In the Matter of : DOCKET NO. S Proposed Rule 25-24.845, F.A.C., Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated, and Proposed Amendments to Rules 25-4.003, F.A.C., Definitions, 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer Billing; 25-4.118, F.A.C., Interexchange Carrier Selection; and 25-24.490,	7088
In the Matter of : DOCKET NO. 9 Proposed Rule 25-24.845, F.A.C., Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated, and Proposed Amendments to Rules 25-4.003, F.A.C., Definitions, 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer Billing; 25-4.118, F.A.C., Interexchange Carrier	7081
Proposed Rule 25-24.845, F.A.C., Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated, and Proposed Amendments to Rules 25-4.003, F.A.C., Definitions, 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer Billing; 25-4.118, F.A.C., Interexchange Carrier	7081
Proposed Rule 25-24.845, F.A.C., Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated, and Proposed Amendments to Rules 25-4.003, F.A.C., Definitions, 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer Billing; 25-4.118, F.A.C., Interexchange Carrier	7081
Proposed Rule 25-24.845, F.A.C., Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated, and Proposed Amendments to Rules 25-4.003, F.A.C., Definitions, 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer Billing; 25-4.118, F.A.C., Interexchange Carrier	708
F.A.C., Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated, and Proposed Amendments to Rules: 25-4.003, F.A.C., Definitions,: 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer: Billing; 25-4.118, F.A.C., Interexchange Carrier:	
F.A.C., Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated, and Proposed Amendments to Rules 25-4.003, F.A.C., Definitions,: 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer Billing; 25-4.118, F.A.C., Interexchange Carrier	
Proposed Amendments to Rules : 25-4.003, F.A.C., Definitions, : 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer : Billing; 25-4.118, F.A.C., : Interexchange Carrier : 4	
25-4.003, F.A.C., Definitions,: 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer: Billing; 25-4.118, F.A.C.,: Interexchange Carrier:	
Billing; 25-4.118, F.A.C., : Interexchange Carrier :	
Interexchange Carrier : 4	
Galactions and SE-24 400	
F.A.C. Customer Relations;	
Rules Incorporated.	-
PROCEEDINGS: RULE DEVELOPMENT WORKSHOP TAMPA BEFORE: CHAIRMAN JULIA L. JOHNSON	
COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASO COMMISSIONER SUSAN F. CLARK COMMISSIONER JOE GARCIA	
DATE: Wednesday, November 19, 199	7
TIME: Commenced at 6:30 p.m.	
Concluded at 8:30 p.m.	
PLACE: Tampa City Hall	
City Council Chambers 315 East Kennedy Boulevard	
Tampa, Florida 33602	
REPORTED BY: H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR	
	r
REPORTED BY: H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR	r

FPEC. DECORDOS /BEDOBTING

IN ATTENDANCE:

DIANA CALDWELL, FPSC Division of Appeals.

CHARLIE BECK, Office of Public Counsel.

MICHAEL GROSS, Office of the Attorney General.

RICK MOSES, Division of Communications.

1		
2	MISCELLANEOUS	
3]	ITEM	PAGE NO.
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	96
5	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
6	WITNESSES	
7		
8 1	NAMB	PAGE NO.
9 1	ERIN JEANNE BATES	
0	Direct Statement	13
1 0	CARMEN NUNEZ	
2	Direct Statement	23
.3	FERNANDO NUNEZ	
4	Direct Statement	28
5 1	MIKE GAIFFE	
6	Direct Statement	35
7 1	SHEILA BERG	
8	Direct Statement	47
9 1	HECTOR JIMENEZ	
0	Direct Statement	56
1	LINDA SALIGA	
2	Direct Statement	61
3 1	MARY KEITH	
4	Direct Statement	68

1			
1	DAN MOORE		
2	Direct Statement	75	
3	AHMAD ERCHID		
4	Direct Statement	80	
5	SARA WESTBROOK		
6	Direct Statement	84	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
L3			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

7 8

. .

PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing convened at 6:30 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Ladies and gentlemen, my name is Julia Johnson. We're going to call the hearing to order this evening. Council, would you please read the notice?

MS. CALDWELL: Pursuant to the notice under Section 120.54, Florida Statutes, the Florida Public Service Commission will hold a rule development workshop at this time and place to consider amendments to rules relating to customer preference for his local, local toll and toll provider.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I'll take appearances.

MS. CALDWELL: Diana Caldwell, Florida

Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,

Tallahassee, Florida.

MR. BECK: My name is Charlie Beck with the Office of Public Counsel. Our office is separate from the PSC and represents the citizens of Florida before them. My address is the Claude Pepper Building, 111 West Madison Street, Tallahassee, Florida.

MR. GROSS: My name is Michael Gross. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Office of the Attorney General in Tallahassee. My address is PL-01, the Capitol, Tallahassee Florida. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Again, welcome. My name is Julia Johnson, and I'm the Chairman of the Florida Public Service Commission.

Seated to my left is Commissioner Susan

Clark; to my far right, Commissioner Joe Garcia; and

seated next to me on my right is Commissioner Terry

Deason.

We will be participating in not only this workshop, but a workshop tomorrow, and if you look at your special report, we've held workshops all across the state of Florida with our sole purpose being to hear from the customers; hear your complaints, your concerns, and hear your suggestions as to low we might improve upon the rule that has been proposed by our Staff.

At the appropriate time I'll have our Staff member review that rule for you and with you. If you have any questions and you'd like for us to entertain those, if you could, come forward when your name is called; and if you've not signed up, there's a little yellow sheet out front, and Ms. Crump will help sign you up to testify this evening.

In addition to the special report, there are several documents outside that you're welcome to take home with you. They are designed to provide you with

information on how you can better protect yourself against slamming. The Commission is really trying to do everything that we can to provide more information and more protection to the customers.

one of the vehicles that's available for your protection is to get a PIC freeze. There's more information on that out front, and you'd have to contact your local exchange company to actually have your service designed where it cannot be changed unless there's an actual written authorization by you.

Also we have some applications for the Department of Agriculture's no solicitation program. As we've been traveling across the state, quite a few customers have stated that what they hate most is when they sit down for dinner in the afternoon, the phone rings and it's a telemarketer trying to get them or convince them to change their telecommunication service.

There is a program offered by the Department of Agriculture. There's an initial \$10.00 fee and a subsequent annual \$5.00 fee to participate in that program. But that program is designed to stop the telemarketers from calling you directly.

Also, the Commission, we have of course our 1-800 number that's stated in this brochure. We also

have a web page and a site that you can actually file complaints with the Commission via the Internet on your computer, or call us at our 1-800 number; and, also, most of these hearings will be transmitted over the Internet. So if you would like to listen to tomorrow's Jacksonville hearing -- it's at 2:30 and 6:30 -- to see what other citizens across the state are saying, their concerns and their suggestions to us, feel free to use the web page and the dial-up number and you can participate, at least listen in, through that process. But, again, we're here this afternoon to hear from you.

I will, at the appropriate time, ask those that would like to testify to stand. I'll swear you in, and the reason we do that is because your statement, your comments, your suggestions, can be used by us in an official capacity. They will be a part of the evidence in this record upon which we can rely when we make our final decisions in the case.

There are several Staff members here today, but what I'd like to do is have Kelly Begalski read the -- go over the summary of our rules, what we're proposing, the changes that we're proposing, therefore, to better familiarize you with the proposals, and if you have any additional suggestions,

we'll entertain those also. Ms. Begalski.

MS. BEGALSKI: Thank you. My name is Kelly Begalski with Commission Staff, and the summary I'm about to go over with you can be found in the blue handout.

The proposed amendments will apply to all companies providing local telephone service, local toll service, also known as intraLATA, and your long distance service.

The proposed amendments will require additional information to be printed on your telephone bill. This information includes the name of the company, the type of service that that company is going to provide, and a toll free number for each provider.

You will see up to three different providers on your telephone bill; one for your local service, one for your local toll service, or intraLATA, and one for your long distance service.

The proposed amendments will limit the ways in which a preferred carrier may be changed. A change may only be made if one of the following instances occur: A company obtains a signed letter of agency that contains sufficient information to verify that the customer is authorizing the change; or if a

company receives a consumer-initiated call and obtains the consumer's consent, records the consent and the number to be changed; or if the company has an independent, unaffiliated firm verify the consumer's request; or when the company receives a consumer's change request, the company may elect to send an information packet which explains the changes, verifies the information, and requires a signed statement acknowledging the change.

The proposed amendments will prohibit a company from combining a letter of authorization with any inducement on the same document. For example, the checks you receive in the mail to switch your long distance carrier or the sweepstakes forms you see at fairs or in restaurants would no longer be allowed with the proposed rules.

In addition, when a company is soliciting in writing or by telephone, the inducement may not be misleading or deceptive.

Finally, if you are slammed, the proposed amendments, must credit the consumer all charges for the change and all charges billed on behalf of the unauthorized carrier for the first 90 days. Upon the consumer notifying the unauthorized carrier, the consumer must be switched back to their preferred

carrier.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

And that concludes the summary of the rules. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: There are several other Staff members that are here, so for those of you who decide not to testify or just have additional questions, let me go ahead and introduce some of the Staff members.

Mr. Rick Moses is here, and he's with our Division of Communications, and he'll handle any technical questions that might come up tonight. Diane Caldwell introduced herself. She's the lead attorney on this particular case. Kelly, of course, provided the summary of our rule.

Mr. Dick Durbin is seated there in the front. He's one of our analysts. He's one of the gentlemen that you generally hear when you call our 1-800 number, and he's here to assist if you have an ongoing complaint that you've already filed; or if you'd like to file something, he and Ms. Carmen Pena is also available to help you with any of those complaints.

Thelma Crump was the young lady that met you at the front door. She helps with our consumer 25 | publication and information. Sally Simmons is the

young lady that generally helps set up the Internet and the sound systems, and she, too, is available for assistance. And Ruthe Potami is sitting here in the 3 front. She will be our court reporter for this evening, and she actually will record everything that you say; again, for our use when we begin our deliberations and to ensure that everything is 7 properly recorded in the record. And with that, are there any other preliminary matters? 9 Seeing none, Public Counsel, could you 10 please call the first customer? I've got to swear you 11 all in. Sorry. 12 (Witnesses collectively sworn) 13 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: As Public Counsel calls 14 the witnesses forward, if you could, speak directly 15 into the microphone, and if you could state your name and address for the record, that will help the process. Thank you again. 18 Public Counsel? 19 MR. BECK: Thank you, Chairman Johnson. 20 First witness is Jeanne Bates. 21

ERIN JEANNE BATES

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS BATES: President Johnson and distinguished Commissioners, thank you for this opportunity to speak before you this evening. My name is Erin, E-R-I-N, Jeanne, J-E-A-N-N-E, Bates, B-A-T-E-S.

