1	BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of : DOCKET NO. Petition by AT&T Communications of : 960833-TP
4	the Southern States, Inc., for : arbitration of certain terms and :
5	conditions of a proposed agreement : with BellSouth Telecommunications, :
6	Inc., concerning interconnection : and resale under the :
7	Telecommunications Act of 1996 :
8	In the Matter of : DOCKET NO. Petition by MCI Telecommunications : 960846-TP
9	Corporation and MCI Metro Access :
10	Transmission Services, Inc., for : arbitration of certain terms and :
11	conditions of a proposed agreement : with BellSouth Telecommunications, :
12	Inc., concerning interconnection : and resale under the :
13	Telecommunications Act of 1996. :
14	In the Matter of : DOCKET NO. Metropolitan Fiber Systems of : 960757-TP Florida, Inc., for arbitration with :
15	BellSouth Telecommunications, : Inc., concerning interconnection :
16	rates, terms and conditions, :
17	pursuant to the Federal : Telecommunications Act of 1996. :
18	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
19	BEFORE: Commissioner Susan F. Clark
20	LOCATION: Room 142, Easley Building
21	Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399
22	TIME: Commenced at 9:30 a.m.
2 3	Concluded at 10:00 a.m.
2 4	DATE: Wednesday, January 14, 1998
25	REPORTED BY: SYDNEY C. SILVA, CSR, RPR
-	

BUREAU OF REPORTING

RECEIVED 1-20-98 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01025 JAN 168

APPEARANCES:

MICHAEL PELLEGRINI and BETH KEATING, Florida Public Service Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

TRACY HATCH, Suite 700, 101 North Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc.

NANCY WHITE, 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

RICHARD MELSON, DAVID ADELMAN and TOM
BOND, Hopping Green Sams and Smith, Post Office
Box 6526, Tallahassee, Florida 32314, appearing on
behalf of MCI Telecommunications Corporation.

FLOYD SELF, Messer, Camparello & Self,
P. O. Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876,
appearing on behalf of WorldCom, Inc., and
Metropolitan Fiber Systems.

_	
1	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	PATRICK K. WIGGINS, Wiggins &
3	Villacorta, P.O. Box 1657, Tallahassee, 32302, on
4	behalf of the Intermedia Communications, Inc.
5	NORMAN H. HORTON, JR., Messer,
6	Camparello & Self, P. O. Box 1876, Tallahassee,
7	Florida 32302-1876, appearing on behalf of ASCS.
8	
9	INDEX
10	MISCELLANEOUS
11	ITEM PAGE NO.
12	Motion to Admit Attorney Adelman 7
13	Motion to Admit Attorneys Lackey, 11
14	Twomey and Ross
15	Motion for Leave to File Revised 12 Testimony and Exhibits
16	Motion for Leave to File OSS 13 Information
17	
18	Motion to Compel 13
19	Motion for Reconsideration of 15 Order Denying Intervention
20	Certificate of Reporter 27
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing commenced at 9:30 a.m.)

MR. PELLEGRINI: Pursuant to notice dated December 31, 1997, this time and place have been set for a prehearing in the consolidated Dockets Nos. 960757-TP, 960833-TP, 960846-TP, the petitions for arbitration of, respectively, MFS, AT&T and MCI with BellSouth.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We'll take appearances. Ms. White?

MS. WHITE: Nancy White for BellSouth Telecommunications.

MR. MELSON: Richard Melson, Hoping

Green Sams and Smith, on behalf of MCI

Telecommunications Corporation. Also with me is

Tom Bond from MCI and David Adelman,

A-D-E-L-M-A-N, of the law firm OF Southerland,

Asbill & Brennan.

MR. HATCH: Tracy Hatch on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Southern States Inc.

MR. SELF: Floyd Self of the law firm Messer, Caparello and Self, P.A., appearing on behalf of WorldCom, Inc. which also includes its subsidiary, Metropolitan Fiber Systems, or MFS.

