

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

31		
4	In the Matter of	; ;
5	Revocation By Florida Public	; ;
6	Service Commission of Certificates Nos. 451-W and	: :DOCKET NO. 930944-WS
7	382-S issued to Shady Oaks Mobile-Modular Estates, Inc.	: :
8	in Pasco County, pursuant to Section 367.111(1), F.S.	: :
9		:
10		
11		
12	PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
13	BEFORE:	COMMISSIONER KIESLING
14	BEFORE.	PREHEARING OFFICER
15	DATE:	JULY 22, 1994
16	DATE:	0022 22, 2000
17	TIME:	COMMENCED AT 1:30 P.M. CONCLUDED AT 1:50 P.M.
18		CONCLODED III 2100 2 III
19	PLACE:	ROOM 106, FLETCHER BUILDING 101 EAST GAINES STREET
20		TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
21	DEDORTED BY	NANCY S. METZKE, RPR, CCR
22	REPORTED BY	WHICE D. HELLE, III.
23		TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32315
24		C & N REPORTERS POST OFFICE BOX 3093 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32315
25		200

## APPEARANCES:

LILA A. JABER, FPSC Division of Legal Services, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida, 32301, on behalf of the Commission Staff.

CHRISTIANA T. MOORE, FPSC General Counsel Office, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, as Counsel to the Commissioners.

## ALSO PRESENT:

NEIL BETHEA, Florida Public Service Commission staff.

RICK MANN, Office of the Public Counsel.

SAM GATLIN, Office of the Public Counsel.

## PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing convened at 1:30 p.m.)

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Call this prehearing to order. Would you give notice, please?

MS. JABER: Yes, Commissioner. This time and place has been designated for a prehearing conference pursuant to notice in Docket Number 930944, revocation by the Florida PSC, Certificates 451-W and 382-S, issued to Shady Oaks Mobile-Modular Estates, Inc. in Pasco County.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. I'll take appearances. Is anyone here for Shady Oaks Mobile Home?

MS. JABER: No, Commissioner, it doesn't appear that anyone is here from the utility.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: And have you had some conversation with Mr. Sims --

MS. JABER: Yes, Richard Sims.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: -- regarding his appearance today?

MS. JABER: I talked to Mr. Sims yesterday, and his position was he was not going to expend the funds to come to Tallahassee for an earlier deposition we had today and for this prehearing conference. Mr. Sims has retained a bankruptcy attorney who has not made an appearance in this case, and I have not had any contact with him in the

```
last couple of days, although I have tried to call him, and
1
   he has not returned my phone calls.
2
              COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right.
                                                  Then in his
3
   absence, would you enter your appearance please?
4
              MS. JABER: Lila Jaber on behalf of the
5
   Commission staff.
6
7
              COMMISSIONER KIESLING: And?
              MS. MOORE: Christiana Moore on behalf of the
8
   Commissioners.
9
              COMMISSIONER KIESLING: And I notice, Mr. Mann,
10
    that you are here. You are not parties, you are just
11
12
   monitoring?
                         That's correct, Commissioner.
              MR. MANN:
13
              COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Are there any
14
    preliminary matters that we need to take care of?
15
              MS. JABER: Yes, Commissioner. I think first
16
    there is a motion to strike testimony of Mike Daley, Aileen
17
    Delehanty and Larry DeLucenay. For these three individuals
18
    the utility did not pre-file testimony, but they did
19
    identify their names in a prehearing statement that was
20
    filed on behalf of the utility.
21
              COMMISSIONER KIESLING: And Mr. Sims didn't show
22
    up this morning for his deposition either so you couldn't
23
    ask him what their testimony was?
24
```

MS. JABER: That's right.

25

23 <sup>1</sup>

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. Well, since there is no one here to object to it and there are certainly due grounds shown on granting staff's motion to strike the testimony of Mike Daley, Aileen Delehanty, and Larry DeLucenay, something like that. Any other preliminary matters?

MS. JABER: As I've told you, Mr. Sims did not attend his deposition this morning. Pursuant to the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, the Commission staff would like to seek sanctions against him in the form of cost for the court reporter, and I do have an estimate of the cost although the court reporter has told me that an invoice will come later when the transcript is completed. And staff would also like to move for whatever sanctions the prehearing officer deems appropriate. For example, we would like to show that his issues and positions have been waived in addition, also because of the fact that he has not attended the prehearing conference, and would like to have his testimony deleted or stricken.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: And that's the pre-filed direct that he filed?

