

GTE Telephone Operations

Post Office Box 110, FLTC0007

One Tampa City Center

Tampa, Florida 33601

813-228-5257 (Facsimile)

813-224-4001



Marceil Morrell**
Vice President & General Counsel - Florida

Associate General Counsel Anthony P. Gillman** Leslie Reicin Stein*

Attorneys*
Kimberly Caswell
M. Eric Edgington
Ernesto Mayor, Jr.

- Licensed in Florida
- " Certified in Florida as Authorized House Counsel

September 23, 1996

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records & Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 950737-TP

Investigation into Temporary Local Number Portability Solution to Implement Competition in Local Exchange Telephone Markets

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Please find enclosed for filing an original and fifteen copies of the Testimony of Beverly Y. Menard on behalf of GTE Florida Incorporated in the above matter.

Service has been made as indicated on the Certificate of Service. If there are any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (813) 228-3094.

		Carries has been made as indicated a
CK		Service has been made as indicated or questions regarding this matter, please
FA		
эÞ		Very truly yours,
4F		1 +1 m 1 5/1.
NU	Japa	butlay to the
TR	- Jec	Kimberly Caswell
٩G	_4	
ΞG		KC:tas
IN	<u>5</u>	Enclosures
PC		
СН		
EC		.
/AS		A part of GTE Corporation RECEIVED & FILEIT

ITH _

1		GTE FLORIDA INCORPORATED
2		TESTIMONY OF BEVERLY Y. MENARD
3		DOCKET NO. 950737-TP
4		
5	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND
6		POSITION WITH GTE FLORIDA INCORPORATED (GTEFL).
7	A.	My name is Beverly Y. Menard. My business address is One Tampa
8		City Center, Tampa, Florida 33601-0110. My current position is
9		Regional Director - Regulatory and Industry Affairs.
10		
11	Q.	WILL YOU BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL
12		BACKGROUND AND BUSINESS EXPERIENCE?
13	A.	I joined GTEFL in February 1969. I was employed in the Business
14		Relations Department from 1969 to 1978, holding various positions
15		of increasing responsibility, primarily in the area of cost separations
16		studies. I graduated from the University of South Florida in June of
17		1973 receiving a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Business Administration
18		with an Accounting Major. Subsequently, I received a Master of
19		Accountancy Degree in December of 1977 from the University of
20		South Florida. In March of 1978, I became Settlements Planning
21		Administrator with GTE Service Corporation. In January of 1981,
22		was named Manager-Division of Revenues with GTE Service
23		Corporation, where I was responsible for the administration of the
24		GTE division of revenues procedures and the negotiation of
25		settlement matters with AT&T. In November of 1981, Propation

1		Business Relations Director with GTEFL. In that capacity, I was
2		responsible for the preparation of separations studies and connecting
3		company matters. Effective February 1987, I became Revenue
4		Planning Director. In this capacity, I was responsible for revenue,
5		capital recovery and regulatory issues. On October 1, 1988, I
6		became Area Director - Regulatory and Industry Affairs. In that
7		capacity, I was responsible for regulatory filings, positions and
8		industry affairs in eight southern states plus Florida. In August 1991,
9		I became Regional Director - Regulatory and Industry Affairs for
10	•	Florida. I am responsible for regulatory filings, positions and industry
11		affairs issues in Florida.
12		
13	Q.	HAVE YOU EVER TESTIFIED BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC
14		SERVICE COMMISSION?
15	A.	Yes. I have testified before this Commission on numerous occasions.
16		
17	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
18		DOCKET?
19	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present GTEFL's positions on the
20		issues on interim number portability (INP) in this docket.
21		
22	Q.	DID THE FCC SET FORTH GUIDELINES FOR THE RECOVERY OF
23		COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH NUMBER PORTABILITY?
24	A.	Yes. The FCC set forth guidelines for the recovery of the costs of
25		INP. These guidelines, however, were not intended to preempt state

tariffs, where such tariffs have been or may be established. After the FCC stated that it sought to articulate "general criteria" for cost recovery in the Number Portability Order, it went on to state that "States are also free, if they so choose, to require that tariffs for the provision of currently available number portability measures be filed by the carriers." Id. ¶ 127. To the extent the Commission has already done this, it has complied with the Number Portability Order and the inquiry into cost recovery methodology should be at an end.

