BEFORE THE 1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 In the Matter of DOCKET NO. 921074-TP Petition of INTERMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS OF FLORIDA, INC. 5 for expanded interconnection for AAVs within LEC central 6 offices. 7 8 PREHEARING CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS: 9 10 COMMISSIONER JULIA L. JOHNSON BEFORE: 11 Prehearing Officer 12 Wednesday, August 11, 1993 13 DATE: 14 Commenced at 9:30 a.m. 15 TIME: Concluded at 10:25 a.m. 16 17 FPSC Hearing Room 122 PLACE: Fletcher Building 18 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 19 20 JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR 21 REPORTED BY: Chief, Bureau of Reporting 22

23

24

2 22	EARAN	mee.
455	PARAN	

	KATHY VI	LLACORT	A, Wiggins	& Villacor	ta, P.A.
Post Office	a Drawer	1657,	Tallahassee	, Florida	32302,
Telephone l	No. (904	222-1	534, appear	ing on beh	alf of
Intermedia	Communi	cations	of Florida	, Inc.	

J. JEFFRY WAHLEN, Ausley, McMullen, McGehee,
Carothers and Proctor, Post Office Box 391,
Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone No. (904)
224-9115, appearing on behalf of ALLTEL Florida, Inc.,
Central Telephone Company of Florida and United
Telephone Company of Florida.

PETER M. DUNBAR, Pennington, Haben,
Wilkinson, Culpepper, Dunlap, Dunbar, Richmond &
French, P.A., Post Office 10095, Tallahassee, Florida
32302, Telephone No. (904) 222-3533, appearing on
behalf of Florida Cable Television Association, Inc.,
and Time Warner AxS of Florida, L.P.

VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, McWhirter, Grandoff & Reeves, 315 S. Calhoun Street, Suite 716, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone No. (904) 222-2525, appearing on behalf of Florida Interexchange Carriers Association.

23

24

No. (904) 488-7464, Counsel to the Commission.

24

23

3	
1	TRACY HATCH, FPSC Division of Legal Services,
2	101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida
3	32399-0850, Telephone No. (904) 487-2740, appearing on
4	behalf of the Commission Staff.
5	
6	ALSO PRESENT:
7	MICHAEL REITH, FPSC Division of Communications.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

INDEX

	PAGE NO.
MOTIONS TO ACCEPT LATE-FILED PREHEA STATEMENTS FROM TELEPORT, CENT AND MCI	
MOTION TO FILE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY O	N .
BEHALF OF HARRIET EUDY	11
Issue 2	17
Issue 3	18
Issue 4	19
Issue 7	20
Issue 8	21
Issue 9	26
Issue 10	30
Issue 13	32
Issue 19	32

PROCEEDINGS 1 (Hearing convened at 9:30 a.m.) 2 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Could Staff counsel 3 please read the notice. MR. HATCH: Pursuant to notice, this time and 5 place have been set for the prehearing conference in 6 Docket No. 921074-TP, petition for expanded 7 interconnection for alternative access vendors within 8 local exchange companies central offices by Intermedia 9 Communications of Florida, Inc. 10 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you. What I'd 11 like to do at this time for my own edification and for 12 purposes of the record is to go ahead and take 13 appearances, starting at the left here. 14 MR. CARVER: Phillip Carver for Southern Bell. 15 150 West Flagler Street, Suite 1910, Miami, Florida 33129. 16 MR. FALGOUST: David M. Falgoust, also 17 representing Southern Bell, 675 West Peachtree Street 18 19 Atlanta, Georgia 30375. MS. CASWELL: Kim Caswell, GTE of Florida, P. O. 20 21 Box 110, Tampa, Florida 33601.

MS. KAUFMAN: Vicki Gordon Kaufman, and I'd like to enter an appearance for Joe McGlothlin also of McWhirter, Grandoff and Reeves, 315 South Calhoun Street, Suite 716, Tallahassee 32301, on behalf of the

22

23

24

1	Florida Interexchange Carriers Association. And I
2	would also like to enter an appearance this morning for
3	Brad Mutschelknaus with the firm of Wiley, Rein &
4	Fielding, 1776 K Street Northwest, Washington, on
5	behalf of the Interexchange Access Coalition.
6	MR. MELSON: I'm Richard Melson of the law
7	firm Hopping Boyd Green & Sams, P. O. Box 6526,
8	Tallahassee, appearing on behalf of MCI Telecommunications
9	Corporation. I'd also like to enter an appearance on
10	behalf of Michael J. Henry of MCI in Atlanta.
11	MR. TYE: Michael W. Tye, 106 East College
12	Avenue, Suite 1410, Tallahassee, Florida 32301,
13	appearing on behalf of AT&T Communications of the
14	Southern States, Inc.
15	MR. HOFFMAN: Commissioner, I'm Kenneth A.
16	Hoffman. I'd also like to enter an appearance for
17	Floyd R. Self, of the Messer Vickers law firm, P. O.
18	Box 1876 Tallahassee, Florida 32302. I'd also like to
19	enter an appearance for Jodie L. Donovan, regulatory
20	counsel, One Teleport Drive, Suite 301, Staten Island, New
21	York 10311, all on behalf of the Teleport Communications
22	Group.
23	MR. DUNBAR: Peter Dunbar, the Pennington