MR. WIGGINS: Patrick K. Wiggins, law

firm of Wiggins & Villacorta, P.O. Box 1657,
Tallahassee 32302, on behalf of the Intermedia
Communications Inc.

Commissioner Clark, I'm only here for the limited purposes of making the appearance to preserve if necessary our attempts to intervene and TO give you the opportunity if you so choose to hear discussion or oral argument on that issue. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you.

MR. PELLEGRINI: Charles Pellegrini and Beth Keating representing Commission Staff, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Pellegrini, are there any preliminary matters we have to take up at this time?

MR. PELLEGRINI: Yes, Commissioner

Clark. There are four motions pending. The first of these is a Motion to Expand Testimony filed by BellSouth. Second is a Motion to Compel Testimony filed by Commission Staff. Third is a Motion for Reconsideration and Request for Oral Argument filed by Intermedia. And last is a Motion to Strike, which is a joint motion filed by WorldCom and AT&T.

1 The Motion to Compel and the Motion to 2 Strike we have tentatively agreed would be heard 3 at a special prehearing to be held next Tuesday at 3:00. 4 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Let me 6 ask, are there any changes to the prehearing 7 order? 8 MS. WHITE: Yes, Nancy White for 9 BellSouth. We have a few minor ones based on the fact the Commission removed what was Issue No. 2 10 11 and put it in a separate proceeding to be held in 12 February. We have some testimony pages to delete and some exhibits to delete. I don't know whether 13 14 you want to do that now? 15 COMMISSIONER CLARK: That was in the order. Was that in the prehearing, or you told me 16 about that would need to come out? 17 18 MR. PELLEGRINI: I'm not sure. 19 talking about --MS. WHITE: Remember there used to be an 20 21 Issue 2 in this docket? 22 MR. PELLEGRINI: Yes. Oh, yes. 23 MS. WHITE: It was not removed from this 24 docket until after direct testimony had been

filed, so there are parts of the direct testimony

25

that talk about this issue that really need to 1 come out. 2 MR. PELLEGRINI: Yes. All parties need 3 to be prepared to identify such testimony. 4 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right. That sounds 5 familiar, Ms. White. 6 Mr. Melson? 7 MR. MELSON: One preliminary matter. 8 would like to ask that David Adelman be admitted 9 for purposes of this proceeding. He's a member of 10 the Georgia Bar and practices regularly before the 11 Georgia Public Service Commission. 12 And I believe that BellSouth has got no 13 objection to his admission for purposes of this 14 proceeding. 15 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Melson, is this 16 the way we usually do it and does he meet all the 17 requirements? 18 MR. MELSON: For admission for purposes 19 of this sole proceeding, all he requires is local 20 sponsorship and to be a member of good standing of 21

Sometimes it's done orally, sometimes the Bar. it's done by written motion.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'll grant the motion.

22

23

24

25

1 MR. MELSON: With regard to changes to 2 the prehearing order, I believe there will be some suggested changes probably to the order of 3 witnesses. And there are a number of witnesses 4 5 sponsored jointly by AT&T and MCI that are --6 where one or the other party needs to be added to 7 reflect that they are appearing for both. 8 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Is that 9 something we should take up at the prehearing is 10 discussion of the order of witnesses or can we --11 we do need to take it up? 12 MR. PELLEGRINI: Yes, I think we do need 13 to do it. 14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. 15 just --16 MR. PELLEGRINI: Before we go on, excuse 17 me, would you spell the name of that person for 18 me? 19 MR. MELSON: A-D-E-L-M-A-N. I'll give 20 you his business card. 21 MR. PELLEGRINI: All right. Thank you. 22 COMMISSIONER CLARK: So order of 23 witnesses is something we need to take up? 24 MR. MELSON: Yes, ma'am. 25 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Anything else?

MR. MELSON: No, ma'am.

MR. HATCH: I have just a couple of things to raise, Madam Chair.