I did not know that I had been slammed until I received a phone bill for over \$193, at which time I thought that I had just not paid the previous month's bill; but then when I began to look at my bill, I noticed that I was only having my phone calls to the normal persons I called long distance.

I am down in Florida by myself and all of my family is in two different states, so those two states get my business all month. But my phone calls and my bills do not exceed \$100 a month, so this came as quite a surprise.

I did not notice my bill -- and I would like to show you. My long distance carrier has been MCI since 1990. I always see this on the front page of the long distance bills, and so I just look down at my long distance calls and the other page, never noticing

that on another page was this icon. (Indicating) I didn't notice that at all. So I went ahead and I paid this first bill, which was under 70-some dollars.

The next month when I got the 196-plus phone bill is when I started really looking at what had I done on the phone, and I realized that I had been using the phone as I always did. And I started to divide the minutes into the dollars, and I came up with twice as much per minute as I had been paying since 1990.

I still did not notice this icon. It did not ring a bell with me until I started making some phone calls. And I made my first phone call on June the 6th, and I called -- excuse me one moment. I do have a little problem with the eyesight, and that's probably how I got slammed. I didn't wear my glasses when I signed up for that free car.

I made a phone call on June the 26th. I had found out this icon meant something, and I had called GTE, who said that my long distance carrier was MCI, yes. And I said, "Well, I have this funny looking icon up here, and I don't know what U.S. Billing means because I've been a customer of MCI all this time."

So they informed me, use this 800 number and call, which I did do, and I was informed by a message

-

that this place is only open 8:00 to 6:00, and it's only open central time and 9:00 to 5:00, or 8:00 to 5:00, or something like that and -- so consequently I started making my phone calls on July the 26th, and I started calling MCI, GTE, and -- to find out what had happened to me, and I found out that -- MCI said, "Well, you have a business line."

I said, "No, I don't. I have never had a business line with you." And they said, "Oh, yes, you do." Then the next thing I knew I had four lines, and it became such a -- an upsetting type of thing for me, that they had installed -- on the 28th, July the 28th, after I talked to MCI, they installed four different long distance lines for me.

One line they put on, which was my original line of 12/10/1990. They installed on July the 26th another line, and after I called them, they cancelled that. On July 26th they installed another line, and they said I had a personal phone calling card, but I had no long distance available as of right now.

Then they said -- oh. And they gave me account numbers for all these. Then they said, "Oh, you have another number," giving me that account. And they said, "Oh, now you don't have any long distance on that either." And so as I was talking to them, I

was being installed and uninstalled apparently.

so I finally got a fax number for this BCI, and I faxed them, three different occasions, and I said, "Please fax to me immediately -- underlined -- a copy of the application supposedly signed by me authorizing you to switch my long distance carrier from MCI to you. I do not, never did ever want to be signed up by you or have your services." I signed my complete name and I gave a return fax.

Finally on August the 11th, they did return a fax to me showing me a form, and that form -- oh, and they thanked me for being a customer of theirs and they hoped I was very happy with their service. And this form, on one side of the form it says "Register for Long Distance Service." On the other side it says "Entry and Contest Rules," and this was for a new car.

Now, I had gone to Clearwater Park to a concert in the park. That concert was sponsored by U92 Bennett Auto Sales Clearwater Parks and Recreation, Clearwater Festivals, and the Tampa Tribune. There are all kinds of tents over there to sign up for freebies, and of course I probably went around and signed up for every one of them. I do remember somebody asking me would I like to sign up for a new long distance.

7 8

mind showing me your phone bill, if it's not private, and also showing me the authorization form? And you can continue telling us what happened. I just wanted to — that and the phone bill you were talking about.

witness bates: Okay. The June phone bill has MCI on as well as this BSI. This one I did not notice. The July phone bill has the USBI, or whatever they wish to call themselves.

My concern is I am still in the working force, even though my gray hair is covered up by a bottle of red, and so therefore I now qualify for being a senior citizen, and when I do not have my glasses on, I cannot read the fine print. But I grew up in an era when we trusted each other, and when I say thank you but no thank you, I expected that my wishes would be honored.

I did not sign up to switch my phone company. At that point in time I was very satisfied with MCI, had been with them since 1990, and I had no wishes to change.

In fact, I do not find anybody out there that gave me anything better in the way of rates, but I no longer am with MCI mainly because, as I said to them in another phone call, "Why did you not call me

and say, 'Ms. Bates, why are you leaving us, what can we do to retain you as a customer?'" And they said, "Well, we usually do." I said, "I never heard from a soul. My first inclination was this exorbitant phone bill."

So, as I said, they gave me four additional phone lines for long distance, which they cancelled. So I told MCI, "Thank you, but no thank you; I no longer want you either." They then sent me an invitation to use their cards. I have two new cards in here. "Thank you for signing up with MCI." That was after all of this fiasco that I went through with them.

so I do believe that somebody in authority needs to look into the phone industry per whole, maybe not just the ones that were slamming, and find out exactly what the public utilities are doing to the customers; because, as I said, most of us are very honest, and we believe that the people we deal with are also honest. And even though I am not a youthful person and did not read the fine print, I did not sign under that portion where it says you're signing up for this.

I do know how you can cut and paste in photographs, and I do believe that is what they did.

But I do thank you very much for allowing me to speak. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Ms. Bates, thank you 2 for showing us. Is the name of the company -- I 3 wanted to ask, is the name of the company Regulated Services? Is that the full name of the company, U.S. Billing Regulated Services? 6 MR. MOSES: U.S. Billing is just the billing 7 agent. The actual company that switched her services 8 is Brittan Communications --10 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But on the bill --MR. MOSES: -- BCI. 11 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But on the bill it 12 calls itself Regulated Services or something like that. 14 MR. MOSES: That's because it is a regulated 15 They are a certificated -service. 16 17 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right. MR. MOSES: -- company --18 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But they're just --19 but MCI doesn't do it. Right. Yeah, I saw that. Ms. Bates, just to let you know that 21 Chairman Johnson had a press conference, I believe last week, and it announced -- she announced the top 12 slammers, and BCI, the company that you were speaking of, is on the list as one of them, and it had

169 complaints; AT&T had 169; Preferred Carrier 1 Services, 104; MCI, 60. I mean, but there's a lot of companies here that are involved --3 WITNESS BATES: I believe I saw that. 4 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So we're working on 5 it, and we appreciate you coming in and telling us 7 this. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Ma'am, during the course 8 of the hearing -- during the course of your conversations with any of the phone companies, did 10 anyone refer you to the Public Service Commission? 11 12 WITNESS BATES: No. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: So you've not received 13 any assistance from the Public Service Commission? WITNESS BATES: When I talked to GTE after I 15 went through this with MCI, trying to tell MCI, "Let's get back on track. I'm your customer." Then I talked 17 to GTE. And they said, "Well, if you fill out a form, then no one can switch you again, and we will send you 19 the form." I did fill that out. I believe it's called a P-C-I; is that it? 21 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, ma'am. 22

23 WITNESS BATES: And I did fill that out, and
24 I have a copy of it, and so supposedly it won't happen
25 again.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: But with respect to this problem -- because I understand you're saying that this was not -- this was really without your authorization --

WITNESS BATES: That's correct.

chairman Johnson: -- service. So there's still a pending complaint because -- have you been -- you've paid this --

witness bates: I have not paid that. GTE sent me a letter stating that I would not have to pay \$165 of it, I believe, deducting that from their bill, and -- but they did not deduct the previous month, which is, I believe, the June bill that you have, for that page I didn't recognize the icon as being BCI's -- their charges on there.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Would you get with one of our Staff? I'm going to allow Commissioner Garcia to finish the question, because there are quite a few, I'm sure. But could you get with a Staff member once we finish this dialogue so that we can make sure you did get the appropriate refund, and if there's anything outstanding that we have -- that we help you with that process?

Additionally, not only will we try to assist you in getting the moneys that you deserve, but also

we need to make sure that this complaint against the company, if this was indeed something that they did that was not proper, that we can follow up on that 4 procedure. As Commissioner Garcia stated, we have an 5 ongoing open docket investigating the company that 6 you've referenced here, and this information could be helpful to that case, too. WITNESS BATES: Yes. 9 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And that was all I was 10 11 going to ask. WITNESS BATES: If you would like, I could 12 type up my notes for you, if that would be of any --13 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm sure just speaking 14 with Ms. Pena -- she's a quick study. 15 (Simultaneous conversation.) 16 WITNESS BATES: Okay. All right. Thank you 17 so much. 18 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you for your 19 testimony. 20 21 MR. BECK: Carmen Nunez. 22 23

24

CARMEN NUNEZ

3

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

5

WITNESS NUMEZ: Good evening. My name is Carmen Nunez. My address is 4110 West Cass Street, Tampa, 33609.

7 8

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Carmen Nunez?

9

WITNESS NUNEZ: Nunez, yes.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Nunez.

10

11

WITNESS NUNEZ: Nunez.

12

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: There we go.

13

WITNESS NUMEZ: I learned, by way of a

14

slammed. The letter came from Excel, which is my long

15

distance carrier by choice. And they told me that

letter received in the mail yesterday, that I was

16 17

they had received a notice, my request, to remove them

18

as my long distance carrier.

19

I called them at the 800 number listed in the letter and wanted to know who had authorized it,

21

because I certainly had not. They referred me to LCI,

22

which was the company requesting the change.

23

I called them at a 700 number that was given

to me, and they told me that they had received a

25 | request signed by me under a sponsor rep

telemarketing, requesting that I be changed to their service.

of course -- I was on the phone a total of about an hour and a half yesterday. Needless to say, I was getting more and more perturbed. Finally I called GTE. They told me the same thing. I asked them how could they do this without contacting me. They said they don't contact the customers; written authorization is sufficient for their records.

Well, anybody could sign my name. Anybody could take my name out of the phone book, for crying out loud, sign it and tell them, you know, they want it changed.