MR. DUNBAR: Peter Dunbar, the Pennington
Haben firm, 306 North Monroe, Tallahassee, Florida, on
behalf of Time Warner AxS of Florida. Also, I would

like to enter an appearance for David L Swafford, Class B Practioner. I would also like to enter an appearance on behalf of Laura Wilson, who will -- we will be withdrawing on behalf of Florida Cable Television and she will be substituted as counsel and I'd like to enter an appearance for her as well.

MR. FONS: John Fons with the law firm of Ausley, McMullen, McGee, Carothers and Proctor, appearing on behalf of Central Telephone Company of Florida and United Telephone Company of Florida, also entering an appearance for Lee L. Willis of the same law firm.

MR. WAHLEN: Jeff Wahlen, with Ausley,
McMullen, McGee, Carothers & Proctor, same address,
appearing for ALLTEL Florida, Inc., and also entering
an appearance for Lee L. Willis of the same law firm on
behalf of ALLTEL.

MR. BOYD: Everett Boyd, of the Ervin law firm, 305 South Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, appearing on behalf of Sprint Communications Company, Limited Partnership. I also want to enter an appearance on behalf of Sprint for Chanthina Bryant of Sprint's office in Atlanta.

MR. BENTON: Rick Benton, of the law firm Young, Van Assenderp, Varnadoe & Benton, entering an

1	appearance also on behalf of my colleague, David Erwin
2	on behalf of Indiantown Telephone System, Northeast
3	Florida Telephone Company, Southland Telephone Company
4	and Quincy Telephone Company.
5	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any other appearances
6	MR. HATCH: Tracy Hatch, 101 East Gaines
7	Street, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.
8	Also appearing with me will be Noreen S. Davis.
9	MR. SMITH: I'm David Smith, of the Division
10	of Appeals, appearing as counsel to the Commission.
11	MR. BECK: Commissioner, could I make an
12	appearance? My name is Charles Beck with the Office of
13	Public Counsel, appearing on behalf of the Florida
14	Citizens.
15	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any others needed?
16	MR. HATCH: Is there anybody here from Pat
17	Wiggins office? Just out of curiosity. I know that
18	Pat was out of town and there was some confusion as to
19	who was going to have to show up, either Kathy
20	Villacorta or Susan Morely. They're probably on their
21	way.
22	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. Note that when
23	they arrive.
24	What I'd like to do is go through any

preliminary matters that we might have before us today.

1	If there are any motions, go ahead and hear those. And
	then just walk through the Prehearing Order going
1	section-by-section. I don't anticipate any problems
1	but I think it might be easier if we go ahead and take
	this section-by-section, page-by-page. I'd like to
	begin with any preliminary matters that we might have.
	MR. HATCH: There are three pending motions

MR. HATCH: There are three pending motions that are listed in the Draft Prehearing Order. All of them dealing with motions to accept late-filed prehearing statements. One on behalf of Teleport, one from Centel and one from MCI. I'm unaware of any opposition to those but the parties can speak to that.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any oppositions to the motions as stated?

MS. KAUFMAN: No, Commissioner. I had another matter that doesn't relate to that. I'll just list it when you finish.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Those motions will be granted.

MR. HATCH: There is one other pending motion that came in late yesterday. I discussed with Mr. Wahlen regarding ALLTEL's desire to file rebuttal testimony on behalf of Harriet. That's before us, if you want to address that, see if there are any objections from the parties.

1	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Are all of the parties
2	aware of the motion?
3	MR. WAHLEN: It was filed late yesterday
4	afternoon. It was served by mail. I brought copies
5	for everyone to review if they didn't get them today.
6	I think I handed them out to most people.
7	MS. VILLACORTA: I'm sorry. Can I enter an
8	appearance? I came in late. My name is Kathy
9	Villacorta, I represent Intermedia.
LO	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: All of the parties now
.1	have a copy of the motion?
12	MR. WAHLEN: It's a very simple motion.
13	ALLTEL would like to file prefiled rebuttal testimony
4	for Harriet Eudy on the issue of whether the two new
.5	LECs should be required to provide expanded
.6	interconnect. We don't think anyone would be
.7	prejudiced by the testimony. They will have an
.8	opportunity to protest depose Ms. Eudy, if they so
.9	desire. There is a month between now and the hearing,
20	so we think there would be plenty of opportunity to
21	react and respond to the testimony. The testimony is
2	attached to the motion.
23	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I am fully prepared to
	mule on that walong the parties need more time to

