# AUSLEY \＆MCMULLEN 

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

```
227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
    P.O. BOX 391(ZIP 323O2)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(904) 224-9115 FAX(904) 222-7560
```

September 13， 1996

## BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms．Blanca S．Bayo，Director
Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee，Florida 32399－0850

Re：Resolution of Petition to Establish Non Discriminatory Rates，Terms，and Conditions for Resale Involving Local Exchange Companies and Alternative Local Exchange Companies pursuant to Section 364．161， Florida Statutes－Docket No．950984－TP

Dear Ms．Bayo：
Enclosed for filing in the above－styled docket are the original and fifteen（15）copies of United Telephone Company of Florida and Central Telephone Company of Florida＇s Request for Confidential Classification．

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning the same to this writer．
$\qquad$ Thank you for your assistance in this matter．
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Enclosures

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$兩要空

WAS $\qquad$ pescallari pospecnen：－

09770 SEP 13 ®
TH $\qquad$

```
BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
```

In re: Resolution of Petition to ) Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,) Terms, and Conditions for resale ) Involving Local Exchange Companies and Alternative Local ) Exchange Companies pursuant to ) Section 364.161, Florida statutes

DOCKET NO. 950984-TP
DATED: 09/13/96

> UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA'S REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Pursuant to Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA and CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA (collectively, "Sprint United/Centel" or the "Companies") file this Request for Specified Confidential Classification for certain cost study information provided to the Staff in this docket, and say:

1. This request covers documents submitted to the Division of Records and Reporting under a confidential cover on August 23, 1996. These documents have been Bates stamped numbers 8, 10, 12, 17-21, 24, 26-28, 30-33, 40-43, 47-50, 52, 55, and 100-103, and represent the lopp and port cost study information required to be filed as a result of the Final Order in this docket. The documents to which this request relates was filed with the Division of Records and Reporting under a separate confidential cover and a Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification on August 23, 1996.
2. In accordance with FPSC Rule No. 25-22.006, F.A.C., a copy of the documents with the information the Companies consider to be proprietary has been filed under a separate cover as Exhibit "A" to this request and has the confidential information highlighted for identification purposes. In accordance with Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, the Companies have appended hereto as Exhibit "B" one edited copy of the confidential answers with the confidential information blacked out ("redacted").
3. Commission Rule 25-22.006(4)(a) provides that a utility may satisfy its burden of proving that information is specified confidential material by demonstrating how the information falls under one or more of the available statutory examples. In the alternative, if no statutory example is available, the utility may satisfy its burden by including a justifying statement indicating what penalties or ill effects on the Companies or its ratepayers will result from the disclosure of the information to the public. The Companies have identified this confidential information on a line-by-line basis, and have appended the required line-by-line identification and justifications hereto as Exhibit "C."
4. The information for which confidential treatment is requested has not been disclosed, except pursuant to a protective agreement that provides that the information will not be released to the public.
5. For all the foregoing reasons, Sprint United/Centel respectfully urge the Commission to classify the above-described and discussed document as proprietary confidential business
information pursuant to Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, and as such exempt from Chapter 119, Florida Statutes.

WHEREFORE, UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA and CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA move the Commission to enter an Order declaring the documents claimed to be confidential in this request are proprietary confidential business information pursuant to Section 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code.

DATED this 13th day of September, 1996.

(904) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U. S. Mail or hand delivery (*) or overnight express (**) this 13th day of September, 1996, to the following:

Donna Canzano *
Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Comm. 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd., Rm 370 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Donald L. Crosby
Continental Cablevision, Inc.
Southeastern Region
7800 Belfort Parkway, Suite 270
Jacksonville, FL 32256-6925
Anthony P. Gillman
Kimberly Caswell
GTE Florida Incorporated
Post Office Box 110, FLTC0007
Tampa, FL 31601-0110
Steven D. Shannon
MCI Metro Access Transmission
Svcs., Inc.
2250 Lakeside Blvd.
Richardson, TX 75082
Leslie Carter
Digital Media Partners
1 Prestige Place, Suite 255
2600 McCormack Drive
Clearwater, FL 34619-1098
Rich Rindler
Swidler \& Berlin, Chartered
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007
David Erwin
Young Van Assenderp et al.
Post Office Box 1833
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1833
Richard A. Gerstemeier
Time Warner AxS of FL, L.P. 2251 Lucien Way, Suite 320
Maitland, FL 32751-7023

Leo I. George
Lonestar Wireless of FL, Inc.
1146 19th Street, NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
Robert S. Cohen
Pennington Law Firm
Post Office Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32302
Patrick K. Wiggins
Wiggins \& Villacorta, P.A.
Post Office Drawer 1657
Tallahassee, FL 32302
Andrew D. Lipman
Metropolitan Fiber Systems of FL, Inc.
One Tower Lane, Suite 1600
Oakbrook Terrace, IL 601814630

