Macfarlane Ausley Ferguson & McMullen

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

III MADISON STREET, SUITE 2300 P.O. BOX (53) (20) 3360() TAMPA FLORIDA 3360F (81312734200 FAX (81312734396

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET P.O. BOX 391 (2)P 323021 TALLAHASBEE, FLORIDA 32301 19041 224 9115 FAX 19041 272 7560 February 8, 1996

400 CLEVELAND STREET P. O. BOX 1669 (2)P 346171 CLEARWATER, FLORIDA 34615 IBI314418900 FAX IBI314428470

IN HEPLY REFER TO

HAND DELIVERED

Tallahassee

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

> Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause with Generating Performance Incentive Factor; FPSC Docket No. 960001-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

OPC -RCH _

SEC _

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are the original and fifteen (15) copies of Tampa Electric Company's Prehearing Statement.

Also enclosed is the above Prehearing Statement on a 3.5" double sided high density diskette generated on a DOS computer in WordPerfect 5.1 format.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer. Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter. Sincerely, CAF CMU JDB/pp Enclosures

All Parties of Record (w/encls.) RECEIVED & FILED WAS ___ EPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS OTH ____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01548 FEB-8%

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Fuel and Purchased Power)
Cost Recovery Clause and Generating)
Performance Incentive Factor.)

DOCKET NO. 960001-EI FILED: February 8, 1996

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

A. APPEARANCES:

LEE L. WILLIS
JAMES D. BEASLEY
Macfarlane, Ausley, Ferguson & McMullen
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
On behalf of Tampa Electric Company

B. WITNESSES:

	Witness	Subject Matter	Issues
(Di	rect)		
1.	M. J. Pennino (TECO)	Fuel Adjustment True-up and Projections; Capacity Cost Recovery True-up and Projections	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,20,21,22,23,24
2.	G. A. Keselowsky (TECO)	GPIF Reward/Penalty and Targets/Ranges	14,15,16A,16B
3.	W. N. Cantrell and E. A. Townes (TECO)	Oil Backout Cost Recovery True-up	18,19
4.	M. J. Pennino and E. A. Townes	Jurisdictional Separa- tion and Refunds of Oil Backout Costs	13A,13B

C. EXHIBITS:

Exhibit Witness

ALCOHOLDE DUSC	THE REAL PROPERTY.		
(MJP-1)	Pennino	Fuel cost recovery and capacity cost recovery final true-up April 1995 - September 1995	
(MJP-1)		1995 - September 1995	

Description

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01548 FEB-88

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

(MJP-2)	Pennino	Fuel adjustment projection, April 1996 - September 1996
(MJP-3)	Pennino	Capacity cost recovery projection, April 1996 - September 1996
(GAK-1)	Keselowsky	Generating Performance Incentive Factor Results, April 1995 - September 1995
(GAK-1)	Keselowsky	GPIF Targets and Ranges Estimated for April 1996 - September 1996
(GAK-3)	Keselowsky	Estimated Unit Performance Data, April 1996 - September 1996
(WNC/EAT-1)	Cantrell and Townes	Schedules Supporting Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor - Actual, April 1995 - September 1995

D. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

Tampa Electric Company's Statement of Basic Position:

The Commission should approve Tampa Electric's calculation of its fuel adjustment, capacity cost recovery, GPIF, and oil backout true-up calculations, including the proposed fuel adjustment factor of 2.390 cents per KWH before application of factors which adjust for variation in line losses; the proposed capacity cost recovery factor of .148 cents per KWH before applying the 12 CP and 1/13 allocation methodology; and a GPIF reward of \$376,230.

E. STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

Generic Fuel Adjustment Issues

- What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period April, 1995 through September, 1995?
 - TECO: An underrecovery of \$437,285. (Pennino)
- What are the estimated fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period October, 1995 through March, 1996?

TECO: An overrecovery of \$1,037,187. (Pennino)

What are the total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected during the period April, 1996 through September, 1996?

TECO: An overrecovery of \$599,902. (Pennino)

What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period April, 1996 through September, 1996?