MS. JABER: His pre-filed direct and rebuttal testimony.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Well, I certainly think that sanctions are appropriate for his failure to attend

his own deposition. And you did discuss this with him and he understood that he needed to be here but just chose not to be?

MS. JABER: Not only have I discussed it with him over the phone yesterday when I told him he needed to be here, I know because I have copies of letters that the former attorney, Gerald Buhr and Cheryl Lister, that firm sent him a letter when they withdrew from representation telling him of the dates that he needed to comply with.

And I also sent the bankruptcy attorney and Mr. Sims a copy of the motion to strike and a copy of the draft prehearing order.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. I'd say you covered all bases, and if you would formalize your motion for sanctions in writing just so that the record is clear, I will grant the motion for sanctions which will include the cost for the court reporter's appearance, and I will strike the pre-filed testimony filed by Mr. Sims.

MS. JABER: I think with that we could probably go page by page on the draft prehearing order because there will be some changes now.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. I would just indicate that I have a copy of Mr. Buhr's withdrawal, notice of withdrawal in which he asserts that Mr. Sims is aware that he is withdrawing and that Mr. Sims acknowledged

to him the propriety of that withdrawal, and there is attached to it a letter to Mr. Sims which details the necessity of, or the requirement that he appear at the deposition and the prehearing, so that's already a matter of record.

All right. We can start here with the prehearing. Any modifications or corrections to the case background?

MS. JABER: The case background, on Page 5, I have handed out some additions to the background in light of today's events. I would like to add just a couple of paragraphs. If you would like me to read this into the record, I would be glad to. I have handed out hard copies, and since I'll be making the changes to the prehearing order, I could incorporate this.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Did you provide a copy of this to public counsel's office even though they are not parties, just so that they know what is going on?

MS. JABER: We will.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay.

MS. JABER: And, Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Go ahead and read it into the record so they can at least know the nature of the amendment.

MS. JABER: Sure.

"On July 19th, 1994, Attorney
General T. Buhr filed a Notice of
Withdrawal of Counsel wherein
Mr. Buhr notified the Commission
that his firm no longer represents
Shady Oaks. Further, Mr. Buhr
notified the Commission that
Shady Oaks filed for bankruptcy
in the Tampa Division of the United
States District Court for the
Middle District of Florida, under
Case Number F94-6876-8G1. The
utility has retained a bankruptcy
attorney.

"By Order Number PSC-94-0809-PCO-WS, the prehearing officer ordered Shady
Oaks to file a status report on the
Circuit Court action by July 20th, 1994.
As of the date of the Prehearing
Conference, the status report has not been filed. Additionally, the utility owner, Richard D. Sims, failed to attend his deposition noticed on July 11th, 1994, to be taken at 10:00 a.m. July 22nd, 1994, at the Florida Public Service Commission

in Tallahassee, Florida."

I would just like to add one sentence, also that the utility failed to attend the prehearing conference held on Friday, July 22nd, 1994, in Tallahassee, Florida.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right.

MS. JABER: The next change that I have is on Page 8, and that is to show that the testimony of Richard Sims, Mike Daley, Aileen Delehanty and Larry DeLucenay has been stricken.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. And when you make your modifications you will indicate that that was stricken based on the prehearing order?

MS. JABER: Right, and rebuttal of Mr. Sims.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Right. You had indicated something about striking the positions that had been asserted by the utility. Could you --

MS. JABER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: -- tell me a little bit more about that and what the effect of it is?

MS. JABER: Starting on Page 9, pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(5)(b), Florida Administrative Code, Shady Oaks was required to attend this prehearing conference. And I would like to request that in lieu of having the utility's positions set forth there as they are, that we be allowed to state something like the utility has waived all issues

and positions raised in its prehearing statement because of the fact that they failed to attend the prehearing conference.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: That seems to me to be another, or an additional sanction. I think I'd probably be more comfortable with just leaving whatever positions the utility has stated in the prehearing while recognizing that since they are not going to be putting on a case, they won't be in a position to actually prove up any of those positions because their testimony has been stricken, but I don't want to take away from the utility its opportunity that it's exercised to at least state what its position is.

> All right. MS. JABER:

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: So on the basic positions, is there any change to staff's?

> MS. JABER: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: And how about Issue 1, any change on staff? 19

> MS. JABER: No.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Issue 2?

