1SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH COMPANY2REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF H. E. GRAY, JR.3BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION4FLORIDA DOCKET NO. 920260-TL5DECEMBER 18, 1992

8 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND OCCUPATION.9

10 A. MY NAME IS HAMILTON E. (BOB) GRAY, JR. MY BUSINESS
11 ADDRESS IS 600 NORTH 19TH STREET, BIRMINGHAM,
12 ALABAMA, 35203. I AM EMPLOYED BY BELLSOUTH
13 TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., D/B/A SOUTHERN BELL
14 TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH COMPANY ("COMPANY" OR
15 "SOUTHERN BELL"), AS AN OPERATIONS MANAGER IN THE
16 NETWORK PLANNING AND ENGINEERING INTEGRATION
17 DEPARTMENT.

18

6

7

¢,

PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND, WORK
 EXPERIENCE AND CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES.

-1-

21

22 A. I RECEIVED A BACHELOR OF SCIENCE DEGREE IN
23 ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING FROM LOUISIANA STATE
24 UNIVERSITY IN 1971 AND A MASTER OF BUSINESS
25 ADMINISTRATION DEGREE FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF

FOOLMENT LUNGER-DATE 14692 BED 18 1002 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1 ALABAMA - BIRMINGHAM IN 1980. I AM A REGISTERED 2 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER. FOR THE PAST TWENTY YEARS, I 3 HAVE BEEN AN EMPLOYEE OF BELLSOUTH 4 TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND ITS PREDECESSORS. FROM 5 1972 TO 1976, I HELD VARIOUS ASSIGNMENTS WITHIN THE 6 LOUISIANA ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT, INCLUDING 7 DISTRIBUTION ENGINEERING, INTEROFFICE FACILITY 8 PLANNING, AND SWITCHING PLANNING. 9 10 IN 1977, I JOINED THE NETWORK PLANNING DEPARTMENT ON 11 THE SOUTH CENTRAL BELL HEADQUARTERS STAFF AS A 12 SWITCH PLANNING AND ENGINEERING ECONOMIC STUDY 13 ANALYST. I JOINED THE REVENUE REQUIREMENTS 14 DEPARTMENT AS A REGULATORY DOCKET MANAGER IN 1985 15 AND RETURNED TO THE NETWORK PLANNING STAFF IN 1988. 16 MY CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES INCLUDE SWITCH PLANNING,

TRANSPORT PLANNING, ENGINEERING ECONOMIC ANALYSIS
 SUPPORT, AND CONSTRUCTION BUDGET DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT
 FOR THE NINE STATES IN THE BELLSOUTH TERRITORY.

20

21 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

22

23 A. THE PURPOSE OF MY TESTIMONY IS TO PROVIDE REBUTTAL
24 TO THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF TWO WITNESSES IN THIS
25 CASE: JOSEPH GILLAN CONCERNING SOUTHERN BELL'S

-2-

CORPORATE NETWORK AND JOSEPH P. CRESSE CONCERNING
 THE REPLACEMENT OF COPPER CABLE WITH FIBER CABLE.
 3

4 Q. IN DISCUSSING THE SUBJECT OF SOUTHERN BELL'S
5 CORPORATE NETWORK, MR. GILLAN CHARACTERIZED THIS
6 NETWORK AS EXTENSIVE AND EXCESSIVE. HE FURTHER
7 SUGGESTED SOUTHERN BELL WAS SUBSIDIZING ITS RE-ENTRY
8 TO THE INTERLATA TOLL MARKET (PAGE 39). IS THAT A
9 CORRECT CHARACTERIZATION?

10

ABSOLUTELY NOT. THE CHARACTERIZATION OF THE 11 A. SOUTHERN BELL NETWORK AS EXCESSIVE AND THE INFERENCE 12 13 THAT SOUTHERN BELL HAS EXPLOITED THE MODIFICATION OF 14 FINAL JUDGMENT (MFJ) IS GROSSLY INACCURATE. 15 SOUTHERN BELL HAS PRUDENTLY AND ECONOMICALLY 16 DESIGNED AND ENGINEERED AN INTERLATA CORPORATE 17 NETWORK BASED ON THE OFFICIAL TRAFFIC AUTHORIZED BY 18 THE MFJ.