TECO: 2.390 cents per KWH before application of the factors which adjust for variations in line losses. (Pennino)

What should be the effective date of the new fuel adjustment charge, capacity cost recovery charge and oil backout charge for billing purposes?

TECO: The factors should be effective beginning with the specified fuel cycle and thereafter for the period April, 1996 through September, 1996. Pilling cycles may start before April 1, 1996, and the last cycle may be read after September 30, 1996, so that each customer is billed for six months regardless of when the adjustment factors became effective. (Pennino)

What are the appropriate fuel recovery loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class?

TECO:	Group	Multiplier
	Group A	1.0064
	Group A1	1.0064*
	Group B	1.0012
	Group C	0.9721

*Group A1 is based on Group A, 15% of On-Peak and 85% of Off-Peak. (Pennino)

What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate group adjusted for variations in line losses?

TECO: Off-Peak Standard On-Peak 2.166 2.908 2.405 Group A 2.277 Group A1 2.155 2.893 Group B 2.393 2.092 2.809 2.323 Group C (Pennino)

What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each company's levelized fuel factor for the projection period of April, 1996 through September, 1996?

TECO: 1.00083. (Pennino)

Is it appropriate to recover the cost of SO₂ emission allowances through the fuel and purchased cost recovery clause?

TECO: Yes. (Pennino)

Company Specific Fuel Adjustment Issues

ISSUE 13A: Should TECO separate Oil Backout Cost Recovery costs by wholesale and retail jurisdiction prior to calculating the oil backout factor?

TECO:

No. Separation of Oil Backout costs would not be appropriate for reasons more fully discussed in the Prepared Direct Testimony of Elizabeth A. Townes.

(Townes)

ISSUE 13B: Should TECO refund the non-jurisdictional portion of Oil Backout Cost Recovery costs previously recovered from its retail ratepayers?

No. If the Commission concludes that Oil Backout costs should be separated, such interpretation should be applied only on a prospective basis. A retroactive application would be unfair, for reasons more fully discussed in the Prepared Direct Testimony of Mary Jo Pennino. (Pennino)

Generic Generating Performance Incentive Factor Issues

ISSUE 14: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period April, 1995 through September, 1995?

TECO: Reward of \$376,230. (Keselowsky)

ISSUE 15: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period April, 1996 through September, 1996?

TECO: As set forth in Attachment "A" attached to the Prepared Direct Testimony of George A. Keselowsky. (Keselowsky)

Company-Specific GPIF Issues

ISSUE 16A: Should the adjustments made by Tampa Electric Company to the heat rate results for Big Bend Unit #3 be included in the GPIF reward calculation?

The Big Bend Unit #3 heat rate results for the TECO: months of June through September 1995 filed as part of the final true-up for April through September 1995 have been adjusted to establish consistency between the data filed as a target and the actual data. The target heat rate data did not include the effect on heat rate of additional station service allocated to Big Bend Unit #3 for the power required to scrub Big Bend Unit #3 flue gas. Big Bend Unit #3 was integrated into the Big Bend Unit #4 FGD system beginning June of 1995. station service allocated to Big Bend Unit #3 for scrubbing can be calculated based on the total energy used by the FGD system apportioned between Units 3 and 4 in the same ratio as tons of SO, removed from both units. This calculated station service value has been added back into the Mwhs used in the calculation of actual heat rate results to determine what the "unscrubbed" heat rate would have been in order to have a consistent comparison with the "unscrubbed" targets. This treatment of actual heat rate data should remain in effect until the 3 year cycle of data collected for heat rate targets includes the effect of the scrubber energy demand on heat rate. (Keselowsky)

ISSUE 16B: Should the adjustments made by Tampa Electric Company to the Big Bend Unit #3 heat rates be allowed in the heat rate target?

Yes. Since heat rate data from June through September 1995 will be used to establish new heat rate targets, the data for those months should be adjusted in the same manner as described in Issue 16A. GPIF guidelines require that 3 years of historical data be used in establishing heat rate targets, and this method should be employed until the scrubber has been in use in Unit 3 for that time. (Keselowsky)

Generic Oil Backout Issues

ISSUE 18: What is the final oil backout true-up amount for the April, 1995 through September, 1995 period?

