

JAMES S. ALVES BRIAN H. BIBEAU

RALPH A. DEMEO THOMAS M. DEROSE

WILLIAM H. GREEN

WADE L. HOPPING

DAVID L. POWELL

GARY P. SAMS ROBERT P. SMITH CHERYL G. STUART

FRANK E. MATTHEWS

RICHARD D. MELSON

WILLIAM D. PRESTON

CAROLYN S. RAEPPLE DOUGLAS S. ROBERTS

KATHLEEN BLIZZARD

ELIZABETH C. BOWMAN

RICHARD S. BRIGHTMAN

PETER C. CUNNINGHAM

HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET

POST OFFICE BOX 6526

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32314

(904) 222-7500

FAX (904) 224-8551

FAX (904) 425-3415

Writer's Direct Dial No. (904) 425-2313

November 18, 1996



GARY K. HUNTER, JR.
JONATHAN T. JOHNSON
ROBERT A. MANNING
ANGELA R. MORRISON
GARY V. PERKO
KAREN M. PETERSON
MICHAEL P. PETROVICH
R. SCOTT RUTH
W. STEVE SYKES
T. KENT WETHERELL, II

OF COUNSEL W. ROBERT FOKES

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Records & Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket Nos. 960786-TL

Dear Ms. Bayó:

On behalf of MCI Telecommunications Corporation (MCI) enclosed for filing in the above docket are the original and 15 copies of MCI's Objections to BellSouth's First Set of Interrogatories and MCI's Objections to BellSouth's First Request for Production of Documents.

By copy of this letter these documents have been provided to the parties on the attached service list.

Very truly yours,

Richard D. Melson

ACK \_\_\_\_

AFA RI

RDM/cc -Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

CMU Green

CTR \_\_\_

5

**▶** 79858.1

WAS \_\_\_\_

OTH \_\_\_

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12236 NOV 18 %

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Consideration of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. entry into InterLATA Services pursuant to Section 271 of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996

Docket No. 960786-TL

Filed: November 18, 1996

# MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION'S OBJECTIONS TO BELLSOUTH'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

MCI Telecommunications Corporation ("MCI"), pursuant to Rules 25-22.034 and 25-22.035, Florida Administrative Code and Rules 1.340 and 1.280(b), Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby submits the following Objections to BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s ("BellSouth's") First Set of Interrogatories to MCI.

The Objections stated herein are preliminary in nature and are made at this time for the purpose of complying with the tenday requirement set forth in Order No. PSC-96-0945-PCO-TL. Should additional grounds for objection be discovered as MCI prepares its Answers to these interrogatories, MCI reserves the right to supplement, revise or modify its objections at the time that it serves its Answers on BellSouth. Moreover, should MCI determine that a Protective Order is necessary with respect to any of the material requested by BellSouth, MCI reserves the right to file a motion with the Commission seeking such an order at the time it serves its Answers on BellSouth.

85897.1

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
12236 NOV 18 %

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

### GENERAL OBJECTIONS

MCI makes the following general objections to BellSouth's First Set of Interrogatories which will be incorporated by reference into MCI's specific responses when its Answers are served on BellSouth.

- 1. MCI objects to the definition of "MCI
  Telecommunications Corporation" to the extent such definition
  seeks to impose an obligation on MCI Telecommunications
  Corporation to respond on behalf of subsidiaries, affiliates, or
  other persons that are not parties to this case on the grounds
  that such definition is overly broad, unduly burdensome,
  oppressive, and not permitted by applicable discovery rules.
- 2. MCI has interpreted BellSouth's interrogatories to apply to MCI's regulated intrastate operations in Florida and will limit its answers accordingly. To the extent that any request is intended to apply to matters other than Florida intrastate operations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, Sprint objects to such request as irrelevant, overly broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive.
- 3. MCI objects to each and every interrogatory and instruction to the extent that such interrogatory or instruction calls for information which is exempt from discovery by virtue of the attorney-client privilege, work product privilege, or other applicable privilege.
- 4. MCI objects to each and every interrogatory insofar as the interrogatory is vague, ambiguous, overly broad, imprecise,

85897.1

or utilizes terms that are subject to multiple interpretations but are not properly defined or explained for purposes of these interrogatories. Any answers provided by MCI in response to BellSouth's interrogatories will be provided subject to, and without waiver of, the foregoing objection.

- 5. MCI objects to each and every interrogatory insofar as the interrogatory is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is not relevant to the subject matter of this action. MCI will attempt to note each instance where this objection applies.
- 6. MCI objects to BellSouth's general instructions, definitions or specific discovery requests insofar as they seek to impose obligations on MCI which exceed the requirements of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure or Florida law.
- 7. MCI objects to providing information to the extent that such information is already in the public record before the Florida Public Service Commission.
- 8. MCI objects to each and every interrogatory, general instruction or definition insofar as it is unduly burdensome, expensive, oppressive, or excessively time consuming as written.
- 9. MCI objects to each and every interrogatory to the extent that the information requested constitutes "trade secrets" which are privileged pursuant to Section 90.506, Florida Statutes. To the extent that BellSouth's interrogatories request proprietary confidential business information which is not subject to the "trade secrets" privilege, MCI will make such

information available to counsel for BellSouth pursuant to an appropriate Protective Agreement, subject to any other general or specific objections contained herein.

### OBJECTIONS TO SPECIFIC INTERROGATORIES

Subject to, and without waiver of, the foregoing general objections, MCI enters the following specific objections with respect to BellSouth interrogatories.

