BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Environmental Cost) DOCKET NO. 950007-EI
Recovery Clause.) ORDER NO. PSC-95-0947-PHO-EI
) ISSUED: August 4, 1995

Pursuant to Notice, a Prehearing Conference was held on July 19, 1995, in Tallahassee, Florida, before Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer.

APPEARANCES:

Matthew M. Childs, P.A., Esquire, Steel Hector & Davis, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, FL 32301 On behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

Jeffrey A. Stone, Esquire, Russell A. Badders, Esquire, Beggs & Lane, 700 Blount Building, 3 West Garden Street, P.O. Box 12950, Pensacola, FL 32576-2950 On behalf of Gulf Power Company.

John Roger Howe, Esquire, Deputy Public Counsel, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esquire, Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esquire, McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief and Bakas, 117 South Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301.

On behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

Vicki D. Johnson, Esquire, Florida Public Service Commission, Gerald L. Gunter Building, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 On behalf of the Commission Staff.

MaryAnn Helton, Esquire, Florida Public Service Commission, Gerald L. Gunter Building, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0862 <u>On behalf of the Commissioners</u>.

U7459 AUG-48

PREHEARING ORDER

I. CASE BACKGROUND

As part of the Commission's continuing fuel and environmental cost recovery proceedings, a hearing is set for August 9 - 11, 1995, in this docket and in Docket No. 950001-EI. The hearing will address the issues set out in the body of this Prehearing Order.

II. PROCEDURE FOR HANDLING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

A. Any information provided pursuant to a discovery request for which proprietary confidential business information status is requested shall be treated by the Commission and the parties as confidential. The information shall be exempt from Section 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, pending a formal ruling on such request by the Commission, or upon the return of the information to the person providing the information. If no determination of confidentiality has been made and the information has not been used in the proceeding, it shall be returned expeditiously to the person providing the information was not entered into the record of the proceeding, it shall be returned to the person providing the information within the time periods set forth in Section 366.093(2), Florida Statutes.

B. It is the policy of the Florida Public Service Commission that all Commission hearings be open to the public at all times. The Commission also recognizes its obligation pursuant to Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, to protect proprietary confidential business information from disclosure outside the proceeding.

In the event it becomes necessary to use confidential information during the hearing, the following procedures will be observed:

1) Any party wishing to use any proprietary confidential business information, as that term is defined in Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, shall notify the Prehearing Officer and all parties of record by the time of the Prehearing Conference, or if not known at that time, no later than seven (7) days prior to the beginning of the hearing. The notice shall include a procedure to assure that the confidential nature of the information is preserved as required by statute.

- 2) Failure of any party to comply with 1) above shall be grounds to deny the party the opportunity to present evidence which is proprietary confidential business information.
- 3) When confidential information is used in the hearing, parties must have copies for the Commissioners, necessary staff, and the Court Reporter, in envelopes clearly marked with the nature of the contents. Any party wishing to examine the confidential material that is not subject to an order granting confidentiality shall be provided a copy in the same fashion as provided to the Commissioners, subject to execution of any appropriate protective agreement with the owner of the material.
- 4) Counsel and witnesses are cautioned to avoid verbalizing confidential information in such a way that would compromise the confidential information. Therefore, confidential information should be presented by written exhibit when reasonably possible to do so.
- 5) At the conclusion of that portion of the hearing that involves confidential information, all copies of confidential exhibits shall be returned to the proffering party. If a confidential exhibit has been admitted into evidence, the copy provided to the Court Reporter shall be retained in the Commission Clerk's confidential files.

Post-hearing procedures

Rule 25-22.056(3), Florida Administrative Code, requires each party to file a post-hearing statement of issues and positions. A summary of each position of no more than 50 words, set off with asterisks, shall be included in that statement. If a party's position has not changed since the issuance of the prehearing order, the post-hearing statement may simply restate the prehearing position; however, if the prehearing position is longer than 50 words, it must be reduced to no more than 50 words. The rule also provides that if a party fails to file a post-hearing statement in conformance with the rule, that party shall have waived all issues and may be dismissed from the proceeding.

IV. ORDER OF WITNESSES

A party's proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, if any, statement of issues and positions, and brief, shall together total no more than 60 pages, and shall be filed at the same time. The prehearing officer may modify the page limit for good cause shown. Please see Rule 25-22.056, Florida Administrative Code, for other requirements pertaining to post-hearing filings.