And I didn't get any satisfaction from GTE.

So I called the Public Service Commission number

listed in the phone book. They referred me to your

800 number, and I spoke to a Mrs. Shirley Stokes there
who told me that she -- she took all the information,
and she was very nice to tell me about this workshop
tonight where I could come and actually vocalize my
complaint, verbalize it, to the powers that be.

My request has been given a number. My complaint has been given a number, 194417-I, if you need it to follow up on that.

My question -- or my complaint is this: I

am an independent rep for Excel and so is my son. telephone, my long distance service is tied up to him, to his income. Now he's going to be losing income based on my long distance charges from the time that I was changed to the time that I'm going to be put back on again.

3

6

7

R

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

Also, GTE tells me that I'm going to have to pay whatever charges for switching me. I said, "No, there's just no way I'm going to do that." They said, "Well, you'll have to or you'll lose the service." I said, "Well, you can come and yank the phone off my wall. You can turn off the service, because the phone belongs to me. I don't have any GTE telephones." And so that's where I stand on that.

My pager and my son's pager are tied up to my telephone number, and that may be interfered with. Something needs to be done where people -- and I, too, I may have signed up for something, because I went to the Florida State Home Show, the home show, and I, too, signed up for some freebies.

As a matter of fact, I got a call last night from somebody who said I had signed a card wanting information on maximizing my retirement income. Well, I told them, I said, "All I have is my social security 25 | pension. I don't have a nickel to invest, so there's

no income that I need to maximize."

That was from a signed card that I signed up for a freebie that they were offering, and this could very well be tied up with that, too. But I certainly did not want to, don't want to, and probably will not want to as long as I am a rep for Excel, will not want to have anybody else do my long distance service. And so I thank you for listening to me. I hope that something can come of it.

commissioner GARCIA: Ma'am, you might want to speak to the Staff, but beyond that you also need to -- when your GTE bill gets in, you're going to be in dispute with that, and you might want to tell GTE that you're not going to pay that part of the long distance part of the phone because you've got the PSC checking into it.

witness numez: When I was talking to GTE on the phone -- I live about five blocks from one of their offices -- and I told her -- she told me about the PIC freeze. I said, "Well, you don't need to send me a form. I'll just go over to your office." She said, "They don't have the forms yet, Mrs. Nunez, so we have to mail it to you." I said, "Okay. Then mail it to me."

I asked them for a copy of whatever

authorization they show as having my signatures on it.

She said, well, they don't usually do it. I said,

"Well, I'm going to have to have it or somebody is

going to have to show me where I requested this

change."

commissioner GARCIA: I'm certain that Staff is going to ask for that, so you'll see it through us. But you need to, when you get your bill, call GTE and let them know you don't have to pay for that part of the long distance because that is in dispute. And you will probably find that with the help of Staff, that the company will probably give you — the company that signed you may actually give you money to switch back for the costs involved. Okay.

witness numez: Okay. Well, thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mrs. Nunez.

MR. BECK: Fernando Nunez.

5

6

7

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

FERNANDO NUNEZ

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows: 3

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS F. NUMEZ: My name is Fernando Nunez I live at 4812 Sierra Madre Drive in Tampa.

I'll make it short, because I've got a question for you. I understand that GTE is in the long distance business just like all the other carriers. How much can they charge to have a person switched over, and who determines that charge? Does anybody know?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Moses, do you want to answer that?

MR. MOSES: I'm sorry. I had a conversation going behind me and I didn't understand the question. Would you repeat it, please?

WITNESS F. NUMEZ: Okay. The question is, GTE will charge you a certain amount to switch over from one carrier to another. It used to be like \$4.14. It's all of a sudden jumped up to \$10.00. What I want to know is, how much can they charge, up to what point, and who determines that charge? MR. MOSES: I believe it is in their tariff

as far as what their charge is. I don't know of any

3

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

25

cap that is on it that is ordered by the Commission, to my knowledge, but I can investigate that for you and --

WITNESS F. NUNEZ: Because the way it looks, you know, if they're not long distance service, I'm pretty sure that if you choose GTE as a long distance carrier, they'll waive that charge, whatever it is. And I just want to know, because I've lost customers because it used to be, like, \$4.14. And the customer called up, the prospective customer, they told her it was \$10.00.

And even though we provide the best rates, I think -- I mean, nobody is going to pay \$10.00 to switch over because GTE is charging that much, which to me is creating a monopoly in this area, because if you want to try somebody else, you can't because they will charge you so much to switch over.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Nunez, you said that they recently changed --

WITNESS F. NUMEZ: Well, to me, I guess since they've been in the long distance business, which is, you know, a couple of months maybe. It used to be \$4.14, which anybody can absorb, but when you're talking 10 bucks and maybe higher, you know, I just want to know if they -- if anybody with the capital

has to do with determining that cap.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I think we will have Staff look into that to see if they've changed the tariff -- or if they had to have changed the tariff in order to change that rate to \$10.00, and then get back with you. You do raise a very interesting issue with respect to how much can they actually charge, and I'm not sure if there is a cap.

MR. MOSES: Let me get your telephone number before you leave so I can contact you and get that information.

WITNESS F. NUMEZ: Thank you for your time. COMMISSIONER DEASON: I have a question for Staff. Is that a level, a charge that is set for -an interstate level by the FCC?

MR. MOSES: I don't know how that's set. I'm not too familiar with the PIC changes as far as how they're determined and what they're set at.

MR. BECK: Historically they've been set by the PSC at cost, and I know it's varied company by company. Southern Bell has one of the smallest. I'm not aware of anything that authorized GTE to increase their --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: That's why -- I'm not aware of it either. That's why I was asking perhaps

23

2

3

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

21

22

24

1	if it's something that was done at the federal level,
2	I'm not aware of any authorization at the state level
3	to change it unless if it fits into a category where
4	they have the authority to increase their rates
5	without consent of the PSC, but I don't think it would
6	fall in that category.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is there someone
8	MR. BECK: Is there a GTE representative?
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, there hasn't been
10	a rate change
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: We need your name,
12	please.
13	MS. KAMPERT: Debbie Kampert, K-A-M-P-E-R-T,
14	GTE Florida, One Tampa City Center, Tampa 33601.
15	No, there has not been a rate change. It's
16	\$4.14 cents, and it's in the special the intrastate
17	access tariff.
18	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask a question.
19	If they change intraLATA and interLATA
20	MS. KAMPERT: Yes, there's a charge for
21	COMMISSIONER CLARK: do you charge twice?
22	MS. KAMPERT: each. Right. A PIC change
23	charge is a change charge, and right now I believe it
24	would be \$4.14 per change. I think I think it's
25	actually there's a I think the Staff is looking

1	at part of the intrastate on that.
2	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think we are
3	looking
4	MS. KAMPERT: The dual charge
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: because it didn't
6	seem right
7	MS. KAMPERT: Right, and there's
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: twice when we're
9	just doing it was a one-time activity.
10	MS. KAMPERT: Right. I think the discussion
11	is if it's at the same time or a different time. But,
12	yes, right now it's \$4.14.
13	COMMISSIONER CLARK: But it is considered a
14	charge that you have to get our authorization for; is
15	that right?
16	MS. KAMPERT: Correct.
17	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So we have an
18	investigation ongoing?
19	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think, Mr. Nunez,
20	what has happened is that when you have a customer
21	that changes both interLATA and intraLATA, it's
22	treated as two PIC changes; and we are presently
23	looking into that to see if that's the appropriate way
24	to charge for it.
25	WITNESS F. NUMEZ: Okay. Because, you know,

the girl who was going to be a customer, they told her 1 10 bucks, so --2 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: It's almost; \$8.28, 3 which is pretty close. And I think Mr. Beck's recollection is correct that that fell under part of what the company, I don't think, is allowed to change, even though we're going into a competitive framework, correct? 8 MS. KAMPERT: Correct. 9 MR. BECK: I'm pretty sure the Commission's 10 order when you last looked at this said there was only one charge when you changed both at the same time. They couldn't have separate charges for both, as I recall. So that's probably why you're looking at it. 14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right. 15 WITHESS F. NUNEZ: So if they change it one 16 time, it's \$8.18? 17 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No. No. 18 (Simultaneous conversation.) 19 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: We're looking at is it 20 should be \$4.14, but --21 MS. KAMPERT: -- just didn't know what to 22 tell the people. That's all. 23 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, yeah. But the 24

company may be charging it differently, and we're

25

investigating whether our order allows them to charge you \$8.28 or \$4.14.

WITNESS F. NUNEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And I'm sure we'll be getting back to you pretty quick.

WITNESS F. NUNEZ: Okay.

as soon as we determine what the actual tariff and what our policy and what the rule is on that point, because there's a little uncertainty here tonight.

WITHESS F. NUNEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. --

commissioner GARCIA: That is an important issue, though, especially when you consider that Southern Bell, you know, in the next year or so or two may be getting into long distance. And I hadn't looked at it the way Mr. Nunez describes it, but that can be a big incentive not to change.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: It did come up, and it came up when we changed the intraLATA presubscription is when it surfaced.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I believe for BellSouth we set that at cost, and it was like a dollar and a few cents of some sort.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.

2

1

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

13

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

25

MIKE GAIFFE

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS GAIFFE: I'm Michael Gaiffe, G-A-I-F-F-E. I reside at 706 Camrose Drive in Brandon.

For the Staff, a copy of a few of the documents that I've compiled. My file is about two inches thick, and I'll be happy to sit down with one of your staffers afterwards and --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Those of us who believe in recycling thank you.

WITNESS GAIFFE: You bet. We've killed trees.

I've never been to a home show in my life, and I've never signed up at a home show for a freebie. Our slam began in October of 1995 when my wife received a call from an alleged charity that goes by various names; National Diabetes Foundation, National Diabetes and Health Fitness Foundation, Defeat Diabetes Foundation, and several other names.

One of the attachments you see is a report

by the National Better Business Bureau regarding complaints that have been registered against that charity.

But we received a phone call in the evening which, because of the charity's status, bypassed our Florida no-solicitation and the federal Telecommunications Act because it's allegedly a charity. During the phone conversation my wife was asked if she would be interested in donating a portion of our long distance phone bill to help support diabetes research.

It would be, as it was alleged over the phone, a painless way to donate to a worthy cause in that the caller alleged the Defeat Diabetes Foundation had an agreement with most of the major carriers that the carrier would donate a portion of the long distance bill, a relatively small portion, but donate a portion to help fight diabetes.

