 per year for water that does not go in the sewer. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you.

MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir. (Applause.)
(Witness Sullivan excused.)

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Come on. I'm just gradually going to work my way around, then we'll get done and I'll make my last check.

JACK RUDY

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS RUDY: I'm Jack Rudy, R-u-d-y, 650
Elgrove Drive, Deltona. You know, I've heard many,
many things here this morning. Unfortunately, I'm one
of these people who have been here 26 years. And these
people talk about all these pipes rusting and
everything like that, and a lot of that is in your
house. You bought it; you bought it with the
galvanized pipe; and the damned things all of a sudden
25 years later it's clogged up, you have no water

pressure. I had to replace mine.

If that guy with the bottle of water thought he had a lot of gook in his bottle, he should have seen that line I took out about three months ago. Sorry, three months is too late. I would have happily brought it down and showed it to you.

I have seen the water rates go up, and I looked at them every time the water rates go up my friend Doug got a pay raise. But that wasn't true. And I seen the water -- the sewage go up. And all these years they always come up and they say, "We're taking the effluent out of the lake." I don't know how many times we had increases taking that effluent out of the lake that still is going into the lake, according to these gentlemen up there.

The golf course's getting water is one of the best things that people can do. I happen to work over at the Cape. And over at the Cape, we put in this effluent water system about ten or 12 years ago, and it's worked wonderful. In fact, out in the golf course's green areas, cut down a lot of expenses and overhead. And they stand here and they say, "Well can we do it here?" Sure, we can.

But, why do these people sit up here and say we've got to get you now, when two or three years ago

when they knew they bought this company, a lot of these things were there? And they said no, we don't need it. We don't need that County, we don't want the County to have one of our people start running the waterworks for Volusia County. And that was a prejudiced outfit and put in people's mind in Deltona at the time it took place. And you can go ask some of these people sitting here that listened to it.

And now they come up and they say, "We need this money now." But they don't say what they're going to do with our money, now. What improvement are they going to do? Are they going to take this dirt out of the water now? No. They're not going to take any dirt out of your water now.

You don't see any of those people sit up
there from SSU jump and say, "We'll do this." No, no.
No, no. They don't tell you what you're going to do
with your dollar. If you give him \$15 for increased
services in an old sewage system that's only in certain
areas of Deltona, they can't tell me, being I'm in one
of the first areas that had sewage, that I could put a
septic tank in to get off his line because the County
won't let me do it. But you don't say it to the other
people in Deltona, who are on septic tanks, who have
been on them for many, many years, that they have to

hook up into that line and into that system.

So, one part of Deltona you have a clean front yard, and the other part of Deltona you have green grass growing over the sewage -- over the septic tank. You know what they said, the green grass always grows over the septic tank, right? We've got many, many areas like that. But those people there aren't mentioned.

But a few people in the whole thing, and I feel sorry for the Deltona Inn -- because they have done wonderful work for the people in Deltona -- they sit there and get rapped for sewage, such obnoxious charges, that that plant has been paid for 25 years ago when it was built. Mackle Brothers says, "Hey, we built this for you people to come here to live."

You're an old retiree. When I came here I was just 41 years old. I had people walk up and down the street they'd say, "Son." Yeah, that's true.

Them 70-year-old guys. Now I'm up there and I'm looking around and I'm saying "son" to these guys.

I raised children here, I got grandchildren here. But damn that old sewage line, they ran a telescope through it a few years ago, right. They made a big check, man; they had guys out there running the damned TV system through the sewage line and everything

else. They said, "It's perfect shape. We got a crack here, we got a crack here, we're going to fix it."

I don't know if they fixed it or not. You don't see them dig it up, it's still there, were it was 25 years ago. And yet they want 125% increase, for to go down the same damned sewer line that was down for 25 years. This is obnoxious. Can you tell me why?

You're talking sewage. It's going to the same plant. That plant hasn't expanded, the only thing expanded at that plant down there has been the alligators. And you want 150%-some? How damned silly do you think people are?

I know you guys are young, you're coming up in life, and you're challenging us old guys. We don't have much money. Christ, I got to go out and watch the little kids play ball, because I can't afford to go to a ballgame. And you want 100%-and-some.

You should be ashamed of yourself to come in front of a group of people and send them obnoxious letters, one paper and say, "This is it, Kids, eat it or else." Thank God we had somebody to come down and represent us. I thank you. (Applause.)

(Witness Rudy excused.)