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

review the motion and determine whether or not they

have any objections. Seeing no objections, the motion 1 is granted. 2 MR. WAHLEN: Thank you. 3 MR. HATCH: Just one observation for the court reporter's benefit. If you have to make a 5 statement, come up forward closer so that the court 6 reporter can hear you or grab a mike. It becomes 7 problematic for her picking up what you're saying. 8 Last week the parties got together on a 9 conference call, attempting to limit the issues or work 10 out stipulations as to some of the issues. 11 I have passed out to the parties a 12 compilation of what my notes show from our discussions 13 last week. We can proceed to go through those to see 14 if the parties agree or disagree. They are sort of 15 left hanging based on seeking confirmations from their 16 clients as to whether they wanted to do this or not. 17 I assume the parties have had enough time to 18 cruise through this. If they have not, perhaps we can 19 delay that if they need more time to look at it. 20 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Do any of the parties 21 need more time? 22 MR. MELSON: Commissioner, for whatever 23 reason, MCI was unaware of the conference call last 24 week and did not participate. I would have to get my 25

clients's input on several of these items, but I don't want to hold up the discussion of them by any of the other parties.

commissioner Johnson: Why don't we go ahead and proceed with the discussion, and to the extent that you discuss it with your clients and you have problems with the issues, you can preserve the right to bring that up at a later date.

MS. KAUFMAN: Commissioner, I do have a procedural matter related, before we get into the substance, to late prehearing statements, and that is the Interexchange Access Coalition didn't file a motion, but noted in their prehearing statement that it was being filed late due to some inadvertence, and we would ask that that be accepted as well.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any objections? So granted.

MR. HOFFMAN: Commissioner Johnson, our firm recently got involved in this proceeding about a week ago and we were made aware of the conference call that Mr. Hatch referred to. And I received a letter from Ms. Donovan, who is the regulatory counsel for Teleport, which advised me of these proposed stipulations with the exceptions of Nos. 4, 7 and 9, which is not to say that they were not discussed.

1	I've reviewed them and from a tentative
2	standpoint they appear to be satisfactory, but I would
3	like the opportunity to just confirm that these are
4	satisfactory by conferring with my client on those item
5	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: And that's Issues 4,
6	7, and 9.
7	MR. HOFFMAN: It's numbers, Nos. 4 and
8	Position 4. No. 7, which is Issue 9, and number 9,
9	which is Issue 13.
10	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Now, do we need to,
11	Staff, walk through each of these stipulations or how
12	would you prefer to proceed?
13	MR. HATCH: If everybody has read them and
14	has no objection to the way they currently exist, then
15	I don't have any problem just letting them ride. But I
16	expect there's going to be some objection and we'll
17	need to walk through them.
18	MS. VILLACORTA: Intermedia, we have not read
19	what you have here today.
20	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Why don't we give the
21	parties an opportunity to read the proposed
22	stipulations; take about 10 minutes and then we'll come
23	back and proceed item-by-item.

25

(Brief recess.)

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I think we're about ready to go back on the record.

staff, how would you wish to proceed?

MR. HATCH: I assume most of the parties have had a chance to go through there. I know that it has already generated a certain amount of discussion. We probably should go through them just one-by-one and see if anybody has any objections or problems.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay.

MR. HATCH: With respect to number one, that was not discussed at the conference call, Item No. 1, the proposed generic stipulation.

We're attempting -- at this point we're not discussing collocation or expanded interconnection for switched purposes. The whole proceeding is limited to the private line special access. So just to make sure that everybody is on board and we're all talking the same language, I proposed that one up-front so that everybody has that understanding. That avoids having to go through and insert "for private line and special access service" everywhere in the Prehearing Order where it mentions expanded interconnection. So I don't know if there is any objection to that. If so, we need to talk about that.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any objections?

Comments? Okay. Stipulation No. 1 will be inserted.

MR. HATCH: Okay. One, just a generic question that has come up since the conference call when we were compiling all of this, is that when we talk about expanded interconnection, when Staff has talked about it we generally are referring to collocation or collocation can take any one of several forms, but generally when you say "expanded interconnection," we refer to collocations as sort of as implicit in expanded interconnection. I think probably because the FCC talks about it that way as well.

Is there anybody out here that draws distinction between expanded interconnection in collocation? Just for discussion purposes, that's another thing to make sure we're talking the same language at the same time. That's a question that came up that I'd like to get some reaction to. (Pause) Silence is golden.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any comments? Maybe we'll get the reactions later. Do you want to proceed to No. 2?

MR. HATCH: Yeah. I guess so.

