1	BEFOR FLORIDA PUBLIC SE	E THE	
2	FLORIDA FUBLIC DE	KA1CH COMMIDDION	l
3			
4	In the matter of		
5		•	
6	Comprehensive Review of the Revenue Requirements and Ra	te: DOCKET NO. 920260-TL	
7	Stabilization Plan of SOUTH BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAP COMPANY		
8		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
9			
10	PROCEEDING:	STATUS CONFERENCE 4G	
11	PROCEEDING.		
12	BEFORE:	COMMISSIONER SUSAN F. CLARK Prehearing Officer	
13		Prenearing Officer	
14	DATE:	Monday, November 22, 1993	
15	TIME:	Commenced at 1:00 p.m.	
16	TIME.	Concluded at 1:25 p.m.	
17	7	TDGG Warning Days 106	
18	PLACE:	FPSC Hearing Room 106 Fletcher Building	
19		101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida	
20			
21	REPORTED BY:	JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR	
22		Chief, Bureau of Reporting	
23		JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR Chief, Bureau of Reporting CON OC CONTROL OF C	
24		730 730	
25		осия 900ж	
		<u> </u>	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

APPEARANCES:

HARRIS R. ANTHONY, c/o Marshall M. Criser, III, 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone No. (904) 222-1201, and

R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, 675 Peachtree Street,
Northwest, Suite 4300, Atlanta, Georgia 30375,
Telephone No. (404) 529-6361, on behalf of BellSouth
Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone
and Telegraph Company.

MICHAEL W. TYE, 106 East College Avenue,
Suite 1410, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone No.

(904) 425-6360, on behalf of AT&T Communications of the
Southern States, Inc.

MICHAEL GROSS, Assistant Attorney General,
Department of Legal Affairs, The Capitol, Room 1603,
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050, Telephone No. (904)
488-8253, on behalf of the Attorney General of the
State of Florida.

CHARLES J. BECK, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, Telephone No. (904) 488-9330, on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	DONALD BELL, Foley & Lardner, Post Office Box
3	508, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, Telepone No. (904)
4	222-6100, appearing on behalf of AARP.
5	ANGELA B. GREEN, TRACY HATCH and JEAN
6	WILSON, FPSC Division of Legal Services, 101 East
7	Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863,
8	Telephone No. (904) 487-2740, appearing on behalf of
9	the Commission Staff.
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
18	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS 1 (Hearing convened at 1:00 p.m.) 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Call this status 3 conference to order. Angela, would you read the 4 notice? 5 MS. GREEN: Pursuant to notice this time and 6 place was set for a status conference before 7 Commissioner Susan Clark as prehearing officer. This 8 is Docket 920260 and the other four associated dockets. 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let's take appearances. 10 MR. ANTHONY: Hank Anthony, Doug Lackey on 11 behalf of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph. 12 MR. BELL: Donald Bell on behalf of AARP. 13 MR. BECK: Charlie Beck, Office of the Public 14 Counsel, on behalf of Florida citizens. 15 MR. TYE: Michael W. Tye on behalf of AT&T 16 17 Communications of the Southern States, Inc. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Does anyone else want to 18 19 make an appearance? MR. GROSS: Michael Gross, Attorney General's 20 21 Office. 22 Joy, did you get that? Michael, would you come up. Go ahead and 23 24 come on up. You need to turn on a microphone so Joy 25 can pick it up.

1	MR. GROSS: Michael Gross, Florida Attorney
2	General's office.
3	MS. GREEN: Angela Green, Tracy Hatch and
4	Jean Wilson on behalf of the Commission Staff.
5	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Let me just run
6	through the parties and see who has something we need
7	to take up.
8	MR. ANTHONY: We don't have anything.
9	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Mr. Gross, do you
10	have anything?
11	MR. GROSS: The Attorney General has nothing.
12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Mr. Bell.
13	MR. BELL: No, ma'am.
14	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Tye.
15	MR. TYE: Nothing.
16	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm coming to you.
17	MR. BECK: Charlie, you have some things we
18	need to discuss.
19	MR. BECK: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Staff, do you
21	have anything?
22	MS. GREEN: Only in response to Mr. Beck.
23	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Go ahead,
24	Mr. Beck.
25	MR. BECK: Commissioner, we have a number of
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

litems.