> MS. JABER: No.

Issue 3? COMMISSIONER KIESLING:

MS. JABER: There is a change to Issue 3. again, I've typed it out and handed it out to the court reporter, and I believe public counsel has a copy.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: And --

MS. JABER: The concern that I have on Issue 3, we have reworded the issue, and the position that was submitted by the utility no longer corresponds to that issue. Since the utility is not present, I would like to request that we at least delete the position that the utility has reflected there.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Isn't the utility's position at least responsive to the third question of what is the appropriate disposition that they have requested release of the funds to them?

MS. JABER: Yes, Commissioner, it probably does answer the very last section of our issue.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Just go ahead and leave the utility's response in and just make it clear that it relates to the third portion of the restated issue. And then insert your no changed position or your position on the amended issue.

MS. JABER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Issue 4, the utility didn't take any position so I guess they aren't.

MS. JABER: Right.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. And any change to staff?

1 MS. JABER: No.
2 COMMISSIONER KI

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: On four, five, six?

MS. JABER: No.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Seven?

MS. JABER: With respect to Issue 7, staff is taking the position that this is not a legitimate issue, and this was an issue that was raised in the utility's prehearing statement. To that extent, I would like to request that Issue 7 be dropped.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Well, it does appear to be irrelevant as to the revocation, and so I will go ahead and grant your request to strike Issue 7 as not being a relevant issue in this proceeding.

MS. JABER: Thank you.

With respect to the exhibits, because we have stricken the testimony of Mr. Sims, I will remove all of the exhibits that he has listed there.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right.

MS. JABER: And staff has no changes to their exhibits.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. And what about, are there any proposed stipulations? I guess since we can't even get him to show up, I'm sure we haven't gotten him to stipulate anything.

MS. JABER: No.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. 1 MS. JABER: And under the rulings section I will 2 show the appropriate rulings. 3 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. And if you 4 want to run the language past me on those areas where I 5 ruled on your ore tenus motion, I'd appreciate that just to 6 be sure that it conforms to what I think I said. 7 MS. JABER: Okay. All right. Now you want a 8 separate order on the motions though? You don't want the 9 rulings to be reflected here? 10 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: You can do them in here, 11 12 yeah. MS. JABER: 13 Okay. COMMISSIONER KIESLING: I mean if not here --14 This seems to be an adequate place to do it. 15 16 MS. JABER: Okay. COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Unless you have another 17 thought on that or unless Ms. Moore has another thought on 18 19 that. No, I don't. 20 MS. MOORE: COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. 21 That's fine. 22 MS. JABER: COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Since you're here, 23 I will just see, is there anything that you want to 24 contribute to this discussion? 25

MR. MANN: I appreciate the opportunity, Commissioner. We are here simply to monitor for the customers. I have been dealing with the customer since the staff assisted rate case was first filed in 1991 on this matter, and they have expressed confidence in the work that staff has been doing. And rather than gathering, Number, 1, raising the possibility of rate case expense but more importantly allow staff to go ahead and pursue its job, we are staying out of it at this time. We intend to be at the 9 hearing as well. 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right.

MR. MANN: But I have nothing really to add to it today.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. My assumption is the hearing is going to be really short. Staff has to put on a prima facie case since it is an enforcement action, and their pre-filed testimony essentially is their pre-filed case since there won't be -- it doesn't look like there will be anyone showing up for the hearing on the other side. I'd say we'd be out of here fairly quickly, If that helps you any.

From what I have seen of Mr. Sims, it MR. MANN: wouldn't surprise me if he did pop in to the hearing.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Well, if he does pop in, I will give him the right to cross examine.

know, I have not struck his ability to do that or dismissed 1 his request for a hearing. So if he shows up after the 2 customer testimony, I'll certainly give him that 3 4 opportunity. MR. MANN: Thank you, Commissioner. 5 6 MS. JABER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER KIESLING: I quess I won't have the 7 say at the hearing, I realize now. I won't be conducting 8 the hearing, but I would assume that he would have the 9 opportunity to cross examine. If there is nothing else --10 11 MS. JABER: Nothing else. COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Then this prehearing is 12 concluded. 13 MS. JABER: Thank you, Commissioner. 14 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Thank you. 15 (WHEREUPON, THE PREHEARING CONFERENCE WAS 16 CONCLUDED AT 1:50 P.M.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25