Richard D. Melson
Hopping Boyd Green et al.
Post Office Box 6526
Tallahassee, FL 32314
J. Phillip Carver
c/o Nancy H. Sims
BellSouth Telecommunications
150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301
John Murray
Payphone Consultants, Inc.
3431 NW 55th Street
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33309-6308
Patricia Kurlin
Intermedia Communications of FL 3625 Queen Palm Drive
Tampa, FL 33619

Gary T. Lawrence
City of Lakeland
501 East Lemon street
Lakeland, FL 33801-5079
Jill Butler
Digital Media Partners/
Time Warner Communications
2773 Red Maple Ridge
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Graham A. Taylor
TCG South Florida
1001 W. Cypress Creek Rd., Suite 209
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33309-1949
Clay Phillips
Utilities \& Telecommunications
Room 410
House Office Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Greg Krasovsky
Commerce \& Economic
Opportunities
Room 4265
Senate Office Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Charles Beck
Office of Public Counsel
111 West Madison Street
Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
Nels Roseland
Executive Office of the Governor
Office of Planning \& Budget
The Capitol, Room 1502
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Paul Kouroupas
Director, Regulatory Affairs
Teleport Communications Group
Two Teleport Drive, Suite 300
Staten Island, NY 10311

Floyd R. Self
Messer, Caparello, et al.
Post Office Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302
Michael W. Tye
AT\&T
101 N. Monroe street
Suite 7.00
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Robin D. Dunson
1200 Peachtree Street, NE
Promenade I, Room 4038
Atlanta, GA 30309
Sue E. Weiske
Time Warner Communications
160 Inverness Drive West
Englewood, CO 80112
Laura L. Wilson
FCTA
310 North Monroe Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Ken Hoffman
Rutledge, Ecenia, et. al
215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 420
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1841

Jodie Donovan-May
Eastern Region Counsel
Teleport Communications Group
113321 st Street, NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036
Mark K. Logan
Bryant, Miller and Olive
201 S. Monroe Street, Suite 500
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Timothy Devine
Metropolitan Fiber Systems
6 Concourse Pkwy., Suite 2100
Atlanta, GA 30328


```
In re: Resolution of Petition to ) DOCKET NO. 950984-TP
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,)
Terms, and Conditions for resale )
Involving Local Exchange )
Companies and Alternative Local ,
Exchange Companies pursuant to )
Section 364.161, Florida Statutes )
```

EXHIBIT "B" TO SPRINT UNITED/CENTEL'S REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

## Unedited Version <br> With

Confidential Information Redacted
2
SUMMARY OF TSLRIC UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENT COSTRESULTS CONFIDENIAL
$A$
3
4 Ports
$B$MonthlyRecurring Cost
5
2w analog line$\$ 10$
6 4 w analog line\$
7 $2 w$ ISDN digital line (BRI)
8 $2 w$ analog Direct Inward Dialing (DID) trunk
9
4w DS-1 digital DID trunk
10 4 w ISDN DS-1 digital trunk (PRI) (2)
11 Links (Loops) ..... (3)
12. 2w analog voice grade ..... \$ 23.45
$134 w$ analog voice grade ..... \$ 46.90
14 2w Integrated Service Digital Network (ISDN) digital grade ..... \$ 23.45
15* 4W DS-1 digital grade ..... \$ 70.35
16 Repeater ..... \$ 19.25
17 (1) Sprint cannot provide a 4-wire analog voice grade port. However, there is a
18 diagram included which shows how analog voice grade service delivered over a 4-
19
wire connection can be terminated in switch ports. The costs of providing switch
20 line termination for 24 channels of analog voice grade traffic delivered over a 4 -wire
21 connection (i.e., B1 over T1), are included in the cost number provided here.
22 (2)Includes switching and transport based on business originating average usage of
23 1,063 minutes per line times cost per minute of $\$$
24 (3) See Unbundled Loop Cost Study (BCM-2) for study results, methodology and 25 algorithms.

## A

2 (1) 2-wire VG Analog Port
3 (2) 4 -wire analog voice grade port
4 (3) 2-wire ISDN Digital line port (BRI)
5 (4) 2-wire Analog Direct Inward Dialing (DID) port
(5) 4-wire DS-1 Digital DID Trunk port
(6) 4-wire ISDN DS-1 Digital Trunk port (PRI)