III. PREFILED TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

Testimony of all witnesses to be sponsored by the parties has been prefiled. All testimony which has been prefiled in this case will be inserted into the record as though read after the witness has taken the stand and affirmed the correctness of the testimony and associated exhibits. All testimony remains subject to appropriate objections. Each witness will have the opportunity to orally summarize his or her testimony at the time he or she takes the stand. Upon insertion of a witness' testimony, exhibits appended thereto may be marked for identification. After all parties and Staff have had the opportunity to object and crossexamine, the exhibit may be moved into the record. All other exhibits may be similarly identified and entered into the record at the appropriate time during the hearing.

Witnesses are reminded that, on cross-examination, responses to questions calling for a simple yes or no answer shall be so answered first, after which the witness may explain his or her answer.

Witnesses and exhibits of witnesses whose names are preceded by an asterisk (*) have been stipulated into the record. The parties have stipulated that the testimony of those witnesses will be inserted into the record as though read and cross-examination will be waived.

Witness	Appearing For	<u>Issues #</u>
Direct		
*B. T. Birkett	FPL	1 - 9
*W. M. Reichel	FPL	10a - b
*M. L. Gilchrist	GULF	2, 4

Witness	Appearing For	<u>Issues #</u>
Direct		
*J. O. Vick	GULF	1, 2, 4, 11b - g
*S. D. Cranmer	GULF	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 - 9, 11a

V. BASIC POSITIONS

FPL: None necessary.

<u>GULF:</u> It is the basic position of Gulf Power Company that the proposed environmental cost recovery factors present the best estimate of Gulf's cost for its environmental compliance activities for the period October 1995 through March 1996, including the true-up calculations and other adjustments allowed by the Commission.

FIPUG: None at this time.

OPC: None necessary.

STAFF: Staff's positions are preliminary and based on materials filed by the parties and on discovery. The preliminary positions are offered to assist the parties in preparing for the hearing. Staff's final positions will be based upon all the evidence in the record and may differ from the preliminary positions.

VI. ISSUES AND POSITIONS

STIPULATED

ISSUE 1: What are the appropriate final environmental cost recovery true-up amounts for the period ending March 31, 1995?

POSITIONS:

FPL: \$419,418 overrecovery.

GULF: \$101,428 overrecovery.

STIPULATED

ISSUE 2: What are the estimated environmental cost recovery trueup amounts for the period April, 1995 through September, 1995?

POSITIONS:

FPL: \$686,372 underrecovery.

GULF: \$522,197 overrecovery.

STIPULATED

ISSUE 3: What are the total environmental cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected during the period October, 1995 through March, 1996?

POSITIONS:

FPL: \$266,954 net underrecovery.

GULF: Refund of \$623,625 (excluding revenue taxes).

STIPULATED

ISSUE 4: What are the appropriate projected environmental cost recovery amounts for the period October, 1995 through March, 1996?

POSITIONS:

FPL: The appropriate projected environmental cost recovery amount to be collected during the period is \$7,681,233.

GULF: \$5,297,190

STIPULATED

ISSUE 5: What should be the effective date of the new environmental cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

POSITION: The factor should be effective beginning with the specified environmental cost recovery cycle and thereafter for the period October, 1995 through March, 1996. Billing cycles may start before October 1, 1995, and the last cycle may be read after March 31, 1996, so that each customer is billed for six months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective.

STIPULATED

ISSUE 6: What depreciation rates should be used to develop the depreciation expense included in the total environmental cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected during the period October, 1995 through March, 1996?

<u>POSITION:</u> The depreciation rates used to calculate the depreciation expense should be the rates that are in effect during the period the allowed capital investment is in service.

STIPULATED

ISSUE 7: Should the Commission approve the recovery of the cost for dismantlement associated with investments for approved projects through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

<u>POSITION</u>: Yes, provided that the dismantlement costs associated with the allowed capital investment are not being recovered in any other cost recovery mechanism, the dismantlement amount is supported by a dismantlement study, and the accrual is developed as prescribed in Order No. 24741, Docket No. 890186-EI.

STIPULATED

ISSUE 8: How should the newly proposed environmental costs be allocated to the rate classes?

POSITIONS:

FPL: The costs of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit fees to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection should be allocated on a demand basis.

