BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application for rate)	Docket No. 951056-WS
increase in Flagler County by)	
PALM COAST UTILITY CORPORATION)	Filed: February 26,1997

SECOND AMENDED MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OR, ALTERNATIVELY, AMENDED MOTION TO CORRECT COMPUTATIONAL ERRORS

Palm Coast Utility Corporation (PCUC), files this its second amended motion for reconsideration of Order No. PSC-96-1338-FOF-WS (the Order), or, alternatively, amended motion to correct computational errors, for the purpose of calling to the Commission's attention additional staff computational errors not addressed in PCUC's original or Amended Motion for Reconsideration, and states:

RECONSIDERATION

- 1. This amended motion for reconsideration is filed pursuant to Rule 25-22.035(3), F.A.C., and Rule 1.190, Fla.R.Civ.P.
- 2. PCUC realleges each and every allegation in its motion for reconsideration, filed herein on November 22, 1996, and in its amended motion for reconsideration, filed herein on January 24,

	amended motion for reconsideration, filled herein on January .	Z4,
ACK AFA	<u>199</u> 7.	
	3. Pursuant to PCUC's request, and after written notice	to
CAF CMU	all parties, a meeting was held on February 19, 1997 to allow Po	CUC
CTR	an opportunity to explain certain computational errors in Order 1	
I FC	PSC-96-1338-FOF-WS. PCUC, Commission Staff, Public Counsel a	
LIN	Flagler County attended. Dunes Community Development District of	did
	not attend based on assurances by the undersigned counsel that t	
SEC	effluent reuse rate would not be among the issues discussed.	ľhe
$\mathbf{W} \cap \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Q}}$		

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

reuse rate was not discussed at the meeting.

- 4. During PCUC's presentation, Commission Staff indicated that they had found errors in its workpapers that affected the calculation of the imputation of CIAC against margin reserve. The scope of the errors was verbally explained. Written workpapers were not released since the Staff recommendation to address the errors had not been finalized.
- 5. After the meeting, PCUC revisited the Staff workpapers initially provided in connection with Order No. PSC-96-1338-FOF-WS in order to understand the errors. During the course of that review, PCUC first became aware that the calculation of the imputed CIAC related to wastewater treatment plant was erroneously based on a margin reserve period of 36 months rather than the 18-month margin reserve period approved by the Commission. (See Order at p. 17) Thus, the amount of CIAC to be imputed against wastewater margin reserve is and will continue to be overstated by the amount of \$175,730 unless the corrections proposed by Staff recognize and also correct for this error.
- 6. Staff calculates the amount of CIAC associated with margin reserve for wastewater treatment plant by multiplying \$334, that is, the portion of the Service Availability charge related to treatment plant, by the number of ERCs included in margin reserve. The Staff workpaper (Attachment B1) shows the number of ERCs in margin reserve to be 2,229, which is the difference between the 14,664 ERCs projected for average year 1998 (derived from the

regression equation¹ used in Exhibit 28 (KAA-2) p. 2), and the 12,435 ERCs for the average 1995 test year. The number of ERCs in an 18-month margin reserve period is actually 1,138, which is the difference between the 13,573 ERCs projected for year end 1996 and the 12,435 ERCs for the average 1995 test year. These numbers are shown in Exhibit 28 (KAA-2), p. 2, which for ease of reference is appended hereto as Attachment B-2.

- 7. While PCUC is opposed to the imputation practice, if CIAC is to be imputed by the Commission against treatment-related wastewater margin reserve, the amount of such imputation should be calculated using 1,138 ERCs, the number of ERCs in the approved 18-month margin reserve period.
- 8. PCUC therefore requests that the Commission allow and grant this second amended motion for reconsideration in furtherance of justice, and that the Commission's final order reflect the corrections.

AMENDED ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO CORRECT COMPUTATIONAL ERRORS

- 9. PCUC realleges each and every allegation in its initial alternative motion to correct computational errors, filed herein on January 24, 1997.
- 10. PCUC has hereinabove clearly shown mistake or inadvertence in the above computational error, which results in a material overstatement of imputed CIAC against the margin reserve

From Exh. 28: ERCs = $-1439195 + 727.6571 \times Year$ 14,664 ERCs = $-1439195 + 727.6571 \times 1998$

for wastewater treatment plant. This error should be deemed an extraordinary circumstance warranting correction in the determination of rate base established by the Order.

WHEREFORE, Palm Coast Utility Corporation hereby requests the Commission to reconsider or correct its decisions on the foregoing issues, and adjust rates accordingly.

DATED this 26th day of February, 1997.

Respectfully submitted,

B. Kenneth Gatlin

Gatlin, Schiefelbein & Cowdery, P.A.