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you. Going across the room, who's next? Yes, ma'am, come on. Just hold up a

second, we're wait on her. Go ahead.

CHARLOTTE ALLMAN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS ALLMAN: Thank you. My name is Charlotte Allman. That's A-1-1-m-a-n, and I live at 692 Cavern Terrace in Deltona, Florida.

I'm a little ill-prepared because I didn't plan on speaking, but did I want to be heard. I am a single mother in Deltona. A lot of us don't have a lot of money, whether retired or just making it through the world. I have children, and I worry very much about the environment, what they are going to inherit.

I know that our water level is getting lower in the aquifer, and it seems ridiculous to me to penalize the people who try to conserve, where on the top end the scale goes down. I also wonder about the growth in Florida, the use of the wells here in the central part of Florida to provide water for everyone everywhere in the state.

I know that water is pumped from here, miles away, so that people on the coast can have fresh water. Now, it's not that I don't want them to have water, but there should be other sources, distillation plants,

whatever. I'm not an expert in that sort of thing. 1 But we have to plan for the future. We have 2 to conserve. I know the St. Johns Water Management has 3 limited the amount of sprinkling you are supposed to do, watering your lawns. I know that people don't stay 5 within those regulations, anywhere near it. Not even 6 where I work, okay? 7 I know that the Company should make a profit. 8 9 I would think that they could. I think an increase would probably be indicated. But this is a very large 10 increase, gentlemen. I really wonder whether or not 11 some of the problems may be within the Company, and not 12 with Deltona or with one of the other 126 water 13 14 managements. I want you to consider our future, our 15 children, our retired people that live in that 16 community, and I want you to try to work this out for 17 18 us. 19 I thank you very much. (Applause.) CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you. 20 21 (Witness Allman excused.) 22 23 24 25

FLORENCE RUMPH was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,

testified as follows:

WITNESS RUMPH: Good afternoon. My name is Mrs. Florence Rumph, R-u-m-p-h, 969 Shorecrest Avenue, Deltona, 32725.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Wait, pull that mike down a little bit where everybody can hear you a little better.

WITNESS RUMPH: Is that better?

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Yes, ma'am.

WITNESS RUMPH: I have been a resident over 20 years. Now, I would like to ask you if there's any way that you could give us an increase for one year only? Because, according to the Daytona Beach paper, if each resident's bill goes up \$30 a month the way you're asking, you will receive \$9 million each year. And they also have a figure of \$750,000 each month.

Now, if it only costs you 5 or 5.5 or 6 million to change over to get rid of this effluent in the lake, if we're going to give you \$9 million the first year, we're all done owing you anything. We want our rates to go back where they should be.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Let me do a couple of

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	things. Number one, I didn't do the asking, I just get
2	stuck in the position of trying to decide whether that
3	request is appropriate or not. We do look at we try
4	not to look at things in such a fashion that you get
5	this big lump that's only needed in one year and then
6	the next year you don't have that expense but you get
7	to keep the money. Okay? And we do tend to spread
8	things out over a couple of years.
9	Now, the newspaper, I don't know what their
10	article contained, I don't know where they got their
11	information. I have found on rare occasions, on rare
12	occasions, that the newspaper article wasn't always
13	accurate.
14	WITNESS RUMPH: Oh, no kidding. (Laughter)
15	CHAIRMAN BEARD: I don't know if they ever
16	printed anything inaccurate about me before, I'm sure.
17	WITNESS RUMPH: No. But my question is, if
18	this type of money is coming back into the Company
19	within one year, I do not see how this can be tacked on
20	to Deltona forever. I really don't.
21	CHAIRMAN BEARD: I understand that, and I
22	don't know that that is the case.
23	WITNESS RUMPH: All right.
24	CHAIRMAN BEARD: That is a part of what we're

be looking at when we look at what we call the

technical portion of the hearing. Were going to be in 1 that hearing room for long hours every day, going over 2 the details of the numbers, and the money and what's 3 spend and why. 4 WITNESS RUMPH: Thank you. (Applause.) 5 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Yes, ma'am. 6 (Witness Rumph excused.) 7 8 VERA WOODHALL 9 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 10 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 11 testified as follows: 12 WITNESS WOODHALL: My name is Vera Woodhall. 13 I live at 898 Merrimac in Deltona. I've heard a lot of 14 things going on here today, and I really, really thank 15 16 you people for coming down. But I do want to just tell you that the people who have been in Deltona and live 17 on the sewer lines, which I do, this increase is really 18 19 going to hurt us. 20 I am not one to say -- I don't like to say I am a widow, but I am alone. And when you have lost 21 22 your husband and you have lost that income, you people who still are working do not realize how much our 23 income has dropped. I'm sure that your stocks and 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