19

20 Q. IS MR. GILLAN'S DESCRIPTION OF SOUTHERN BELL'S 21 INTERLATA CORPORATE NETWORK ACCURATE? (PAGE 39) 22

23 A. NO. THE NETWORK IS MUCH MORE THAN JUST A "PRIVATE
24 TOLL" NETWORK AS DEPICTED IN MR. GILLAN'S TESTIMONY.
25 THE OFFICIAL CORPORATE NETWORK IS ALSO UTILIZED TO

-3-

1 SUPPORT OTHER CRITICAL FUNCTIONS TO INSURE THE 2 ECONOMIC OPERATION OF THE LOCAL EXCHANGE NETWORK. 3 SIGNALING SYSTEM 7 (SS7) CIRCUITS, EMERGENCY 911 4 CIRCUITS AND MAINTENANCE CIRCUITS ARE ALL PART OF 5 THE OFFICIAL NETWORK. IN ADDITION, VOICE LINKS CONNECT CUSTOMERS TO OPERATORS, CUSTOMER SERVICE 6 7 **REPRESENTATIVES, AND REPAIR SERVICE REPRESENTATIVES.** 8 DATA LINKS INTERCONNECT COMPUTERS FOR CORPORATE DATA 9 PROCESSING REQUIREMENTS, AND VIDEO LINKS 10 INTERCONNECT THE MANY SOUTHERN BELL EMPLOYEES IN 11 THEIR DAY-TO-DAY ACTIVITIES. THE INTERLATA 12 FACILITIES DEPLOYED IN FLORIDA ARE AN INTEGRAL AND 13 VITAL PART OF THE OVERALL NINE STATE CORPORATE 14 NETWORK. 15 16 O. MR. GILLAN STATES THAT THE SOUTHERN BELL CORPORATE NETWORK HAS ENORMOUS EXCESS CAPACITY COMPARED TO ITS 17 NEEDS (PAGE 39). DO YOU AGREE? 18 19 20 A. NO. 21 22 Q. WHAT FACTORS EFFECT THE SIZE OF THE SOUTHERN BELL 23 CORPORATE NETWORK TO MEET ITS OFFICIAL NEEDS? 24 25 A. THE INTEROFFICE FACILITY (CABLE AND ELECTRONICS)

-4-

1		SIZING CRITERIA ARE BASICALLY AS FOLLOWS:
2		1. DETERMINE THE PREFERRED NETWORK ARCHITECTURE
3		(RING, POINT-TO-POINT, HYBRID, ETC.).
4		2. PROVIDE SUFFICIENT FIBERS TO BUILD A RELIABLE,
5		SELF HEALING NETWORK.
6		3. INSURE THAT AT LEAST ONE WORKING/PROTECTION
7		FIBER SYSTEM CAN BE USED FOR MAINTENANCE AND
8		TECHNOLOGY UPGRADES.
9		4. MEET THE 10 YEAR DEMAND WITH THE MOST ECONOMIC
10		MIX OF CABLE SIZE AND LIGHTWAVE TRANSMISSION
11		SPEED. (AN ECONOMIC STUDY IS NECESSARY TO
12		DETERMINE IF IT IS BETTER TO HAVE MORE FIBERS
13		WITH LOW SPEED/CAPACITY ELECTRONICS VERSUS LESS
14		FIBERS WITH HIGH SPEED/CAPACITY ELECTRONICS).
15		
16	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY SOUTHERN BELL BELIEVES
17		IT IS NECESSARY TO HAVE A RELIABLE, SELF-HEALING
18		NETWORK?
19		
20	Α.	YES. A RELIABLE INTERLATA NETWORK IS ESSENTIAL TO
21		THE SERVICE CONTINUITY OF THE LOCAL EXCHANGE
22		NETWORK. FOR THAT REASON, SOUTHERN BELL'S
23		FUNDAMENTAL PLAN IS TO DEPLOY ROUTE DIVERSE, "SELF
24		HEALING", INTERLATA FACILITIES SO THAT IN THE EVENT
25		OF EQUIPMENT FAILURES OR EQUIPMENT DAMAGE, THE

-5-

CIRCUITS CAN BE RAPIDLY RESTORED, OR BETTER YET,
 CUSTOMERS NEVER SEE THE FAILURE DUE TO THE SELF
 HEALING DESIGN. EVIDENCE OF SOUTHERN BELL'S STRONG
 COMMITMENT TO SERVICE CONTINUITY WAS HIGHLIGHTED BY
 THE RECENT HURRICANE ANDREW EXPERIENCE.

6

7 Q. WHAT IS "SELF HEALING" CAPABILITY?

8

9 A. SIMPLY PUT, A SELF HEALING NETWORK WILL

10 AUTOMATICALLY SWITCH ALL CIRCUITS TO A PROTECTION 11 CHANNEL IF A FAILURE OCCURS. A "1X1" (PRONOUNCED 12 "ONE BY ONE") ARCHITECTURE IS SELF HEALING. THIS 13 ARCHITECTURE REQUIRES MORE FIBERS THAN A DIFFERENT 14 ARCHITECTURE THAT IS NOT SELF-HEALING. IN 1X1 15 PROTECTION, 1 PROTECT CHANNEL IS PROVIDED FOR EACH 16 AND EVERY WORKING CHANNEL. HENCE 1X1 PROVIDES 100% 17 PROTECTION OR A COMPLETE SELF-HEALING NETWORK.