## 8 FOOTNOTES:

9 (1) includes Line Card ), Software , Main Frame M Protection
10 (2) represents the cost of 242 -wire line card terminations. An additional cost of 4 may
11 apply. The additional cost includes channel banks and cards, DSX cross connect and CO repeater.
12 A diagram of this element has been provided.
13 (3)Includes Line Card, MDF, Protection, Excess CCS Capacity. Developed in the SCIS/IN Model
(4) includes cost of termination of a DS-0 in the DTC. An additional cost onay malso apply if channel bank and DSX cross connect are required from Sprint.
17 (5) includes cost of termination of a DS-1 in the DTC. An additional cost o may apply
18 if CO repeater and DSX cross connect are required from Sprint.
19 (6) Includes Line Card, MDF, Protection, Excess CCS Capacity. Developed in the SCIS/IN Model
20 plus Software
21 (7) Total Tandem Office Termination cost divided by $270,000 \mathrm{MOU}$. ( $270,000 \mathrm{MOU}=30$
22 channels (DS-30a) / 24 channeis $\times 216,000$ CCS per 24 channels)
23 (8) Total End Office Trunk Port cost $\quad 216,000 \mathrm{MOU}$ per 24 channels

## CONFICENIILL

1 The following pages are diagrams representing how the 2-wire DID, 4-wire DID and
2 4-wire analog voice grade circuits would be terminated inio Sprint's switch. The 3 costs associaled with these ports have been divided into two categories - required 4 and optional.

## 5 Attachment 1 is a diagram of the 2-wire DID termination. In this diagram, the

 6 investments associated with items 6 and 7 make up the required component of the 7 port cost ( Investments associated with items 3-5 comprise the optional 8 component of the port cost (n).9 Attachment 2 is a diagram of the 4 -wire DID termination. In this diagram, the 10 investments associated with items 5 and 6 make up the required component of the 11 port cost pmine Investments associated with items 3 and 4 comprise the optional 12 component of the port cost

13 Attachment 3 is a diagram of the 4 -wire voice grade termination. If an ALEC 14 chooses to present analog voice grade traffic via a T-1 to Sprint for fermination in
15 switch ports, then the circuit will have to be demultiplexed to the 24 individual DS-
16 Os. These DS-Os would then be terminated into the switch via 2 -wire analog ports.
17 Investments associated with item 8 (analog 2 -wire voice grade line cards) are
18 included in the required port cost ). The investments associated with items
$19 \quad 3-7$ could either be provided by the ALEC or by Sprint. If they are provided by
20 Sprint, then the optional port cost would also apply

## conforerial

## 1 UNBUNDLED SWITCH PORT COST BACKUP

## 2 DID PORTS and 4-WIRE VOICE GRADE ANALOG PORTS

## A

3. DID Provisioning

4. 2-Wire DID Port

$7 \quad$ Optional cost element
8 (covers CO circuit equipment investment)

9 4-Wire DID Port
10 Required cost element
11 (covers switch investment)
12 Optional cost element
13 . (covers CO circuit equipment investment)

14 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Port (See Footnote)
$\pm 150=$ Required cost element
$16 \quad$ (covers 24 line side pors)
17 Optional cost element
18 (covers CO circuit equipment investment).

19 FOOTNOTE: Sprint does not have a 4 -wire analog voice grade port. However, if
20. an ALEC wishes to terminate analog voice grade traffic that they have brought to

2 / the switch via a $T-1$, then the cost would be the same as 24 times the 2 -wire voice
22 grade port cost. Assuming the ALEC chose not to demultiplex the traffic down to
23 DS-0, then the optional cost element would also apply and Sprint would demultiplex 24 the traffic to DS-O.

## 2 SPRINTSISOUTHERN OPERATIONS

3 AT\&T-DIGITAL INTERFACE FOR 5ESS SWTCH 20-Aug

4 CENTRAL OFFICE
$5==========$
6
78
9
10
11 Equipment type.
12 Digital Interface w/double T1

Direct Inward Dialing Interface
to a Digital Switch
(E)
(D/E)

13 T1 Digital Interface to Connect DID Local Channels
14 from PBX Customer's to the Sprint's Switches 15

AT\&T Total DID trunks
(B)
(C)
(D)

| (B) | (C) | (D) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Part Number | Discounted <br> Unit <br> Vendor <br> Price | Unit <br> Installed |
| Investment |  |  |

(G)

Weight Aver: Investm Per D: -

16 Northem Telecom - DTC Digital Trunk Controller Interface


21
Total Weighted Average per DS-1


CONFIEETIS


CONFOEETMI

1 COST METHODOLOGY and ASSUMPTIONS
2 UNBUNDLED SWITCH PORT
AB
. 3 COST OF LOCAL SWITCHING USAGE ONLY
4 END OFFICE LINE PORT USAGE
5 1. CAPACITY COST OF END OFFICE ORIGTERM. CALL SET-UP*$\$$
6 2. CAPACITY COST OF END OFFICE OTIG.ITERM. CCS
7 CAPACITY COST OF END OFFICE ORIG/TERM SS7 CALL SET-UP
8 TOTAL END OFFICE TERMINATION$\$$
\$
9 - Per DS-30A link, 2-6 per LCM
10 Footnotes:
I/ 1. 1,080 CCS per DS30 / .60 CCS per MOU / 3.21 Call Duration * P PULL *
12 GSC/Ms * 1.043 LBF * . 2725 ACF / 12 months
13 2. 1,080 CCS per DS30 * \$ LCCS * 1.043 LBF * . 2725 ACF / 12 months
14 PULL - Processor Utilization - Line to Line (in milliseconds)
15 GSC/MS - Getting Started Cost per millisecond (processor investment)
16 LBF - Land and Building factor
17 ACF - Annual Charge factor
18 LCCS - Line CCS - investment