With respect to Witnesses Klick and Bissell, they are both sponsoring collocation models, one is physical, one is virtual. We feel that it probably would be more efficient to put them up as a panel since they both feed off of each other in various respects.

I have not had a chance to raise that with BellSouth yet; I do not know if they have any objection.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Bissell and --

MR. HATCH: Klick, K-L-I-C-K.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Anything else?

MR. HATCH: I have raised the possibility of stipulating cost of capital and depreciation so as to avoid having those people come to town and appear at the hearing. I don't think anybody is prepared to answer that; I just wanted to raise that for the parties' benefit. I have discussed that with Staff. I've also raised that with BellSouth.

The other question I had is whether there was any interest in combining direct and

rebuttal so as to have a single appearance on the stand during the hearing. We think it would go faster that way, since we only have a very limited time and a lot of witnesses to go through.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Mr. Self?

MR. SELF: The only matter I'd want to discuss, Madam Commissioner, is having WorldCom's single witness, Mr. Porter, appear after the BellSouth witnesses. In other words, he would be the first of the ALEC witnesses. Other than that, I would support any efforts to consolidate direct and rebuttal.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

MR. SELF: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Horton?

MR. HORTON: Yes, ma'am. For the record, I'm Norman H. Horton, Jr. on behalf of ACSI, who used to be a party of to this proceeding. I would like the record reflect we were present.

I'm not entering an appearance for them, but we do have a Petition for Reconsideration of the Prehearing Officer's Ruling pending before the Commission and, in the event that's granted, we do have a witness.

Okay. Staff --COMMISSIONER CLARK: 1 MS. WHITE: I'm sorry, Commissioner 2 Clark, I do have one more thing. That is, I do 3 4 need to add some names to the attorneys who will 5 be representing BellSouth at the hearing. Douglas, R. Douglas Lackey, L-A-C-K-E-Y; Bennett, 6 B-E-N-N-E-T-T, Ross, R-O-S-S; and Mike Twomey, 7 T-W-O-M-E-Y, not the local Mike Twomey, will be 8 9 representing BellSouth. Mr. Lackey is a member of the Georgia 10 Bar and has practiced before this Commission on 11 numerous occasions. Mr. Ross is a member of the 12 Georgia Bar, and Mr. Twomey is a member of the 13 Louisiana Bar. They will be assisting me in 14 representing BellSouth at the hearing. 15 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And you move to --16 MS. WHITE: I move to have them 17 entered -- allowed to practice for that purpose. 18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: The motion is 19 20 granted. 21 MS. WHITE: Thank you. COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. 22 23 have before me, I think, the spectrum of what I need to take care of today. Let me just, the 24 Motion to Expand the Testimony by BellSouth, is 25

```
there an objection to that? This was the motion
 1
     filed on the 9th; is that correct?
 2
 3
               MS. WHITE: That's correct. It is
     really not a motion to expand the testimony --
 4
 5
               COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right.
 б
               MS. WHITE: -- it was a Motion for Leave
     to File Revised Testimony and Exhibits. There
 7
     were some mathematical errors that were found and
.8
 9
     these are correcting them.
10
               COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right. Is there an
11
     objection?
12
               MR. MELSON: No objection.
               MR. PELLEGRINI: Commissioner Clark,
13
14
     Staff would recommend that the motion be granted
15
     but subject to furnishing the transcript in the
16
     Tennessee proceeding that deals with this, deals
17
    with this matter? As an attachment to the motion.
18
               MS. WHITE: I would be happy to supply
19
     the Staff --
20
               COMMISSIONER CLARK: I quess my question
21
     is, why?
22
               MR. PELLEGRINI: It will enable Staff to
     understand what the difficulty was that came to
23
24
     the surface in the Tennessee proceedings and led
25
     to the filing of revised testimony.
```