All it required was either my wife's social security number or date of birth. Since we've been subject to some credit card issues in the past, the social security number -- but he did get -- she did get my wife's birth date.

It turned out we were at that point switched to LDM Systems, a long distance carrier out of New

Q

York City who bills through OAN services, an independent billing agent in California. So it turned out that our October calls after the switch were showing up on our GTE bill until December. So there was a built-in two-month delay of calls.

At no time during the phone conversation was a mention made that there would be a change in long distance service. The following day after my wife and I discussed the phone call, the following day I called GTE, requested that our long distance service be frozen, that we have a PIC freeze; and as is normal procedure, that cannot be done at present verbally over the phone. We would have to receive a form in the mail, complete that and mail that back.

commissioner GARCIA: Just for your knowledge, Commissioner Clark asked today for a report from the company on why they have a policy that way, because it is strange. The other companies in the state don't have it.

witness gatype: It seemed a bit unusual, but policies are policies. I spent 20 years in the Air Force, and there are some things that you just can't fight, just bureaucracy.

We received that form and mailed it back to GTE on October 19th. Our first bill, billed call,

from the changed company occurred about ten days afterwards. On the 29th -- excuse me -- 28th of October was the first call from LDM Systems that showed up on our bill.

We had been Sprint customers. Phone call was billed on the 28th of October, but we didn't find that out until we received our December bill. Upon receiving the December bill -- there were several phone calls, three or four, I think. I've got a copy of the bill in the package for you -- called GTE to find out what our long distance carrier was to verify again that it had been Sprint, since after receiving the initial solicitation call, we had done the 700 call plus check with GTE several times. Consistently we were told our carrier was Sprint.

In December queried GTE Customer Service, who confirmed we were still Sprint, and we basically chalked up the couple calls in October to a glitch in the system in that it may have taken a couple extra days to process our PIC freeze, or perhaps it was only done once a month on the 1st.

Received the January bill, and had a significant number of long distance calls from LDM Systems, and at that time the red flags went up. Got in contact with GTE Customer Service -- who, by the

way, has some outstanding helpful people -- and found out that our PIC freeze had not gone into effect; could not find out why we were still being reported as Sprint customers when our service had obviously changed. We were paying about 75% higher rates with the new company. Subsequently I found out how that happened.

LDM Systems leases lines from AT&T, MCI,
GTE; GTE, Sprint and others. So when they find out we
are a Sprint customer, they'll switch us to one of
their leased Sprint lines. So it's a little more
difficult to find out you've been switched after the
fact.

We were switched back to our original Sprint service on the 28th of January of 1996, so it took approximately three months for the PIC freeze that we had sent in to be processed.

At that point, despite the issue I had had with LDM Systems and the alleged charity and a few other folks that I made a lot of phone calls and sent some letters to, my concern then went to GTE insofar as why it was that we were switched after -- ten days after the PIC freeze request was sent, and why did it take three months to have the PIC freeze to go into effect, as you can see from the bills.

-

25 would not have a gripe against GTE at all had they

And we were told by GTE that we would be done a favor if our rates would be re -- if our calls would be rerated to what they would have been, which GTE did right up front, the GTE customer rep, despite a letter I received from GTE's San Antonio operations that they wouldn't make any changes to it.

At that point, since we were having some issues directly with GTE, I was in conversation and letter back and forth between myself and Mr. Dax's (phonetic) office, the GTE president for the local area.

As you may see in here, Mr. Dax told you that I was switched back, and the PIC freeze went into effect sometime before it actually did. Mr. Dax told you that the PIC freeze went into effect 11/2. In fact, it didn't go into effect until two months after that, January. And he also had a misstatement to the Federal Communications Commission responding to a complaint I had with them on the same issue.

And it's on the third page where I believe there was some misstatements made to you all and the Federal Communications Commission by not only GTE, but the attorney for LDM, a firm out of the Chicago.

My concern was that we were slammed. I

not, in my opinion, been negligent in the processing of the PIC, but I would suggest as one of my recommendations in the back to you all it might not be a bad idea for GTE and the other local carriers to include in their phone book a page such as that last page, Attachment 14, that is the PIC freeze form, is provided with the opening of every service. The yearly people will be able to see it, similar to the information I have -- very helpful -- on the Florida no solicitation.

Another suggestion I have in the text of the letter, though, is that I believe if a local carrier fails to properly process a PIC in a timely manner, the local carrier should be responsible for all long distance charges, not just rerate them down to what they would have been, but be responsible for all charges and be required to report that to the owners, take it as a one-time charge against net earnings available.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I think that's the third time we've had that suggestion. I think Staff is looking into that.

WITNESS GAIFFE: And be reported directly to the shareholders. They ought to know what their managers are doing. If you have any questions?

23

3

5

7

9

10

11

13

14

17

19

20

21

.

7 8

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Good job. As I was
listening to you, I note you have listed all your
suggestions back here, and we'll make them part of the
record and certainly consider it.

witness GAIFFE: That's an abbreviated list, and I'll be happy to sit down with your Staff to go over any other questions you might have.

commissioner CLARK: Thanks for retaining all the copies of this information so we can look at it.

WITNESS GAIFFE: Not a problem.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: This is an extremely thorough presentation and handout, and it is appreciated.

I have one question, I guess, really directed more towards our Staff. I notice that in a letter dated June the 19th, 1996 to the Public Service Commission from GTE in response to your case, it states in there that you were switched to LDM, which is a reseller of Sprint; and therefore it did not show up on GTE's records as a change because your preferred carrier was Sprint.

WITNESS GAIFFE: Correct.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is that a problem just with GTE, or do other LECs have that problem?

MR. MGSES: No, it's not -- all the companies are going to have a problem with that, because what has probably happened is the billing system with Sprint changed and it never was submitted to the local exchange company; and that's how even a PIC freeze doesn't always cure the problem.

commissioner deason: It seems to me that's a big gap that needs to be addressed somewhere in our review.

MR. MOSES: It is.

witness GAIFFE: It was a very well-done slam with a cherry lead-in which bypasses restrictions, the delayed billing through intermediaries on different coasts, and then the switching to the same line for the service that you had had; makes it very difficult to track.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Once again, thank you for all this information.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Sir, I was looking at Page 3, the middle of the summary of GTE charges and credits during and after slamming. So there's still amounts left in dispute?

withess GRIFFE: There was at that point in time. We received a disconnect notice from GTE that, despite our ongoing battle, our phone would be disconnected April 30th.

My wife is a sixth grade teacher, and that was near the end of the grading period, and she wasn't about to have me let that happen. So we went in and we paid the charges that GTE had for us, which was somewhat less, as I note, \$127.82, which is less, because there was some additional recrediting of late charges which were, in the customer service rep's opinion, somewhat inappropriate.

And then if you'll notice on that following

Page 5, the final note, about 18 months after we

finally paid the bill we received a check out of the

blue from LDM Systems which happened to be in the same

amount that their attorneys had told the Federal

Communications Commission we had been -- we had owed.

Now, it was a little incorrect, but it was close. And the attorneys had a little bit of an issue because when the FCC sent me a copy of their response to the FCC complaint, I did point out to the FCC that the attorney firm was lying insofar as some dates that they were quoting and that the FCC might want to take that up as a separate issue with the Illinois Bar Association.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did the FCC charge you for handling the complaint?

1 2

--

WITNESS GAIFFE: No ma'am, not yet.

chairman Johnson: We had some customers testify that there was an informal process, that they'll do some initial investigation for customers free of charge, and then once they go into the formal status and start getting involved, there's a charge. I don't remember the exact amount, but that there was a charge for their service.

WITHESS GAIFFE: No, I was not charged, but
I was approaching the FCC verbally over the phone with
messages through the Internet directly to the
Commissioners, e-mail addresses as well as hard copy,
summaries of bills, et cetera. So I was making the
case as easy for them as possible.

I would like to specifically commend, though, a gentlemen on the Public Service Commission Staff named Doug Martin. He did a superb job of picking the ball up when the first contact I had at PSC kind of dropped it.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Again, we appreciate all the good work, and we will be able to use this in our deliberations in this rulemaking hearing as well as our research into the companies that have been slamming customers like yourself.

WITHESS GAIFFE: I don't know if you've got

1	the authority to look into the charity thing, but you
2	might want to look into that as well.
3	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: That was interesting.
4	WITNESS GAIFFE: If I can get their status
5	as a charity revoked, my next call is to the IRS to
6	rerate their tax exempt status for the last five, ten
7	years.
8	MR. MOSES: Just for your information, this
9	company, LDM, that you had mentioned, we did bring
10	that before the Commission, and they were fined
11	\$30,000, and I believe that was in ninety
12	WITHESS GAIFFE: Yes, sir, I saw that. I
13	saw that in your handout.
14	MR. MOSES: So you weren't the only one who
15	complained of the same situation.
16	WITNESS GAIFFE: I understand. Do you all
17	need originals on any of the bills, the full bills,
18	rather than just extracts?
19	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: This is sufficient. This
20	is more than sufficient. Great job.
21	WITHESS GAIFFE: Thanks.
22	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you again. I would
23	ask you to get with Staff, but Staff might need to get
24	with you so you can help them. This is great work.

Okay.

Sheila Berg.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

SHEILA BERG

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS BERG: My name is Sheila Berg, B-E-R-G. My address is 3420 Blowing Oak Street, Valrico, Florida.

Quite a coincidence that he was slammed by LDM, because that was my problem. My husband said he got a phone call asking if our -- we would like some of our AT&T dollars to go to the Diabetes Foundation. When they called back, I verified -- I have to give some background.

My husband works for a subsidiary of AT&T. There is no way we would let anybody but AT&T and GTE, which GTE owns part of his company, have our long distance. This would be counter to our retirement program, our stocks, everything.

Now, you know that you would not cut off your foot. You know, I mean, that just doesn't make sense. So I pointedly, when they called me, said, "Is this still with my AT&T?" They informed me, yes, it was. I asked again -- I'm very stubborn -- if there will be no changes. They informed me again, yes.

I repeated again for the, you know, next

time, "Okay, as long as there are no changes, I am

still with AT&T, and it will not affect my bills, and

I will be billed the same, then yes, you may take some

of our AT&T dollars for the Diabetes Foundation. No

problem."

Now, I mean, like I said, I'm very stubborn.