18

19 Q. WHY IS THIS POINT IMPORTANT?

20

21 A. MR. GILLAN'S ALLEGATION OF EXCESS CAPACITY

APPARENTLY FAILS TO ACCOUNT FOR THIS SELF HEALING
CAPABILITY. MR. GILLAN'S ANALYSIS IS BASED ON WHAT
IS REFERRED TO AS "NX1" ARCHITECTURE (SEE EXHIBIT
JPG-8). IN THIS ARCHITECTURE, "N" IS A VARIABLE

-6-

NUMBER OF WORKING CHANNELS WITH ONLY ONE PROTECTION
 CHANNEL SERVING THE ENTIRE GROUP OF CHANNELS. AS A
 RESULT, FEWER FIBERS ARE REQUIRED AND LESS
 PROTECTION IS PROVIDED. CONSEQUENTLY, WHAT HE
 DESCRIBES AS "EXCESSIVE" FIBER DEPLOYMENT IN THE
 SOUTHERN BELL NETWORK IS ACTUALLY APPROPRIATE FOR
 SELF HEALING RING ARCHITECTURES.

8

9 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. GILLAN'S EXPLANATION OF THE
10 BASIC COMPONENTS OF A FIBER OPTIC TRANSMISSION
11 SYSTEM? (PAGE 40)

12

13 A. NO. WHILE THE COMPONENTS OF A FIBER OPTIC
14 TRANSMISSION SYSTEM DO INCLUDE THE FIBER CABLE AND
15 LIGHTWAVE TERMINAL EQUIPMENT ("OPTRONICS"), MORE
16 NEEDS TO BE SAID. FIRST, THE TYPICAL SYSTEM IN
17 SOUTHERN BELL UTILIZES FOUR FIBER STRANDS TO DEVELOP
18 TWO-WAY TRANSMISSION OVER A SERVICE AND PROTECTION
19 CHANNEL.

20

MR. GILLAN'S TESTIMONY ALSO FAILED TO IDENTIFY TWO
KEY COMPONENTS OF THE FIBER OPTIC TRANSMISSION
SYSTEM. LIGHTWAVE TERMINALS TYPICALLY PROVIDE
CONNECTIVITY FOR DS3 ELECTRICAL CIRCUITS (A 45 MBS
CHANNEL), BUT THE STANDARD INTERFACE FOR SWITCHING

-7-

1 SYSTEMS AND MANY OTHER NETWORK ELEMENTS IS DS1 (A 1.5 MBS CHANNEL). THEREFORE, KEY COMPONENTS OF THE 2 FIBER OPTIC TRANSMISSION SYSTEM ARE THE DS3 3 INTERFACE CIRCUIT PACKS AND THE DS3/DS1 MULTIPLEXER. 4 5 THE DS3 INTERFACE CIRCUIT PACK IS INSERTED INTO THE 6 LIGHTWAVE TERMINAL TO PROVIDE DS3 ELECTRICAL CONNECTIVITY INTO THE HIGH SPEED OPTIC SYSTEM. 7 THE 8 DS3/DS1 MULTIPLEXER IS A STAND-ALONE NETWORK 9 COMPONENT. THE DS3/DS1 MULTIPLEXER PROVIDES THE 10 NECESSARY INTERFACE AT A DS1 LEVEL TO OTHER NETWORK 11 ELEMENTS (SWITCHING SYSTEMS). WITHOUT THE DS3 12 INTERFACE CIRCUIT PACK AND DS3/DS1 MULTIPLEXER, THE 13 FIBER OPTIC SYSTEM DESCRIBED BY MR. GILLAN'S 14 TESTIMONY IS INADEQUATE FOR TERMINATING TRAFFIC ON A 15 DIGITAL SWITCH.

16

17 THE DISCUSSIONS OF "EXCESS CAPACITY" DEVELOPED BY 18 MR. GILLAN ARE THEREFORE INACCURATE, GROSSLY 19 EXAGGERATED AND MISLEADING. MR. GILLAN'S NARRATIVE 20 IS IN ERROR WHEN STATING THAT "THE NUMBER OF 21 EQUIVALENT VOICE CIRCUITS THAT CAN BE DERIVED ON A FIBER PAIR IS DETERMINED BY THE OPERATING SPEED OF 22 THE OPTRONICS." THIS IS A HALF TRUTH. IN FACT, THE 23 NUMBER OF EQUIVALENT VOICE CIRCUITS THAT CAN BE 24 25 DERIVED ON A FIBER SYSTEM IS DETERMINED BY THE

-8-

OPERATING SPEED AND THE NUMBER OF EQUIPPED DS3
 INTERFACE CIRCUIT PACKS AND THE NUMBER OF EQUIPPED
 DS3/DS1 MULTIPLEXERS.