FPL: The costs of fuel discharge response and clean-up activities pursuant to Florida Department of Environmental Protection Rule 17-762.820, Florida Administrative Code, should be allocated on a demand basis for O&M costs and 12CP 1/13 for capital costs.

GULF: The costs of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit fees to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection should be allocated on a demand basis.

GULF: The costs of the mobile equipment purchase for Substation Contamination Investigation should be allocated on 12CP 1/13 energy basis.

GULF: The costs of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection Rule 17-551, Florida Administrative Code, Control of Lead and Copper should be allocated on a demand basis.

STIPULATED

.

ISSUE 9: What are the appropriate Environmental Cost Recovery Factors for the period October, 1995 through March, 1996 for each rate group?

POSITIONS:

FPL:	Rate Class	Environmental Recovery Factor (\$/KWH)
	RS1 GS1 GSD1 OS2 GSLD1/CS1 GSLD2/CS2 GSLD3/CS3 ISST1D SST1D SST1T SST1D CILC D/CILC G CILC T MET OL1/SL1 SL2	0.00023 0.00020 0.00019 0.00020 0.00020 0.00020 0.00021 0.00021 0.00021 0.00020 0.00019 0.00020 0.00019 0.00015 0.00019

RATE CLASS	ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY FACTORS ¢/KWH
RS, RST	0.153
GS, GST	0.151
GSD, GSDT, SBS	0.136
LP, LPT, SBS	0.126
PX, PXT, RTP, SBS	0.116
OSI, OSII	0.088
OSIII	0.124
OSIV	0.088

GULF: See table below:

¹The recovery factor applicable to customers taking service under Rate Schedule SBS is determined as follows: customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 100 to 499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule GSD; customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 500 to 7,499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule LP; and customers with a Contract Demand over 7,499 KW will use the recovery factor applicable to Rate Schedule PX.

COMPANY - SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY ISSUES

Florida Power & Light Company

STIPULATED

ISSUE 10a: Should the Commission approve Florida Power & Light's request to recover the cost of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit fees to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to Rule 62-4.052, Florida Administrative Code, through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

<u>POSITION</u>: Yes. This activity is a requirement of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. All expenses were incurred after April 13, 1993, are not being recovered in any other cost recovery mechanism, and were not considered at the time of Florida Power & Light's last rate case.

STIPULATED

ISSUE 10b: Should the Commission approve FPL's request that the cost to clean up fuel oil discharges from its above ground fuel storage tanks, if found to be reasonable and prudent, be included as a separate line item within the scope of the Maintenance of Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks activity?

POSITION: Yes, if appropriate these costs would be included as a separate line item within the scope of the Maintenance of Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks activity. Rule 62-762.820, Florida Administrative Code, which is part of the Maintenance of Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks Rule, requires that when evidence of a discharge is discovered the owner must contain, remove and abate the discharge. However, the Commission need not determine whether the Company has prudently and reasonably incurred such expenses until after the Company actually incurs such clean-up expenses.

Gulf Power Company

STIPULATED

ISSUE 11a: What is the appropriate amount of dismantlement costs associated with Gulf Power Company's approved investments to be recovered through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

POSITION: The appropriate amount of dismantlement costs is \$147,889 annually.

STIPULATED

ISSUE 11b: Should the Commission approve Gulf Power Company's request to recover the cost of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit fees to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to Rule 62-4.052, Florida Administrative Code, through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

<u>POSITION</u>: Yes. This activity is a requirement of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. All expenses were incurred after April 13, 1993, are not being recovered in any other cost recovery mechanism, and were not considered at the time of Gulf Power Company's last rate case.

STIPULATED

ISSUE 11c: Should the Commission approve Gulf Power Company's request to recover the cost of compliance with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection Rule 17-551, Florida Administrative Code, Control of Lead and Copper through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

<u>POSITION</u>: Yes. The abatement expenses to reduce and control lead and copper contaminants in the water at Plant Crist and Plant Smith, as well as the expenses for measurements and monitoring, are appropriate for recovery. These expenses were incurred after April 13, 1993, are not being recovered in any other cost recovery mechanism, and were not considered at the time of Gulf Power Company's last rate case.

STIPULATED

ISSUE 11d: Should the Commission approve Gulf Power Company's request to recover the costs of the mobile equipment purchase for Substation Contamination Investigation through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

<u>POSITION:</u> Yes. The purchase of the equipment was the least cost option reviewed by GULF's management. This purchase is expected to reduce charges to O&M approved activities for groundwater investigation and remediation. The three options considered were:

 Maintaining an existing contract and services: Monthly cost \$73,000.

