1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 In Re: Resolution of petition (s) 3 to establish nondiscriminatory rates, terms, and conditions for) DOCKET NO. 950984-TP resale involving exchange companies and alternative local exchange companies pursuant to Section 364.162, Florida Statutes 7 8 IN RE: PREHEARING CONFERENCE 9 COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON **BEFORE:** 10 BETTY EASLEY CONFERENCE PLACE: CENTER, ROOM 152 11 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 12 COMMENCED AT 2:00 P.M. TIME: 13 CONCLUDED AT 2:20 P.M. 14 MARCH 1, 1996 DATE 15 NANCY S. METZKE, RPR, CCR REPORTED BY: 16 C & N REPORTERS POST OFFICE BOX 3093 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 17 32315 18 19 20 C & N REPORTERS REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS 21 POST OFFICE BOX 3093 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32315-3093 22 (904) 385-5501 23 24 **BUREAU OF REPORTING** 25

RECEIVED 3-6-96

APPEARANCES:

DONNA L. CANZANO, Senior Attorney, Florida Public Service Commission.

JAMES FALVEY, ESQUIRE, MFS, Swidler & Berlin, Chartered, 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300, Washington, D.C. 20007.

RICHARD D. MELSON, ESQUIRE, MCI Metro, Hopping, Green, Sams & Smith, Post Office Box 6526, Tallahassee, Florida 32314.

J. JEFFREY WAHLEN, ESQUIRE, Sprint United-Centel, McFarlane, Ausley, Ferguson and McMullen, 227 South Calhoun Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301.

FLOYD R. SELF, ESQUIRE, LDDS WorldCom, Messer, Caparello, Madsen, Goldman & Metz, Post Office Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876.

LAURA WILSON, ESQUIRE, FCTA, 310 North Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32302.

DONALD L. CROSBY, ESQUIRE, Continental Cablevision, 7800 Belfort Parkway, Suite 270, Jacksonville, Florida 32256-6925.

ROBERT S. COHEN, ESQUIRE, Time Warner and Digital Media Partners, Pennington, Culpepper, Moore, Wilkinson, Dunbar & Dunlap, P.A., Post Office Box 10095, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-2095.

ANTHONY P. GILLMAN, ESQUIRE, GTE Florida, Post Office Box 110, FLTC0007, Tampa, Florida 33601.

PATRICK K. WIGGINS, ESQUIRE, Intermedia, Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A., Post Office Box 1657, Tallahassee, Florida 32302.

ROBIN D. DUNSON, ESQUIRE, AT&T, 1200 Peachtree Street N.E., Promenade I, Room 4038, Atlanta, Georgia 30309.

$\underline{P} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{G} \ \underline{S}$

COMMISSIONER DEASON: We'll proceed then directly into the 84 docket. We'll begin by having the notice read.

MS. CANZANO: Pursuant to notice, a prehearing conference has been set for this time and place in Docket 950984.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Take appearances.

MR. COHEN: Bob Cohen of the Pennington law firm, Tallahassee, for Time Warner and Digital Media Partners. The address, P. O. Box 10095, Tallahassee, 32302.

MR. WAHLEN: Jeff Wahlen and Lee L. Willis of the McFarlane, Ausley law firm, P. O. Box 931,
Tallahassee, Florida, 32302. On behalf of Central
Telephone Company of Florida and United Telephone.

MR. GILLMAN: Tony Gillman, One Tampa City
Center, Post Office Box 110, Tampa, Florida, 33601.
Appearing in this docket on behalf of GTE Florida,
Incorporated.

MR. WIGGINS: Patrick Wiggins, Wiggins & Villacorta, P. O. Box 1657, Tallahassee, 32302.

Appearing on behalf of Intermedia Communications of Florida, Inc.

-

MS. WILSON: Laura Wilson appearing on behalf of the Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, 310 North Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301.

MR. MELSON: Richard Melson of the law firm
Hopping, Green, Sams & Smith P.A., P. O. Box 6526,
Tallahassee, appearing on behalf of MCI Metro Access
Transmission Services, Inc.

MS. DUNSON: Robin Dunson appearing on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., 1200 Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia, 30309.

I would also like to enter an appearance for Mike Tye as well as Mark Logan and Brian Ballard of the law firm Bryant, Miller and Olive, 201 South Monroe Street, Suite 500, Tallahassee, Florida, 32301.

MR. FALVEY: James Falvey and Richard Rindler of the law firm of Swidler & Berlin, 3000 K Street N.W., Suite 300, Washington, D.C. on behalf of Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Florida.

MR. SELF: Floyd Self and Norman H. Horton, Jr. of the Messer, Caparello law firm, P. O. Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida. Appearing on behalf of WorldCom Inc., d/b/a, LDDS, WorldCom communications.

MS. CANZANO: Donna Canzano, Tracy Hatch and Scott Edmonds, appearing on behalf of the Commission staff, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee,

Florida.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Preliminary matters.

MS. CANZANO: Again, we have the same preliminary matters that we just heard in Docket 950985. It would be a proposed stipulation by GTE and MFS and a request for oral argument and a motion filed by United-Centel.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, the motion that was filed by Sprint-Centel, the ruling would be the same as was done earlier, and the issue will be added to the issues. And when we get to that issue if there is any concern with the wording, likewise, we can discuss it. But apparently there was no concern earlier, so I don't anticipate any concern in this docket either.