1	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.
2	MS. WHITE: I'll be happy to do that.
3	COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Thank
4	you, Ms. White. The motion to, it is a Motion for
5	Leave to File Revised Testimony and Exhibits is
6	granted. And that was, was that the one listed as
7	"expand testimony"?
8	MR. PELLEGRINI: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. The next,
10	the Motion to Strike the OSS Information?
11	MR. PELLEGRINI: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I understand your
13	answer, BellSouth, is not yet due.
14	MS. WHITE: Due Friday.
15	THE COURT: Friday. It is would be my
16	intention to take that up at a
17	MR. PELLEGRINI: Special prehearing.
18	COMMISSIONER CLARK: special
19	prehearing that I would hope to have on Tuesday.
20	If that's not possible, I will rule on the
21	pleadings.
22	MS. WHITE: That's fine, Tuesday is
23	fine.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. The Motion
25	to Compel likewise is not ripe, as BellSouth needs

```
to respond? Is that correct? Needs to have the
1
    opportunity to respond?
2
               MS. WHITE: Yes. I think it was --
3
               MR. PELLEGRINI: Yes. Service was made
4
5
    on January 13, yesterday.
               COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay, yesterday.
6
               MS. WHITE: I think we could make every
7
    effort to respond in writing to it by Friday and
8
    be prepared to argue it on Tuesday.
9
                                    Thank you. Let me
10
               COMMISSIONER CLARK:
11
    ask a question, though. You have suggested,
    Mr. Hatch, that there may be an opportunity to
12
     stipulate the cost of capital. Will that obviate
13
    the need for the information that's requested?
14
15
               MR. PELLEGRINI: No.
                                     No.
16
               COMMISSIONER CLARK:
                                    Why not?
17
               MR. PELLEGRINI: Well, as --
18
               COMMISSIONER CLARK: It seems to me -- I
19
    have to say that I've just read the first part of
20
     it, but the issue is BellSouth has indicated its
21
    cost of raising capital is increasing and the
    question is, what's causing it to increase? And
22
23
    that's the basis on which you want this
     information.
24
25
               But if we stipulate the cost of capital,
```

1 is it still relevant? 2 MR. HATCH: Commissioner Clark, I hope there's no confusion here. It was my suggestion 3 that we submit all the testimony and the 4 deposition transcripts and the exhibits, not to a 5 6 number. 7 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I see. Well, then 8 in that case it doesn't --9 MR. PELLEGRINI: Yeah, I still think 10 that the information requested is still essential 11 for the Staff to have some understanding of 12 BellSouth's position. 13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: No chance we would 14 stipulate the cost of capital? 15 MR. HATCH: I don't think we can 16 stipulate a number. 17 MR. PELLEGRINI: Yeah. 18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Then we'll 19 take up the Motion to Compel the Discovery on 20 Tuesday. 21 Mr. Wiggins, I'll hear from you. 22 there any objection to or is there going to be any 23 response from Mr. Wiggins on his Motion for 24 Reconsideration of the Order denying his 25 intervention?

MS. WHITE: BellSouth doesn't have a position on it.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Wiggins.

MR. WIGGINS: Thank you, Commissioner Clark. I'll be very, very brief.

Intermedia did not choose to intervene in the original arbitration proceedings for the very reason that the Commission would exclude them from intervention in this phase of it. That is, we didn't think it had anything to do with us. We thought it was simply between these parties.

However, in the 271 proceeding order, the Commission made it clear it intended to use the permanent rates established in this proceeding for future purposes. That being the case, we're on notice that our interests in that future proceeding were going to be determined in this proceeding; therefore, we need to intervene.

If that's not the intention of the Commission, that's very easy to handle that. Simply by clarifying that, in fact, the findings in this — the matters adjudicated in this docket have no collateral estoppel effect on Intermedia or any other party not involved here.

If we can either be intervened, let in as a party, or have that clarification, we're happy either way.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: How is the setting of permanent rates for items which were interim make it any different than it was -- make this any different than what we had been doing in this arbitration?