With respect to Issue 2, the issue is reprinted there and then there's the text of the proposed stipulation. If there's any objection to the way it's phrased or characterized or objections to

1	stipulating at all, then
2	MR. MELSON: Commissioner Johnson, this is
3	one on which I will have to consult with my client.
4	I attempted during the break and they're
5	unavailable to me this morning.
6	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Understood.
7	MR. MELSON: There are some, however, I will
8	be able to stipulate to.
9	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Good. Any objections
10	or comments to the proposed stipulation? Seeing none,
11	it will be inserted. No. 3.
12	MR. HATCH: We had talked about actually
13	deleting this issue as unneeded in terms of a
14	resolution by the Commission. I don't think there was
15	any objection to this one at all in terms of the
16	discussion of the conference call.
17	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any objections or
18	comments? So we will be deleting Issue 3?
19	MR. HATCH: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Issue 3 will be
21	deleted.
22	MR. HATCH: In order to maintain the number
23	consistency, Issue 3 will be in the Prehearing Order. It
24	will appear there, but then there will be a statement that
25	we agreed to drop consideration of the issue.

1	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: That will be fine.
2	No. 4, Issue 4. Any objections to the proposed
3	stipulation?
4	MS. VILLACORTA: Intermedia has an objection.
5	I was not in teleconference with Tracy, but it was my
6	understanding that that issue was going to be stricken.
7	So in light of the fact that there's now an issue down
8	here, I would need to talk to the client.
9	MR. HATCH: When we talked about dropping the
10	issue, I think Pete had a comment about he didn't want
11	to drop it but could agree to this language. That's
12	where we ended up, as I recall.
13	MS. VILLACORTA: I don't think Intermedia's
14	problem is going to be with the first sentence of the
15	proposed stipulation. But there might be some with the
16	second and I would just need to check with them.
17	MR. HATCH: There was a fair amount of
18	discussion with respect to the second sentence. I'm
19	not sure that it adds or takes away from anything, but
20	it gave some folks some comfort that it was there.
21	Basically it says you can't use an expanded
22	interconnection to do something that you can't do.
23	It's sort of like you can't do what you can't do. I view

It's sort of like you can't do what you can't do. it as a truism, but some of the folks had some concerns about whether that language was there or not there. I

24

1	don't know that it causes any problems either there or not
2	there. I don't think it really changes anything.
3	MS. VILLACORTA: Right. And I suspect that
4	they have no problem because it's different than what I
5	talked to them about yesterday. I feel I need to run
6	it by them.
7	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: We'll note your
8	concern/objection.
9	MS. VILLACORTA: Hopefully, I'll be able to
10	let you know.
11	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Great. Okay. Any
12	others?
13	MR. HOFFMAN: If I could, I just want to
14	reiterate for the record that with respect to Issues 4
15	the proposed stipulation on Issues 4, 9 and 13, I've
16	reviewed the language and it appears that the language
17	set forth in these proposals are acceptable. But I
18	would like the opportunity to confirm that these are
19	acceptable with Teleport.
20	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Certainly, Noted for
21	the record. No. 5, Issue 7. Any comments or
22	objections?
23	MR. HATCH: That has to do with the large
24	LECs being essentially treated somewhat differently

than the small LECs.

David Ervin was attempting to reach an agreement with all the parties with respect to that. I know that I've talked to Jeff Wahlen and they had some concerns about whether and how this was going to transpire. Those negotiations are still in progress.

There's nothing more to report at this point.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. We'll note that for the record and proceed to Issue 8 proposed stipulation. Any comments or objections on the proposed stipulation?

MR. FALGOUST: Yes, Commissioner.

Issue 8 does not make specific reference to the implicit understanding that expanded interconnection can be made available only where space is available.

Now, to the extent that Issue 13 is stipulated to, I'm willing to live without an explicit reference to space availability in Issue 8. But to the extent that we can't stipulate Issue 13, I would suggest that we add a phrase in the proposed stipulation to Issue 8 that simply says, "Expanded interconnection should only be tariffed for those central offices where it is likely to occur and where space is available."

MR. HATCH: There is some discussion probably with respect to Issue 8. If you look in the

	22
1	Prehearing Order draft on Page 29 at United's position,
2	it sort of gives you a context of what I'm about to
3	tell you.
4	United's position at the very top of Page 29,
5	that's essentially the text of the stipulation.
6	Everybody agreed to strike that first clause where it
7	says, "to avoid unnecessary administration and cost."
8	That was taken out and everybody agreed to that.
9	My notes reflect that we took out the last
10	sentence but then there's some disagreement about
11	whether we did that or not. And, you know, my notes
12	could certainly be faulty with respect to that in terms
13	of the conversations that occurred. If you look at
14	that and put that last sentence back in, I have no
15	problem putting it back in. I think that's fine. That
16	does change the text that's there. If you put the last
17	sentence back in, then "Is everybody happy?" Is the
18	question?
19	MS. VILLACORTA: Intermedia would not be
20	happy. We'd want to stay with this position.
21	MR. HATCH: So for Issue 8 on that
22	stipulation, do you like the language that's there but
23	not adding back that last sentence?

MS. VILLACORTA: Right.

