## BEFORF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Disposition of Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction (CIAC) Gross-Up Funds Received by GULF UTILITY COMPANY in Lee County. ) DOCKET NO. 930216-WS ) ORDER NO. PSC-94-0148-FOF-WS ) ISSUED: February 7, 1994

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

J. TERRY DEASON, Chairman JULIA L. JOHNSON LUIS J. LAUREDO

# ORDER ACKNOWLEDGING SFCC'S NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL <u>AND</u> <u>REVIVING ORDER NO. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS,</u> <u>MAKING IT FINAL AND EFFECTIVE</u>

BY THE COMMISSION:

Orders Nos. 16971 and 23541, issued December 18, 1986, and October 1, 1990, respectively, require that utilities annually file information to be used to determine the actual state and federal income tax liability directly attributable to contributions-in-aidof-construction (CIAC). This information is also used to determine whether a refund of the gross-up is appropriate for any given year for which gross-up was in effect. Order No. PSC-92-0961-FOF-WS, issued September 9, 1993, clarified the provisions of Orders Nos. 16971 and 23541 for the calculation of refunds of gross-up of CIAC. On September 14, 1992, Order No. PSC-92-0961A-FOF-WS, was issued. That Order included the generic calculation form.

In accordance with Order No. 16971, Gulf Utility Company (Gulf or utility) filed its 1987 through 1991 annual CIAC reports regarding its collection of gross-up each year. By Proposed Agency Action (PAA) Order No. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS, issued June 9, 1993, the Commission proposed to require Gulf to refund excess gross-up collections of \$5,708 for 1987; \$49,212 for 1988; \$45,309 for 1989; \$32,462 for 1990; and \$120,447 for 1991, for a total of \$253,138 plus accrued interest through the date of refund.

On June 28, 1993, Southwest Florida Capital Corporation (SFCC) timely filed a protest to Order No. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS. As a result of the protest, this matter was scheduled for a January 10-11, 1994, administrative hearing. On December 21, 1993, SFCC filed

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01221 FEB-7 a

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal pursuant to Rule 1.420(a), Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 25-22.035(3), Florida Administrative Code, wherein it requests that its protest be withdrawn.

Upon consideration of the foregoing, we find it appropriate to acknowledge SFCC's Notice of Voluntary Dismissal and revive Order No. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS, making it final and effective. Order No. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS is attached and incorporated herein by reference. Further, this docket should remain open to monitor the refunds. Upon the utility's completion of the refunds, this docket shall be closed administratively.

Based on the foregoing, it is, therefore,

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Southwest Florida Capital Corporation's Notice of Voluntary Dismissal is hereby acknowledged. It is further

Ordered that Order No. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS is hereby revived and made final and effective. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall remain open to monitor the refunds. Upon Gulf Utility Company's completion of the refunds, this docket shall be closed administratively.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 7th day of February, 1994.

> STEVE TRIBBLE, Director Division of Records and Reporting

(SEAL)

by: Kaufe in Chief, Burgau of Records

LAJ

# NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or sewer utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900 (a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ATTACHMENT 1

Page 1 of 8

In Re: Disposition of Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction (CIAC) Gross-Up Funds Received by GULF UTILITY COMPANY in Lee County. ) DOCKET NO. 930216-WS ) ORDER NO. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS ) ISSUED: June 9, 1993

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

## J. TERRY DEASON, Chairman THOMAS M. BEARD SUSAN F. CLARK JULIA L. JOHNSON LUIS J. LAUREDO

## NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION ORDER REQUIRING REFUND

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are adversely affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code.

#### Background

The repeal of Section 118(b) of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) resulted in making contributions-in-aid-of-construction (CIAC) gross income and depreciable for federal tax purposes. By Order No. 16971, issued December 18, 1986, this Commission authorized corporate utilities to collect a CIAC tax gross-up in order for those utilities to pay the tax liability resulting from their receipt of CIAC.

In Order No. 23541, issued October 1, 1990, we ordered all water and wastewater utilities which were collecting the CIAC tax gross-up and which wanted to continue do so to file a petition for approval of same on or before October 29, 1990. Gulf Utility Company (Gulf or utility) filed a petition, and by proposed agency action (PAA) Order No. PSC-92-0742-FOF-WS, issued July 10, 1992, we proposed authorizing Gulf to continue to gross-up. Bowever, Southwest Florida Capital Corporation protested that Order, so that matter proceeded to an administrative hearing. Since no final decision has been made in that case, the question of whether Gulf will be allowed to collect the gross-up on a prospective basis is yet to be resoled.

