LAW OFFICES

## CHANDLER, LANG & HASWELL, P.A.

POST OFFICE BOX 23879

## GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32602-3879

JAMES F. LANG
JOHN H. HASWELL
C. WHARTON COLE

TELEPHONE 904/376-5226
TELECOPIER 904/372-8858
2II N.E. FIRST STREET
GAINESVILLE, FL 32601-5367

WILLIAM H. CHANDLER

January 3, 1994

Steve Tribble, Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 101 E. Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0854

In Re:

Petition of Gulf Power Company to Resolve a Territorial Dispute with Gulf

Coast Electric Cooperative, Inc. Docket No.

Dear Mr. Tribble:

I am enclosing herewith the original and 15 copies of the Preliminary Issues in the above docket which I would appreciate your filing in the appropriate file.

Very truly yours,

John H. Haswell

JHH/gz Enclosure

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00092 JAN-4 क

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

## PRELIMINARY ISSUES FOR DOCKET NO. 930885-EU PETITION TO RESOLVE TERRITORIAL DISPUTE BETWEEN GCEC AND GPC

## IDENTIFIED BY GULF COAST ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

ISSUE 1:	What is the geographical description of the area in dispute?
ISSUE 2:	Which utility has historically served the area in dispute?
ISSUE 3:	What is the location, purpose, type, and capacity of each utility's facilities existing as of the filing of the petition in this case?
ISSUE 4:	Are there other areas of potential conflict between the service areas of GCEC and GPC?
ISSUE 5:	Is either utility presently serving the area in dispute?
ISSUE 6:	What is the expected customer load and energy growth in the disputed area and surrounding areas?
ISSUE 7:	What additional facilities would each party have to build to serve the disputed area?
ISSUE 8:	What is the existing ability of each utility to extend existing facilities to the area in question?
ISSUE 9:	How long would it take each utility to provide service to the disputed area?
ISSUE 10:	Has unnecessary and uneconomical duplication of electric facilities occurred in the vicinity of the disputed area or in other areas of potential dispute between the parties?
ISSUE 11:	Do the parties have a formal territorial agreement that covers the area in dispute, or any other areas of potential dispute?
ISSUE 12:	Have the parties made any attempts to reach agreement on who should serve the disputed area, or any other areas of potential dispute?
ISSUE 13:	What would be the additional cost to each utility to provide electric service to the area in dispute?

DOCUMENT MIMBER-DATE

00092 JAN-48

Preliminary Issues for Docket No. 930885-EU GCEC/GPC Page 2

ISSUE 14.	What would be the cost to each utility if it were not permitted to serve the area in dispute?
ISSUE 15:	What would be the effect on each utility's ratepayers if it were not permitted to serve the disputed area?
ISSUE 16:	If all other things are equal, what is the customer preference for utility service in the disputed area?
ISSUE 17:	Which party should be permitted to serve the area in dispute?
ISSUE 18:	What conditions, if any, should accompany the Commission's decision regarding which party should be permitted to serve the disputed area?