24

25

MR. FONS: United could not stipulate without

1	that last sentence.
2	MR. HATCH: Either way?
3	MR. FONS: Yes.
4	MR. TYE: I believe AT&T agrees with United,
5	Commissioner.
6	MR. HATCH: You had a proposal, David, that
7	seemed maybe possibly a middle gound. I don't know
8	if that's true or not because you were just going to
9	add one small statement to Issue 8 rather than the
10	whole last sentence.
11	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Could you repeat your
12	amendatory language?
13	MR. FALGOUST: My concern didn't really
14	relate to the last sentence. I'm willing to live
15	without the last sentence. But my concern was with
16	respect to space availability. What I suggested was
17	adding the phrase after the first sentence, adding the
18	phrase, "and where space is available."
19	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Then we'll handle
20	these two.
21	MR. HATCH: That doesn't help you at all.
22	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: It's a separate issue
23	that needs to be handled. Does anyone object to the
24	language that was stated being added?
25	MR. HATCH: I think Intermedia objected to

1	adding it.
2	MS. VILLACORTA: Yes.
3	MR. HATCH: And I guess United and Centel
4	can't live without it.
5	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: No, no, no, no. His
6	is a different issue from your issue.
7	MR. HATCH: I guess the bottom line, there's
8	no agreement to the proposed stipulation on Issue 8.
9	MR. FONS: Kathy, what are you objecting to
10	putting back in that last sentence for consistency?
11	MS. VILLACORTA: I'm objecting to, on 8,
12	putting in the last sentence that's in United's
13	original position that refers to the interstate.
14	MR. FONS: Well, that's what I thought we had
15	agreed to on the telephone.
16	MS. VILLACORTA: Well, I was I need to
17	MR. FONS: Well, we can't stipulate without
18	that sentence.
19	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay.
20	MR. FALGOUST: Commissioner Johnson, if we
21	aren't going to have a stipulation on Issue 8, I had a
22	typographical error in my prehearing statement that I
23	request be changed for the purposes of the
24	Prehearing Order.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay.

1 MR. FALGOUST: Southern Bell's position on
2 Issue 8 said, "Expanded interconnection should be
3 offered," and that should have read, "could be
4 offered." And I ask that that language be inserted
5 appropriately in the Prehearing Order.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I see no problem with that. So we will not have a stipulation with respect to that issue.

MR. HATCH: May I just -- Kathy, what is your concern about adding back that last sentence? Maybe we can work something out if I know what the source of your problem is.

MS. VILLACORTA: My understanding is -- I'm somewhat crippled, which I realize is not anybody's problem by the fact that I'm filling in for Pat.

uncertainty in Intermedia's agreement with how the FCC is going to do it when there is not space available, and what "space available" means. And so there is a reluctance on Intermedia's part to buy into -- do it the way the FCC is doing it when they're not sure they are in agreement with how it's being done. And there are some issues that are not resolved at the federal level regarding that.

Well, I can go back to them and ask them

about it again.

MR. HATCH: Yeah. If we can still reach an agreement, that would be nice.

MS. VILLACORTA: Sure.

MR. HATCH: The other problem is one of the problems that we have talked about in this case is doing things differently than the FCC. And how that's going to work out. So you need to consider whether whatever happens at the FCC level, if you are going to try and push down something different down here.

MS. VILLACORTA: Uh-huh. I understand that. Hopefully, I'll be able to get back to you today.

MR. HOFFMAN: Tracy, with respect to

Teleport, my understanding is that Teleport stipulated
on Issue 8 to the language that's in this proposal,
with the addition of that last sentence in the United
position in the Prehearing Order.

Southern Bell has brought up this additional language which they would like added to the first sentence of the proposed stipulation. Could I get some direction as to what I should communicate to Teleport in terms of trying to reach a stipulation? Would we be putting that last sentence back in, and in the Southern Bell proposal?

MR. DUNBAR: If Teleport agrees to No. 9

1	which is Issue 13, and David doesn't have the problem
2	with 8 anymore Ken, it's really in your hands. If
3	your client agrees to 13, David doesn't even have it
4	pending further.
5	MR. HATCH: Does that make sense to you, Ken?
6	MR. HOFFMAN: If that's satisfactory with
7	David. I think, subject to check, that Teleport does
8	agree with the language proposed under Issue 13.
9	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: And then that would
10	resolve your problem?
11	MR. HATCH: Yes, ma'am.
12	MR. HOFFMAN: If we are now back to Issue 8
13	and all the parties agree to the inclusion of the last
14	sentence in United's position, Teleport has already
15	agreed to that.
16	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay.
17	MR. HATCH: Okay.
18	MR. MELSON: Commissioner Johnson, Issue 8 is
19	another one where I need to consult my client.
20	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Certainly. Any other
21	questions or comments on Issue 8 or the proposed
22	stipulation, which we'll leave pending?
23	MR. HATCH: Could I make sure just so that
24	everybody is aware, could I get confirmation by Friday
25	from everybody as to "Aye" or "Nay" on Issue 8,