> DOCUMENT HUMDER-DATE 06213 JUN-98

> ATTACHMENT 1 Page 2 of 8

ORDER NO. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS DOCKET NO. 930216-WS PAGE 2

By Orders Nos. 16971 and 23541, we required utilities which gross-up to file annually the information needed for (1) a determination of the utility's state and federal income tax liability directly attributable to receipt of CIAC for that year and (2) a determination of whether a refund of gross-up charges collected that year is appropriate. These orders required that a utility refund on a pro rata basis the gross-up charges collected each year which exceeded the utility's actual above-the-line tax liability attributable to CIAC for the same year.

By PAA Order No. PSC-92-0961-FOF-WS, issued September 9, 1992, as amended by Order No. PSC-92-0961A-FOF-WS, issued September 14, 1992, we clarified the refund calculation provisions of Orders Nos. 16971 and 23541. No protest to that PAA Order was filed, so the action taken therein became final.

Gulf is a class A utility providing water and wastewater service in Lee County. According to its 1991 annual report, Gulf had \$1,484,296 in operating revenue and \$44,650 in net operating income for its water system and had \$660,570 in operating revenue and \$44,650 in net operating income for its wastewater system.

# Refund Calculations For Years 1987 Through 1991

In compliance with Order No. 16971, Gulf filed annual CIAC gross-up reports for 1987 through 1991. By letter dated April 10, 1991, Gulf amended its CIAC reports for 1987, 1988 and 1989. In response to some of our staff's preliminary refund calculations sent to Gulf on March 1, 1993, Gulf submitted copies of a special report containing Gulf's proposed refund calculation on April 7, 1993. Our calculations are reflected on Schedule No. 1, which is attached hereto and by reference incorporated herein. Our calculations differ with Gulf's in two respects.

First, in calculating net taxable CIAC, Gulf reduced the amount of taxable CIAC by the first year's depreciation only for years where there was above-the-line income exclusive of CIAC and gross-up. If there was an above-the-line loss, Gulf included the first year's depreciation in the loss, but did not subtract if from taxable CIAC to compute net taxable CIAC, apparently on the theory that the loss already included a reduction for the depreciation.

We believe it is appropriate to separately account for the first year's depreciation on taxable CIAC when calculating abovethe-line income or loss, thus excluding all effects of gross-up

> ATTACHMENT 1 Page 3 of 8

ORDER NO. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS DOCKET NO. 930216-WS PAGE 3

from that calculation, and to subtract the first year's depreciation from taxable CIAC when calculating the amount of net taxable CIAC. This is done so we can isolate the above-the-line income or loss as if CIAC gross-up were not a factor. The full gross-up formula, which Gulf used for the years in question, takes into account the first year's depreciation using a half-year convention. Depreciation is an expense which reduces the amount of taxable CIAC and the amount of taxes due.

We note that our calculation of net taxable CIAC agrees with Gulf's for each year except 1988. For that year, Gulf shows an above-the-line loss of \$76,885. That amount, however, includes \$99,700 in first year depreciation associated with taxable CIAC. As is shown in our calculation on Schedule No. 1, Gulf would have \$22,815 in above-the-line income when depreciation is added in. Therefore, no reduction to the amount of taxable CIAC should be made in 1988 for an above-the-line loss.

Our second disagreement with Gulf's calculations concerns the tax rate. Gulf used a combined effective state and federal tax rate which it calculated from its returns. By Order No. PSC-92-0961-FOF-WS, we adopted, and we have consistently used, the combined federal and state tax rates, and not combined effective tax rates. We have used the combined state and federal rates since the net amount of taxable CIAC will in fact be taxed at the combined rates and not at the effective rates.

We note that Gulf's proposed effective tax rates are higher than the combined federal and state tax rates for each year except 1987. In 1987, the federal tax rate was 46% for the first six months and 34% for the last six months. We calculated the average of the two combined federal and state rates and arrived at a combined federal and state tax rate of 43.3%; Gulf's effective federal and state rate for 1987 was 42.10%.

According to Order No. 23541, all gross-up amounts in excess of a utility's actual tax liability resulting from its collection of CIAC in a year should be refunded on a pro rata basis to those persons who paid the gross-up that year. According to our calculations, Gulf has a refund obligation for each year, 1987-1991. A summary of each year's calculation follows.