The first one is some additional issues. You issued an additional order on prehearing procedure as a result of the initial issues conference that the parties had. At that time we discussed the fact we had not prepared our testimony yet and might need to raise additional issues after we had finished that. This morning I faxed proposed additional issues to the Staff and to Southern Bell. I think the Staff has some proposed changed to them that I'm agreeable with. I don't know if we should start going over of the additional issues or how we handle that.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me see. Has Southern Bell seen those issues?

MR. ANTHONY: We received them this morning.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Have you had time to review them?

MR. ANTHONY: Briefly we have had a chance to look at them.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Can we now agree to have them added as issues or do you need time to look at them?

MR. ANTHONY: We have problems with at least one or two of them.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

MR. ANTHONY: I know Mr. Beck may have had 1 some conversations with Staff about it, so --2 MR. BECK: Yes. 3 MR. ANTHONY: I don't know if any changes 4 5 have been proposed. MR. BECK: Yes, there have. I know there's 6 one that's going to be in dispute, and that's on inside 7 wire maintenance, which is an old issue, I can briefly 8 address that, and I'm sure Southern Bell wants to 9 10 address it. COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm just wondering what 11 the most expedient way and effective way is to deal 12 with that. 13 MS. GREEN: One of the notable things is that 14 15 the Company hasn't seen any of the Staff's proposed 16 changes, so that may alleviate some of the concerns. 17 It will probably be better to let the parties work on this after today's status conference. 18 19 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Would that be acceptable for the parties to get together and then if I have to 20 21 work -- then if I have to be involved, Staff can bring 22 those issues to me? MR. BECK: My educated guess is that we will 23 24 reach an agreement on everything except the inside wire

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

matter, and I think we could all address that very

briefly.

MS. GREEN: Well, I believe that's probably the case, having reviewed these this morning. And you might want to take that up, because as my memory serves me, you've already made a ruling once in this docket that that would not be an issue. I'll bring you a list of the issues.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Anthony, would you be prepared to respond to Mr. Beck's argument that the issue on inside wire should be part of this docket?

MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Beck is correct that we would be opposed to it. I don't know what specific arguments are raised today, but I think we can address them. But as Ms. Green noted, it is an issue that's already been proposed, argued about and you had ruled that it should not be a part of the case. But if there's something they want, I'm sure we can respond to it this morning -- this afternoon.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Go ahead. Let me hear you on your --

MR. BECK: The matter of whether to impute the profits from simple inside wire mainenance is presently before the Commission in a rule proceeding.

I don't know the schedule of that rule proceeding. It was put off until the next available time, or something

like that.

The purpose of having an issue in the case is to quantify the effect so that if the Commission rules in the rule proceeding that it will impute the revenues and expenses from inside wire, at least there will be some evidence in the record about what the numbers are that go along with that. We're perfectly willing to let the rule hearing conclude the matter or determine the matter about whether to actually make the imputation or not.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: So really what you want is a quantification of an adjustment if the Commission determines that it should be -- that the revenues and expenses should be above the line?

MR. BECK: Yes. So there will be evidence in the record that the Commission can act on.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Anthony.

MR. ANTHONY: Commissioner Clark, it's an issue that may or may not be pertinent to this case now or in the future. It is a matter that's pending before you in a current rulemaking proceeding. I think there was a whole host of positions that were set forth to the Commission when there was a meeting about that previously. There's nothing to say that the Commission will decide to impute any revenues or do anything else,

for that matter, with respect to inside wire that's different from today.