-	Including the addition of the last sentence in
2	United's position in the prehearing draft?
3	MR. DUNBAR: For what it's worse, for FCTA
4	and Time Warner, it was our understanding that the last
5	sentence was in there, in the stipulation.
6	MR. HATCH: That seems to be the consensus.
7	I just messed it up somehow.
8	MS. CASWELL: That's our understanding too,
9	so if you want the agreement now.
10	MR. HATCH: But anyway, including that last
11	sentence then make sure that those parties that they
12	have an objection to that last sentence, to let me know
13	by Friday, if possible.
14	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: At this point in time
15	it looks at if who was objecting to that now,
16	Intermedia?
17	MS. VILLACORTA: Right.
18	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. So we'll be
19	most concerned with your response by Friday.
20	No. 7, Issue 9. Any objections or comments
21	to the proposed stipulation? Tracy, do you have any
22	comments on number
23	MR. HATCH: There is one addition that we
24	talked about at the conference call that I forgot to
25	put into this text, and it's just tacked on to the end.

1	I'll read the whole text so you'll get it all. "Any
2	entity should be allowed to interconnect on an intrastate
3	basis. Its own basic transmission facilities associated
4	with terminating equipment and multiplexers, except
5	entities restricted pursuant to Commission rules and
6	regulations." That added text has to do with certain
7	kinds of entities that currently are precluded from doing
8	certain things. For example, STS providers are precluded
9	from getting special access to an IXC.
10	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay.
11	MR. FONS: When does that full language begin?
12	MR. HATCH: To the existing text, add the
13	language, "except entities restricted pursuant to
14	Commission rules and regulations."
15	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: And that was more of a
16	clarifying language, I suppose?
17	MR. HATCH: Yeah. We just want to make sure
18	that something else didn't happen by implication from
19	this text.
20	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any comments with
21	respect to the proposed stipulation with the additional
22	language?
23	MR. MELSON: Commissioner, I think this is
24	the last one on which I need to consult my client.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. And I think Mr.

1	Hoffman stated this is one he would also need to consult
2	MR. HOFFMAN: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: For No. 8, we have
4	that there were parties attempting to reach an
5	agreement on Issue 10. Any comments?
6	MR. TYE: Commissioner, I've talked to Mr.
7	Gillan of FIXCA. I think we have a tentative
8	agreement. We're trying to hammer out the language
9	right now. I think we will stipulate this issue,
10	though, and that will allow us, I believe, to withdraw
11	Mr. Gillan's direct testimony and Mr. Guedel's rebuttal
12	testimony. We'll get that language to Tracy as soon as
13	we can get it hammered out and get approval on it.
14	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. Do you think
15	that right now I'm going to assume that we don't
16	have an agreement?
17	MR. TYE: We have an agreement in principle.
18	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay.
19	MR. TYE: It's just getting the language
20	straightened out. But we will get it to you as soon as
21	Ms. Kaufman can get with Mr. Gillan and get his
22	concurrence on it.
23	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Do we have a date
24	specific that we need that language?
25	MR. HATCH: ASAP is my obvious reaction.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: By Friday. Why don't 1 we make Friday. 2 MR. TYE: Can you all make Friday, Vicki? 3 MS. KAUFMAN: I'll do my best. We have the language and I'd also like to point out that until we 5 do have an agreement on that language, IAC's position 6 for now is going to be the same as FIXCA's, as it is 7 represented on Page 31. But if FIXCA stipulates, I 8 think that will take care of IAC as well. MR. TYE: MCI has also changed their position 10 to adopt FIXCA's position. So I hope if we make peace 11 with FIXCA, we make peace with them too. 12 MR. MELSON: If they've made peace with 13 FIXCA, they've made peace with MCI. 14 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. We'll just have 15 Friday as the deadline for that language. If for any 16 reason we can't make that deadline, we'll work it out 17 -- you all can work it out with Tracy. 18 MR. HATCH: Let me just make one request to 19 the parties that there's now starting to be some 20 changes to positions and getting thrown around. If all 21 parties could get to me any changes to the positions, 22 typographical and that sort of thing, in writing by 23 Monday, I would be most appreciative. That would allow me 24

to go ahead and start putting out the final prehearing

1	draft. If you have a substantive change in your position,
2	you need to announce it now. But making the change in
3	writing by Monday would be really helpful.
4	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Let's proceed to No.
5	9, Issue 13. Any comments or objections?
6	MS. VILLACORTA: Again, this is a motion that
7	Intermedia would not be able to stipulate on.
8	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any other comments or
9	reactions?
10	MR. HATCH: Do you know what your objection
11	is, Kathy?
12	MS. VILLACORTA: Again it relates to where
13	space isn't available, the exemptions that the FCC has
14	made and what that means. And I think one that they
15	were particularly concerned about was where the LEC
16	said that they were unable to sublease and how that
17	will be resolved and what happens.
18	MR. HATCH: As I understand make sure I
19	understand your concern, you don't know what's going to
20	happen at the FCC level so you can't really agree to
21	this here.
22	MS. VILLACORTA: Right. Right.
23	MR. HATCH: Okay.
24	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. So we will not
25	have a proposed stipulation for Issue 13. No. 10,