> ATTACHMENT 1 Page 4 of 8

ORDER NO. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS DOCKET NO. 930216-WS PAGE 4

#### 1987

The utility calculated a \$18,624 refund for 1987; we calculated a \$5,708 refund. The 1987 CIAC report indicates that a total of \$275,502 of gross-up charges were collected and that the first year's depreciation on CIAC was \$7,479. The utility had an above-the-line loss of \$100,334 prior to consideration of taxable CIAC and associated depreciation. We reduced the amount of taxable CIAC to account for this above-the-line loss and used the 43.30% combined federal and state tax rates to calculate the net income tax on CIAC. We then compared the gross-up required to pay the tax to the gross-up charges collected. The \$5,708 difference is the amount of the refund. The amount does not include the accrued interest from December 31, 1987, to the date of refund which must also be refunded.

#### 1983

The utility calculated a \$26,658 refund for 1988; we calculated a \$49,212 refund. The 1988 CIAC report indicates that a total of \$1,407,307 of gross-up charges were collected and that the first year's depreciation on CIAC was \$99,700. Gulf had above-the-line income of \$22,315 for the year. We used the 37.63% combined federal and state tax rates to calculate the net income tax on CIAC. We then compared the gross-up required to pay the tax to the gross-up charges collected. The \$49,212 difference is the amount of the refund. The amount does not include the accrued interest from December 31, 1988, to the date of refund which must also be refunded.

#### 1989

The utility calculated a \$37,670 refund for 1989; We calculated a \$45,309 refund. The 1989 CIAC report indicates that a total of \$1,328,581 of gross-up charges were collected and that the first year's depreciation on CIAC was \$39,437. Gulf had above-the-line income of \$192,702 for the year. We used the 37.63% combined federal and state tax rates to calculate the net income tax on CIAC. We then compared the gross-up required to pay the tax to the gross-up charges collected. The \$45,309 difference is the amount of the refund. The amount does not include the accrued interest from December 31, 1989, to the date of refund which must also be refunded.

> ATTACHMENT 1 Page 5 of 8

ORDER NO. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS DOCKET NO. 930216-WS PAGE 5

## 1990

The utility calculated a \$25,677 refund for 1990; we calculated a \$32,462 refund. The 1990 CIAC report indicates that a total of \$1,021,526 of gross-up charges were collected and that the first year's depreciation on CIAC was \$60,146. Gulf had above-the-line income of \$120,292 for the year. We used the 37.63% combined federal and state tax rates to calculate the net income tax on CIAC. We then compared the gross-up required to pay the tax to the gross-up charges collected. The \$32,462 difference is the amount of the refund. This amount does not include the accrued interest from December 31, 1990, to the date of refund which must also be refunded.

## 1991

The utility calculated a \$114,402 refund for 1991; we calculated a \$120,447 refund. The 1991 CIAC report indicates that a total of \$665,291 of gross-up charges were collected and that the first year's depreciation on the CIAC was \$24,630. The utility had an above-the-line loss of \$177,642 prior to consideration of taxable CIAC and associated depreciation. We reduced the amount of taxable CIAC to account for this above-the-line loss and used the 37.63% combined federal and state tax rates to calculate the net income tax on CIAC. We then compared the gross-up required to pay the tax to the gross-up charges collected. The \$120,447 difference is the amount of the refund. This amount does not include the accrued interest from December 31, 1991, to the date of refund which must also be refunded.

## Conclusion

Based upon the foregoing, Gulf is hereby required to refued on a pro rata basis to all persons who paid gross-up charges in a given year \$5,708 for 1987, \$49,212 for 1988, \$45,309 for 1989 \$32,462 for 1990, and \$120,447 for 1991, plus accrued interact through the date of the refund. The refunds must be completed within six months of the date of this Order. Gulf shall submit copies of canceled checks, bills which have had credits applied to them, or other evidence which verifies that the refunds have been made within 30 days of completion of the refund.