With regard to the FCC's guidelines, however, the FCC has interpreted the Act to require that the costs of INP be borne by all carriers on a competitively neutral basis. Section 251(e)(2) is the source of this requirement. Section 251(e)(2), however, does not mention INP, as the Act itself does not distinguish long-term number portability from INP - this was a distinction made by the FCC in order to implement number portability required by the Act as soon as possible. See Number Portability Order, ¶ 110. Nevertheless, the idea that the costs of number portability be borne by all carriers on a competitively neutral basis would seem to imply that these are costs incurred by all carriers to support a single system, such as a database system for long-term number portability. The concept makes very little sense, however, in the context of INP. First, INP is only a temporary, stop-gap measure designed to implement number portability as soon as possible. Second, virtually all of the costs of INP are incurred solely by the ILEC providing the service. As such,

GTE submits that competitively neutral cost principles are not applicable in the context of INP, despite the FCC's interpretation.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

Nevertheless, assuming that INP must, under the Act, be provided according to competitive neutrality, some of the ALEC's interpretation of competitive neutrality would essentially place GTE's costs at zero. This, however, is by no means what Congress intended by competitive neutrality, nor what the FCC had in mind when they interpreted the term. "Competitive neutrality" means that INP cannot be priced such that it places any provider in a competitively In discussing and setting forth disadvantaged position. methodologies for the pricing of INP, the FCC focused on competitive neutrality as regards ALECs -- that is, INP should not require ALECs to pay more to service a customer and thus place the ALEC at a competitive disadvantage. See Number Portability Order, ¶ 132. Competitive neutrality, however, has another side -- to the extent an ILEC providing number portability cannot recover its costs, that carrier incurs a loss occasioned solely by being required to provide This loss could, of course, be passed on to the ILEC's INP. customers if this were allowed by the Commission. However, the ILEC would then be at a competitive disadvantage as its rates would be higher because of number portability.

23

24

25

Alternatively, GTE could pass the costs of number portability on to its shareholders, resulting in a patently unconstitutional taking under the

Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution as I have been advised by my lawyers.

It is possible, however, to apply principles of competitive neutrality that will comport with the FCC's regulations by recognizing that ILECs, while they are presumed to be the primary parties to bear the costs of INP, will not be the only parties bearing such costs. To the extent an ILEC wins customers from a ALEC, ALECs will also bear costs of number portability to the extent they are required to switch and transfer calls to the ILEC. Allowing the parties to charge each other their tariffed rates for INP will permit each party to recover its respective costs while maintaining competitive neutrality insofar as all parties will be required to reimburse each other for the cost of INP.

GTE also submits that the Commission can, alternatively, recognize that all costs of number portability ultimately pass to the consumer and, accordingly, establish an explicit pooling mechanism to recover those costs. GTE originally suggested such a system in the FCC's continuing number portability proceeding. See In re Telephone Number Portability, Comments of GTE, CC Docket No. 95-116, RM 8535 (dated Aug. 16, 1996). As the FCC has left cost recovery for INP to the states, the Commission is free to adopt this system regardless of whether it is nationally implemented. Even under the methods proposed by the FCC's Number Portability Order, costs will be apportioned among carriers and, eventually, passed on to

customers, unless a carrier is expected to absorb an anti-competitive and possibly unconstitutional loss. <u>See</u> Number Portability Order, ¶ 136. Thus, if the Commission were to assess an end user charge on all local service and interexchange toll service customers to recover the costs of INP and, eventually, long-term number portability, it would simply make this charge explicit. Such a charge would be competitively neutral in the true meaning of the term: a common, unavoidable charge across all carriers that will prevent any competitive distortion resulting from customers gravitating to carriers assessing lower charges.

Accordingly, a competitively neutral end user charge would have to be (1) explicitly identified as a separate line item charge for number portability on the customer's bill, (2) set at a uniform amount for all customers and (3) mandatory, in that all carriers would be required to collect it. Funds generated through the end user charge would be forwarded to a cost recovery pool administered by the Commission or its designee. The level of funding for this pool would be determined as follows. All carriers in the state would submit their estimates of costs incurred by the industry as a whole for number portability and all carrier specific costs for number portability. These estimates would be pooled, allowing the Commission to estimate total number portability costs for the coming year.