1	Issue 19. Any questions or comments?
2	MR. HATCH: Just as a note: I intend to sort
3	out the issues and positions to make sure that
4	everything ends up where it's supposed to be in the
5	final draft. Hopefully.
6	And Issue 11 is the same notice to sorting
7	out where all the issues go.
8	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay.
9	MR. HATCH: That's all of the procedural
10	matters that were pending. I'm prepared to go ahead
11	and we can proceed with the
12	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any other pending
13	issues before we proceed through the Prehearing Order?
14	MR. FALGOUST: Yes, Commissioner. It's been
15	my understanding, I would just like to confirm it, that
16	even with the stipulations that the parties reach, the
17	testimony will remain extant in the record except in
18	those situations positions where the party may wish to
19	withdraw the testimony.
20	MR. HATCH: That's my understanding as well.
21	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yes. So noted in the
22	record.
23	Are we ready to proceed with the Prehearing
24	Order?
25	To the extent that the comments deal with
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	typographical- or grammatical-type errors, if you could
2	just submit those to Staff, that would be preferable.
3	What we are doing here is looking for substantive
4	issues or legal issues, real issues.
5	Let's start with No. 1, Case Background. Any
6	comments?
7	MR. DUNBAR: Commissioner, if I might, Time
8	Warner and Florida Cable took no position at this time
9	in their prehearing statement on a number of issues.
10	I have distributed, I believe, to all parties
11	in writing the positions that we have taken consistent
12	with the discovery that Staff has engaged in. Do you
13	want me to read those on each occasion or is it enough
14	that everyone has a copy of that in writing?
15	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I think it's enough
16	that everyone has a copy of that in writing. Unless
17	you have a preference, Staff?
18	MR. HATCH: No, that's fine. As long as
19	everyone everybody has got them, that will be fine.
20	They are quite extensive. I don't think everybody
21	needs to sit here and have them actually recited.
22	I have corrections from the FCTA and Time
23	Warner, and I also have some from MCI as well, just so

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. MELSON: That's correct. MCI also is

that everybody is on board.

24

taking positions on a number of matters where it previously had no position. I believe everybody has got them; if not, I have additional copies here. 3 MR. DUNBAR: I also have additional copies of the FCTA and Time Warner if anyone wants them. 5 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. To the extent 6 that any of the parties need those comments that were 7 filed, they are available. 8 Seeing no comments on Section I, Case Background, Section II, Procedure for Handling 10 Confidential Matters. Seeing none, Section III, 11 Prefiled Testimony and Exhibits. Seeing none, Section 12 IV. Order of Witnesses. 13 MR. HATCH: With respect to Section IV, I 14 have put out a new order of witnesses that reflects 15 rebuttal being separate from direct. I believe it is 16 complete. Please check it. Make sure I didn't leave 17 anybody off or I have something somewhere where it 18 19 shouldn't be. There's no magic to the order that's there 20 21 22

now. Basically, it's Intermedia first with the IXCs and others second and then the LECs last bringing up the trail, if there's any changes or requests to the order.

23

24

25

MR. WAHLEN: Commissioner Johnson, consistent

1	with the ruling this morning, we suggest that Harriet
2	Eudy's rebuttal testimony be added after John Carroll.
3	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I'm sorry, who is the
4	individual? Eudy?
5	MR. WAHLEN: Harriet Eudy's rebuttal
6	testimony for ALLTEL, after John Carroll.
7	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I see no objection.
8	Any objections?
9	We'll note that and add the individuals in
10	that order.
11	MR. WAHLEN: And she'll be testifying
12	primarily on Issue 7.
13	MR. HATCH: The one question I have for the
14	parties is that I have not put any dates for
15	appearances. Are there any special time considerations
16	that any of the witnesses have as far as appearing
17	during the hearing dates that are established for the
18	case? (Pause)
19	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. Seeing no
20	MR. HATCH: Probably what I will do then is I
21	will stack everybody in the first two days. That way
22	everybody is on notice as to when they're going to have
23	to be here up early. And then if it crowds over into
24	the remaining, that's fine, too, so that nobody gets

25 we run out of witnesses and they are not scheduled for

the following day, for example. COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any comments or 2 objections? 3 Then we will insert the new order of witnesses with the additional witness to be included 5 after John Carroll. 6 Section V? 7 MR. HATCH: One more final point. There's a 8 possibility; we're talking to Mr. Dunbar about deposing 9 an individual the provided answers to interrogatories 10 from FCT -- from Time Warner, actually. 11 12 is possible we may require him to be present at the 13 14

We think we're going to be deposing him. It hearing or, in lieu of that, to substitute his deposition transcript if he cannot be here at the hearing.

