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Q. Please state your name and business address.
A. My name is James 0 . Vick and my business addrass is 500 Bayfront Parkway, Pensacola, Florida, 32501-0328.
Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
A. I am employed by Guli Power Company as the Manager of Environmental Affairs.
Q. Mr. Vick, will you please describe your education and experience?
A. I graduated from Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida in 1975 with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Marine Biology. I also hold a Bachelor's Degree in Civil Engineering from the University of South Florida in Tampa, Florioa. In addition, I have a Masters of Science Degree in Management from Troy State University, Pensacola, Florida. I joined Gulf Power Company in August 1978 as an Associate Engineer. I have since held various engineering positions such as Air Quality Engineer and Senior Environmental Licensing Engineer. In 1996, I assumed my most recent position as Manager of Environmental Affairs.
Q. What are your responsibilities with Gulf Power Company?
A. As Manager of Environmental Affairs, my primary responsibility is overseeing the activities of the Environmental Affairs Department to ensure the Company is, and remains, in compliance with environmental laws and regulations, i.e., both existing
laws and such laws and regulations that may be enacted or amionded in the future. In performing this function, I have the responsibility for numerous environmental programs and projects.
Q. Are you the same James 0 . Vick who has previously testified before this Commission on various environmental matters?
A. Yes.
Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to support Gulf Power Company's true-up period ending September 30, 1996. In her testimony and schedules, Ms. Cranmer has identified the carrying costs (including depreciation expense and dismantlement costs) associated with environmental investment and the O\&M expenses included in the true-up period. I will discuss the primary reasons for variances between the projected and actual costs.
Q. Please compare Gulfs recoverable environmental capital costs included in the true-up calculation for the period April through September 1996.
A. As reflected in Ms. Cranmer's Schedule 6A, the recoverable capital costs included in the true-up calculation total $\$ 4,465,117$ as compared to the estimated true-up amount of $\$ 4,488,630$. This resuited in a variance of ( $\$ 23,513$ ). Variances in these projects/programs were not significant and do not require further detailed explanation.
Q. How do Gulf's actual O\&M expenses compare to the amounts included in the estimated true-up?
A. Ms. Cranmer's Schedule 4A reflects that Gulf incurred a total of $\$ 819,118$ in recoverable O\&M expenses for the period as compared to the amount included in the estimated true-up of $\$ 1,233,132$. This results in a variance of ( $\$ 414,014$ ). I will address the variances for the O\&M projects/programs.
Q. Please explain the reasons for the variances in O \& M expenses during the period April througn September 1996.
A. With the exception of three categories with insignificant variances, Emission Monitoring (Line Item 1.5), State NPDES Administration (Line Item 1.8) and Environmental Auditing/Assessment (Line Item 1.10), each of the categories contributing to the variances will specifically be discussed in my testimony.
Q. Please explain the $\$ 2,230$ variance in the Sulfur (Line Item 1.1) category.
A. As explained in previous testimony, the injection of raw sulfur into the flue gas enhances the collection efficiency of the Crist Unit 7 electrostatic precipitator when burning low sulfur coal. Sulfur use is dependent upon the quality and content of the fuel supply at Crist. Expenses during this period were for a service visit to Plant Crist to review the $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ system performance and provide a written report on system status and recommendations on system maintenance and improvements.
Q. Please explain the $(\$ 67,500)$ variance in Air Emission Fees (Line Item 1.2).
A. Air Emission Fees for Plant Daniel were projected to be $\$ 67,500$ for Plant Daniel
during the period as compared to $\$ 0$ actual expenses. No fees were required for Daniei during 1996 due to implementation of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ substitution plans. The substitution plan resulted in the redesignation of Daniel Units $1 \& 2$ as Phase I substitution unit. The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 do not require emission fees for Phase I substitution units.
Q. Please explain the $(\$ 6,077)$ variance in the Title V category (Line Item 1.3 ).
A. The Title $V$ permitting is on-going. Expenses incurred during the period for the permitting process were less than anticipated due to delays in the implementation of the Title V program by the Flonda Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP). Gulf Power anticipates receiving draft Title V permits in 1997 and can expect additional expenses from the permitting process.
Q. Please explain the $(\$ 1,664)$ variance in the Asbestos Fees category (Line Item 1.4).
A. Asbestos Fee Notifications were anticipated to be incurred during inutine maintenance activities. No asbestos containing materials (ACM) were encountered during normal maintenance activities for which notification fees would have been required, resulting in zero expenditures for the period.
Q. Please explain the variance of $(\$ 161,964)$ in the General Water Quality category (Line Item 1.6).
A. One approved ECRC project, Smith CT Soil Contamination, primarily contributed to this variance. Gulf was successful in modifying, and in some cases eliminating, certain design elernents to the Florida Department of Environmental

Protection (FDEP) approved project remediation system. These modifications, in conjunction with minor delays in remediation system start-up. resulted in the variance. Modifications to the remediation system resulted in a substantial cost savings to Gulf, and significantly reduced projected $O \& M$ costs for the period.
Q. Please explain the $(\$ 168,328)$ variance in the Groundwater Monitoring Investigation category (Line Item 1.7).
A. Delays in the Substation Contamination Investigation project as of September 1996 have since been resolved and project activities and subsequent expenses were on target with projected expenses at year end 1996.
Q. Please explain the variance of $(\$ 2,870)$ in the Lead and Copper category (Line Item 1.9).
A. A review of $O \& M$ costs associated with this program revealed that a reduction in chemical use could be implemented without jeopardizing regulatory compliance. The reduction in chemical purchases resulted in the variance.
Q. Please explain the $(\$ 10,749)$ variance in the General Solid and Hazardous Waste category (Line Item 1.11).
A. This program historically encounters fluctuations in approved program activities, which are directly related to the quantities of solid and hazardous waste generated through Gulfs operations and which require proper disposal within regulatory guidelines. During this recovery period, those quantities of waste requiring disposal were less than expected.
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## AFFIDAVIT

## STATE OF FLORIDA ) <br> COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA )

Docket No. 970007 -El

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared James O. Vick, who being first July sworn, deposes, and says that he is the Manager of Environmental Affairs of Gulf Power Company, a Maine corporation, and that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief. He is personally known to me.


Sworn to and subscribed before me this 24th day of March 1997.

Sollonda A. Cothrens
Notary Public, State of Florida at Large
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