The pool would then be funded through a mandatory, uniform charge

1	on all customers of local service and through charges collected by
2	interexchange carriers for interexchange toll service. These charges
3	would be established and collected as follows:
4	
5	The Commission estimates the total number of local service
6	and interexchange calls for the coming year.
7	
8	The estimated annual cost, determined from the data received
9	by the Commission from carriers, would be divided by the total
10	number of calls to develop a per-call cost of number portability.
11	
12	The estimated annual cost would be divided between local
13	service and interexchange toll service calls by multiplying the
14	per-call cost by the number of calls in each category.
15	
16	The portion attributable to local service calls would be divided.
17	by the total number of end user service lines, resulting in a
18	uniform charge collected from all end users on a monthly basis
19	by their service providers.
20	
21	Interexchange carriers would collect the per-call cost times the
22	number of calls from their customers and forward those funds
23	to the pool. (The FCC has already impliedly authorized State
24	commissions to assess such charges against IXCs. In
25	discussing cost recovery methods based on the total revenues

of carriers, the FCC stated that "a state's calculation of gross revenues for IXCs should include only those revenues generated in the state in which the charges are being assessed, on both an interstate and intrastate basis." Number Portability Order, ¶ 134 n. 380. Thus, all telecommunications carriers, including IXCs, are included in cost recovery mechanisms for INP.) 9

8

10

11

12

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Periodic distributions could then be made by the Commission to all carriers submitting cost reports, and each carrier would receive a prorata distribution based on its share of total costs for the year. Any excess amount could be carried over and used against the following year's funding requirement. Any costs not covered could be carried over and used in calculating the next year's total costs.

15

16

17

18

19

14

The above system is simple, equitable and competitively neutral among carriers. Additionally, it provides the advantage of being easily adaptable to recovering the costs of long-term number portability. By using this system, all carriers can recover their costs, and avoid being placed at a competitive disadvantage.

21

22

23

24

25

20

Q. SHOULD THERE BE ANY RETROACTIVE APPLICATION OF THE COMMISSION'S DECISION IN THIS PROCEEDING?

Α. No. GTEFL's tariffs were filed after hearings and a Commission Order in accordance with Chapter 364. If there is any change made,

1		which GTE does not believe is required, it should only be done on a
2		going-forward basis.
3		
4	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
5	A.	Yes, it does.
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		•
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of the Testimony of Beverly Y. Menard on behalf of GTE Florida Incorporated in Docket No. 950737-TP were sent by U.S. mail on September 23, 1996, to the parties on the attached list.

far Kimberly Caswell

Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Robert G. Beatty/J. Phillip Carver c/o Nancy Sims 150 S. Monroe St., Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Alan N. Berg United Tel. Co. Of Fla. 555 Lake Border Drive Apopka, FL 32703

David B. Erwin Young VanAssenderp et al. 225 S. Adams St., Ste. 200 P.O. Box 1833 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Michael W. Tye
AT&T Communications, Inc.
101 North Monroe Street
Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301

James W. Tyler Vista-United Tel. 3100 Bonnet Crk.Rd. Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830

Office of Public Counsel Claude Pepper Building 111 W. Madison St., Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Harriet Eudy ALLTEL Florida, Inc. 206 White Avenue, S.E. Live Oak, FL 32060 Thomas E. Wolfe Southland Tel. Co. 201 S. Pensacola Av. Atmore, AL 36504

Lee L. Willis/J. Jeffry Wahlen Macfarlane Ausley et al. 227 S. Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32302 John H. Vaughan St. Joseph Tel. & Tel.Co. 502 Fifth Street Port St. Joe, FL 32456 Daniel V. Gregory Quincy Tel. Co. 107 W. Franklin Street Quincy, FL 32351

John McGlew Northeast Fla.Tel.Co.Inc. 130 North Fourth Street Macclenny, FL 32063-0485

Charles L. Dennis Indiantown Tel.Sys.Inc. 15925 S.W. Warfield Blvd. Indiantown, FL 34956 A. D. Lanier Gulf Tel. Co. 115 W. Drew Street Perry, FL 32347

Ferrin Seay Florala Tel. Co. Inc. 522 North 5th Street Florala, AL 36442 F. B. Poag Sprint/United-Florida 315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 740 Tallahassee, FL 32316

Laura Wilson/C. Dudley Florida Cable Tele. Assn. 310 N. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32302

FIXCA c/o J. P. Gillan and Associates 121 N.W. Ivanhoe Blvd. Orlando, FL 32804 Floyd Self Messer Vickers et al. 215 S. Monroe St., Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32302 William H. Higgins Cellular One 250 S. Australian Avenue Suite 900 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Peter Dunbar/Robert Cohen Pennington Culpepper et al. 215 S. Monroe St., 2nd Floor Tallahassee, FL 32301 Jill Butler Digital Media Partners 2773 Red Maple Ridge Tallahassee, FL 32301 Martha McMillin MCI Telecomm. Corp. 780 Johnson Ferry Rd., # 700 Atlanta, GA 30346