1. COST METHODOLOGY and ASSUMPTIONS

## 2 UNBUNDLED SWITCH PORT

A ..... $B$
3 COST OF TRUNK SWITCHING USAGE ONLY
4 END OFFICE TRUNK PORT USAGE
5 1. CAPACITY COST OF END OFFICE TRUNK ORIG/TERM. CALL SET-UP*
6 2. CAPACITY COST OF END OFFICE TRUNK OTIG.TTERM. CCS
7 CAPACITY COST OF END OFFICE TRUNK ORIG/TERMSS7 CALL SET-UP$\$ \square$
$\$ \square$8 TOTAL END OFFICE TRUNK PORTS
9
Line port includes intraoffice set-up, PULL. Trunk port includes only incremental MS associated with interoffice calling, the average of PULT and PUTL.
$1 /$ FOOTNOTES:
12 1. (864 BH CCS / . 60 CCS PER MOU / 3.21 Call Duration) * (( ${ }^{(1)}$ PULT + PUTL)/
13 2) - PULL) * S GSC/Ms * 1.043 LBF * . 2725 ACF / 12 months
14 2. $864 \mathrm{BHCCS}^{*}$ S TCCS • 1.043 LBF * .2725 ACF / 12 months
15 3. 864 BH CCS / 60 CCS per MOU / 3.21 Call Duration * (Sn SSP + S ..... 57)
16 Octets * 1.043 LBF * . 2725 ACF / 12 months
PULT - Processor Utilization Line - Trunk (milliseconds) 17
PUTL - Processor Utilization Trunk - Line (milliseconds)
PUTL - Processor Utilization Trunk - Line (milliseconds)
19 PULL - Processor Utilization Line - Line (milliseconds)
20 GSC/Ms - Getting Started Cost per millisecond - processor investment
21 LBF - Land and Building Factor
22 ACF - Annual Charge Factor
23 TCCS - Trunk CCS investment

| 2 | inputs: | A | $B$ | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | SCIS / CCSCIS / TCM Outputs: |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | Getting Started Costs per MS | GSC/MS | \$ |
| 5 |  | Cost per Line CCS - Originating \& Terminating | LCCS |  |
| $L$ |  | Cost per Trunk CCS - Outgoing \& Incoming | TCCS |  |
| 7 |  | Cost per Tandem Trunk CCS - Outgoing \& Incoming | TTCCS |  |
| 8 |  | Cost per SS7 Oclet | SSP |  |
| 9 |  | Cost per Octet (CCSCIS) | SS7 |  |
| 10 | Miscellaneous: |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | Processor Utilization - Line to Line | PULL |  |
| 12 |  | Processor Utilization - Line to Trunk | PULT |  |
| 13 |  | Processor Utilization - Trunk to Line | PUTL |  |
| 14 |  | Processor Utilization - Trunk to Trunk | PUTT |  |
| 15 |  | Octets per Originating Call | OCT |  |
| 16 |  | Annual Charge Factor | ACF | 0.2725 |
| 17 |  | Call Duration | $C D$ | 3.21 |
| 18 |  | Land, Building \& Power Factor | LBP | 1.043 |
| 19 |  | CCSMOU Conversion | CCSMMOU | 0.6 |

## UNBUNDLED SWITCH PORT

## TSLRIC Costs - End Office

Assumptions - Most of this information is derived from the Switching Cost. Information System (SCIS) model licensed from Bellcore; specifically the SCIS

Model Office output.

Getting Started Costs per MS (GSC/MS)
Cost per Line CCS-Orig. \& Term. (LCCS)
Cost per Trunk CCS-Outg. \& Inc. (TCCS)
Cost per Tandem Trunk CCS-O\&I (TTCCS)
Cost per SS7 Octet (SSP)
Cost per Octet (SS7)
Processor Utilization - Trunk to Line (PUTL)
Processor Utilization - Trunk to Trunk (PUTT)
Octets per Originating Call (OCT)
Annual Charge Factor (ACF)
Call Duration (CD)
Land and Building Factor (LBF)
Processor Utilization - Line to Trunk (PULT)
CCS/MOU Conversation (CCS/MOU)


Call Completion Ratio (CCR)
0.27 (Note 6)
3.21 (Note 7)
1.043 (Note 8)
14.9 Ms (Note 9)
(Note 1)
(Note 1)
(Note 1)
(Note 1)
(Note 1)
(Note 2)
(Note 3)
(Note 4)
(Note 5)
0.6
0.7