bonds and CDs and all the rest of your income has

dropped along with ours; but this is a situation where 1 the older people, the widows -- and we have an awful 2 lot of them in the first area -- not only have lost our 3 income, but we have lost the ability to put any money 4 back into the bank. So it would be very great for you 5 to take under consideration, which I'm sure you have, 6 that see people are speaking out who need the money. 7 Also, the young people who are just starting 8 are living on those sewer lines, which we call in 9 Deltona, because they can't afford the expensive homes 10 that are being built. And I did notice that most of 11 the people who complained about the water rates are the 12 people who live out into the other areas. 13 I've lived in Deltona since 1972, and I like 14 my community. I cannot afford to move, but I really do 15 hope that we have some sort of an easement on the 16 17 money. Thank you. (Applause.) MR. SHREVE: Thank you. 18 (Witness Woodhall excused.) 19 21 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Who else -- while he's coming up, you also want to be heard? One, two, three? 22 23

20

Okay, go ahead. I've got three that I see, and I'll come back, we'll get everybody.

25

JOSEPH MULLER

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS MULLER: Hi. My name is Joseph Muller, M-u-l-l-e-r; I live at 2738 Boyce Court, Deltona.

I guess one of the things that puzzles me the most is I use 4,000 gallons of water every month for which I pay almost \$7 for. And under the new rate increase, I'll now pay almost \$12 for that same amount of water. To me, if my math is correct, that's a 70% increase.

I think I'm going to go to my employer tomorrow and see if I can get an increase from 70% of my salary to be able to compensate for this additional money that I have to put out.

Has anybody taken into consideration those folks that have already spoken here today that don't have the ability to work and earn an income and to go to their employer and say, "Can I have a 70% increase?" Because somebody else is asking me for the money, where am I going to get it? Think about that for a second.

We're not all making big bucks down here.

And there's a lot of folks that are making a lot of

money. So how do they afford all these increases? But if it's not the water, it's the electric, it's the gas, it's something else that you have to have. It's not like things that you can select, and say, "Gee, I think I'll do without that because I can't afford it."

Can you do without the water? Can you do without the sewer, gas or electric? Can any of us do without that. At 70%, I think that's a little bit high for somebody like me who uses only 4,000 gallons a month.

The other thing is that somehow the numbers seem to get out of kilter when the Utilities are saying that they want to earn a rate of return of 11% and some change, instead of the 3% they're currently earning. I think I'll run to the bank and ask them if they'll compensate me 11% instead of the 3 that they're now giving me.

But the difference here is that my rates go
up 70% for the numbers that are being stated of 3%
versus 11%. Well, it's 3% versus 11 for them, but it's
70% for me. Somehow, that doesn't seem to wash. Am I
right, or am I wrong? Do I just have the numbers
wrong? Maybe that's what it is.

And it has already been said before, I'll say it again, just to reiterate. Why do you charge less

1 mor
2 to
3 -4 pec
5 pec
6 mal
7 gor
8 exc
9 war

money for using more water? I thought we were supposed to be conservative. You don't get people to conserve — I don't have to tell you, you're all intelligent people you can figure out. You don't have to pay people an exorbitant salary to figure out that, if you make the rate higher at the lower level, you're not going to have that problem, you're going to conserve — excuse me, I've got it in reserve. If you use more water, you're going to pay a higher price for that water.

I'm sorry for those folks that have to water the grass and keep it so green. As one lady said, "God provides." I don't have to water my grass, God does provide. So, do we need to spend 7,000 gallons of water a month for watering the grass? If you want to, fine, you can pay a dollar a gallon for those 7,000 gallons. Maybe that will discourage those folks that think that water for grass is more important than for people who are just trying to exist, to take a shower, or to consume the water any other way that's normal. Watering the grass, to me, is not a necessity.

One last thing, and then I'm off the podium.

You know, this is a recession; and a lot of people, you know, folks maybe don't even have jobs. I'm a working person, I make a whopping five bucks an hour. I'm

working. But what about that person that lost his job?

How does that person pay for any increase in anything?

I mean, this is a recession. Somehow or other, this seems to be out of context with the time that we're in. I should think right now we probably would be talking about a decrease instead of an increase for those people to be able to survive that can't because they don't even have a job. Recession. Think about it. Recession. Nobody else has said it here today. I'll say it.