4

5 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. GILLAN'S EXPLANATION OF HOW
6 MANY FIBER PAIRS SOUTHERN BELL HAS INSTALLED FOR USE
7 IN THE CORPORATE NETWORK? (PAGE 40-41)

8

9 A. NOT AT ALL. MR. GILLAN'S EXHIBIT JPG-6 IS SUPPOSED
10 TO PROVIDE THE DETAILS OF ACTIVE, PROTECTED AND
11 "DARK" (SPARE) FIBER PAIRS IN EACH INTERLATA LINK.
12 EVEN THE MOST CURSORY REVIEW OF SOUTHERN BELL'S
13 RESPONSE TO ITEM 1 IN FIXCA'S FIRST SET OF
14 INTERROGATORIES WILL SHOW THAT MR. GILLAN HAS MADE
15 SEVERAL MISTAKES IN THE CALCULATION OF SPARE FIBERS.

16

17 AS AN EXAMPLE, CONSIDER THE CORPORATE NETWORK 18 BETWEEN WEST PALM BEACH TO ORLANDO. MR. GILLAN'S 19 EXHIBIT (JPG-6) SHOWS THAT 1 ACTIVE, 1 PROTECT AND 20 16 SPARE FIBER PAIRS ARE PRESENT. THAT'S 18 PAIRS FOR A TOTAL OF 36 FIBERS. WE TOLD MR. GILLAN IN 21 22 RESPONSE TO ITEM 1 OF FIXCA'S FIRST SET OF 23 INTERROGATORIES THAT THIS PART OF THE CORPORATE 24 NETWORK IS SERVED BY THIRTY SIX FIBERS BUT THAT TEN 25 FIBERS ARE POWERED FOR LOCAL USE. THEREFORE ONLY 26

-9-

FIBERS (13 FIBER PAIRS) REMAIN FOR INTERLATA USE.
 ONLY 22 FIBERS (11 FIBER PAIRS) ARE SPARE, NOT 32
 FIBERS (16 FIBER PAIRS). SIMILAR MISTAKES WERE MADE
 ON THREE OTHER CITY PAIR LISTINGS.

5

6 A COMPARISON BETWEEN MR. GILLAN'S' EXHIBIT JPG-6 AND 7 THE ACTUAL DEPLOYMENT IS GIVEN IN MY EXHIBIT HEG-1. IT CAN BE SEEN FROM EXHIBIT HEG-1 THAT MR. GILLAN 8 9 MADE SIGNIFICANT MISCALCULATIONS OF THE "DARK" FIBER 10 PAIRS. HIS EXHIBIT MISLEADS THE UNINFORMED OBSERVER 11 TO THE ERRONEOUS OPINION THAT SOUTHERN BELL'S FIBER NETWORK IS EXCESSIVE. ACCORDING TO MR. GILLAN, SBT 12 HAS 71 SPARE FIBER PAIRS. SOUTHERN BELL ACTUALLY 13 HAS 50 SPARE FIBER PAIRS IN THE STATE (39 FOR 14 15 GROWTH, 11 FOR MAINTENANCE). THIS ERROR IS CARRIED 16 THROUGHOUT HIS ANALYSIS AND DISTORTS THE FACTS.

17

18 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. GILLAN'S ANSWER TO THE

19 QUESTION: "HOW DO THE OPERATING SPEEDS THAT

20 SOUTHERN BELL INSTALLED ON ITS ACTIVE FIBER COMPARE 21 TO ITS TRAFFIC VOLUMES?" (PAGE 41)

22

23 A. ABSOLUTELY NOT. EXHIBIT JPG-7 DEPICTS THE RESULT OF
24 AN ERRONEOUS ASSUMPTION EVIDENTLY BASED ON MR.
25 GILLAN'S MISUNDERSTANDING REGARDING THE COMPONENTS

WHICH CONSTITUTE A FIBER OPTIC SYSTEM. MR. GILLAN 1 2 ASSUMES THE LIGHTWAVE SYSTEMS ARE FULLY EQUIPPED. 3 PRUDENT DEPLOYMENT PRACTICES INSURE THAT ONLY 4 SUFFICIENT CAPACITY IS EQUIPPED TO MEET EXISTING 5 PLUS NEAR TERM REOUIREMENTS. REFER TO EXHIBIT HEG-2 6 FOR A MORE REALISTIC VIEW OF EQUIPPED DS3S AND 7 EQUIPMENT UTILIZATION. THE HEG-2 EXHIBIT ONLY 8 DEPICTS THE EQUIPPED CIRCUIT PACKS.