Notes:
(1) Source: SCIS Model Office output
(2) Source: CCSCIS Aggregation Model, average Links plus Octets costs Trunk Signaling.
(3) Source: SCIS-IN Real Time table, item 941.00 (Nortel proprietary)
(4) Source: SCIS-IN Real Time jtable, item 975.03 (Nortel proprietary)
(5) Source: SCIS-IN Octet Table, items [OC939.00+(0.70*OC939.01)]@
(6) Annual Charge Factor should exclude corporate overheads
(7) Source: Customer Usage Study
(8) Source: General Ledger Accounts - $\$ 151,243,983$ (LB)/ $\$ 3,517,094,815$ (Total Pit)
(9) Source: SCIS-IN Real Time Table, item 939.00 (Nortel propriety)
© Assumes 70\% call completion ratio

## 2 UNBUNDLED SWITCH PORT

## 3 PORTCOMPONENTS

4 The costs of the various line card types, main distribution frame, and protection can be found in the 5 Investment Table of the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) model licensed from Bellicore. The following table shows the investment before discount, the Florida specific discount, and the monthly cost 7 using an annual charge factor of 27 The monthly cost is equal to the discounted price, multiplied by the 8 annual charge factor, divided by 12 months.

| 9 | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  |  | (C* ${ }^{\left.(1-D)^{*} 0.27 / 12\right) ~}$ |  |
| 11 |  | SCISIN | : |  |  |
| 12 | ITEM | INVESTMENT TABLEITEM | LIST PRICE |  | MONTHLY |
|  | HEM |  |  | DISCOUNT |  |
| 14 | Type "A" Card | 3 | \$ |  |  |
| 15 | Type "B" Card | 4 | s |  |  |
| 16 | Main Dist. Frame | 1 | s |  |  |
| 17 | Protection | 2 | \$ |  |  |
| 18 | Composite 2W Analog Line Card |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | A Line Card | $s$ |  |  |  |
| 20 | B Line Card | 5 |  |  |  |
| 21 | Weighted Average | \$ |  |  |  |

22 Eootnote
23 A \& B line card ratios were based on actual distribution in the Altamonte Springs Central Office.

## 1 COST METHODOLOGY \& ASSUMPTIONS

## Conficenill

2 UNBUNDLED SWITCH PORT
3 ISDN LINE TERMINATION COSTS - TSLRIC


4 Basic Rate Interface Line Termination Cost
5. Primary Rate Interface Line Termination Cost


6 These costs include line card, main distribution frame, profection, and Excess CCS Capacity 7 (the portion of traffic sensitive investment not recovered by actual usage.

8 Source: Switching Cost information System/intelligent Network (SCIS/IN), a Bellcore model.

1 COST METHODOLOGY \& ASSUMPTIONS

## 2 UNBUNDLED SWITCH PORT

3 SCISIN Features 2.1
4 Realtime Table
5. Hem苃

Description
$6 \quad 937.00$ Line-Line Call
$7 \quad 939.00 \quad$ Line-Trunk with $\$ 57$
$8939.01 \quad$ Line-Trunk without SS7
9. 941.00 Trunk-Line with SS7 Call Set-up
$10 \quad 975.03$ SS\& Trunk to SS7 Trunk


## COMFHOEMTAL



DMS-100



## 1 COST METHODOLOGY AND ASSUMPTIONS

## 2. UNBUNDLED SWITCH PORTS

3 CENTRAL OFFICE SWITCHING SOFTWARE


4 Ordering Code
5 BASOOOOB
L BAS00012
7 BAS00016
8 BASE0001
9 BASE0006
10 EQA00001
11 EQA00002
12 EQA00008
13 EQAOOO12
14 EQA00015
15 EQUOOO19
16 EQAOOO24
17 ISP70001
18 ISP70002
19 UDD00001
20 LOC00001
21 LOC00002
22 LOC00004
23 LOC00005
24 SS700001
25 TEL00001
26 TELOOOO1a
27 TELOOOO1b
28 TEL00001e
29 TELOOOO8
30 TELOOOO9


BAS Generic (100/200)
BAS Remotes Increment
BAS SCM/SMS/SMU
Base (100/200)
Base SN60 Pro
EQA Local
EQA Toll
POTS IntraLATA PIC
EQA C7ISUP InterLATA at AT EQA IntraLATA PIC Enh Ph1 EQAIntraLATA PIC Enh Ph2
Override LPIC
ISP7 Base ISUP
ISP7 Hop Counter
UDD Services
Loc Services
Loc CIP
Loc 15D IDDD
Loc Tran Enh
SS7 Trunk Signalling
TEL Telecom Layer
TEL Telecom Layer Trunk increment
TEL Telecom Layer ENET Increment
TEL Telecom Layer FLIS
TEL CCS7 Base
TEL C7 Net Integ (NRC)


List Price


(3)
(4)

31 TOTAL-LIST
32 Total-After Discount

| 33 | Notes: |
| :--- | :--- |
| 34 | (1) Assumes sus 10 remotes at plus 50 LCMs at |
| 3.5 | (2) Price not yet determined by Nortel |
| 36 | (3) Assumes 4 blocks of 500 trunks at $\$$ |
| 37 | (4) Priced per channel, assumes minimum of $\$$ each. |

## 1 WEIGHTED AVERAGE SWITCH USAGE COST-INCLUDING 2 TRANSPORT

3 The switch usage cost associated with unbundled ports must be determined by taking a weighted average of the local switch usage and trunk switch usage. The
5 following methodology was used to arrive at the weighted average.