Very pointedly -- because I had already heard about
the slamming; this was in November of '95. So we did
not get a bill in January or February, but in February
he received this silly, little letter saying: "Our
digital customers, welcome. We're having a new
billing system."

I called the number finally in March. Two days later I received my bill. But I said, "What's going on here, you know?" They said, "Oh, it's just we're going to a new computerized system for our billing." I said, "That's all it is?" They said yes.

I received my bill. My bill has AT&T on it.

No problem, right? But I got two months' worth of billing, okay? My rates don't seem quite right.

There's no AT&T Rewards or anything mentioned on it.

I finally get around to calling them in, like, April.

Asked them "What's the problem here?" They said, "Oh, it was just because of the new billing."

"Please," I said, "Are you AT&T?" They

said, "Oh, yes, we are." I said, "What is this

Software Defined Network?" "That is the new way we

are doing our billing." I said, "Okay, as long as

that's what this is." But I said -- I pointedly asked

them twice, "You are AT&T," and they told me yes.

Well, I go and get two more months of bills, and I called them in May. "Hey, I still do not have my AT&T True Rewards, I still do not have my AT&T charges at the rate I was told. Are you AT&T?" Well, "yes, we use AT&T lines." "No, no, no, no, no, no," I said, "Are you AT&T?"

They finally said, "Well, no. We do our billing for AT&T. We use their lines." I said, "That's not what you told me twice when I called you before." And I was a little irate with them.

So I finally got a hold of somebody, and I said, "You take me off your list." I find out the company is now called LDM. I called them up. I said, "You take me off your list. I do not want you to have a single thing to do with me. Do you understand me?"

I call my AT&T. They say, "Thank you for coming back to us." I said, "I didn't know I had ever left you. I called you asking to be sure." Still, no problem. Tried to be nice about it.

We get our next bill. I get -- two months,

no bill, long distance charges, and then it's back on my GTE bill like regular. Didn't pay much attention to it. Didn't notice the little billing for LDM by OAN. Didn't notice that the rates weren't my regular rates.

You know, you're busy. But I almost -- you know, three-quarters of a year goes by before I finally realize something is wrong here, and I start pulling out all my bills and start noticing I'm still LDM, you know, billing for OAN. I called them up and said, "Excuse me. Who is my long distance carrier?"

I called GTE. They give me an 800 number to call to find out who. There's a PIN number that it will tell you, machine will come back and tell you who your long distance carrier is. It says "AT&T SDN."

Well, on my bill "Software Network Defined." I said,

"I'm sorry. I'm not with AT&T. I'm still with this dumb company, whoever it is." And they said, "Oh, no, you're with AT&T." I said, "Excuse me. I am not. I just called."

You know, I -- finally going through five different people, and they were very, very polite to me and so forth, from GTE. But we found out that I am not with AT&T. There's an AT&T Direct. There's an AT&T Software Defined, which is how LDM goes and uses

their lines, and that's how they got me.

so they didn't -- I mean, they kept telling me telling me, and I kept calling them and they're telling me I'm with AT&T. You know, I mean, I did everything at that point that I thought I could.

Then I found out you have this little paper you can fill out so they can't slam you. I sent that in immediately. LDM did give me a refund, all my money that -- at that rate at my -- of my regular, you know, rate. So that was no problem, but it was extremely upsetting that I called them and said, "Please release me."

I cannot go to your house, mow your grass and then put a bill in for you and you don't have to pay me. You can sit there and laugh at me if I -- I didn't ask you to do that. You don't have to pay it. But they can go and come to -- through our house, bill us. And if you don't pay it, you've got the biggest problem on your credit that it's unreal. It's not fair.

Now, I was a little bit mad. I said, "What right do you have to call my house and do this?" And the gentleman, you know, tried to calm me down because -- but he knew I was mad. I have several letters that I've written and so forth. If you need

any of the information, I have more information at home. I only brought part of it. But it shouldn't be allowed. I can't do this to you. I can't just get money arbitrarily.

You -- they should not be allowed to do this to me, especially -- like I said, it was very, very clear they outright lied. I asked them at least six different times "Are you AT&T?" That's an outright lie. I don't care what anybody says. I know I would not agree to this because --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Moses, under the rule they can no longer do this, or is that, in fact, existing now they cannot bill through another company, correct?

MR. MOSES: Oh, they can continue to bill through another company, but the name of the company that is actually claiming the customer has to be on the bill, and plus the misleading factor that is -- COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right.

misleading. What they were doing is technically correct. I mean, you are using AT&T lines, but you're being switched to a different company.

WITNESS BERG: AT&T here. Here's the little logo at the top. (Indicating) I got it for three

__

months, the AT&T logo and so forth.

MR. MOSES: Just in case nobody ever explained the Software Defined Network to you, what that is is a billing system of AT&T where they actually carve it up into different accounts, and those accounts are then assigned to resellers, and the resellers, LDM in this case --

witness BERG: I know. I asked them that,
but I -- I -- I mean, I came out and pointedly asked
them if there was to be any changes that, no, I did
not want it. You know, I was not taking any chances.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me ask you, did

AT&T put you back on your Rewards program and all

the --

withess BERG: I think they missed a few months of the Rewards. But at that point I was so glad just to get it straightened out --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Not -(Simultaneous conversation.)

with them. I mean, I got \$264 back. That's how long it took them to straighten out all the long distance charges and so forth. But it was just it took so long and it was such a aggravation and it -- like I said, I don't -- I can't do that to you.

And if I hadn't paid and then straightened 1 it out afterwards, it would ruin your credit. Like I 3 said, it --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: It shouldn't. And 4 just so those of you who are listening, when you are 5 in a dispute with the company, you do not have to pay the dispute portion of the bill. All you have to pay 7 is you'll -- I'm sure that they didn't say that to you, but all you have -- well, I can see you shaking your head. But if you are in a dispute with the 10 company, they can -- by the Florida rules, they cannot 11 charge you for the long distance until that dispute is 12 resolved. If the dispute is resolved, then it's 13 against you. 14 MR. MOSES: Well, let me make that real 15 clear. That is only if they dispute it with the 16 Commission. 17 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Correct. 18 MR. MOSES: Okay. Not just the company. 19 WITNESS BERG: Well, see, I told them. I 20 said, "I shouldn't have to pay you a dime. I should 21 just be able to not pay you at all, because --22 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No --23

24 WITNESS BERG: -- I never -25 (Simultaneous conversation.)

withess berg: -- gave you permission. But
you know, like I said, you can't do that. But it got
straightened out, but it was a mess, and like I said,
the same lead-in. It's very misleading. But they
lied.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Ma'am, you might have explained this, but did the name LDM ever show up on your bill?

Like I said, in February -- no, that letter didn't have LDM on it. Yes, it did. (Indicating) On several -- I think when -- there's the LDM Systems letter. Software Defined Network for -- when it went back in May after I had called them and told them to completely release me no matter what and went back to my GTE carrier, then in small print it says "OAN billing for LDM."

If I had looked, I would have seen it. I didn't. I thought, oh, it's back on my GTE bill. I'm back with AT&T. Thank God it's over with. You know, I didn't look further. That's my own fault. I will read everything now. But it was on there.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: If you could get with one of our Staff members to help allow us to review those bills.

21

22

23

25

WITNESS BERG: Sure.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, ma'am.

MR. BECK: Hector Jimenez.

forward, I did just want to mention for the record that Mr. -- sir, I'm sorry. I did just want to mention one thing for the record. That Mr. Chris Floyd, the legislative assistant for Senator Tom Lee was present and participating in this workshop earlier today.

Senator Lee is very interested in this issue, and he has been in contact with my office and the Public Service Commission. He's very interested in determining what he might do to file legislation to help further protect the consumers of the state of Florida, and I did just want to mention that for the record. Sir?

HECTOR JIMENEZ

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS JIMENEZ: My name is Hector Jimenez; that's J-I-M-E-N-E-Z.

I'm having a complaint just about the same

thing as these ladies over here have been talking about; that I've been switched three or four different times from my long distance carrier, and every time they switch me, there come that charge of \$4.14, and then the bills are all different.

I got AT&T for a long distance carrier since 1990, and this happens in January last year. I have the problem here with the billing of this company for \$85.00 that they say that I owe them, that GTE try to collect from me.

I have talked with you people before, with Mr. Sam Gonzalez and some other gentleman about that problem that they've been trying to help me. Before I went to you people, I called your lawyers and I talked to them.

Out of the two companies, one company took care of the bill real fast. They pay GTE, and that close that company. But the other company they send me the check for a different company, just, you know, making the check for GTE, they send it to -- oh my gosh, I can't read this other -- they send the check to BellSouth, and GTE won't accept that check.

so we return the check. That was in July, at the end of July, something like that. We return the check back to them requesting that they send the

check with -- for GTE, just, you know, BellSouth. And
I wait over two months before I call you people back
again, and I explain to you people that I receive a
check, but I haven't got no -- I haven't got no
satisfaction whatsoever from these people.

I send them a letter explaining the problems with that check, and I haven't got no answer back on them either.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Was Mr. Gonzalez or the other Staff person at the Commission helpful?

witness Jimenes: Right. Mr. Gonzalez was helping at the -- you know, from the beginning. Then there was another fellow that was answering the phone on the -- I explain to him, too, what was happening, and they say, don't worry, we take care of it; we call their lawyers, and no problem.

So I wait about a week before I try to get in contact with you again, but instead of doing that, what I did, I called their lawyer, and I talked to them. The lawyer guarantee me --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You need to get with

Ms. Pena, and she's -- we'll be able to -- I think

we've got the computer up out there. Do we? So we'll

be able to find out your case and what the status is

of that and, hopefully, we can fix it.

withess Jimenes: Like I say, you know, the
lawyer told -- told me there's no problem; I'll get
this -- I'll get the company to send you the check for
this money. That was about four months ago. I
haven't got nothing.

showing it as resolved, because they might have sent -- they might have said, we already sent the check to Mr. Jimenez, and then everything is done, but much as that -- GTE may try, they just can't cash BellSouth's checks.

WITNESS JIMENEZ: I know, but the check was for GTE -- for BellSouth --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right.

witness Jimenez: And they told me, "No, we can't accept that check." So I return the check. I got correspondence with that, the correspondence that I send them, and I haven't got no satisfaction whatsoever.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Check with Ms. Pena, and she'll look up in the computer right now, and we'll see what status we have with that; and, if not, we'll reopen it.