> ATTACHMENT 1 Page 6 of 8

ORDER NO. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS DOCKET NO. 930216-WS PAGE 6

Based on the foregoing, it is, therefore

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the provisions of this Order are issued as proposed agency action and shall become final, unless an appropriate petition in the form provided by Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Director of the Division of Records and Reporting at his office at 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, by the date set forth in the Notice of Further Proceedings below. It is further

ORDERED that, as set forth hereinabove, Gulf Utility Company is hereby required to refund on a pro rata basis to all persons who paid gross-up charges in a given year \$5,708 for 1987, \$49,212 for 1988, \$45,309 for 1989, \$32,462 for 1990, and \$120,447 for 1991, plus accrued interest from the date of collection through the date of the refund. It is further

ORDERED that the refunds required herein must be completed within six months of the date of this Order and that Gulf Utility Company shall submit copies of canceled checks, bills which have had credits applied to them, or other evidence verifying that the refunds have been made within 30 days of completion of the refund. It is further

ORDERED that all matters contained in the schedule attached hereto are by reference incorporated herein. It is further

ORDERED that the docket will be closed upon expiration of the protest period if no timely protest is filed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this <u>9th</u> day of <u>June</u>, <u>1993</u>.

TRIBBLE Director

Division of Records and Reporting

(SEAL)

MJF

ŧ

> ATTACHMENT 1 Page 7 of 8

ORDER NO. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS DOCKET NO. 930216-WS PAGE 7

# NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.63, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature and will not become effective or final, except as provided by Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, as provided by Rule 25-22.029(4), Florida Administrative Code, in the form provided by Rule 25-22.036(7)(a) and (f), Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting at his office at 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, by the close of business on June 30, 1993.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become effective on the day subsequent to the above date as provided by Rule 25-22.029(6), Florida Administrative Code.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

If this order becomes final and effective on the date described above, any party adversely affected may request judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or by the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

\*\* . .\*

## ATTACHMENT 1 Page 8 of 8

ORDER NO. PSC-93-0871-FOF-WS DOCKET NO. 930216-WS PAGE 8

## SCHEDULE NO. 1

SULF UTILITY COMPANY SOURCE: (Line references are from CIAC Reports)

1990 1991 1988 1989 1987 ----------\$ 533.346 \$ 3.164.365 \$ 3.482.326 \$ 2.238.372 \$ 1.092.219 1 Form 1120, Line 30 (Line 15) (461,100) (2,350,580) (2,158,402) (1,599,474) (1,105,325) 2 Lass CIAC (Line 7) (275,502) (1.407,307) (1.328,581) (1.021,525) (565,291) 3 Less Gross-up collected (Line 19) 
 7,479
 99,700
 39,437
 63.145
 24,530

 (4,557)
 316.437
 165.322
 542,774
 476,125
1 Acd First Year's Depr on CIAC (Line 8) 5 Add/Less Other Effects (Lines 20 & 21) .......... ----------------7 Adjusted Income Before CIAC and Gross-up \$ (100.334) \$ 22.315 \$ 192.702 \$ 120.292 \$ (177.542) 3 \$ 461,100 \$ 2,350,580 \$ 2,166,402 \$ 1,599,474 \$ 1,105,325 9 Taxable CIAC (Line 7) 11 Taxable CIAC Resulting in a Tax Liability 5 360.766 5 2.350.580 5 2.166.402 5 1.599.474 5 927.584 (7,479) (99,700) (39,437) (50,146) (24,530) 12 Less first years depr. (Line 8) -----13 \$ 353,287 \$ 2,250,980 \$ 2,125,965 \$ 1,539,328 \$ 203,054 14 Met Taxable CIAC 43.30% 37.63% 37.53% 37.53% 37.53% 15 Effective state and federal tax rate 16 17 Net Income tax on CIAC 0 0 0 ٥ 18 Less ITC Realized 19 \$ 152,973 \$ \$47,044 \$ 800,377 \$ 516,879 \$ 339,319 20 Net income Tax 1.763668430 1.603334936 1.603334936 1.603334936 1.603334936 21 Expansion Factor for gross-up taxes 22 \$ 259.794 \$ 1.358.095 \$ 1.283.272 \$ 989.064 \$ 544.344 23 Gross-up Required to pay tax effect (275.502) (1.407.307) (1.328.581) (1.021.525) (665.291) 24 Less CIAC Gross-up collected (Line 19) 25 \$ (5.708) \$ (49.212) \$ (45.309) \$ (32.462) \$ (120.447) 25 PROPOSED REFUND (excluding interest) GAN MERGEWERRENS ENLIGENEUE DENNIGENEUE 27 23 \$ (253.138) 29 TOTAL REFUND

COMMISSION CALCULATED GROSS-UP REFUND

30