1. The 1989 Local Usage Study was used to determine the percentage of minutes

7 that are intraoffice calls and the percentage of minutes that are interoffice calls.
8 Because MFS has stated that they intend to offer service only to business
9 customers, the numbers for business calls were used. 310,000 intraoffice business
10 minutes divided by 750,213 total business minutes $=41 \%$ intraoffice (local) minutes.
// 439,922 interoffice minutes (trunk) divided by 750,213 total minutes $=59 \%$
12 interoffice (trunk) minutes.
2. Transport was added to the trunk usage cost. A distance of 10 miles was 14 assumed and the costs were taken from the Transport Cost Study completed for 15 Florida in July 1996 (included in back-up). The fixed per DS-1 cost ( 10
16 miles ${ }^{*}$ the per mile DS-1 cost ( divided by 216,000 MOU per DS1 yields a 17 transport cost of per MOU. Adding the transport to the trunk usage cost ( $\$$ provides a total trunk usage with transport cost of

19 3. The weightings derived in Step 1 were applied to the local switch usage and trunk
20 switch usage with transport costs, respectively.
$41 \%$ )
$2159 \%$ ) = per MOU - weighted average switch usage

## All Varlables Are in Blue Font

Transport Cost Model
OC- 18 Technology - Winter Park Tandem, Florlda

Page 1 of 3



TRANSPORT SUMMARY

Termination investment Mileage Investment


## 1 SCISIN Features 2.1

2 Feature: 191 ISDN Primary Rate Interface

## 3 Report: TSLRIC

4 Calculation: TSLRIC - E, F\&I
5 State: FL
Lo Technology: DMS-100 (96COMB/5) (BCS 36 01-94)

|  |  | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | 0 | $\varepsilon$ | $F$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 8 |  | Cost Category | Variable | Direct Fixed DerPR1 | Shared Fixed per PRI | Direct Fixed per Office | Shared Fixed per Office |
| 9 | A. | Getting Started | No Investment | No Investment | No Investment |  |  |
| 10 | B. | CCS | No Investment | No investment | No Investment |  |  |
| 11 | C. | Call. | No Investment | No Investment | No Investment |  |  |
| 12 | D. | Minimum per Line | \$ | No Investment | No Investment |  |  |
| 13 | E. | Haroware | No Investment | No Investment | No Investment | . |  |
| 14 | F. | Memory | No Investment | \$ | No Investment |  |  |
| 15 | G. | SSP Octet | No Investment | No Investment | No Investment |  |  |
| 16 | H. | Total End Office | S | 5 | No Investment | NA | NA |

17 Total Monthly Cost per PRI \$ per Office NA

See confidentiality restrictions on the title screen.

## COMFIDENTIAL

1 SCIS/IN Features 2.1
2 Feature: 191 ISDN Primary Rate Interface

3 Calculation: TSLRIC - E, F\&I
4 State: FL
5. Technology: DMS-100

6 Vendor Name: ISDN Primary Rate Interface
7 Vendor\#: NTXNIOOOO22
8 Study Name: $96 C O M B / 5$ : 08-19-96 (BCS 36, 01-94)

IM03.4 IM03.5


DMS-100 Material Discount
DMS-100 Engineering Discount: 0\%
DMS-100 Installation Discount $0 \%$
DMS-100 Technology Mix: $100.00 \%$

## COMFIDEATIAL

## 1 SCISIN Features 2.1

2 Feature: 191 ISDN Primary Rate Interface

3 Calculation: TSLRIC, Input File: (Untitled)

5
6
7


Inout:
IP1


Value Description
23 Channels per PRI (DMS100)
20 PRI's per Office
1 Ti's Controlled per D Channel