This case is complicated. It's got a slew of issues, it's got a slew of information that's being gathered in discovery today. And I think it's putting the cart before the horse to have to get into issues in a rate case that may not ever come to pass. And we're just one of 13 local exchange companies. If this is going to be done, it's going to have to be done for all 13 companies. I don't think it's unreasonable for Southern Bell to be treated the same. It's not going to speed the process up or slow it down.

commissioner clark: Let me ask you this. It seems to me in the rulemaking we will be involved in the policy decision as to whether or not it's a good idea to include those revenues and expenses above the line. What's wrong with simply quantifying them in this proceeding?

MR. ANTHONY: Because I don't think we know what there is to quantify. As I understand it right now we're talking about the simple maintenance, maintenance of simple inside wire.

It would be Southern Bell's position that to take that just out by itself would be inappropriate.

We think, and we said this in the rulemaking

proceeding, that things ought to stay the way they are.

But you have a whole host of other things that may or

may not go with it. Do you take the complex inside

wire, do you take the installation of both simple and

complex wire? Do you want to have just a tariffed rate

for it?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I agree those are issues to be considered.

MR. ANTHONY: My point is how do we know -when you get into all of that, it's not something that
Southern Bell can just reach into its --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: You're saying that you cannot determine what the revenues and expenses are from simple inside wire maintenance.

MR. ANTHONY: If I recall correctly -- again,
I haven't had a chance to do my homework on this -- but
if I recall, from what we filed in response to some
data requests from the Staff in the context of the
rulemaking, that's what we told the Commission, that
it's a line of business, in effect, and it's very
difficult to extract one from the other. I could be
wrong about that. They may recall, but I believe that
was what was said. I apologize. I just haven't had a
chance to check on that.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Beck, wouldn't we be

likewise quantifying these costs as part of the rulemaking?

MR. BECK: I don't know. Now, if evidence is going to be presented in the rulemaking, and we could all agree to adopt or simulate the record from that proceeding into this one, so that we could use it to set rates, that would be fine with me also.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Your concern is that the Commission would make a decision to include it in regulated revenues which would have an impact on the rates ultimately approved in this case.

MR. BECK: Yes. And the manner in which we get the evidence in the record matters not to me. All I'm trying to do is make sure it gets in.

MR. ANTHONY: It seems to me, if I can, that there are a number of other complications. Again, I'm just going from memory now, but if I recall correctly, again, Southern Bell showed a loss on its inside wire operations in 1992; and is, I think, experiencing a loss again this year.

I'm sure from having read some of Public Counsel's interrogatories, testimony and so on, they are going to take the position that's because of the hurricane and some other factors. But I'm not sure that it makes sense to get into that in any great

detail when we're not sure exactly what we're trying to accomplish until the Commission decides what it wants to do within the context of inside wire. It's just so complicated that it's going to put a heck of a burden on Southern Bell where we're already overburdened with discovery. We've got over 3,000 interrogatories and so on. There's only so much you can pour into a can before it starts to overflowing, if there's another context in which we can do it.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Anything else, Mr. Beck?

MR. BECK: That's all I have on the issues.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: On that issue. Does

Staff want to add anything?

MR. HATCH: Only that the inside wire hearing is currently scheduled for September 7th, 8th, and 9th. And as I sort of understand, what Mr. Beck is suggesting is that all of that would be included in the '93 true-up anyway. To the extent that when we get to the inside wire, we're going to have to have this information for that case no matter what. I'm not sure that doing it now and doing it again later is going to serve much purpose. Certainly it's going to come into the inside wire docket, and even if we did it now and did it later, you'd end up redoing it because you'd have to have updates and so forth so --

1 MR. BECK: I'd still like to get it in this 2 I didn't realize the inside wire had been set 3 for September. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Well, I think 4 5 that's still an issue the parties can address when you 6 talk to each other, and then if it still needs to be resolved I'll resolve it. Anything else, Mr. Beck? 7 8 MR. BECK: I have other matters beside the issues. 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: 10 Okay. 11 MR. BECK. The next item concerns the Staff testimony to be filed today, and I have a couple of 12 13 things on that. 14 First of all, it's my understanding that Staff is filing a rate case audit and will be filing 15 16 the audit and the work papers today. However, the work 17 papers are being treated confidential. I'd like to 18 move that we be granted access to those work papers and 19 that I be able to get a copy of them. 20 I have been told that that's what I need to 21 do in order to get these things. 22 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, yes. The Staff 23 mentioned to me that you would want to see those, the 24 audit and the work papers, as soon as possible. And I