9

10 Q. SOUTHERN BELL'S EXHIBIT HEG-1 DOES DEPICT SPARE
11 FIBERS IN THE INTERLATA NETWORK. WHAT IS THE
12 RELATIVE COST OF THESE SPARE FIBERS TO THE OVERALL
13 NETWORK COST.

14

15 A. THE TOTAL COST OF SOUTHERN BELL'S INTERLATA 16 TRANSPORT NETWORK IN FLORIDA IS ESTIMATED TO BE 17 \$13 MILLION. IN COMPARISON, THE INCREMENTAL COST OF 18 THE ADDITIONAL SPARE FIBERS IS ESTIMATED TO BE ONLY 19 \$1.8 MILLION. OBVIOUSLY, THE SIGNIFICANT COST IN 20 DEPLOYMENT OF FIBER OPTIC CABLE DOES NOT LIE IN THE 21 NUMBER OF SPARE FIBERS. THE PREPONDERANCE OF THE 22 COST IS INCURRED IN ENGINEERING, RIGHT OF WAY 23 ACQUISITION, TRENCHING, CONDUIT, SHEATH 24 INSTALLATION, BARRICADES, ROADSIDE RECONDITIONING, 25 AND SO FORTH. THESE COSTS ARE COMMON TO THE OVERALL

-11-

FIBER CABLE REGARDLESS OF THE NUMBER OF SPARE
 FIBERS.

3

4 Q. MR. GILLAN HAS DESCRIBED THE POTENTIAL CAPACITY OF
5 SOUTHERN BELL'S INTERLATA NETWORK (PAGE 42). DO YOU
6 AGREE?

7

8 A. ABSOLUTELY NOT. A FUNDAMENTAL FLAW IN HIS EXHIBIT
9 JPG-8 IS THE "NX1" PROTECTION ASSUMPTION PREVIOUSLY
10 DISCUSSED AND, OF COURSE, THE INCORRECT NUMBER OF
11 SPARE FIBERS. EXHIBIT HEG-3 PROVIDES THE CORRECTED
12 INFORMATION. THIS IS A CLEAR EXAMPLE OF HIS GROSS
13 EXAGGERATIONS.

14

15 Q. MR. GILLAN HAS STRONGLY IMPLIED THAT SBT HAS ALREADY
BUILT A NETWORK TO COMPETE WITH INTEREXCHANGE
CARRIERS, DO YOU AGREE?

18

19 A. NO. I STRONGLY DISAGREE. THE COST TO ACTIVATE THE
 20 SPARE CAPACITY WOULD BE A MAJOR INVESTMENT THAT
 21 WOULD DWARF THE COST OF THE EMBEDDED BASE. FOR
 22 EXAMPLE, THE COST TO ACTIVATE THE EXISTING LIGHTWAVE
 23 CAPACITY INDICATED IN MR. GILLAN'S EXHIBIT JPG-8,
 24 USING THE SAME LIGHTWAVE TRANSMISSION SPEEDS, IS
 25 ESTIMATED TO BE OVER \$37 MILLION. THIS COST FAR

-12-

EXCEEDS THE CURRENT INVESTMENT IN SPARE FIBER OF
 \$1.8 MILLION. HOWEVER, THIS IS NOT THE END OF THE
 STORY. USING MR. GILLAN'S EXTREME EXAMPLE, THE COST
 FOR SWITCH TERMINATIONS ON THE TANDEMS WOULD EASILY
 BE IN EXCESS OF \$100 MILLION.

6

7 MR. GILLAN'S POSITION IS APPARENTLY THAT WITH THIS 8 "NETWORK IN PLACE" SOUTHERN BELL IS READY TO POUNCE 9 ON INTERLATA COMPETITORS BY MERELY ADDING 10 ELECTRONICS. THIS IS A TOTAL MISSTATEMENT OF THE 11 FACTS. THE FACT IS THAT "LIGHTING-UP" DARK 12 INTERLATA FIBERS AND THEN CONNECTING THE CIRCUITS TO 13 A SWITCH WOULD REQUIRE AN EXPENDITURE WELL IN EXCESS 14 OF \$137 MILLION, COMPARED TO THE TOTAL OF ONLY \$1.8 15 MILLION INVESTMENT IN SPARE FIBERS FOR THE STATE. 16 THIS RELATIONSHIP IS ILLUSTRATED IN EXHIBIT HEG-4. 17 THUS, MR. GILLAN'S POSITION MAKES ABSOLUTELY NO 18 SENSE.