1 SCIS/N Features 2.1
2 Feature: 144 ISDN Basic Rate Interíace

## CONFIDENTAL

3 Report TSLRIC
4 Calculation: TSLRIC - E, F\&I
5 State: FL
6 Technology: DMS-100 ( 96 COMB/5) (BCS 36 01-94)

|  |  | A | $B$ | C | $D$ | $E$ | $F$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 8 |  | Cost Category | Variable | Direct Fixed perBRI | Shared Fixed perBRI | Direct Fixed per Office | Shared Fixed per Office |
| 9 | A. | Getting Started | No Investment | No Investment | No Investment |  |  |
| 10 | B. | CCS | No Investment | No Investment | No Investment |  |  |
| 11 | C. | Call | No Investment | No Investment | No Investment |  |  |
| 12 | D. | Minimum per Line | \$ | No Investment | No investment |  |  |
| 13 | E. | Hardware | No investment | No Investment | No Investment |  |  |
| 14 | F. | Memory | No investment | No investment | No Investment |  |  |
| 15 | G. | SSP Octet | No Investment | No Investment | No Investment |  |  |
| 16 | H. | Total End Office | \$ | No Investment | No Investment | NA | NA |

per BRI \$
per Office
NA

1 SCISIIN Features 2.1
2 Feature: 144 ISDN Basic Rate Interface

3 Calculation: TSLRIC - E, F\&I
4 State: FL

5 Technology: DMS-100
6 Vendor Name: ISDN Basic Rate Interface
7 Vendor\#: NTXN1000007
8 Study Name: 96COMB/5: 08-19-96 (BCS 36, 01-94)

Date: 08/20/96
10:00

9
$10 \quad 1 \mathrm{M} 03.1$


Value
Description

11 DMS-100 Material Discount:
12 DMS-100 Engineering Discount: 0\%
13 DMS-100 Installation Discount: $0 \%$
14 DMS-100 Technology Mix: 100.00\%


Mon Jut 15, 1996 15:31:18

```
Link Data - 1
```

Lo Link Input Data Source : From Study
7 Link Study Identifier : LTD-CB
8 Fraction of A Links from SSPs. Connected to the LOCaT STP $=0.8178$
9 Average Cost per octet for Links Used for -
10 Circuit-Based Services
11 IN/I Data Base Services
12 End Office or Tandem to STP
13 Access Tandem or End Office to SPOI
14 Average Cost per Query for Links to IN/1 SCPs for -
15 . 800 Data Base Service : 0
16 Alternate Billing Service :0
17 Pripate Packet Switched Netrork Service : 0


1
2
COM YON CHANNEL SIGNALING COST INFORMATION SYSTEM - version 3.9
AGGREGATE

3 Study Id: FLTSA Mon Jul 15, 1996 15:33:07
Description: FL CB Avg


5 : . Unit Costs for Trunk Signaling Messages




In re: Resolution of Petition to, DOCKET NO. 950984-TP Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,) Terms, and Conditions for resale Involving Local Exchange ) Companies and Alternative Local ) Exchange Companies pursuant to ) Section 364.161, Florida Statutes

EXHIBIT "C" TO SPRINT UNITED/CENTEL'S SECOND REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAI CLASSIFICATION

Line-by-Iine Identification and Justification

## Before the Florida Public Service Commission

| In re: Resolution of Petition to Establish | ) | Docket No. 950984 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non Discriminatory Rates, Terms, <br> and Conditions for Resale Involving |  |  |
| Local Exchange Companies and | ) |  |
| Alternative Local Exchange | ) |  |
| Companies Pursuant to Section | ) |  |
| 364.162, Florida Statutes | ) |  |

Exhibit " " to Sprint-Florida's First Request for Confidential Classification

Line-by-line identification and justification

| Number | Page | Line(s) | Column(s) | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8 | 5-10 | B | Note 1 |
| 2 | 8 | 23 | Data | Note 2 |
| 3 | 10 | 2-7 | B | Note 1 |
| 4 | 10 | 9-23 | Data | Note 3 |
| 5 | 10 | 9,10,14,20,21 | Data | Note 8 |
| 6 | 12 | 7,8,11,12,18,20 | Data | Note 1 |
| 7 | 17 | 5,7,10,12,15,17 | B | Note 1 |
| 8 | 18 | 12,17 | C | Note 4 |
| 9 | 18 | 12,13,15,17,18,20,21 | D, F, G | Note 5 |
| 10 | 19 | 17b,19-29,31-33,36-38,39-40,42-43 | D-N | Note 6 |
| 11 | 20 | 25,29-39,41-43,46-50,52-53 | D-R | Note 6 |
| 12 | 21 | 24,28-42,45-49,51-52 | D-R | Note 6 |
| 13 | 24 | 5,6,8 | B | Note 7 |
| 14 | 24 | 11-13 | Data | Note 8 |
| 15 | 26 | 5,6,8 | B | Note 7 |
| 16 | 26 | 12-16 | Data | Note 8 |
| 17 | 27 | 4-15 | C | Note 8 |
| 18 | 28 | 7-15 | B | Note 8 |
| 20 | 30 | 14-17 | E | Note 8 |
| 21 | 30 | 14-17 | C,D | Note 10 |
| 22 | 30 | 19,20 | B,C | Note 8 |
| 23 | 30 | 21 | B | Note 1 |
| 24 | 31 | 4,5 | B | Note 1, 8 |
| 25 | 32 | 6-10 | C | Note 8 |
| 26 | 33 | 5-32 | C | Note 4 |
| 27 | 33 | 33-34,36-37 | Data | Note 4. |
| 28 | 40 | 15,16 | Data | Note 11 |
| 29 | 40 | 17,18,20,21 | Data | Note 11 |
| 30 | 41 | 14-19,22-24,26-27,30-34 | B,D,F,H,I,K | Note 12 |
| 31 | 42 | 12,14-15 | L-Z | Note 12 |
| 32 | 42 | 23-28 | M-T | Note 12 |
| 33 | 43 | $7-10$ | B-D | Note 11 |
| 34 | 47 | 12,16 ... | B | Note 8 |
| 35 | 47 | 14,16,17 | C | Note 8 |
| 36 | 48 | 10-11 .- | B | Note 8 |