The stipulation I assume that it will be handled similarly as it was handled in the -- or it will be planned to be handled in the 85 docket.

MS. CANZANO: That's a good idea.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Any other concerns?

MR. GILLMAN: Well, the only comments about that, we just have one document, one stipulation that deals with both dockets, issues in both dockets.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, I think the reality of it is, is when it is addressed by the Commission in

the 85, well, then it will be -- that in effect will be addressing it for the 84 docket. Perhaps the commissioners themselves need to be told of the effect of the stipulation itself and it does affect more than one docket. I'm sure that it probably will be self evident when the stipulation itself is reviewed.

MS. CANZANO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other preliminary matters?

MS. CANZANO: None that I'm aware.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. We will proceed into the draft prehearing order, Section I, case background; Section II, confidential information.

Mr. Melson.

MR. MELSON: Commissioner Deason, in accordance with the requirement to give seven days' notice, MCI Metro would like to give notice that we intend to use some confidential discovery documents of GTE Florida and Sprint United-Centel as exhibits at the hearing.

MR. FALVEY: Commissioner Deason, MFS would likewise to give notice that it may use confidential documents of GTE and Sprint United-Centel at the hearing and that we are familiar with the procedures for handling such documents.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Any other parties

going to use confidential information?

MS. CANZANO: Staff may also use confidential material produced during discovery.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Section III, prefiled testimony and exhibits.

(NO RESPONSE)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Section IV, order of witnesses.

MR. WAHLEN: Commissioner Deason, I would make the same suggestion I made in the previous docket, and that would be to have the intervenor witnesses come after MFS and before GTEFL in the order and for direct and rebuttal to be taken together at the same time.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection to this suggestion?

MS. DUNSON: AT&T doesn't have any objections; however, we would like for Mr. Guedel to go before Mr. Gillan since Mr. Gillan is only offering rebuttal testimony.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well.

MR. WAHLEN: And United and Centel would also request that Witness Khazraee be moved before Witness Poag in the order of witnesses.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection?
(NO RESPONSE)

1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well that change will 2 be made. Any other concerns with the order of witnesses? 3 (NO RESPONSE) 4 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Section V, basic positions. Changes or corrections? 6 7 (NO RESPONSE) COMMISSIONER DEASON: Section VI, issues and 8 9 positions. Issue 1? (NO RESPONSE) 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: 11 Issue 2? 12 (NO RESPONSE) COMMISSIONER DEASON: 13 Issue 3? 14 (NO RESPONSE) 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue 4? (NO RESPONSE) 16 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And Issue 5 will be added 17 18 consistent with the previous ruling. Any changes or concerns with the wording? 19 (NO RESPONSE) 20 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And the positions for Issue 22 5 I think would be consistent with the positions that would be taken in the 85 docket, and those positions 23 24 can simply be incorporated into the 84 order.

there any objection to that procedure?

(NO RESPONSE)

2

COMMISSIONER DEASON:

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Very well.

MR. WAHLEN: Could I ask one question on that? It just came to mind, and I apologize for not raising it beforehand. Do you anticipate that the Commission will render a decision on these legal issues at the conclusion of oral argument, or will it be something that is addressed in the briefing schedule? And the reason that I ask is because if the Commission's ruling is that the non-petitioning ALECs will be bound by the decision, I guess it's conceivable that those may elect to just not participate any further. that's what we want to do, we don't have any objection to that, but I don't want to preempt the Commission's decision on that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I can't speak for the other commissioners, and I don't know what they will be prepared to do, that is why I'm asking staff to make them -- have them a file prepared with all of the filings and all necessary background information so that at -- hopefully at the conclusion of oral argument a decision can be made. That is what my desire and my recommendation to my fellow commissioners would be so that parties who may be affected by that decision would know how they need to

proceed with the hearing. I.e., they may wish to excuse themselves from further participation. That would be my desire.

Obviously if we had a longer time frame to conduct these proceedings, this may be such an issue that should be briefed and orally argued before the hearing even begins, but we do not have that luxury. So yes, I would hope there would be a decision. But obviously if my fellow commissioners are not in a position to make that decision, we will just have to cross that bridge when we come to it.

MR. WAHLEN: Okay. That is fine. I just thought it would be good for us to know.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: It would be desirable to have a decision at the conclusion of oral argument. We may need to take a recess and have staff prepare some recommendations for the commissioners, but we -- Here again, that will be Chairman Clark's call at that time.

MR. WAHLEN: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any other questions concerning the Legal Issue 5?

(NO RESPONSE)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Section VII, exhibit list?
(NO RESPONSE)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Section VIII, proposed stipulations?

(NO RESPONSE)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Section IX, pending motions. That motion is no longer pending except to the extent that there is going to be an Issue 5 incorporated and will be orally argued, and that ruling can be shown in Section X.

Any other matters in the 85 docket -- I'm sorry, in the 84 docket?

(NO RESPONSE)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, I must say this really went smoothly. Maybe it's Friday, because it's a Friday afternoon. Maybe we should start this hearing on a Friday afternoon. Thank you all for being so well prepared. This prehearing conference is adjourned.

(WHEREUPON, THE HEARING WAS ADJOURNED)

1	COURT CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF LEON)
4	
5	I, NANCY S. METZKE, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was
6 7	and Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
8	DATED this 3rd day of March, 1996.
9	
10	NANCY S. METZKE, CCR, RPR
11	NANCY S. METZKE, CCR, RPR
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	