19

20 Q. MR. GILLAN HAS SUGGESTED THAT SOUTHERN BELL IS
21 DEPLOYING HIGH SPEED FIBER SYSTEMS UNNECESSARILY.
22 SHOULD SOUTHERN BELL DEPLOY LOWER SPEED FIBER OPTIC
23 SYSTEMS IN ITS CORPORATE NETWORK?

24

25 A. NO. SOUTHERN BELL HAS CHOSEN, FOR REASONS OF

-13-

EFFICIENCY AND ECONOMICS, A NETWORK ARCHITECTURE
 CONSISTING OF HIGH CONCENTRATIONS OF 565 MBS AND 1.2
 GBS SYSTEMS. GENERALLY, LOWER SPEED SYSTEMS ARE
 UNECONOMICAL IN INTEROFFICE FACILITY APPLICATIONS.

6 Q. MR. GILLAN ASSERTS THAT HIS CALCULATION OF SOUTHERN
7 BELL'S EXCESS CAPACITY IS UNDERESTIMATED. IN A
8 FOOTNOTE TO HIS TESTIMONY, (PAGE 42), HE CITED
9 TRAFFIC DATA THAT INDICATES SOUTHERN BELL IS ONLY
10 AVERAGING APPROXIMATELY 2500 MINUTES PER CIRCUIT,
11 WHICH IS ABOUT 1/2 THE INDUSTRY STANDARD FOR
12 INDIVIDUAL LARGE USERS. IS THAT ACCURATE?

13

14 A. NO. APPARENTLY, MR. GILLAN ASSUMES THAT THE 15 CAPACITY PROVIDED BY THE ACTIVE DS3S BETWEEN LATA 16 PAIRS IS ALLOCATED TO SWITCHED TRAFFIC WHICH IS 17 MEASURED IN MINUTES OF USE. THAT IS INCORRECT. HIS 18 TESTIMONY FAILS TO RECOGNIZE THAT THE TYPICAL LARGE 19 TELECOMMUNICATIONS USER ALSO REQUIRES A SIGNIFICANT 20 AMOUNT OF NON-SWITCHED FACILITIES. NON-SWITCHED 21 FACILITIES ARE NOT MEASURED IN TERMS OF MINUTES OF 22 USE.

23

SOUTHERN BELL CORPORATE COMMUNICATIONS UTILIZE NON SWITCHED LINES EXTENSIVELY. IT IS ESTIMATED THAT

1 60% TO 70% OF THE DEMANDS (EXPRESSED IN DSO 2 EQUIVALENTS) PLACED ON THE INTERLATA NETWORK ARE FOR 3 NON-SWITCHED LINES. THESE NON-SWITCHED LINES ARE VARIED IN NATURE. DEDICATED CIRCUITS RANGE FROM 9.6 4 5 KBS TO 45 MBS DS3 IN THIS NETWORK. THE NON-SWITCHED 6 LINES (AS DO THE SWITCHED MESSAGE TRUNKS) MAY SERVE 7 REQUIREMENTS ENTIRELY WITHIN THE STATE OF FLORIDA OR 8 TRAVERSE PATHS TO ANYWHERE IN THE NINE STATE REGION. 9

10 A MAJOR FACTOR IN THE NON-SWITCHED LINE REQUIREMENTS
11 IS THE CORPORATE DATA CENTER LOCATED IN MIAMI. DATA
12 CENTER COMMUNICATIONS NEEDS OVER THE NEXT DECADE
13 WILL HAVE A MAJOR IMPACT THROUGHOUT THE COMPANY.
14 MR. GILLAN'S TESTIMONY DOES NOT EVEN DISCUSS
15 CORPORATE DATA CENTER REQUIREMENTS.

16

17 Q. ARE THE CORPORATE COMMUNICATIONS REQUIREMENTS18 CONSTANT?

19

20 A. NO. THE EQUIVALENT DERIVED VOICE CHANNELS PRESENTED
21 IN SOUTHERN BELL'S RESPONSE TO ITEM 1 OF FIXCA'S
22 FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES ARE BUT A SNAPSHOT IN
23 TIME OF CORPORATE REQUIREMENTS. A RECENT REVIEW OF
24 FORECASTED INTERLATA DEMANDS INDICATES THAT
25 REQUIREMENTS WILL EXCEED THE CAPACITY WITHIN THE

-15-

NEXT THREE TO FOUR YEARS ON LIGHTWAVE SYSTEMS
 SERVING THE FOLLOWING CITY PAIRS: WEST PALM BEACH
 TO ORLANDO, ORLANDO TO DAYTONA, AND DAYTONA TO
 JACKSONVILLE.