| Number | Page | Line(s) | Column(s) | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 48 | 12 | Data | Note 4 |
| 38 | 50 | 12,16 | B | Note 8 |
| 39 | 50 | 17 | C | Note 8 |
| 40 | 52 | 10 | B | Note 8 |
| 41 | 52 | 11 | Data | Note 4 |
| 42 | 55 | 12,14-17,21,23,24 | B | Note 8 |
| 43 | 100 | 10-13 | B | Note 8 |
| 44 | 101 | 19 | E,F | Note 8 |
| 45 | 102 | 9-11,14-16,19-21 | B | Note 8 |
| 46 | 103 | 9 | B,C | Note 8 |
| 47 | 103 | 15 | E,F | Note 8 |

Note 1: This page shows the Total Service Long Run Incremental Costs (TSLRIC) that Sprint-Florida incurs to provide unbundled ports. The disclosure of this information to the public would allow Sprint's competitors to have an unfair advantage in determining how to most effectively compete against Sprint.

Sprint does not have this information on any of their competitors and it would require an effort at significant cost to try to determine these costs of the competitors.

Note 2: This reference contains Sprint's cost of switch usage on a per minute of use basis. The disclosure of this information would allow Sprint's competitors to have an unfair advantage in determining how to most effectively compete against Sprint. Sprint does not have this information on any of their competitors and could not easily approximate these costs of the competitors.

Note 3: This page contains the costs associated with the various piece parts of the unbundled switch ports Sprint has been requested to provide. Knowledge of these costs by Sprint's competitors would allow them to determine the costs of Sprint's unbundled ports, giving them an unfair advantage in planning competitive business strategy.

Note 4: These are investments associated with equipment required to provide unbundled ports in the switch. These numbers are confidential as the negotiated price Sprint has with its vendors may be different than the price other companies have negotiated with the same vendor.

Note 5: These are the costs associated with the equipment required to provide trunk side DID unbundled ports in the switch. Knowledge of the costs associated with the piece parts of unbundled ports would allow Sprint's competitors to know Sprint's cost of unbundled ports.

Note 6: These pages contain the investments associated with the unbundled 2-wire DID and 4-wire analog voice grade ports. These pages are a spreadsheet model known as the Levilizer which Sprint uses to convert investments to recurring monthly costs. Knowledge of the investments and how these investments are affected by the application of tax, maintenance and cost of money factors would allow Sprint's competitors to determine Sprint's costs of providing switch ports.

Note 7: These are the costs of switch usage per DS-30a link. Sprint considers its costs of switch usage to be confidential as knowledge of Sprint's costs by its competitors would allow the competitors to determine how to most effectively compete with Sprint. Also, these costs were based on outputs from the SCIS model which Bellcore considers to be proprietary.

Note 8: This page contains information developed by the Switching Cost Information System (SCIS) associated with line and trunk terminations and switch usage. These outputs are considered confidential to both Sprint and Bellcore.

Sprint considers this information proprietary because it spells out the investments in its switches required to provide line and trunk terminations. This is information which would help Sprint's competitors understand how to most effectively compete with Sprint. It is information that Sprint does not have on its competitors switches.

Bellcore also considers this information to be proprietary as they consider the SCIS model's calculations to be proprietary. Anyone not authorized to have the SCIS model could take the inputs and outputs and determine what calculations Bellcore has used within the model.

Note 10: This page includes vendor specific list prices and discounts provided to Sprint for switch equipment. This information is proprietary as the vendors negotiate different discounts with their customers.

Note 11: These are the TSLRIC costs associated with transport. Transport is already a highly competitive service in Florida. Knowledge of Sprint-Florida's cost by its competitors would allow the competition to undercut Sprint in competitive situations.

Note 12: These are the investments associated with the equipment required to provide transport. These numbers are confidential as the negotiated price Sprint has with its vendors may be different than the price other companies have negotiated with the same vendor. Also, knowledge of the investment by Sprint's competitors will allow them to know Sprint's costs associated with transport, a highly competitive service.

