MACFARLANE AUSLEY FERGUSON & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 BOUTH CALHOUN STREET P.O. BOX 381 (217 32302) TALLAHABBEE, FLORIDA 32301 (804) 224-8115 FAX (904) 222-7860

111 MADISON STREET, SUITE 2300 P.O. SOX 1831 (21P 33601) TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602 (813) 273-4200 PAX (813) 273-4368

June 2, 1994

400 CLEVELAND STREET
P. O. BOX 1889 (2)P 346171
CLEARWATER, FLORIDA 34618
(8)31 441 6866 FAX (8)31 442 470

RILE COPY

Tallahassee

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

> Re: In re: Expanded Interconnection Phase II and Local Transport Restructure; Docket Nos. 21074-TP, 930955-TL, 940014-TL, 940020-TL and 931196-TL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

OIH ---

Enclosed for filing in the above-styled docket are the original and fifteen (15) copies of United Telephone Company of Florida's Opposition to Citizens' First Motion to Compel.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning the same to this writer.

writer.		
Thank you	for your assis	tance in this matter.
RECEIVED 8	FILED THE	Yours truly
DReith has	W OF PECOPDS	Juston
EPSC-BURE	AU OF RECORDS	JOHP P. FORS
DEF/esu Enclosures		
cc Parties of	Record (w/enc	1.)
utd\bayo-nos		
1 1		
550 -		
W/S -		
		500

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05386 JUN-2 #

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Expanded Interconnection) Docket Nos. 921074-TP, Phase II and Local Transport Restructure

) 930955-TL, 940014-TL,) 940020-TL, and 931196-TL) Filed: June 2, 1994

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA'S OPPOSITION TO CITIZENS' FIRST MOTION TO COMPEL

United Telephone Company of Florida ("United" or "the Company"), pursuant to Rule 25-22.037(2)(b), Florida Administrative Code, opposes Citizens of Florida's ("Citizens" or "OPC") First Motion to Compel ("Motion") filed May 26, 1994, stating as follows:

- OPC's Request for Production of Documents Nos. 4, 5 and 13 were objected to by United because they requested documents relating to "the introduction of competition into the provision of local exchange telephone services or markets." There is no issue in this proceeding which is focused on the introduction of local exchange telephone service competition. Instead, the focus of this proceeding is on the terms and conditions for expanding interconnection of special and switched access services provided by entities other than the incumbent local exchange companies. Not only does this proceeding not address "the introduction of competition into the provision of local telephone services or markets, " there currently is no statutory basis for introducing such competition.
- In its Motion, OPC contends that prefiled direct testimony of F. Ben Poag, dated May 23, 1994, in Phase II of this

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE 05386 JUN-2 # FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

proceeding "belies the objection made to Citizens' requests for production of documents," (Motion, ¶4), and that "the Company's objection about relevance should be denied when the Company itself files testimony and makes presentation about subjects it claims to be irrelevant" (Motion, ¶4). OPC's attempt to construct a connection between Citizens' requests for production of documents, which were objected to by United, and United's testimony fails even cursory analysis and provides no sound basis for granting OPC's Motion.¹

3. Contrary to OPC's assertion, it is patently clear from the example cited by OPC that Mr. Poag's testimony is not addressing the "non-issue" of local exchange competition, to which the document production requests are directed, but rather it addresses the issues in the proceeding regarding LEC pricing flexibility to respond to competition resulting from expanded special and switched access and private line interconnection. See Issue Nos. 15 and 18, Order No. PSC-94-0277-PCO-TL, issued March 10, 1994. As Mr. Poag correctly notes in his prefiled direct testimony, the proposal to include switched access within expanded interconnection requirements will place United's switched access revenues substantially at risk. It is Mr. Poag's purpose to point

OPC's attempted additional support for why the requests are relevant, that Mr. Poag made a "lengthy presentation and slide show to the Commission" in Phase I of this proceeding, is also specious. Similar to his testimony in this phase of the proceeding, Mr. Poag's presentation in Phase I focused on how the introduction of competition in the special and switched access services will adversely impact the ability of United to continue supporting low local service rates without additional pricing flexibility to meet the new access competition.

out that, as competition erodes the subsidies from these services that traditionally have supported residential local exchange rates, and unless United is granted additional access pricing flexibility, United's ability to maintain below-cost residential local exchange rates will be severely challenged. It borders on sophistry for OPC to claim that Citizens' inquiry into how United might respond to local exchange service competition is a relevant inquiry because Mr. Poag has testified on the need for access pricing flexibility to preserve low local exchange service rates.

WHEREFORE, having shown that OPC's attempt to link Mr. Poag's testimony to the "non-issue" of local exchange competition is factually deficient, United respectfully requests the Commission to deny Citizens' First Motion to Compel.

DATED this 2nd day of June, 1994.

Respectfully submitted,

Madfarlane Ausley Ferguson

P. O. Box 391

Tallahassee, Florida 32302

(904) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U. S. Mail or hand delivery (*) this 2nd day of June, 1994, to the following:

Daniel V. Gregory Quincy Telephone Company P. O. Box 189 Quincy, FL 32351

John A. Carroll, Jr.
Northeast Florida Telephone
P. O. Box 485
Macclenny, FL 32063-0485

Michael W. Tye
AT&T Communications
106 E. College Ave., Suite 1410
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Joseph Gillan
Florida Interexchange Carriers
P. O. Box 541018
Orlando, FL 32854

Brad E. Mutschelknaus Rachel J. Rothstein Ann M. Szemplenski Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1775 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Laura L. Wilson Florida Cable Television Assn. P. O. Box 10383 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Patrick K. Wiggins Kathleen Villacorta Wiggins & Villacorta P. O. Drawer 1657 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, et al. 315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 716 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Jack Shreve Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison St., Rm. 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

C. Everett Boyd, Jr. Ervin, Varn, et al. 305 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Chanthina R. Bryant Sprint 3065 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, GA 30339

Janis Stahlhut Time Warner Cable Corporate Headquarters 300 First Stamford Place Stamford, CT 06902-6732

Jodie L. Donovan
Teleport Communications Group
1 Teleport Drive, Suite 301
Staten Island, NY 10311

Kenneth A. Hoffman Floyd R. Self Messer, Vickers, et al. P. O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Donna L. Canzano *
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Comm.
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Marshall M. Criser, III Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company 150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Mickey Henry
MCI Telecommunications Corp.
780 Johnson Ferry Road
Suite 700
Atlanta, GA 30342

Richard D. Melson Hopping, Boyd, Green & Sams P. O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314

Peter Dunbar Pennington, Haben, et al. 306 No. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Douglas S. Metcalf Communications Consultants, Inc. P. O. Box 1148 Winter Park, FL 32790-1148 Harriet Eudy
ALLTEL Florida, Inc.
P. O. Box 550
Live Oak, FL 32060

Beverly Menard c/o Richard Fletcher GTE-Florida 106 E. College Ave., Suite 1440 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Intermediate Communications V.P., External Affairs 9280 Bay Plaza Blvd., Suite 720 Tampa, FL 32063

utd\921074.opp