My next-to-the-next-to-the-last thing. The Deltona Utilities folks have said that they have lost 10%? What I want to know is, what are they doing to correct that 10% discrepancy. If you're out running a business and you're losing 10%, what are you doing about it? What are you doing to correct the problem?

Why come back to the taxpayer to rectify the problem? What are we, the go-to guy? You come to us to rectify the problem. You're in the business to make money. Make money. Do the things that it takes to make money, but don't come back to the taxpayers and ask us to compensate you for your inefficiencies. Right or wrong?

I rest my case. (Applause.)
(Witness Muller excused.)

ART KUNDMUELLER

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
testified as follows:

WITNESS KUNDMUELLER: I've got a good case of laryngitis here, so please bear with me. My name is Art Kundmueller. K-u-n-d-m-u-e-l-l-e-r.

Okay, we've had people that had very little income come up here and talk, people that had, probably, are very affluent. I'm somewheres in the middle, but yet I consider myself very poor in these times. I've been unemployed for three years. About a third of that time I was looking for work. No unemployment compensation because I was self-employed.

Fortunately, I have enough investments that I don't need to work. I can pretty much do what I want to do. But yet, in these economic times we have in this country -- and they're not getting much better -- I still feel poor.

This does not relate to the water company,
but eight years ago I built a home in Deltona, moved
down here from the Cleveland, Ohio area. We had rotten
water up there, believe me. This time of the year we
would get green scum out of our faucets, filter or no

filter.

But anyhow, to make a long story short and to set the stage here, I bought two lots; I built on one, and the other one I have is a little picnic area. Still has trees on it, I just pick up the dead branches once in a while. No maintenance. My tax bill, to give you an example of the times we're in, eight years ago it was \$58 a year on this empty lot. My last tax bill, last year, and I expect to get one pretty soon, last tax bill was \$285. God only knows what it's going to be this year.

So, even though we aren't really poor, we feel poor. And I think this is ridiculous to ask a rate increase like this on water. It just doesn't fit in with the times that we're living in.

And you know, when you do away with your middle class people, you've got a banana Republic.

Gosh, I'm not old enough to collect Social Security. You can't really call me retired; I'm semi-retired, I guess. But my income has been going down steadily because of the decrease in what produces the investments. I've had to go into risker investments, and I have been very fortunate I haven't lost money for these risker investments. But I am very concerned about this.

this.

water bill is around 11 or \$12 a month. And when I pay my water bill, people standing in back of me say, "How do you keep it so low?" Well, I just done water the doggoned lawn. I don't believe in it. But to pay that -- now, another thing before I stop. Let me just say

And I'm just an average user, my average

About three years ago, I had good reputable

manufacturers' appliances and everything put in my
home, including hot water tank, name brand. The hot
water went out, no hot water. So, what do we do? I do
my own repairs.

We took out the heat element and we went to drain the tank. Nothing came out of the bottom of that tank. We had the water off, the power off, we opened every faucet in the house, no water. It refused to drain out of that tank, so we took a wrench to it and I got the spigot off. You hook a stick up there or a rod up there and occasionally you would get something out of it.

And I mean there is brown glob in there, I don't know how to describe it. It's not sand, it's not gravel, but I don't know what it is.

Now, the hot water tank is a natural trap.

You don't need a filter in your water line, it'll all

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

end up in your hot water tank. And I'm telling you, 1 this was in the morning when we started, and by late 2 afternoon, we still hadn't gotten half of that stuff 3 out of there, it was in still in there. We replaced 4 both elements. And we took the elements out; it was 5 just like you took a trowel out and you put wet cement 6 on top of that; it just actually encrusted itself right 7 to the elements. 8 So, I don't know where this stuff was coming 9 from. But yet we can take -- we don't buy drinking 10 water, we drink the water, it tastes good, far better 11 than where we came, the part of the country we came 12 from. But the water looks clear, but yet we're 13 collecting this stuff. And where is it coming from? 14 Now, they tell me this is normal in Deltona. 15 But I have replaced tanks, hot water tanks, in other 16 parts of the country, that were served by the Great 17 Lakes system and never anything like that inside of a 18 tank. I just don't know where it's coming from. 19 20 Thank you very much. 21 CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you. 22 (Witness Kundmueller excused.) 23 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

JOHN MASIARCZYK

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS MASIARCZYK: Good afternoon, I'm John Masiarczyk, M-a-s-i-a-r-c-z-y-k. I'm from Deltona also. I am currently Chairman of the Deltona Municipal Service District Public Works Committee, but I'm speaking on my own this afternoon.