TECO: An overrecovery of \$747,641. (Cantrell/Townes)

- ISSUE 12: What is the estimated oil backout true-up amount for the period October, 1995 through March, 1996?
 - Pursuant to Order No. PSC-95-0580-FOF-EI issued in Docket No. 950379-EI on May 10, 1995, Tampa Electric's projected oil backout costs for the period October 1, 1995 through December 31, 1995 were recovered during that period. The company presently estimates that its remaining true-up amount related to oil backout costs for 1995 is a \$184,616 underrecovery. As soon as the true-up amount is finalized, Tampa Electric will present it to the Commission. The true-up amount will be recovered as a line item adjustment to fuel costs through the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause during the period April 1, 1996 through September 30, 1996. (Cantrell/Townes)

Company-Specific Oil Backout Issues

TECO: None at this time.

Generic Capacity Cost Recovery Issues

- What is the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amount for the April, 1995 through September, 1995 period?
 - TECO: An underrecovery of \$17,956. (Pennino)
- ISSUE 21: What is the estimated capacity cost recovery true-up amount for the period October, 1995 through March, 1996?
 - TECO: An overrecovery of \$179,568. (Pennino)
- What is the total capacity cost recovery true-up amount to be collected during the period April, 1996 through September, 1996?
 - TECO: An overrecovery of \$161,612. (Pennino)
- What is the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factor for the period April, 1996 through September, 1996?
 - TECO: \$11,584,505. (Pennino)
- ISSUE 24: What are the projected capacity cost recovery factors for the period April, 1996 through September, 1996?

TECO: The appropriate factors are as follows:

Rate Schedules	Factor
RS	.193 cents per KWH
GS, TS	.179 cents per KWH
GSD	.135 cents per KWH
GSLD, SBF	.123 cents per KWH
IS-1 & 3, SBI-1 & 3	.011 cents per KWH
SL, OL	.029 cents per KWH (Pennino)

Company-Specific Capacity Cost Recovery Issues

TECO: None at this time.

F. STIPULATED ISSUES

TECO: Issue 5 should be stipulated.

G. MOTIONS

TECO: None at this time.

H. OTHER MATTERS

TECO: None at this time.

DATED this 8th day of February, 1996.

Respectfully submitted,

LEE L. WILLIS

JAMES D. BEASLEY

Macfarlane Ausley Ferguson & McMullen

Post Office Box 391

Tallahassee, Florida 32302

(904) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing Prehearing Statement, filed on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, has been furnished by U. S. Mail or hand delivery (*) on this day of February, 1996 to the following:

Ms. Martha C. Brown*
Ms. Mary Elizabeth Culpepper
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Comm'n.
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Mr. James A. McGee Senior Counsel Florida Power Corporation Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Mr. Joseph A. McGlothlin Ms. Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. Jack Shreve Office of Public Counsel Room 812 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mr. Matthew M. Childs Steel Hector & Davis Suite 601 215 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. John W. McWhirter McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas Post Office Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601-3350

Ms. Suzanne Brownless Suzanne Brownless P.A. 1311-B Paul Russell Road #202 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Mr. David M. Kleppinger McNees, Wallace & Nurick Post Office Box 1166 Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

Mr. Floyd R. Self Messer, Vickers, Caparello, Madsen, Lewis, Goldman & Metz Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1876

Mr. G. Edison Holland, Jr. Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

Mr. Barry Huddleston Destec Energy 2500CityWest Blvd. Suite 150 Houston, TX 77042

Mr. Eugene M. Trisko Post Office Box 596 Berkeley Springs, WV 25411

Mr. Roger Yott Air Products & Chemicals, Inc. 7540 Windsor Drive, Suite 301 Allentown, PA 18195

Mr. Richard J. Salem
Ms. Marian B. Rush
Salem, Saxon & Nielsen, P.A.
Post Office Box 3399
Tampa, FL 33601

Mr. Peter J. P. Brickfield Brickfield, Burchette & Ritts 1025 Thomas Jefferson St. N.W. Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007-0805 Mr. Stephen R. Yurek Dahlen, Berg & Co. 2150 Dain Bosworth Plaza 60 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

TTORNEY