- Purchase the treatment system and contract Southern Company Services for operator expenses: Monthly cost \$45,670.
- Purchase the treatment system and GULF subcontract for operator expenses: Monthly cost \$42,670.

All expenses were incurred after April 13, 1993, are not being recovered in any other cost recovery mechanism, and were not considered at the time of Gulf Power Company's last rate case.

STIPULATED

ISSUE 11e: Should the Commission approve recovery of Gulf Power Company's costs of Generation Steam Studies through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

POSITION: This issue is deferred until the February 1996 hearing.

STIPULATED

ISSUE 11f: Should the Commission approve recovery of Gulf Power Company's costs of Climate Challenge through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?

POSITION: No.

STIPULATED

ISSUE 11g: What adjustment, if any, should be made to address the resolution of the O & M expenses identified in Disclosure No. 3 of the PSC Audit Report for the six month period ending March 31, 1995?

<u>POSITION:</u> \$28,260 of O & M expenses for the period April 1994 through March 1995 should be reversed. The net adjustment with interest should be included in Gulf's next true-up filing.

VII. <u>EXHIBIT LIST</u>

(a) **a**

Witness	Proffered By	I.D. No.	Description
*Birkett	FPL	(BTB-1)	Document 1/ Environmental Compliance Cost Projections October 1995 - March 1996
*Birkett	FPL	(BTB-2)	Document 2/ Calculation Of Allocation By Rate Class
*Birkett	FPL	(BTB-3)	Document 3/ Calculation of Factors
*Birkett	FPL	(BTB-4)	Document 4/ Schedule Of Capital In- vestment De- preciation And Return October 1995 - March 1996
*Birkett	FPL	(BTB-5)	Document 5/ Calculation of Estimated Actual Variance April 1995 - September 1995
*Birkett	FPL	(BTB-6)	Document 6/ Estimated Actual Environmental Compliance Costs April 1995 - September 1995
*Birkett	FPL	(BTB-7)	Document 7/ Calculation Of Over/Under Recovery April 1995 - September 1995

 \sim

•

Witness	Proffered By	I.D. No.	Description
*Birkett	FPL	(BTB-8)	Document 8/ Schedule of Capital In- vestment De- preciation and Return April 1995 - September 1995
*Reichel	FPL	(WMR-1)	Document 1/Rule 62-4.052, F.A.C.
*Reichel	FPL	(WMR-2)	Document 2/Pule 62-762.820, F.A.C.
*Reichel	FPL	(WMR-3)	Document 3/ Project De- scription And Progress Reports
*Vick	GULF	(JOV-1)	ECR Investment Expenditures, Operations and Maintenance Expenses, State NPDES Admini- stration Program, Lead and Copper Rule
*Cranmer	GULF	(SDC-1)	Calculation of final true-up, 10/94 through 3/95; Calcu- lation of true- up and interest provision, 10/94 through 3/95; Re- coverable En- vironmental O&M Expenses 10/94 through 3/95; Jurisdictional Recoverable En- vironmental Costs

Witness	Proffered By	I.D. No.	Description
*Cranmer	GULF	(SDC-1) (cont'd)	Related to In- vestment, 10/94 through 3/95; Investment- Related Costs by Project, 10/94 through 3/95
*Cranmer	GULF	(SDC-2)	Total Recoverable Revenue Require- ments, Plant Schedules, Re- coverable Opera- tions and Main- tenance Expenses, Calculation of Environmental Cost Recovery Factors
*Cranmer	GULF		Gulf Power Company's re- sponses to Staff's First Set of Interroga- tories, No. 1-12
*	STAFF		Staff Audit Report

VIII. PROPOSED STIPULATIONS

Florida Power & Light Company and Gulf Power Company have stipulated to all issues in the Prehearing Order.

IX. PENDING MOTIONS

There are no pending motions at this time.

X. OTHER MATTERS

Florida Power & Light Company and Gulf Power Company have no other matters pending Commission review.

It is therefore,

ORDERED by Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, that this Prehearing Order shall govern the conduct of these proceedings as set forth above unless modified by the Commission.

By ORDER of Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, this 4th day of August , 1995 .

Leaso en J. TERRY DEASON, Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

VDJ

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.