DOCKET NO.: 950495-WS - [Southern States Utilities, Inc.]

WITNESS: Direct Testimony of Jeffrey A. Small, Appearing On Behalf of the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission, Division of Auditing and Financial Analysis

DATE FILED: February 26, 1996

DOCUMENT DUMBER-DATE D 2 3 1 8 FEB 26 % FPSD-RECORDS/REPORTING

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JEFFERY A. SMALL
2	Q. Please state your name and business address.
3	A. My name is Jeffery A. Small and my business address is Hurston North
4	Tower, Suite N512, 400 W. Robinson Street, Orlando, Florida.
5	Q. By whom are you presently employed and in what capacity?
6	A. I am employed by the Florida Public Service Commission as a Regulatory
7	Analyst II in the Division of Auditing and Financial Analysis.
8	Q. How long have you been employed by the Commission?
9	A. I have been employed by the Florida Public Service Commission for two
10	years.
11	Q. Briefly review your educational and professional background.
12	A. I have a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from the University
13	of South Florida. I was hired as a Regulatory Analyst I by the Florida Public
14	Service Commission January 1994. I am also a Certified Public Accountant
15	licensed in the State of Florida.
16	Q. Please describe your current responsibilities.
17	A. Currently, I am a Regulatory Analyst II with the responsibilities of
18	participating as a staff auditor in a large team effort and working
19	unaccompanied as an audit manager or team leader directing a small audit
20	staff. I am also responsible for modifying standard audit work programs to
21	accomplish stated audit objectives.
22	Q. What is the purpose of your testimony today?
23	A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor specific findings in the staff
24	audit report of Southern States Utilities, Inc., Docket No. 950495-WS. I am
25	sponsoring Audit Exceptions 4 through 6, and Audit Disclosures 4 through 11,

1 15, and 16. These findings are filed with my testimony and are identified as
 2 JAS-1.

3 Q. Please review the audit exceptions you are sponsoring.

A. Audit Exceptions disclose substantial non-compliance with the Uniform
System of Accounts, a Commission rule or order, Staff Advisory Bulletins, and
formal company policy. Audit Exceptions also disclose company exhibits that
do not represent company books and records and company failure to provide
underlying records or documentation to support the general ledger or exhibits.

9 Audit Exception No. 4 recommends two adjustments related to the Marco 10 Shores system's purchase of water from the Marco Island system. The first 11 adjustment is to state the projected revenues using projected consumption and 12 rates, instead of historical consumption and rates. The second adjustment is 13 to reflect the reduction of reportable revenues for purposes of calculating 14 the regulatory assessment fees payable to the Commission.

Audit Exception No. 5 recommends the removal of shareholder services expenses allocated from Minnesota Power. In a Tampa Electric Company rate case, Commission Order No. 11307 states the following:

18 Stockholder relations expenses are incurred for activities related 19 to image building and good will. This type of expense is not 20 normally allowed by this Commission if incurred by a utility. 21 This type of expense should be disallowed if incurred by a parent 22 and passed through to subsidiary companies.

Therefore, based on this past Commission action, I recommend that these expenses be removed. The audit workpapers supporting this exception are attached as JAS-2.

- 2 -

Audit Exception No. 6 discusses the utility's write-off of \$19,143 for an abandoned Preliminary Survey and Investigation project. The utility recorded this amount in Contractual Services - Other. I believe that this is incorrect. I recommend that this amount be charged to either Miscellaneous Expense or Miscellaneous Non-utility Expense.

6 Q. Please review the audit disclosures you are sponsoring.

7 A. Audit Disclosures disclose material facts that are outside the8 definition of an Audit Exception.

9 Audit Disclosure No. 4 discusses the Seaboard system in Hillsborough county and my concern regarding the utility plant in service included in rate 10 11 base. The utility purchases water from the City of Tampa via Hillsborough 12 County in accordance with a specific water purchase agreement. These purchases equal approximately 62% of the water sold. The utility includes all 13 original plant in service as well as all the cost associated with the 14 15 construction of the interconnect with Hillsborough County.

Audit Disclosure No. 5 discusses the recorded sludge hauling expense for the Beecher Point and Palm Port systems. This expense should be identified as a Purchased Sewage Treatment Expense rather than sludge hauling. In addition the expense should not be treated as a recurring O&M expense because a more cost effective method should be developed.

Audit Disclosure No. 6 discusses an audit request regarding the elasticity adjustment. The utility stated the information requested would have to be provided by Dr. Whitcomb. Therefore, I did not review the elasticity adjustment.

25

Audit Disclosure No. 7 discusses the utility's conservation expenses and

- 3 -

1 makes certain comparisons with the way these expenses are incurred and 2 recorded and those for the electric and gas industries. Generally, I 3 recommend that conservation programs should be approved in advance and only 4 expenses specifically related to those approved programs should be charged to 5 conservation. The audit workpapers related to this disclosure are attached 6 as JAS-3.

Audit Disclosure No. 8 recommends that the purchased power expense for the Deltona Lakes system be reduced. The utility has consistently over budgeted for this expense. Since 1992, the utility has over budgeted an average of 20.48%. The audit workpapers related to this disclosure are attached as JAS-4.

Audit Disclosure No. 9 recommends that the purchased water expense for the Volusia/Enterprise system be reduced. The utility operates this system under a receivership agreement with the Commission. The water sold to this system from the Deltona Lakes system should not be included in the MFRs for this rate case.

Audit Disclosure No. 10 recommends that the projected expenses for a Hurricane Preparedness program are non-recurring expenses and should be amortized over five years.

Audit Disclosure No. 11 recommends that the projected expenses for the Hepatitis Immunization program are non-recurring and should be amortized over five years. The audit workpapers related to this disclosure are attached as JAS-5.

Audit Disclosure No. 15 recommends that the projected salary expense should be reduced to correct an error in the attrition adjustment calculation.

- 4 -

The utility stated that the attrition adjustment for 1996 should be 5.75%, not the 5.87% included in the MFRs. Audit Disclosure No. 16 discusses the salary expense for the Executive Division. Does this conclude your testimony? Q. Yes, it does. Α.