What I would like to ask you is, after listening to most of the testimony today, I have yet to hear anybody ask directly for a delay in the interim rate structure that's been proposed by the Utility. And I feel it only fair and prudent that somebody ask you directly to either delay that interim rate until you have sufficient time at the PSC to really look into all of the aspects of this case. You've stated earlier today that, even with the help and support of your Staff, you're going to find it very difficult to understand this case in it's entirety.

I feel that the Utility is justified in an increase in rates; and I feel that if they've had a 10% loss, that they should be justified in recouping that. But to have an interim rate structure which is of such extreme proportions, I think, is a disservice to our

community; and the fair and prudent thing for the PSC to do would be to respond with not a total delay but a much greatly reduced rate presently. At least enough for them to maintain just the status quo until your final decision in February.

I don't think that would hurt them any more than they are presently being hurt at the current rates. So, in fairness to the Utility and to the Citizens of Deltona, I believe that just a rate to allow them to maintain where they are at now until the final decision and the final fact-finding is done would be a much fairer thing to do to the citizens of all the communities, and especially Deltona. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you. (Applause.)
(Witness Masiarczyk excused.)

GERRY MUNOZ

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS MUNOZ: My name is Gerry Munoz. I'm not a very fluent English speaking person because I am Spanish, but I hope you understand me. And if you have a question about what I say, please ask, I'll explain it.

.

I have been living in Deltona -- Oh, I'm sorry, I have to give you my name. Jerry Munoz. I live in 1322 Abigail Drive, Deltona, 32725. For the last eight years that I have been living in Deltona, I haven't seen much improvement in the water service.

We lived 25 years in North Carolina, where the water was perfect, beautiful. Now we came to Deltona, and the first thing we got is a slap in the face when you open your water because it wouldn't smell just like rotten eggs. And my wife can't stand it.

Now my question is, why do the Deltona people have to buy water if we are already buying water from the public utilities? We are buying water twice. Now, that money that you people have failed to collect from us as customers because that water is being collected by somebody else who was smarter than our public utilities personnel. And they notice that we, we are suffering and hurting for a good drinking water and somebody establish water serving outlets where you pay 25 cents a gallon for Deltona water that is being purchased at less than one cent per gallon. So that is, from one to 25, is 25% -- I mean 25 times profit. That is about a big percentage and a very profitable business for these people that are, they notice that we we are needing better water than what we have.

-

Now, why couldn't the Deltona Utilities

Commission and SSU notice that at the time that it was
noticed by somebody else?

And now, you are falling below the profit
line, getting into the red, because you were probably
sleeping while somebody else was watching for you to go
to sleep and they took over the business in which they
made a lot of money, and they are still. So it's a
shame that the Deltona people have to buy water. I am
not used to that.

I have my water service in my house. I expect that that water will be good for drinking without having to worry about my health and the health of my family, and why do we have to buy drinking water? So I have a shallow well in my back yard that gives me much better water than the one I get from the taps.

And so I hope that you people realize that every person behind a business is not a business person. You have to know how to do business. And if you don't know it, you will either have to go to college and learn how to do it or copy from somebody else. But I think in this case it has been nothing but a neglect about our own health, and our morale and everything that we are entitled to as American citizens. That this monopoly, like all monopolies,

1	they don't think of nothing but just money to come in
2	and nothing to come out. That's all I have to say.
3	THE REPORTER: Sir, would you spell your
4	name, please?
5	WITNESS MUNOZ: M-u-n-o-z, first initial G.
6	(Witness Munoz excused.)
7	
8	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you.
9	Is there anybody I've missed? Please, sir,
10	come on. Were you sworn in earlier?
11	WITNESS SCHRIMSCHER: No, I wasn't.
12	CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay, come on down.
13	WITNESS SCHRIMSCHER: I just came in. I'm
14	working.
15	FERREL SCHRIMSCHER
16	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
17	the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
18	testified as follows:
19	CHAIRMAN BEARD: If you would give us your
20	name.
21	WITNESS SCHRIMSCHER: My name is F-e-r-e-l,
22	Schrimscher, S-c-h-r-i-m-s-c-h-e-r, sounds like
23	"scripture," but it's Schrimscher. I live at 1311 West
24	Hartly Circle, and my zip code is 32725.
25	I have been a resident of Deltona for 21

years. I'm just about one of the pioneers in Deltona.