WITNESS JIMENEZ: Okay. Thank you. I think that's one of the problems, just -- besides they keep

1	changing me around, you know, three times in one
2	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You need to request a
3	PIC freeze.
4	MR. BECK: Mr. Jimenez, who was the company
5	that slammed you?
6	WITNESS JIMENEZ: I got three companies.
7	MR. BECK: Three different companies slammed
8	you?
9	WITNESS JIMENEZ: Let me see. OAN, that's
LO	Atlas
11	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right.
12	WITNESS JIMENEZ: That's what
L3	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: OAN
14	WITNESS JIMENEZ: I find out was Atlas.
1.5	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What is the billing?
16	WITNESS JIMENEZ: But the billing is OAN.
17	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What was the name of
18	the company?
19	WITNESS JIMENEZ: Atlas.
20	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay.
21	WITNESS JIMENEZ: Then I got another one,
22	Matrix. Matrix is the other one. Like I say, you
23	know, this get out of hand sometimes with these people
24	changing you around and you don't know who
5	COMMITSTONER GARCIA: Speak to Ms. Pena. so

we can also get -- you should be able to get a freeze. Apparently you're such a good customer that they all want to steal you. 3 WITNESS JIMENEZ: I mean, you people tried 4 to help, but like I say, the companies are the ones 5 that have to put the money out. So I don't know how you people could do it. That's for sure. Because 7 like I tried to talk to Mr. Gonzalez sometime and I 8 get that voice mail. I call you back and then I wait two, three days. Nothing happened and --10 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That shouldn't be 11 12 happening. WITNESS JIMENEZ: I understand, but it's 13 pretty hard sometimes. Well, okay; thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, sir. 15 MR. BECK: Linda Saliga. 16 17 18 LINDA SALIGA appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, 19 testified as follows: 20 DIRECT STATEMENT 21 WITNESS SALIGA: I'm Linda Saliga, and my 22 address is 15208 Arbor Hollow Drive, Odessa, Florida, 23 24 33556.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Would you spell your last

name?

witness saligh: S-A-L-I-G-A. My husband and I own our own business, and I was slammed twice at home, in my home phone number, and also my long distance at work, at my husband's office and my office.

This all began, I guess, last year. I guess it was April of last year. We had AT&T, and I decided to switch to Sprint because Sprint gave me better rates, so I was satisfied with Sprint.

By the end of that year I got a call from MCI to switch. This was in August of last year -- no. Excuse me. It was -- no, it wasn't last year, it was this year. It was February this year I got a call from MCI, and they gave me some very good rates, and I decided to switch. So I went through the process of switching.

And then around April I noticed on our business bill that I had LDC Telecom, which is a -- their billing service is with Integretal, Inc. And I was saying, what in the word is this, and who is this. Okay. And so I called GTE right away to find out what was going on.

And she says, "Well, that's a long distance carrier there." And I said, "A long distance carrier?

I'm supposed to have MCI at the office here." And they said, "Well, you've evidently been switched. I said, "How could I be switched without my permission?" And she says, "Well, it can happen." I said, "It can?"

I said, "I had no idea people can switch around your long distance carrier without your permission." And so I -- she gave me the number for LDC Telecom, and I proceeded to call them up and express my upset about being switched without my permission. And they said they had my permission.

I said, "Well, how could you have had my permission? I've never talked to anybody from your company." And they said, "Well, we have actually a tape recording that you gave us permission." And I said, "Well, I sure would like to hear that tape recording, because I have never talked to anybody from LDC Telecom." And so I said, "I want to be taken off your books right away." And so they proceeded to tell me that they would.

Well, I didn't get them off my books at the office until August and that was -- that started in April, and it took me months and months to get them off of our billing; and every time, of course, they would rerate us at a lower rate, and I'd have to call

GTE to tell them that, "Well, I'm not paying my full bill this time around because I'm going to get credited."

So I had to go through this every single month with these people, and it was like a form of harassment to me because this took time out from work and it was very, very upsetting. And I wish I had all the time I spent in dollars dealing with these people, okay?

And then at the same time this was happening it happened on my home phone. And I've had Sprint for almost eight years. And they came in on my home phone long distance, and so I was going through this not only at our office, I was going through this at home; and this got to be ridiculous, okay.

And so finally I got a PIC freeze in May of this year with GTE, and even after the PIC freeze, I was still getting a \$5.00 charge, because they charge you \$5.00 just to have them as a carrier. So LDC Telecom is continuing to charge me \$5.00 a month on my bill at the home and at the office.

So I got real irate finally with -- I said,
"I want to talk to the manager, manager, manager,
whoever is the top person here." So finally I got to
someone that must have been a higher authority, and I

told him, "If I get one more of these bills, I've had it. I'm going to see an attorney."

So finally they took me off, and I haven't had any problems since, but when this was all happening I had called the Public Service Commission and filed a complaint and had no idea this was happening to so many people, but I was so glad to see when I got a letter from your Commission that y'all were looking into this, because this to me is nothing but greed amongst these long distance carriers, and we're having to suffer because they're so greedy and they want to take over the whole thing, okay? They don't want to share in the profits of long distance.

And I actually think there's a war going on with long distance people. I remember at the office we were getting calls almost every day from AT&T asking us to switch, and it was very intrusive in our business because, you know, we had to answer these calls constantly every day when we had customers and other things going on, and they would interrupt us. They wouldn't get off the phone. They would insist that we change.

And when I called the Florida Service

Commission, they said that there was nothing they

could do about a business, that we were open season

for long distance carriers to harass us on the phone.

And I thought that was disgusting, because we're

trying to run a business and we've got these people

harassing us constantly and changing our telephone

system.

at home you could file something so they won't harass you at home, but they can continue to harass you at work. And I really think something needs to be done about interrupting business during the day with trying to change your long distance carrier. It's very upsetting, you know, when you're trying to conduct a business, okay, to get these calls. I mean, every day I was getting them, every day.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Did you get your money back from the company?

withess salida: I just got rerated. I did get rerated, but it was like a constant thing. Every month I had to go through that. I had to call GTE so they knew I wasn't trying not to pay my bill, and I said, "I'm supposed to get a credit, so I want you to know that I'm only going to pay this an hour, or whatever," and -- but it was just -- it was just astronomically upsetting. And I got very, very upset to the point where it was physically upsetting me,

okay?

But I did -- I do have my bills and I have

the names of people that I talked to at LDC Telecom,

and they're actually -- it's Integretel, Inc.

Regulated Service is the name of the company, and they

do the billing for LDC Telecom, and they're located,

from what I hear, in Oldsmar, Florida.

So I'm sure glad I got to speak my piece today, because all those months I went through this, I really wanted to talk to somebody about it.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Could you speak to
Mr. Durbin before you leave? Obviously if you've
filed a complaint, we've got most of the information,
but if you could just check with him so he can look at
the file real guick off our computer system.

withess saliga: Thank you for allowing me to come here today. I appreciate it.

MR. BECK: Ma'am, did they ever play the tape recording for you?

WITNESS SALIGA: No, they did not.

MR. BECK: Because we've heard some people think they jury-rig them up and paste them around.

WITHESS SALIGA: Well, what I think is that

LDC Telecom rents lines from Sprint, and when I left

Sprint to go to MCI, they must have somehow found out

	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
1	about it and they grabbed me along the way is what
2	they did, and because they rent lines from Sprint,
3	and that's where I think it happened.
4	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: So you never you were
5	never a Sprint customer, you
6	WITHESS SALIGA: I was a Sprint customer and
7	I switched to MCI, and it was during that time I
8	switched from Sprint to MCI, I believe, is when they
9	came in there, because LDC Telecom rents lines from
10	Sprint.
11	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Durbin, could you
12	also ask for that tape from the company?
13	MR. DURBIN: Sure, if we don't already have
14	it.
15	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, ma'am.
16	MR. BECK: Mary Keith.
17	
18	MARY KEITH
19	appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth,
20	testified as follows:
21	DIRECT STATEMENT
22	WITNESS KEITH: Good evening. My name is
23	Mary Keith, K-E-I-T-H. I live at 2106 East Annie
24	Street in Tampa, 33612.

I first found out I had been slammed in

February of '95. I got a letter from GECCS saying
"Welcome to our new services. Please call to verify
your change." I had never requested a change. I
called them and said "I didn't request a change. I
don't want a change. I don't want to change. Do not
change me."

In March I got a bill for all of my long distance calls. I paid that bill because I recognized that those were my calls. I also called them back and said, "I don't want to be with you folks. Change me back." In April I got another bill. I called them back again. I wrote a letter and told then to cancel me.

In May I got another bill. I called. A

Mrs. Remington called me back promising that they

would send me a copy of the form that I signed to make

my change. I said, "I never signed anything. You

send me something and I will believe it." That never

came either.

In June I got another bill --

commissioner GARCIA: That's interesting,
but obviously they weren't going to change you at all.

I mean, you were telling them to change you, and they
weren't changing you and --

WITNESS KEITH: Right.

2 3

trying to change, they were saying, "If you want, we'll send you something saying you wanted to be with us." But that doesn't change you, that --

WITNESS KEITH: Well --

WITHES KEITH: -- several times they

promised to send me, and they never sent anything.

She was one person who did call me back. Because in
the previous calls, each time the person said, "I'll
have my manager call you tomorrow." And, of course,
most of the time I never got those back either.

In June I paid just the section -- I sent a check for \$9.76, which was just the phone bill charges. It was not all the carrier charges, additional adjustments, et cetera, et cetera. And at that point I called A&T (sic) myself and said, "I want it changed back to you." That's where I had been for years. I wanted to stay with them.

They said, "We can't accept it over the phone. You have to write us a letter." Now, they never said anything about this freeze form. I mean, I don't know what that is. I wrote them a letter and said, "I want to stay with you. I do not want anybody to change me out of you."

From July of '95 until March of '96, GECCS continued to send me the same bill over and over and over again, and I just ignored it.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: This is the bill you hadn't paid?

withess KEITE: Right. This was the fees and adjustment part that I had not paid.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Who was sending you this? GTE?

witness keith: GECCS out of Pittsburgh or someplace. In March I got through my GTE, my local phone company, the little flier from Public Service Commission saying that your local rates -- your local services might change, if you have questions call this -- the 800 number. So that's when I first found out what I could do about it.

I called Public Service Commission in March.