<u>Interrogatory 1.</u> For 1994, 1996 and 1997, identify the number of business, residential and total number of subscribers in Florida that subscribed or are projected to subscribe to MCI interexchange service.

MCI Objection: MCI objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, irrelevant, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Moreover, it seeks information which is subject to the trade secrets privilege. The scope of this proceeding is to determine whether BellSouth has met the requirements of Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The information requested is not within the scope of this proceeding.

<u>Interrogatory 4.</u> Separately for 1995 and to-date in 1996, identify all interexchange resellers that do business in Florida and identify the underlying carrier for any service the reseller provides or provided in Florida.

MCI Objection: MCI objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, irrelevant, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. The scope of this proceeding is to determine whether BellSouth has met the requirements of Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The information requested is beyond the scope of this proceeding. In addition, the identify of resellers who do business in Florida is equally available to BellSouth from the records of the Florida Public Service Commission.

Interrogatory 5. Describe in detail MCI's plans to use its own network, whether wireline or wireless, to provide local telephone service in Florida. If this network is in place today, describe

85897.1

the components of the network. If the network is not place, please describe the actions MCI has taken to implement its local telephone network; and state where and when MCI expects the local network to be in place and ready to provide service and what facilities will be used.

MCI Objection: MCI objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, irrelevant, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Moreover, it seeks information which is subject to the trade secrets privilege. The scope of this proceeding is to determine whether BellSouth has met the requirements of Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The information requested is not within the scope of this proceeding.

Interrogatory 6. If MCI provides telephone exchange service to Florida consumers, does MCI plan to use its own billing, support and ordering systems to provide local service? Are these billing, support and ordering systems in place today? What actions to implement MCI's local billing, support and ordering systems have already been completed? What actions must still be undertaken to implement MCI's local billing, ordering and support systems?

MCI Objection: MCI objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, irrelevant, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Moreover, it seeks information which is subject to the trade secrets privilege. The scope of this proceeding is to determine whether BellSouth has met the requirements of Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The information requested is not within the scope of this proceeding.

Interrogatory 7. If MCI provides telephone exchange service to Florida consumers, does MCI plan to use exchange facilities of any other carrier(s)? If so, identify the carrier(s), the facilities, whether network or support, that MCI plans to use, and the locations and capabilities of those facilities.

MCI Objection: MCI objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, irrelevant, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Moreover, it seeks information which is subject to the trade secrets privilege. The scope of this proceeding is to determine whether BellSouth has met the requirements of Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The information requested is not within the scope of this

85897.1

proceeding.

Interrogatory 8. Identify each provider of exchange access services other than BellSouth that MCI utilizes for exchange access service in Florida, and, for each such provider describe the geographic area(s) where it provides service, the type, capacity and route miles of transmission facilities in each area and the amount paid by MCI to the provider in 1995.

MCI Objection: MCI objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, irrelevant, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Moreover, it seeks information which is subject to the trade secrets privilege. The scope of this proceeding is to determine whether BellSouth has met the requirements of Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The information requested is not within the scope of this proceeding.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 18th day of November, 1996.

HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH, P.A.

By: Pie D. re

Richard D. Melson P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314 (904) 425-2313

and

MARTHA MCMILLIN MCI Telecommunications Corporation 780 Johnson Ferry Road, Suite 700 Atlanta, GA 30342 (404) 843-6375

ATTORNEYS FOR MCI

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was transmitted by U.S. Mail, by Hand Delivery (\*), or by UPS Overnight (\*\*) to the following parties this 18th day of November, 1996.

Monica Barone \*
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Nancy White \*
c/o Nancy Sims
BellSouth Telecommunications
150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Nancy White \*\*
BellSouth Telecommunications
675 West Peachtree St., Ste. 4300
Atlanta, GA 30375

Floyd R. Self Messer, Caparello, Madsen, Goldman & Metz P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Brian Sulmonetti LDDS WorldCom Communications 1515 S. Federal Highway, Ste. 400 Boca Raton, FL 33432

Vicki Kaufman McWhirter Grandoff & Reeves 117 S. Gadsden St., 3rd Floor Tallahassee, FL 32301

Patrick K. Wiggins Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A. P.O. Drawer 1657 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Patricia Kurlin Intermedia Communications 3625 Queen Palm Drive Tampa, FL 33619-1309 Andrew O. Isar
Telecommunications Resellers
Association
P.O. Box 2461
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-4461

Jeffrey J. Walker Preferred Carrier Services, Inc. 1425 Greenway DRive, Suite 210 Irving, TX 75038

Tracy Hatch
AT&T
101 N. Monroe St., Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Robin D. Dunson 1200 Peachtree St., N.E. Promenade I, Room 4038 Atlanta, GA 30309

C. Everett Boyd, Jr.
Ervin, Varn, Jacobs, Odom
& Ervin
P.O. Drawer 1170
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Benjamin W. Fincher 3100 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, GA 30339

Timothy Devine
MFS Communications Co.
6 Concourse Pkwy, Ste. 2100
Atlanta, GA 30328

Richard M. Rindler Swidler & Berlin, Chartered 3000 K Street, N.W., STe. 300 Washington, DC 20007 Peter M. Dunbar Robert S. Cohen Pennington, Culpepper, Moore Wilkinson, Dunbar & Dunlap Post Office Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Sue E. Weiske Time Warner Communiatins 3rd Floor North 160 Inverness Drive West Englewood, CO 80112

Jill Butler Time Warner Communiations 2773 Red Maple Ridge Tallahassee, FL 32301

Pio O. Me

Attorney