## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Determination of appropriate method for refunding ) ORDER NO. PSC-95-1238-FOF-TI overcharges on intrastate long ) ISSUED: October 5, 1995 distance service provided by Adtel Communications, Inc. for calls placed from pay telephones.

) DOCKET NO. 950787-TI

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

> SUSAN F. CLARK, Chairman J. TERRY DEASON JOE GARCIA JULIA L. JOHNSON DIANE K. KIESLING

## ORDER DISPOSING OF OVERCHARGES

BY THE COMMISSION:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code.

We routinely evaluate pay telephones for compliance with our rules. During these evaluations, credit card calls are made and verified by our staff to determine whether the rates charged comply with the rate cap set forth by Rule 25-24.630(1)(a), Florida Administrative Code, and Order No. 24101. On November 14, 1994, we informed Adtel Communications, Inc. (Adtel) that the charge for a test call appeared to exceed the rate cap. On January 12 and 19, 1995, Adtel responded that the overcharge had been caused by incorrect rates in its billing system from July 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994. Corrected rates went into effect January 1, Adtel determined that approximately 10,338 calls were overcharged and estimated that \$1133.04 was overcharged. January, 1995, Adtel proposed a prospective rate reduction where it would forgo the \$0.25 set use fee or a portion thereof on intrastate pay telephone calls to achieve the full amount of refund. In June, 1995, Adtel informed us that the company had been sold and proposed to submit the refund amount, plus interest, as a payment to the Commission.

We accept Adtel's new proposal. While we prefer direct refunds to overcharged customers, we find Adtel's estimates are reasonable and it is appropriate to allow this company to submit the overcharged amount as payment to the Commission Matel Shall DATE

09842 OCT-58

ORDER NO. PSC-95-1238-FOF-TI DOCKET NO. 950787-TI PAGE 2

submit a payment of \$1198.15 to the Commission for deposit in the general revenue fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. This payment consists of \$1133.04 plus \$65.11 in interest, calculated pursuant to Rule 25-4.114, Florida Administrative Code.

We choose not to issue a show cause order in this case. When notified that the one test call appeared to be overcharged, Adtel investigated and promptly corrected the problem. Adtel implemented new testing guidelines to prevent recurrence of rate errors and proposed a reasonable method to settle the matter quickly before it ceases operations. Therefore, a show cause order is not appropriate in this case.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the refund method proposed by Adtel Communications, Inc. is accepted. It is further

ORDERED that Adtel Communications, Inc. shall submit a payment of \$1198.15, consisting of the \$1133.04 overcharged and \$65.11 in interest pursuant to Rule 25-4.114, Florida Administrative Code, to the Florida Public Service Commission for deposit pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. It is further

ORDERED that, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed herein files a petition in the form and by the date specified in the Notice of Further Proceedings or Judicial Review, this Order shall become final on the following date and this docket shall be closed upon verification that Adtel Communications, Inc. has submitted payment.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 5th day of October, 1995.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Directo

Division of Records and Reporting

(SEAL)

ORDER NO. PSC-95-1238-FOF-TI DOCKET NO. 950787-TI PAGE 3

## NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature and will not become effective or final, except as provided by Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, as provided by Rule 25-22.029(4), Florida Administrative Code, in the form provided by Rule 25-22.036(7)(a) and (f), Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on October 26, 1995.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become effective on the day subsequent to the above date as provided by Rule 25-22.029(6), Florida Administrative Code.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

If this order becomes final and effective on the date described above, any party substantially affected may request judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or by the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.