I talked to someone named John, and then I talked to someone named Stella Malloy, and I faxed them some of my bills. On March the 9th I got a letter from GECCS for the amount of my payments, all the payments that I had made up to that point, and I got another bill for a higher amount.

They finally in March of '96 credited me for the check that I had sent them in June of '95, but

they added \$41.00 of additional adjustments. I called them in April and they said that, oh, we'll take you off. I called in May to Public Service Commission again because I got another bill. I talked to someone named Ruth and turned in another complaint.

In June I got another bill. In July I called the Public Service Commission again. This is now '96, so it's over a year. I talked to a Mrs. Stokes, and then again to Stella Malloy. At that point someone from GECCS called me and gave me the name of someone in Atlanta, I think, and said that if I wanted to get out of their services, I had to call him.

So I called him long distance. He said,

"Well, if you want to get out of these services, you
have to fax me a copy of your GECCS bill," and that I
should have called them first instead of going to

Public Service Commission and raising complaints. So
in July of 1996, they finally just quit sending me the
bills.

I never paid the final bills, and they did refund the money that -- most of the money that I had paid them, but it took me a year and five months to get out of what I had told them in the first place I did not want to be on.

4 5

--

So at this point --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then when you --

witness keith: -- I got a lot of help from the Public Service Commission and --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You worked through most of our Staff, then.

have, but they were all very useful and they were all very helpful and very pleasant. And after the first check coming back, I did call the number again, and I don't know who I talked to then, but I said, "I just want you folks to tell John, whoever he is, that he has worked miracles," because at that point it had been over a year. But, unfortunately, the miracle didn't stick and it took another five months.

would suggest that you do the PIC freeze, that you call up GTE and whoever it is that you want your service with, and tell them that that's who you want your service -- I think GTE has a different system. They're going to send you a form. You fill out the form and you send it back to them. GTE is your local service provider at this point. And that way they won't -- they can't slam you.

And if they do, we're considering the rule

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Do you have the -- I

change, which I think someone mentioned here, that if you do have a PIC freeze in with the company and you get slammed, that perhaps the company is not able to collect for that slam.

WITNESS KEITH: Okay.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: They never did send you the letter that they said you signed to sign up with them originally, did they?

witness keith: No, they never sent me anything other than bill after bill after bill. Right.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: We'll make sure that Staff gets it from them anyway, and if you have a complaint with us, we may even have it on our file.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Following up on what Commissioner Garcia just said, GE Capital Communications Services, we fined them, or they entered into a settlement agreement for \$90,000. So they have been one of the companies that we've received a lot of complaints about and, of course, our investigations are always — and our review is always ongoing.

WITNESS KEITH: Well, I saw them on the list. And I appreciate it, and I have no idea, and I haven't gone to home shows or anything like that, and

I very rarely play any of the mail-in contests, so I don't know where it came from. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I don't want to be a 3 bad publicity for home shows. This happens at almost all -- fairs and -- I don't want to hurt the home show business with our --6 7 WITNESS KEITH: I'm more likely to go bird watching, and they're not out in the woods. Thank 8 9 you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. 10 11 MR. BECK: Dan Moore. 12 DAN MOORE 13 appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows: 15 16 DIRECT STATEMENT WITNESS MOORE: My name is Daniel F. Moore. 17 My mailing address is 4026 Henderson Boulevard. 18 That's where my company is located, Dan Moore Realty. My first slam was sometime prior to 20 October 13th, 1995. I know that because I got a 22 letter from Ms. Kate Smith saying that my problem had been resolved and that the G.E. Capital Exchange had 23

refunded me the money, which they did, and there was

no charges even for long distance that I had made that

24

I had been charged for. So I appreciate Ms. Smith's help. I also appreciate the Commission's help.

But another couple of times, and in some of my weaker moments -- and, believe me, people I've had people call me on the phone, say they're with AT&T, and tell me that they want to switch me to some outlandish sort of a gratuity that AT&T is giving, and I agreed, and then I -- after thinking about it, I called the number that I have for AT&T, and they told me there's no such program, there never was such a program.

In any event, I do have a PIC freeze with GTE. I do have a contact in AT&T in New Orleans that I have been talking with. And since 1995 I have added phones. I've even added my personal residence phone on there. I have changed my personal residence phone within the past six months.

In doing that, GTE assigned me a reserve number that Triple A of Florida has. They reserve a block of 100 numbers, I guess, and this is one of their numbers that they use for some of their outlying areas.

This number happens to be a 1-800 number for MCI, which I found out. It is a hospital in Michigan.

I was getting a 1-800 number on my personal residence

phone for this hospital in Michigan. I don't know how an 813 area code can become a 1-800 number. But in any event, with talking with Sherry Johnson of GTE, she explained to me that the residence department of GTE had made the mistake and GTE wanted to get me out of that number.

all my business cards, all my stationery and everything else with my personal residence number on it, and I didn't want to go through that hassle of getting it all reprinted and changed over, plus my new wife has several hundred friends that we have to call and tell them we've got a new number. So GTE agreed to leave the intercept on for the full year of the telephone directory.

In the process of trying to get this resolved, I thought it might have been something that AT&T had done, because I had a young man come to my office with proper credentials. He had an AT&T tag identification tag, on his pocket. All of his stationery was AT&T, but like some of the others, the fine print says "AT&T Business Communications."

Now, it also happens on his card he had "AT&T Authorized Agent, Gabe Enterprises Incorporated" (phonetic).

So in talking with the representative of
AT&T in New Orleans, I mentioned that this might be
what was happening with me getting long distance 1-800
numbers for the hospital in Michigan at midnight on my
personal residence number, and she said, "No, that is
not an AT&T number. It is not anything to do with
them," And they had never heard of this Gabe
Enterprises.

And so she asked me to fax all the information over to New Orleans and -- which I did, and I have all this information. She assured me, number one, that I have been switched out of AT&T. I called GTE. The PIC freeze is still in effect. So I'm sitting on pins and needles wondering if -- when this fellow is going to strike. Hopefully, never.

But I don't know whether you're interested in any of these papers or not, but I have them, if you are.

One other instance. When I moved out of my townhouse into my new house, the week that I moved out it seems that someone called my number, which was still in effect at that time, from Cuba. I don't even speak good southern English. I don't speak Spanish. I don't know how in the world somebody could have called collect from Cuba and charged it to my number

because there was nobody there.

Because of my business being a real estate broker, I keep track of what I do each day, so I know that I was not on the premises. No one else was on the premises at that time that it came through at 8:00 morning. And so this \$15-and-some-cent charge was an MCI charge from a collect call from Cuba to my home number.

So it would appear that, as some of the other folks have said, those that are out there trying to gouge the public will go to any extent, even those companies who supposedly have a good reputation such as --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Did you pay that?
WITNESS MOORE: Pardon me?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Did you end up paying that? No; right?

witness Moore: Oh, no. My mama didn't raise no dummy, not after 20 years of being conned into every kind of scam that you could find; the Xerox scam, the -- I mean, the copier scam; the ballpoint pen scams and all of that. You know, after a few times I've learned.

I appreciate the service that the Public Service Commission did provide back in '95, and I can assure you that if something comes up that I can't resolve, I'll be calling you folks immediately to let you know that it's happening again.

chairman Johnson: We appreciate your testimony very much and look forward to -- well, actually we hope we don't have to assist you again in the future.

withess Moore: I do, too, but I'm sure that somewhere along the line you will.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: You're right, and we'll be here. Any questions? Thank you, sir.

MR. BECK: Ahmad Erchid.

AHMAD ERCHID

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

witness exchid: My name is Ahmad, first name is Ahmad, A-H-M-A-D; last name E-R-C-H-I-D, Erchid.

My story starts in 1992 when I was with MCI.

I think it was May '92. MCI gave me a promotion that
they were running. It was like up to \$1,000 worth of
phone calls during one month. And they sent me this
card. (Indicating) The card says, "When you decide

to use this free month, you need to call us before you use it."

So I called MCI, and I told them I was going to use it in May '92. Towards the middle of the month I get a -- I got a bill from GTE, and there was the phone calls that I made, long distance calls, during that month. And then I called GTE. GTE said I was with AT&T.

I said I never requested to be switched to

AT&T. Then they told me to call AT&T. I contacted

AT&T and spent hours and hours over the phone going

from one person to another with getting nowhere, and I

had to place phone calls, long distance, on my own to

their corporate office to try to get a hold of their

supervisors.

And finally they faxed me a form saying that GTE requested AT&T to switch me to their lines. And then I called GTE and spent again hours and hours with GTE with getting nowhere, and eventually they disconnected my lines. So I called them from a different phone and -- no.

Before that, I called the local TV station,
Channel 8. And they have this "8 on Your Side
Program." And they told me that they had a similar
program -- that's the first time I heard the term

"slamming". They told me they had this program on TV

about two months ago, and they told me to mention this

to them, and if they don't resolve it peacefully,

Channel 8 will get involved. So I called GTE and

explained to that to them. They put the service back

on.

And at the beginning of this year I was in the process of buying a new house, and in the process of checking my credit application, this shows in my credit that I owe GTE about \$600. So I called them up and explained to them what happened and went through the hassle again, the pain and suffering, and they took it off my credit.

Now, it's not there anymore, but I hope it's not coming back. It might come back. You know, once in a while it might -- you need to get the credit report. It might show up again. So I just wanted to bring this case so some attention might be paid to this problem.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Were you ever told why you were switched to AT&T?

WITHESS ERCHID: There was no answer on why
I was switched to AT&T. They, AT&T, sent me a form
saying that GTE requested that change.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And GTE never

indicated why there was a form showing that GTE had requested it? WITNESS ERCHID: They never answered my 3 question. 4 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any other questions? 5 WITNESS ERCHID: Well, thanks for --6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: If you could get with 7 our Staff, I think it's important to find out how it 8 is was that the LEC got involved with a -- when I say LEC, that's the local exchange company; GTE in this 10 situation -- how they got involved in this. 11 Perhaps there was some type of a written 12 request sent to GTE and somehow they forwarded it to 13 ATET. I'm not sure. But it appears that this is a 14 little bit different of a situation, and purhaps we need to look into it. Mr. Durbin is right here. He will be glad to --17 WITNESS ERCHID: Thanks. 18 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. 19 MR. BECK: Sara Westbrook. 20 21 22 23

24

SARA WESTBROOK

appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS WESTBROOK: Sara Westbrook. I'd

like to use the business address, because that's been
the most active in slamming as far as this. 181 North
Missouri, Largo, and it's Robinson Concrete.