We're still trying to work all of that out. We're not sure exactly how much we want to do at this point. I just want to put parties on notice that there may yet be a new witness coming along. I forget his name at the moment.

MR. DUNBAR: Paul Jones.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HATCH: That's right, Paul Jones. sorry. That's a possibility that he will be here after the deposition.

1	Is there any major problem on the part of the
2	parties if we depose him using his deposition
3	transcript as his testimony in the hearing? (Pause)
4	We're thinking of deposing him on the 30th at
5	this point. That seems to be the date that works for
6	everybody, or at least for us.
7	MR. FALGOUST: And whose testimony would Mr.
8	Jones be on behalf, Tracy?
9	MR. HATCH: It would be on behalf of Time
10	Warner. But we would subpoena him technically,
11	although he could voluntarily appear as well.
12	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any objections to
13	that? Seeing none, we'll just follow that through,
14	then, Tracy?
15	MR. HATCH: Yes, ma'am.
16	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: And to the extent that
17	we do need to use it, we'll
18	MR. HATCH: As soon as I know anything more,
19	I'll let everybody know as soon as I possibly can what
20	exactly we are doing. I'm not going to announce
21	anything at the eleventh hour.
22	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. Section V,
23	Basic Positions. (Pause) Any comments? And, again, to
24	the extent that they are typographical or grammatical,
25	you can just submit those to Tracy.

1	Section VI, Issues and Positions. (Pause)
2	No comments or objections.
3	Section VII, Exhibit List. Any additions at
4	this time?
5	MR. HATCH: As soon as Staff has a more
6	accurate list of the exhibits that it intends to launch
7	into this proceeding, I'll provide that list to the
8	parties.
9	MR. WAHLEN: Ms. Eudy does have an exhibit
10	with her rebuttal testimony and that will be reflected
11	on this list.
12	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you.
13	We've handled the issues in Section VIII;
14	we've handled the pending motions. There's nothing
15	left for me to rule on.
16	Are there any other comments or any other
17	matters that the parties would like to have heard?
18	Yes, sir.
19	MR. FONS: Yes, Commissioner, if I may.
20	There's really two things: Previously,
21	Tracy, you had indicated some interest in taking
22	depositions of other witnesses?
23	MR. HATCH: Yes. I have an approximate list
24	now. I was going to get together after the prehearing

MR. FONS: And the second issue has to do 1 2 with Phase II. The FCC has now ruled on expanded 3 interconnection for switched access. You have a Phase II in this proceeding. It shows a prehearing 5 conference, I believe, sometime in March with hearings 6 in April; but we have no date scheduled for preparing 7 testimony or filing testimony. And I'm wondering if 8 the Commission has made any determination of when that 9 10 will take place. MR. HATCH: Staff hasn't had a chance to put 11 all of that together yet. We've been focusing mostly 12 on this. I would expect that's been done. We'll 13 probably do that fairly quickly and get that process in 14 motion one way or the other. I don't anticipate much 15 16 delay in doing that. MR. FONS: Do you anticipate the testimony 17 will be due sometime after the hearings are held in 18 Phase I? 19 MR. HATCH: Yes. 20 MR. REITH: John, tentatively we're looking 21 at a possible issue ID in October for Phase II. 22 MR. FONS: Okay. 23 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Other comments? 24 MR. FALGOUST: I have a question, 25

1	Commissioner.
2	Does the Staff contemplate having issue ID
3	prior to the time that the FCC order is actually issued
4	or after the FCC order is issued? I would suggest that
5	we not have an issue ID until after the FCC order is
6	issued.
7	MR. HATCH: Let me consult with Staff.
8	(Pause)
9	I would anticipate that probably it would be
10	after that order is out, whatever that order is going
11	to say.
12	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any other comments or
13	issues that need to be addressed at this time?
14	Seeing none, we will adjourn. Thank you for
15	your participation.
16	(Hearing concluded at 10:25 a.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR, Official Commission Reporter.
5	
6	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Prehearing Conference in this cause, Docket No. 921074-TP, was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the
7	time and place herein stated; it is further
8	CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been
9	transcribed under my direct supervision, and that this transcript, consisting of 41 pages, constitutes a true
10	transcription of my notes of said proceedings;
11	DATED this 17th day of August, A. D., 1993.
12	Jos fall
13	JOY KELLY, CSE, RPR / Chief, Bureau of Reporting Florida Public Service Commission
14	(904) 488-5981
15	
16	
17	STATE OF FLORIDA)
18	COUNTY OF LEON)
19	
20	The foregoing certificate was acknowledged before me this 17th day of August, 1993, by JOY KELLY, who is personally known to me.
21	
22	Evelen L. Bouchel
23	Evelyn L. Borschel Notary Public - State of Florida
24	EVELYN L. BORSCHEL
25	MY COMMISSION # CC288285 EXPIRES May 25, 1997