thought we could avoid a written motion to allow you to

1	see those if we could agree that it could be done, that
2	you would have the same access to the audit and the
3	work papers as were under the same terms and
4	conditions as was allowed in the previous order for the
5	previous audit.
6	MR. ANTHONY: I'm not sure what those terms
7	were, but as long as Public Counsel's receipt of those
8	would be considered to be confidential in effect under
9	a motion for temporary protective order, we would have
10	no objection to that.
11	MR. BECK: We would treat it as such, pending
12	the ultimate resolution of Southern Bell's claim of
13	confidentiality.
14	MR. ANTHONY: I don't know what the work
15	papers say either, so it's hard to argue the specifics
16	but at least a temporary motion for protective order.
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Then I'll grant that
18	motion. And if you
19	MS. GREEN: That would be an interim or
20	temporary protective order as it was last time.
21	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Correct. Angela, am I
22	going to need an order to sign to that effect?
23	MS. GREEN: Yes, ma'am.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And it will just be a

25 brief order.

MS. GREEN: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Go ahead,

3 Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: Commissioner, the next item on the list is Staff testimony. It's also my understanding that the Staff will file testimony on two other audits that they will -- the testimony will be adopting audits that are not complete, that have not been filed. With respect to those, I'd like to request that we be given a day-for-day extension on responding in rebuttal testimony until the time that the audits actually come in.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Do you have reference to an audit that is a WASP audit and a continuing property audit, is that what we're talking about?

MR. BECK: That's my understanding.

commissioner clark: Okay. My understanding from Staff is that they will be done on or before December 17th, which is the same date, I guess, the NARUC audit is going to be done. And I have previously allowed until December 30th for filing testimony, your testimony, which would be responsive to that audit. I will allow the same for the two audits on the continuing property records and the work activities

sampling procedures. Okay? 1 2 MR. BECK: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CLARK: As I understand it, it's 3 December 30th. Okay? 4 MR. ANTHONY: Commissioner Clark, I assume 5 Southern Bell will also have until the 30th to respond 6 7 to those audits? COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. 8 9 MR. ANTHONY: Thank you. MR. BECK: Commissioner, the next item on my 10 list is opening statements. I'd like to request the 11 opportunity to give an opening statement of up to ten 12 minutes at the beginning of the hearing. 13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Southern Bell. 14 MR. ANTHONY: I don't have any objection to 15 16 that. COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'll tell you what, I 17 think I would like to know what parties would like to 18 give opening statements, so I can alert the Chairman 19 that there have been requests so he can get some idea 20 of what the initial procedures are going to be in the 21 case. And if there is anyone else who desires to make 22 an opening statement, if you'd let Angela or Tracy 23 24 know, we can appropriately schedule for those. 25 MS. GREEN: What I could do, perhaps, we have

a prehearing conference scheduled for December 20th, and I was just thinking quickly maybe I could put out a memo to all of the parties to let them know that you want this information for that prehearing conference.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think that's an excellent idea.

MS. GREEN: Okay.

It is a big case with a lot of issues, and there may be some desire to set the stage and focus the Commission's attention on some issues that the parties think are the major and more relevant issues.

Anything further?

MR. BECK: Yes. This has to do with the pending Supreme Court ruling.

Again, the only way that we won't have some problems is if we lose every issue pending before the Supreme Court. If we should win anything, there's a problem. And that is, we've been denied discovery that the Commission has ordered Southern Bell to produce. You're very familiar with almost countless, seems like countless orders.