I took a late flight, so my file is at the office, but I'm very well documented through Ruth in your Commission, the many times and many problems that I've had. So all of the -- all of my data is with your Commission, and it's at my office because I couldn't get back to Largo from the airport.

I think the first word that comes to mind is my -- it's unconstitutional. I think that we are unfortunately living in a society where the United States Government has deregulated, apparently, the long lines of our country, and all of a sudden our constitutional rights are being challenged.

There were two women who wrote my script.

The AT&T lady, she wrote my script completely. I

won't even go into the details, because what you heard

from her, the lady in black and the lady in green,

pretty much typifies the nightmare that I've been

through.

The first evidence of slamming or changing -- Ruth with your Commission, is the one that used the word to me first -- was I got the phone bill, because I open all the mail at our company. And I opened the mail from this LDC Company -- I think it's LDC -- and there was this phone bill.

Well, we're AT&T, and died-in-tha-wool AT&T.

I would never change from AT&T. And I began to investigate, spent considerable hours on the phone trying to investigate it. I won't go into all the details. I got Ruth on the phone. We got the company on the phone. They played the tape. They had a tape of me. I said, "Why did you -- where did you get -- how did you have permission to do this? This is not right."

And he said, "Well, we have a tape." So
Ruth and I got on a conference call with the company
where they played the tape for us. It was not my
voice. They asked for my birth date, which was not my
birthday. They asked for my social security number,
which was not my social security number. They
actually had the title of what I do at Robinson
Concrete, which is I am in charge of all the

administrative duties, assistant to the president, who happens to be my fiance.

So they had my title right and my name right, but it was a -- it was a theatrical dialogue, a script that somebody had taped. So needless to say, we went through it. We got through that, and I didn't pay it.

I got a phone call about a week later from some very high echelon with the company apologizing in writing -- they subsequently wrote me a letter -- but apologizing for this tape and blaming it on their marketing company that they hired to do the phone calls, to try to do the telemarketing to get people to change over.

So at any rate, that was the first nightmare. And then about a week later or so, then our telephone was changed over by the same company. And, interestingly enough, I'm not in the phone book under Sara Westbrook, but my fiance, William B. Jones, on Buena Vista is in the phone book.

So the bill that I got for our home had all the data that I went through for Robinson Concrete on the phone bill as if they -- as if it was my home phone number, but I'm not even listed as that number. So it's just -- it's a nightmare, and it's so

unconstitutional how they are continuing to do this.

We were slammed again before I found out about the freeze. Ruth finally told me about the freeze, or somebody did. And we got slammed again. This afternoon I was told by one of the girls in the office that she got another one of the phone calls, on -- talked to the AT&T long line person who handles your AT&T accounts. That's the way they put it. And it was the same, I was -- she said it was General Corporate Services.

But, yes -- and it's the dialogue that's so interesting, is that to an innocent person who hears it -- and I think of these elderly people and just people who are naive and innocent might be so taken, flim-flammed by these -- by the fact that they're saying, "We are your AT&T billing service," and that's what they've used with me many times when they've called.

We get an average of one to two a week. Now I answer the phone, and one other girl answers the phone if I'm out of town, and she knows how to pick up on it. Because if you're not careful, all you have to do is say "yes," because it's happened to so many of our friends. You say the word "yes," the next thing you know you're sucked into their little scam.

So I could play a major tape for you to give you the nightmare of what I've been through. I've never had to pay a bill. I haven't paid it. Ruth took control. I just -- I felt so violated almost to the point of being raped because of the nightmare that I went through of trying -- the hours -- like this lady said who owns the business, the hours that she spent trying to undo it and the hours that you spend trying to get to the right people and to get this nuisance and this albatross off your back. 10

1

3

5

11

13

14

15

17

21

22

23

And I would -- when I finally found out about Ruth, I just called Ruth. She took control, and then it was a done deal. It was out of my hands, so I felt really comfortable.

Interestingly enough, though, I did get the FCC to fax me a telephone slamming -- three letter -what to do. And I guess I don't understand why this continues to happen. If the FCC does what they say in this fax about the letter of agency, then it should be stopped. If they would be doing what they said had to be done, then prosecute these people.

I did a tape for Tampa News several weeks ago about this. Ruth had given them my name. And one of the questions she asked, the news gal asked me, she said, "What do you think we should do to stop this or

enforce the violators?" And I said, "Well, short of deporting them or hanging them in the square, I think you should fine them and put them out of business."

And of course then they'll pop up under another name probably around the corner.

But when is it going to stop? And thank goodness for finally we do live in a country where we can have freedom of speech, because there for a while I thought our constitutional rights had totally been violated for somebody to come in and take over your life like this, and that's what they're doing. It's totally Communist, as far as I'm concerned.

But I really appreciate -- Ruth has all my letters and all the documentation in the file, and it's at my office right now, so I didn't bring it with me because I took a late flight in.

MR. GROSS: Ms. Westbrook, I'd like to ask you a question. My name is Michael Gross. I'm with the Attorney General's Office.

Do you think that your written authorization, a person's written authorization, should be required before switching their long distance carrier?

WITHESS WESTBROOK: Well, that's precisely what the FCC says in here; letter of agency, and then

1	it spells it out in this fax that I received from
2	them. Absolutely it should be documented in writing.
3	MR. GROSS: Do you have any concerns some
4	people have suggested that well, there are a lot of
5	the discounts available. And some people like to call
6	up the various phone companies and take advantage of
7	the discounts, and doing it in writing would make that
8	more difficult.
9	WITNESS WESTBROOK: Why would it make it
10	more difficult? What do you mean?
11	MR. GROSS: Well, you couldn't just pick up
12	the phone and call MCI and say, "I want to switch to
13	you because I just heard your ad that you're offering
14	a better deal than AT&T." These are just
15	hypotheticals. If you had to do it in writing, would
16	that delay, discourage you?
17	WITNESS WESTEROOK: If I wanted to, upon my
18	own request, to change? In other words, if I wanted
19	to change to MCI?
20	MR. GROSS: If you wanted to change
21	voluntarily, would a written requirement be
22	discouraging to you or
23	WITHESS WESTBROOK: No, because I live by
24	contracts. I think life is you can't operate your
25	life without contracts. I mean, you don't buy a house

without a contract.

MR. GROSS: I agree with you, and I'm just playing the devil's advocate, because this is a real --

witness westbrook: It would not be a timing issue. I wouldn't mind waiting for MCI to send me -if I wanted to change, for them to send me a form to sign. That's not a problem. I wouldn't be in that big of a hurry to change.

MR. GROSS: And even though it might take longer, you'd rather have that kind of protection in place?

withess westbrook: To have the protection and know that when I sign something, that's my choice would be worth it, even if it took two weeks or a month. It's the fact that if I go to buy a car, I have to sign a document -- or a house -- it doesn't happen overnight. So what's the problem? And just a little time to sign a document.

MR. GROSS: Would you be disappointed if telemarketing was not permitted? I mean, if you couldn't -- would you feel that you missed opportunities if you didn't get telemarketing phone calls?

WITHESS WESTBROOK: I would love not to get

telemarketing, because I automatically tell people
when I walk in the door at night at 5:30 the phone is
ringing, you know, Ms. Westbrook, would you like to -I said, I do not take solicitations; send it to me in
writing.

and the girls at the office are trained -and now we just say we don't take solicitations, send
it to me in writing. I mean, I don't even talk to
these people anymore, because you say the wrong thing
and you're going to own a Cadillac or something or a
new yacht. I mean, it's ridiculous.

MR. GROSS: I'm very sympathetic to your predicament --

withess westbrook: But I don't believe that anything -- that you should conduct your personal business or business business in this world without signing something to verify that's your freedom of choice. So, no; a contract would be welcome.

MR. GROSS: Well, thank you for answering my question. It's very important for me to know what the consumers would like.

witness westbrook: Well, that's what clearly the FCC says, a letter of agency should be required.

MR. GROSS: Well, actually, that's a little

1	bit misleading, if that's the only option,	
2	verification option they indicate, because there are	
3	other alternative verification options which do not	
4	require that it be done in writing. That's just one	
5	option that's available under the FCC rules.	
6	WITNESS WESTBROOK: I see. That's the one	
7	they explained	
8	MR. GROSS: But they're also reevaluating	
9	their rules and may come back with more stringent	
10	verification requirements.	
11	WITNESS WESTBROOK: Do you see an end to	
12	this?	
13	MR. GROSS: I really think that the Public	
14	Service Commission, the Office of Public Counsel,	
15	which represents the citizens in utility matters, and	
16	in this case particularly telecommunications matters,	
17	and the Attorney General are all working together to	
18	come up with more stringent verification requirements	
19	tougher penalties, tougher enforcement, and we think	
20	that we can mitigate the problem.	
21	WITHESS WESTBROOK: Why can't you just sign	
22	a law?	
23	MR. GROSS: Well, I think that's what we're	
24	taking under advisement right now.	

WITNESS WESTBROOK: Under state level or

national 1	evel?
------------	-------

MR. GROSS: State level. We only have the authority to operate on a state level, but --

WITHESS WESTBROOK: Do you ever see it to be a national --

MR. GROSS: Yes. The FCC -- this is a national problem, and the FCC is also presently reevaluating its own rules. They have a rulemaking proceeding that's pending right now.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And, in fact, there's several bills in congress right now looking at the slamming issue in trying to determine whether they can come up with more protective rules for the constituents also.

WITNESS WESTBROOK: Excellent. I look
forward to that day when we become America again.
Thank you for this opportunity. Driving across the
bridge is frightening, but I'm going to do it.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you for testifying.

MR. BECK: Ms. Westbrook was the last person
to sign up ahead of time.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Are there any other individuals that did not sign up to testify but that would like to testify this evening?

Seeing none, I'd like to thank everyone for

coming out tonight and participating in the process. Again, your comments will be made a part of our official record and we'll use them when making our final determination. Thank you very much. This hearing is adjourned. (Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 8:30 p.m.)

STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON I, H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official 3 Commission Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Workshop in Docket No. 9708882-TI was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated: it is further CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported 7 the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 95 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. DATED this 2nd day of December, 1997. 10 11 12 RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporter 13 (904) 413-6732 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

23

24