Richard D. Melson Hopping Green Sams & Smith 123 S. Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32314

Timothy Devine
MFS Communications Co.
Six Concourse Pkwy., Ste. 2100
Atlanta, GA 30328

Richard Rindler/J. Falvey Swidler & Berlin 3000 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20007

C. Everett Boyd, Jr. Ervin Varn Jacobs et al. 305 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32302 Tony H. Key Sprint 3100 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, GA 30339 Robin D. Dunson 1200 Peachtree Street, NE Promenade I, Room 4038 Atlanta, GA 30309

Marsha E. Rule Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A. 501 E. Tennessee St., Suite B Tallahassee, FL 32308

Angela B. Green Florida Pub. Telecomm. Assn. 125 S. Gadsden Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Patrick K. Wiggins Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A. 501 E. Tennessee St., Suite B Tallahassee, FL 32308

Sue E. Weiske Time Warner Communications 160 Inverness Drive West Englewood, CO 80112

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of the Testimony of Beverly Y. Menard on behalf of GTE Florida Incorporated in Docket No. 950737-TP were sent by U.S. mail on September 23, 1996, to the parties on the attached list.

for Kimberly Caswell

Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Robert G. Beatty/J. Phillip Carver c/o Nancy Sims 150 S. Monroe St., Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Alan N. Berg United Tel. Co. Of Fla. 555 Lake Border Drive Apopka, FL 32703

David B. Erwin Young VanAssenderp et al. 225 S. Adams St., Ste. 200 P.O. Box 1833 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Michael W. Tye AT&T Communications, Inc. 101 North Monroe Street Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301 James W. Tyler Vista-United Tel. 3100 Bonnet Crk.Rd. Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830

Office of Public Counsel Claude Pepper Building 111 W. Madison St., Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Harriet Eudy ALLTEL Florida, Inc. 206 White Avenue, S.E. Live Oak, FL 32060 Thomas E. Wolfe Southland Tel. Co. 201 S. Pensacola Av. Atmore, AL 36504

Lee L. Willis/J. Jeffry Wahlen Macfarlane Ausley et al. 227 S. Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32302 John H. Vaughan St. Joseph Tel. & Tel.Co. 502 Fifth Street Port St. Joe, FL 32456 Daniel V. Gregory Quincy Tel. Co. 107 W. Franklin Street Quincy, FL 32351

John McGlew Northeast Fla.Tel.Co.Inc. 130 North Fourth Street Macclenny, FL 32063-0485 Charles L. Dennis Indiantown Tel.Sys.Inc. 15925 S.W. Warfield Blvd. Indiantown, FL 34956 A. D. Lanier Gulf Tel. Co. 115 W. Drew Street Perry, FL 32347

Ferrin Seay Florala Tel. Co. Inc. 522 North 5th Street Florala, AL 36442 F. B. Poag Sprint/United-Florida 315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 740 Tallahassee, FL 32316 Laura Wilson/C. Dudley Florida Cable Tele. Assn. 310 N. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32302

FIXCA c/o J. P. Gillan and Associates 121 N.W. Ivanhoe Blvd. Orlando, FL 32804 Floyd Self Messer Vickers et al. 215 S. Monroe St., Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32302 William H. Higgins Cellular One 250 S. Australian Avenue Suite 900 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Peter Dunbar/Robert Cohen Pennington Culpepper et al. 215 S. Monroe St., 2nd Floor Tallahassee, FL 32301

Jill Butler Digital Media Partners 2773 Red Maple Ridge Tallahassee, FL 32301 Martha McMillin MCI Telecomm. Corp. 780 Johnson Ferry Rd., # 700 Atlanta, GA 30346

Richard D. Melson Hopping Green Sams & Smith 123 S. Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32314 Timothy Devine MFS Communications Co. Six Concourse Pkwy., Ste. 2100 Atlanta, GA 30328 Richard Rindler/J. Falvey Swidler & Berlin 3000 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20007

C. Everett Boyd, Jr. Ervin Varn Jacobs et al. 305 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32302 Tony H. Key Sprint 3100 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, GA 30339 Robin D. Dunson 1200 Peachtree Street, NE Promenade I, Room 4038 Atlanta, GA 30309

Marsha E. Rule Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A. 501 E. Tennessee St., Suite B Tallahassee, FL 32308 Angela B. Green Florida Pub. Telecomm. Assn. 125 S. Gadsden Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Patrick K. Wiggins Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A. 501 E. Tennessee St., Suite B Tallahassee, FL 32308

Sue E. Weiske Time Warner Communications 160 Inverness Drive West Englewood, CO 80112