5

6 Q. MR. GILLAN IMPLIES THAT SOUTHERN BELL'S NETWORK
7 CAPACITY IS EXCESSIVE COMPARED TO INTEREXCHANGE
8 CARRIERS (PAGE 43). DO YOU AGREE?

9

NO. FIRST, A COMPARISON OF SOUTHERN BELL'S 10 A. 11 INTERLATA NETWORK TO THAT OF AN INTEREXCHANGE CARRIER'S NETWORK WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE. WE ASSUME 12 THAT THE TRAFFIC MIX IS DIFFERENT AND THEREFORE THE 13 NETWORK DESIGN WOULD BE DIFFERENT. MOREOVER, WE 14 GATHER FROM MR. GILLAN'S TESTIMONY THAT SOUTHERN 15 BELL AND INTEREXCHANGE CARRIERS HAVE A DIFFERENT 16 17 PERSPECTIVE ON NETWORK SURVIVABILITY. (I.E., 18 DEPLOYMENT OF "NX1" SYSTEMS AS SUGGESTED BY MR. 19 GILLAN CERTAINLY SACRIFICES RELIABILITY.)

20

FINALLY, BASED UPON THE DATA CONTAINED IN TABLE 9 OF
THE 1991 FCC ANNUAL FIBER SURVEY, BELLSOUTH RANKS IN
THE MIDDLE OF OTHER OPERATING COMPANIES WITH RESPECT
TO FIBER DEPLOYMENT FOR INTERLATA USE. THESE
RESULTS ARE DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT HEG-5.

-16-

2 Q. MR. CRESSE HAS STATED THAT SOUTHERN BELL HAS
3 PRESENTED NO EVIDENCE THAT ITS REPLACEMENT OF COPPER
4 WITH FIBER IS COST-EFFECTIVE. DO YOU AGREE?
5

1

6 A. NO, I DO NOT AGREE. SOUTHERN BELL'S PLANS TO 7 REPLACE COPPER CABLE WITH FIBER CABLE ARE 8 COST-EFFECTIVE. I HAVE DISCUSSED THIS VERY POINT IN 9 DETAIL IN THE TESTIMONY I FILED, ON SEPTEMBER 3, 1992. THIS DEMONSTRATED THE ECONOMIC PRUDENCE OF 10 11 SOUTHERN BELL'S NETWORK PLANS FOR SOUTHERN BELL'S 12 DEPRECIATION FILING IN DOCKET NO. 920385-TL. AS I INDICATED IN THAT TESTIMONY, IT IS TRUE THAT THERE 13 HAVE BEEN LITERALLY HUNDREDS OF FIBER PROJECTS IN 14 FLORIDA OVER THE PAST SEVERAL YEARS AND IT IS SIMPLY 15 16 NOT PRACTICAL TO EXAMINE EACH AND EVERY ONE IN A 17 FORMAL PROCEEDING. AN INDIVIDUAL ANALYSIS OF THESE PLANS COULD INVOLVE PRESENTING THOUSANDS OF 18 19 DOCUMENTS. OBVIOUSLY, THIS INFORMATION WOULD BE 20 IMPRACTICAL TO PRESENT IN TESTIMONY. HOWEVER, THE 21 PLANNING PROCESS I DESCRIBED IN MY TESTIMONY IN 22 DOCKET NO. 920385-TL FULLY INSURES THAT COPPER REPLACEMENTS ARE DONE ON AN ECONOMIC BASIS. 23 24

25 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.

-17-

1 2 A. SOUTHERN BELL HAS PRUDENTLY DESIGNED ITS INTERLATA 3 CORPORATE NETWORK BASED UPON THE OFFICIAL TRAFFIC 4 AUTHORIZED BY THE MFJ. NO MORE, NO LESS. 5 6 MR. GILLAN'S ASSERTIONS THAT THE NETWORK CAPACITY IS 7 EXCESSIVE AND THE IMPLICATION THAT SUCH A DEPLOYMENT 8 WAS MOTIVATED BY A DESIRE FOR RE-ENTRY INTO THE 9 INTERLATA TOLL MARKET IS ABSOLUTELY FALSE. 10 11 MR. GILLAN'S DATA IS FREQUENTLY PLAIN WRONG OR JUST 12 SELF-SERVINGLY EXAGGERATED. 13 THE NOTION THAT SET HAS AN EMBEDDED NETWORK READY TO 14 COMPETE WITH INTEREXCHANGE CARRIERS IS PATENTLY 15 16 RIDICULOUS. 17 MR. GILLAN'S TESTIMONY ON THIS ISSUE SHOULD BE 18 19 COMPLETELY DISREGARDED BY THE COMMISSION. 20 DEPLOYMENT OF FIBER CABLE TO REPLACE COPPER IN THE 21 22 FLORIDA NETWORK HAS BEEN AND WILL BE PRUDENT AND 23 ECONOMIC. 24 25 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

-18-

.