1709-D Mahan Drive

Tallahassee, Florida 32308

(904) 877-5609

Attorneys for

PALM COAST UTILITY CORPORATION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by hand delivery to Ms. Bobbie Reyes, Esquire, Division of Legal Services, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd., Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, to Mr. Stephen C. Reilly, Associate Public Counsel, Office of Public Counsel, Claude Pepper Building, Room 812, 111 West Madison Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, and to Mr. Richard Melson, Esquire, Hopping, Green, Sams & Smith, 123 South Calhoun Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32314, and by U.S. Mail to Mr. Albert J. Hadeed, County Attorney, 1200 East Moody Boulevard, #11, Bunnell, Florida 32110-9764, and to Mr. Arthur L. Sirkin, c/o County Attorney, 1200 East Moody Blvd., #11, Bunnell, Florida 32110, on this 26th day of February, 1997.

B. Kenneth Gatlin

	TOTAL
AVG. YEAR	ERCs
1990	8820
1991	9682
1992	10140
1993	11053
1994	11842
1995	12435
Projections	
1995.5	12845
1996.5	13573
1997	13936
1998.5	15028
2000.5	16483

Regress	ion Output:	
Constant		-1439195
Std Err of Y Est		110.3086
R Squared		0.994775
No. of Observations		6
Degrees of Freedom		4
X Coefficient(s)	727.6571	
Std Err of Coef.	26,3688	

