1	BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF D. DAONNE CALDWELL
3	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4	DOCKET NO. 260846-TP
5	SEPTEMBER 9, 1996
6	
7	Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION.
8	
9	A. My name is D. Daonne Caldwell. My business address is 675 W. Peachtree St.
10	NE, Atlanta, Georgia. I am a manager in the Finance Department of BellSouth
11	Telecommunications, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "BellSouth" or "the
12	Company"). My area of responsibility relates to economic service costs.
13	
14	Q. PLEASE GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF YOUR EDUCATIONAL
15	BACKGROUND AND WORK EXPERIENCE.
16	
17	A. I attended the University of Mississippi, graduating with a Master of Science
18	Degree in mathematics. I have attended numerous Bell Communications
19	Research, Inc. (Bellcore) courses and outside seminars relating to service cost
20	studies and economic principles.
21	
22	My initial employment was with South Central Bell in 1976 in the Tupelo,
23	Mississippi, Engineering Department where I was responsible for Outside Plant
24	Planning. In 1983, I transferred to BellSouth Services, Inc. in Birmingham,
25	Alabama, and was responsible for the Centralized Results System Database. I

-1-

1	moved to the Pricing and Economics Department in 1984 where I developed
2	methodology for service cost studies until 1986 when I accepted a rotational
3	assignment with Bell Communications Research, Inc. While at Bellcore, I was
4	responsible for development and instruction of the Service Cost Studies
5	Curriculum including courses such as "Concepts of Service Cost Studies",
6	"Network Service Costs", "Nonrecurring Costs", and "Cost Studies for New
7	Technologies". In 1990, I returned to BellSouth and was appointed to a position in
8	the cost organization, which is now a part of the Finance Department, with the
9	responsibility of managing the development of cost studies for transport facilities,
10	both loop and interoffice.
11	
12	Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
13	
14	A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe the cost methodology used in the Long
15	Run Incremental Cost (LRIC) and Total Service Long Run Incremental Cost
16	(TSLRIC) studies for the unbundled network elements that BellSouth will provide
17	to the Alternative Local Exchange Companies (ALECs) in Florida. Specifically, I
18	will address the cost studies for the following network elements:
19	 Unbundled Loops (2-Wire Analog, 4-Wire Analog and 2-Wire ISDN
20	Digital)
21	Unbundled Ports and Associated Local Usage
22	 Unbundled Loop Channelization Systems and Central Office
23	Channel Interfaces (located in the BellSouth central office buildings)
24	Special Access Voice Grade Service Interoffice Channel Voice -
25	Unbundled Exchange Access

1	Operator Services
2	Directory Assistance
3	Common Channel Signaling
4	Database Services
5	The cost studies include all the volume sensitive and volume insensitive long run
6	incremental costs associated with the provision of these unbundled elements.
7	
8	Since the cost issues raised in MCI's petition for arbitration have been previously
9	addressed in earlier testimony, I would like to adopt by reference my Direct
10	Testimony filed August 12, 1996, in Florida Docket No. 960833-TP which
11	included the cost studies (Exhibits DDC-7 through DDC-22) for the afore-
12	mentioned unbundled network elements.
13	
14	Q. DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD TO YOUR TESTIMONY?
15	
16	A. Yes. The cost studies provided by BellSouth are based on a forward looking long
17	run economic cost methodology. BellSouth's cost studies identify both the Long
18	Run Incremental Costs and the Total Service Long Run Incremental Costs as
19	appropriate. These studies include only the direct costs caused by providing the
20	particular network element being studied.
21	
22	The purpose of the cost methodology established by the FCC's First Report and
23	Order in CC Docket 96-98 (FCC Order) released August 8, 1996, is to set the rate
24	for interconnection and unbundled network elements. The basis for a Total
25	Element Long Run Incremental Cost (TELRIC) study is also a forward looking

1	long run economic cost methodology. However, TELRIC methodology
2	anticipates pricing of elements in a wholesale network company; hence, many
3	costs regarded as common or shared and, therefore, excluded from BellSouth's
4	LRIC and TSLRIC methodology would be included as directly attributable in a
5	TELRIC study. The FCC pricing methodology also specifies that, over and above
6	TELRIC, the additional portion of forward looking common costs that cannot be
7	directly attributed to any particular network element will be allocated among the
8	cost elements.
9	
10	BellSouth is currently developing the methodology to support TELRIC studies.
11	As soon as TELRIC studies are completed, they will be provided. The initial
12	TELRIC studies that BellSouth will provide will be representative of a statewide
13	average. BellSouth is currently looking at several alternatives that will enable the
14	development of a reasonable approach to geographic deaveraging of the costs.
15	Once the methodology is determined, geographically deaveraged TELRIC studies
16	will be produced and provided.
17	
18	By definition, TELRIC results should be higher than the LRIC/TSLRIC results.
19	For example:
20	- BellSouth's LRIC/TSLRIC studies do not include any shared or common
21	costs that would be considered directly attributable using the TELRIC
22	methodology specified in the FCC Order and
23	- BellSouth's LRIC/TSLRIC studies do not include an allocation of forward
24	looking common costs that cannot be directly attributed to any particular network
25	element.

1		
2		It would be inappropriate to set rates below the costs identified by these
3		LRIC/TSLRIC studies. Until TELRIC studies are available, the Commission
4		should use BellSouth's LRIC/TSLRIC results as the price floor for establishing
5		rates for unbundled network elements.
6		
7	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
8		
9	A.	Yes.
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
0:		
!1		
2		
3		
4		
5		