I was wondering, or at least I wanted to raise the issue of whether the Prehearing Order would address the contingency of what we're going to do if the Supreme Court should rule and grant some of our

appeals sometime before the rate case. Because we would want the opportunity to do discovery based upon 2 what we received and file additional testimony on 3 4 whatever we might win or not win at the Supreme Court. 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: They've already issued 6 one order in a case that was argued that day, haven't 7 they? MS. GREEN: Yes. 8 MR. BECK: I didn't know that. 9 MR. HATCH: That was the original privilege 10 order quite some time ago. 11 COMMISSIONER CLARK: No, no, there was a 12 case, completely nothing to do with this case, I'm 13 14 sorry. MR. BECK: It must have been a simple one. 15 16 (Laughter) COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me clarify what I 17 just said. 18 Mr. Anthony, did you have anything you 19 wanted, any comments you wanted to make, because I 20 certainly think we're going to have to address that. 21 MR. ANTHONY: Well, I hope with all due 22 deference that the Supreme Court rules in my favor in 23 all of those issues. But I understand the problem; I 24

don't know what the solution to it is. I think it's

going to depend on when the rulings come out, how they rule. And I agree that it's going to be a concern and I understand Public Counsel's desire to take additional discovery if some of those issues are decided against me, but I don't have any specific ideas at this point.

Does it make sense to schedule a status conference that is contingent upon an order coming out that says that they are not privileged? In other words, within -- we'll let parties know within three days of that decision. We're going to get back together to see what we need to do in terms of discovery and filing testimony.

MS. GREEN: Well, at this point in time, today being November 22nd, and then we have the Thanksgiving holidays, we do have a meeting set for December the 20th. Given the testimony that's being filed and the plan for depositions, it's hard for me to imagine that the parties would be readily able to get together much before December 20th.

commissioner clark: Well, I guess at this point let's just -- I know that it's potentially a problem. Really any solution, if there needs to be a solution, has to await that decision. So you've alerted me to the problem. And when we get that order,

if it comes out that, in fact, you are entitled to that discovery, then we'll have to deal with it. 2 MR. BECK: That's all I have. 3 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. The order on the 4 5 Cooper testimony, the draft and we -- as you know, 6 we've had more than a couple of attorneys leave, but at least two of whom have been in the Telecommunications 7 Bureau, so they're struggling to get the work done. 8 But I can assure you I checked on it this morning, and 9 the order itself, a draft, is done and it should be out 10 11 soon. MR. HATCH: Actually, I'm about ten minutes 12 away. I was on the last section when 1:00 rolls. 13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Close enough. I mean, 14 15 I've see it, so --MR. BECK: I should mention, Commissioner, on 16 the due date for filing testimony, we have five pieces 17 of testimony that we filed. I think everything is in 18 the mail in the process; that Southern Bell anticipates 19 filing a specific request for filing confidentiality a 20 week from today, is my understanding. 21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. So I know that's 2.2 23 coming. MR. BECK: Yes. 24 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And I can tell you, 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	though, I have reviewed the status the report on
2	motions that is kept in the Legal Department just to
3	make sure things are we are dealing with those
4	motions in a timely fashion. And the results of this
5	status conference indicates that we're getting those
6	things done. Okay?
7	Anything further for this status conference?
8	If not, I'll see you on the 20th.
9	(Whereupon, the status conference was
10	concluded at 1:25 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	·
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	FLORIDA)
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, JOY KELLY CSR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter,
6	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that Status Conference 4G in this cause, Docket No. 920260-TL, was heard by the
7	Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is further
8	CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been
9	transcribed under my direct supervision, and that this
10	transcript, consisting of 22 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
11	DATED this 29the day of November, A. D.,
12	Jon Hell
13	JOY KELLY (SR, RPR) Chief, Bureau of Reporting
14	(904) 488-5981
15	
16	
17	STATE OF FLORIDA)
18	COUNTY OF LEON)
19	The foregoing certificate was acknowledged
20	before me this 29th day of November, 1993, by JOY KELLY, who is personally known to me.
21	REDDI, WHO IS PERSONALLY KNOWN to me.
22	Patricia a. Thurch
23	PATRICIA A. CHURCH
	
24	Notary Public - State of Florida Com. No. CC-90785 Notary Public 5:
24 25	Notary Public - State of Florida