USED AND USEFUL CALCULATIONS	PER DRAFT RULES - V	/ASTEWATER	
DOED AND OCE OF CONTROL			
1			
NO. OF ERCS, YEAR END 1995	12845		EST YEAR]
NO OF ERCS, YEAR END 1996	13573	[1.	O YEAR MR]
NO. OF ERCS, AVG. 1997	13936	i1.	5 YEAR MR]
NO. OF ERCS, YEAR END 1998	15028		O YEAR MR
NO. OF ERCS, YEAR END 2000	16483		O YEAR MR)
NO. OF ERCS, YEAR END 2000	10403	ĮJ.	o reaction
Average Sewage Flow Per ERC	119.00		
Allowance for Infiltration/Inflow (15%)	17.85	gpd	
TOTAL FLOWS PER ERC	136.85	gpd	
1995 AVG DAILY FLOW, YR END	1,757,834	and IT	EST YEAR]
1995 AVG DAILT FLOW, TR END	1,857,414		O YEAR MRI
1996 AVG DAILY FLOW, YR END			
1997 AVG DAILY FLOW	1,907,204		5 YEAR MR]
1998 AVG DAILY FLOW, YR END	2,056,574		0 YEAR MR]
2000 AVG DAILY FLOW, YR END	2,255,734	[5.	O YEAR MR]
DCDD RECLAIMED WATER DEMAND	S (Minimum) 300.000	gpd	
DODD RECLAIMED WATER DEMAND	S (Maximum 1,600,000	gpd [fro	om Reuse Feasibility Study, p. 37]
WASTEWATER TREATMENT EQUIP	MENT		
		and 2 %	- Compared to the control of the con
PLANT CAPACITY	` 4,000,000	3ba 2 2 2 :	50,00 C
CALCULATED U/U WO MR	43.95%	52.47	
CALCULATED U/U W/1.5 YR MR	47.68%	5643	
CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR	51,41%	,0.(-2	
CALCULATED U/U W/5.0 YR MR	56.39%	61.37	i
CALCULATED U/U VV/5.0 TR MR	20,3878	67.34	
ł		9 (54	
		9 (, 54	
EFFLUENT DISPOSAL FACILITIES		s (, s (
		*(. \$ +	
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES			v DED comit
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS	Gagas - *800,000		w DEP permit]
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE	ರ್ಷ-೧೯೯೩ - 1,800,000 1,300,000	gpd [pd	w DEP permit]
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE	730,300 1,000,000	gpd [pa	
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE	730,300 1,000,000	gpd [pd	
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA	730,333 5 1,000,000 ACITY 3,100,000	gpd [pd	v _y sse.
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U WO MR	730,335 <u>1,000,000</u> ACITY <u>3,100,000</u>	gpd [pd gpd 2,33	ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U WO MR CALCULATED U/U W/1.5 YR MR	730,333 800,000 1,300,000 1,000,000 3,100,000 6837 51,85%	gpd [pd gpd 2,3) [flows adjuste	ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U WO MR CALCULATED U/U W/1.5 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR	730,333 - 860,000 1,300,000 1,000,000 3,100,000 47.03% 58,51 51.85%	gpd [pd gpd 2,3) [flows adjuste	ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U WO MR CALCULATED U/U W/1.5 YR MR	730,333 800,000 1,300,000 1,000,000 3,100,000 6837 51,85%	gpd [pd gpd 2,3) [flows adjuste	ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U WO MR CALCULATED U/U W/1.5 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/5.0 YR MR WET WEATHER FACILITIES	G2.34 47.03% G2.34 47.03% G2.34 47.03% G2.34 51.85% G2.34 51.85% G3.22 63.09%	gpd [pd gpd 2,33 [flows adjuste minimum to [ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U WO MR CALCULATED U/U W/1.5 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/5.0 YR MR	COLUMN 1,300,000 1,300,000 1,000,000 ACITY 3,100,000 \$\frac{2}{5}\$\frac{1}{5}\$\frac{1}{6}\$\frac{5}{6}\$\frac{6}{6}\$\frac{1}{6}	gpd [pd gpd 2,33 [flows adjuste minimum to [ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U WO MR CALCULATED U/U W/1.5 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/5.0 YR MR WET WEATHER FACILITIES STORAGE TANK CAPACITY	COLUMN 1,300,000 1,300,000 1,000,000 ACITY 3,100,000 \$\frac{2}{5}\$\frac{1}{5}\$\frac{1}{6}\$\frac{5}{6}\$\frac{6}{6}\$\frac{1}{6}	gpd [pd gpd 2,33 [flows adjuste minimum to [ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U W/O MR CALCULATED U/U W/1.5 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/5.0 YR MR WET WEATHER FACILITIES STORAGE TANK CAPACITY SPRAY FIELDS CAPACITY, 3 DAYS	G2.34 47.03% G83.12 63.09% 6,000,000 6,000,000	gpd [pd gpd 2,33 [flows adjuste minimum to [ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U W/O MR CALCULATED U/U W/1.5 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/5.0 YR MR WET WEATHER FACILITIES STORAGE TANK CAPACITY SPRAY FIELDS CAPACITY, 3 DAYS	G2.34 47.03% G83.12 63.09% 6,000,000 6,000,000	gpd [pd gpd 2,33 [flows adjuste minimum to [ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U W/O MR CALCULATED U/U W/1.5 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/5.0 YR MR WET WEATHER FACILITIES STORAGE TANK CAPACITY SPRAY FIELDS CAPACITY, 3 DAYS [provides wet weather storage for spra	G2.34 47.03% G83.12 63.09% 6,000,000 6,000,000	gpd [pd gpd 2,33 [flows adjuste minimum to [ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U WO MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/5.0 YR MR WET WEATHER FACILITIES STORAGE TANK CAPACITY SPRAY FIELDS CAPACITY, 3 DAYS [provides wet weather storage for spra per Reuse Feas. Study, p. 28]	G2.34 47.03% G83.12 63.09% 6,000,000 6,000,000	gpd [pd gpd 2,33 [flows adjuste minimum to [ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U W/O MR CALCULATED U/U W/1.5 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/5.0 YR MR WET WEATHER FACILITIES STORAGE TANK CAPACITY SPRAY FIELDS CAPACITY, 3 DAYS [provides wet weather storage for spra	G2.34 47.03% G83.12 63.09% 6,000,000 6,000,000	gpd [pd gpd 2,33 [flows adjuste minimum to [ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U WO MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/5.0 YR MR WET WEATHER FACILITIES STORAGE TANK CAPACITY SPRAY FIELDS CAPACITY, 3 DAYS [provides wet weather storage for spra per Reuse Feas. Study, p. 28]	G2.34 47.03% G83.12 63.09% 6,000,000 6,000,000	gpd [pd gpd 2,33 [flows adjuste minimum to [ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U WO MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/5.0 YR MR WET WEATHER FACILITIES STORAGE TANK CAPACITY SPRAY FIELDS CAPACITY, 3 DAYS [provides wet weather storage for spra per Reuse Feas. Study, p. 28]	G2.34 47.03% G83.12 63.09% 6,000,000 6,000,000	gpd [pd gpd 2,33 [flows adjuste minimum to [ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000
NON-REUSE DISPOSAL FACILITIES SPRAYFIELDS OLDER RIB SITE NEWER RIB SITE TOTAL NON-REUSE DISPOSAL CAPA CALCULATED U/U W/O MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/3.0 YR MR CALCULATED U/U W/5.0 YR MR WET WEATHER FACILITIES STORAGE TANK CAPACITY SPRAY FIELDS CAPACITY, 3 DAYS [provides wet weather storage for spra per Reuse Feas. Study, p. 28]	G2.34 47.03% G83.12 63.09% 6,000,000 6,000,000	gpd [pd gpd 2,33 [flows adjuste minimum to [ಲ್ರವ <i>ು</i> d to remove 300,000