·

Gray Exhibit No. HEG-1 Florida Docket 920260-TL Page 1 of 1

								SBT DATA	(2)	
Fiber Pairs			Gill	lan's DATA	v (1)	Total	InterLATA	Local	Maint.	
LATA	PAIR	FACILITY ROUTE	Active	Protect	Dark	Fbr. Prs.	Use	Use	(3)	Spare
Southeast	Orlando	Sebastian to Melbourne Fort Pierce to Orlando Sandlake	1	1	16	6 12	1	2 3	1	2 7
Orlando	Daytona	Titusville to Oak Hill Debrary to Deland	1	1	10	6 6	1	2 4	1 1	2 0
Daytona	Jacksonville	Paim Coast to St. Augustine Pierson to Pamona Park	1	1	16	6 12	1	3 3	1	1 7
Gainesville	Jacksonville	Keystone Hgts to Green Cove Spgs Gainesville to Lake City	1	1	13	3 12	1	2 2	0 1	0 8
Lake City	T'ville GA	Lake City to Valdosta, GA	1	1	10	12	2	0	1	9
Jacksonville	Macon, GA	Yulee to Jesup, GA	1	1	4	6	2	0	1	3
Panama City	T'∨ille GA	Graceville to Bainbridge, GA	1	1	1	3	2	0	1	0
Panama City	Pensacola	Panama City Bch to Holley Navarre	1	1	1	3	2	0	1	0
Total Dark Fib	er Pairs:		L	I	71					39

(1) Dark fibers erronously represented by FIXCA.

٠

(2) Actual fiber deployment. Refer to SBT response to Item 1.
 (3) One spare pair should be reserved for maintenance and upgrades.

Gray Exhibit No. HEG-2 Florida Docket 920260-TL Page 1 of 1

1

			Gillans's DATA (1)			SBT DATA (2)		
			Lit	Active	Excess	Equip.	Spare	
LATA	PAIR	Speed	DS-3s	DS-3s	Capacity	DS-3s	Capacity	
Southeast	Orlando	1.2 GBS	24	8	66.7%	12	33.0%	
Orlando	Daytona	1.2 GBS	24	11	54.2%	12	8.3%	
Daytona	Jacksonville	1.2 GBS	24	10	58.3%	12	16.7%	
Gainesville	Jacksonville	1.2 GBS	24	3	87.5%	6	50.0%	
Jacksonville	Thomasville	565 Mbs	12	3	75.0%	6	50.0%	
Jacksonville	Macon	565 Mbs	12	4	66.7%	6	33.0%	
Panama City	Thomasville	565 Mbs	12	3	75.0%	6	50.0%	
Panama City	Pensacola	565 Mbs	12	.3	75.0%	6	50.0%	

Note 1: Exhibit JPG-7 erroneously assumes that optic systems are fully equipped. Note 2: Optic systems are only equipped to meet growth and near term requirements.

l

Gray Exhibit No. HEG-3 Florida Docket 920260-TL Page 1 of 1

		Gillan's [	SBT DATA (2)		
		Active	Potential	Potential	
LATA	PAIR	DS-3s	DS-3s	DS-3s	
Southeast	Orlando	8	408	120	
Orlando	Daytona	11	264	48	
Daytona	Jacksonville	10	408	96	
Gainesville	Jacksonville	3	336	120	
Jacksonville	Thomasville	3	132	120	
Jacksonville	Macon	4	60	48	
Panama City	Thomasville	3	24	24	
Panama City	Pensacola	3	24	24	

Note 1: FIXCA's exhibit JPG-8 erroneously assumes that optic systems would utilize Nx1 Protection.

Note 2: Utilizes 1.2 Gps optic systems with 1x1 Protection.

## Gray Exhibit No. HEG-4 Florida Docket 920260-TL Page 1 of 1 Price Out Example Exhibit HEG-4



Gray Exhibit No. HEG-5 Florida Docket 920260-TL 'age 1 of 1

-----

FCC Annual Fiber Si	urvey							
Part of Table 9								
Other 1991 Fiber Da	ata for							
Local Operating Companies								
	InterLATA							
	Fiber for							
	Internal Co	•						
	Business							
	Route	Fiber						
	Mi.	Mi.						
Ameritech	1	6						
Bell Atlantic	28	4258						
BellSouth	561	6713						
NYNEX	154	2872						
Pacific Telesis	981	10981						
Southwestern Bell	1593	8205						
US West	NA NA	NA						
Contel Companies	2622	14968						
GTE Companines	8	67						
United Companies	93	1284						
Rural Companies	NA	N/						
Total Reported:	6040	49353						

• ,