I moved my family here from Miami. I work with the light company.

And when we came to Deltona, at that time the water seemed to be good but it gradually got bad. And it got so bad that I had to invest in putting in a system to purify the water. My wife couldn't even do the laundry properly without the residue in the clothing and in the linens. And we went ahead and invested in that and accepted that. But as time went on, we could not -- she could not drink the water. In fact, we have bottled water that we don't even drink Deltona water.

And now we come along with the new company, SSU, and they say, "Well, we're going to up the rates in a very humongous way."

And now, I can tolerate increases and I can understand that. Everything is in a recession and everything going up and inflation, that's understandable. But you take something like 200% for sewage, and you take something like one-third for water, I think that's way out of proportion -- especially to many of the people in Deltona, they are retirees, many of them are just on a very limited income, Social Security, and having it very difficult.

It wouldn't be as difficult on me, as a working man,

I'm not retired yet, but it would be on some that are
there. And could I tolerate that.

But I know for a fact that if you was to increase the sewage rate from approximately \$13 a month and jump that by 200%-some-odd it would be very difficult on the budget of many of these that are there in Deltona. They have difficulty even putting bread on the table. And they are very limited. I know that for a fact. I know one who is one of the first 65 families, we're in the first church together. He's a man that's 93 years of age and they're very limited, they live from meal to meal and it's difficult. They only have Social Security, no pension or anything; and this is one family that I know would feel the impact immensely.

So, I think that it's way out of proportion as to what SSU wants to do. I think it should be evaluated. We all can understand some increases in something, that's true, as has been stated by others who spoke before me. But with this humongous rate, it is just without question.

If you were to say they say they spend \$5 million to upgrade so they can not have to put the sewage water into Lake Monroe. Well, if you were to

take 25,000 accounts and multiply that by 200%, that would pay for itself very quickly.

This would be an ongoing thing, once the increase is on, would it decrease in the future? When this here \$5 million that they say has been rectified and covered by expenses, would it be decreased then?

No, it wouldn't.

Like everything else, it would be very seldom in this day and age in which we live that things are going to step down in price. Even McDonald's french fries, as plentiful as potatoes have been in recent days, you won't see a decrease in that; and you surely will not find a decrease, once these rates have been established and have this great hardship on many of the residents in Deltona.

I just voice my opinion, I've come down here on my lunchtime that I can do this. I'm glad I didn't have to wait too long to speak, sir. And so I appreciate your hearing me. But I do express this, that there are many retirees and people that are handicapped, I know that for a fact. My family and I, we have helped many other families and it would be a tremendous hardship on many of these households,

Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you. (Applause)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

WITNESS GLADE: I have one more thing to say.
CHAIRMAN BEARD: Very briefly, please.
WITNESS GLADE: I am not very derogative
against SSU. I have very good service with them, the
water has been fairly good and my water pressure is 80
pounds, so I have no complaints.
CHAIRMAN BEARD: Perhaps you could share some
of that pressure with a few of the others.
WITNESS GLADE: I live off of Alcan where the
pumping station is and that's why I have such good
water pressure.
CHAIRMAN BEARD: Very good. I thank you very
much for coming.
MR. SHREVE: Commissioner, let me call two
just to make sure.
CHAIRMAN BEARD: Okay.
MR. SHREVE: Very quickly. Ms. Allman gone?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You've done her.
MR. SHREVE: Oh, okay. Right. Mr. Oliver? Okay.
CHAIRMAN BEARD: That do it?
MR. SHREVE: That's all we had listed.
CHAIRMAN BEARD: Thank you very much.
(Thereupon, hearing adjourned at 1:30 p.m.)

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	I, SYDNEY C. SILVA, CSR, RPR, Official
4	Commission Reporter,
5	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Sanford Service
6	Hearing in the captioned matter, Docket No. 920199-WS, was
7	heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the time
8	and place herein stated; it is further
9	CERTIFIED that I reported in shorthand the said
10	proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my
11	direct supervision, and that this transcript, consisting
12	of 157 pages, constitutes a true and accurate
13	transcription of my notes of said proceedings; it is
14	further
15	CERTIFIED that I am neither of counsel nor
16	related to the parties in said cause and have no interest,
17	financial or otherwise, in the outcome of this docket.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
19	at Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida, this 3rd day of
20	September, A.D., 1992.
21	SYDNEY C. SILVA, CSR, RPR
22	FPSC Bureau of Reporting Fletcher Building, Room 104
23	101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0871
24	Telephone No. (904) 488-5981