And I have another question. Is this the first time you have raised this issue? I didn't see it in the original Petition to Intervene.

MR. WIGGINS: I believe that, because of the ACSI's status in my original Petition to Intervene, we did not emphasize that. I think that was what I was alluding to in the original intervention order where I mentioned that I'd changed conditions and things have moved on since the original decision.

Commissioner Clark, I'm not sure I understand what you mean by, how are we doing anything any different?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I guess it strikes me that what we are doing in all, in approval of negotiated agreements, in arbitration agreements,

all have an impact on 271, and in some sense are relied upon or will be relied upon in determining whether BellSouth meets those requirements, assuming it still has to do that.

So I guess my question is, why is this different than what we -- the original arbitration? What has now made it such that you should be granted standing?

MR. WIGGINS: In the original arbitration proceeding -- excuse me. In the 271 order, Bell's application for 271 relief was denied. Because of that, Staff's recommendation and the Commission's findings with respect to the use of the permanent rates from the original arbitration were irrelevant to Intermedia in terms of challenging that.

If the Commission intends to use the permanent rates decided here in the next 271 proceeding or the next SGAT without allowing Intermedia full access to the costs, an opportunity to relitigate that, then that would be something we would intend to challenge as error and to appeal.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, Mr. Wiggins, I'm inclined to think that what we are doing here

in terms of setting permanent rates for purposes of arbitration is something that BellSouth can then rely on in pursuing its authority to provide long distance service by proving it meets the check list. And it would be my belief that, to the extent Intermedia is part of that proceeding to see if the check list is met, if they didn't think that the permanent rates we set met the requirements, that you could raise it as an issue.

MR. WIGGINS: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I need to check and make sure that is the intent of what was put in that order, and it would be on that basis I would deny your Motion for Intervention.

Let me just ask Ms. White. Do you have a different view of how the process works?

MS. WHITE: I'm sorry, but I --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: What he is suggesting is that his concern is that once we have set permanent rates for purposes of this arbitration, then you — then it has been an indication from the Commission that those permanent rates will be relied on to determine if you have complied with the requirements of 252 for check — purposes of the check list. I guess it

is my view that you could rely on those -
MS. WHITE: Sure. I would agree with
that.

2.2

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- but it would seem to me a party to that proceeding which was not a party to the arbitrations could suggest it is not cost-based or it does not meet it.

MS. WHITE: Sure. I think that in the 271 case, if we say these are the prices we are relying on for check list compliance, then anybody can come in and say those prices aren't right for whatever reason.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

MS. WHITE: So I wouldn't think that lack of intervention in this case would deny that opportunity.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, Mr. Wiggins, that's my inclination. I'm going to check and make sure that's the process we contemplate and then issue the Motion to Deny the Intervention if it is, in fact, what we had intended.

MR. WIGGINS: Thank you. Intermedia has attempted throughout this process with BellSouth and with the Commission to negotiate and cooperate and not litigate. The reason we have done this

intervention is so that if we did in fact wish to 1 2 challenge those rates later on, there would be no 3 basis for anyone to say, "Hey, you should have let 4 us know this in the 833 docket, where were you?" 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. MR. WIGGINS: Thank you for your time. 6 7 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. As I 8 see it, that leaves us right now with just 9 determining the order of witnesses, determining 10 whether or not we'll have direct and rebuttal, 11 determining whether or not some of them will 12 appear as a panel. 13 Let me first talk about direct and 14 rebuttal. Is there any objection to doing it that 15 way and is there a general feeling that will 16 facilitate the process? 17 MR. PELLEGRINI: There is no objection 18 from Staff. We would favor the combination of 19 testimony. 20 MS. WHITE: There's no objection from 21 BellSouth. I think I would agree we have three 22 days set for hearing in this case and a lot of 23 witnesses and that would probably make it go a

little faster.

24

25

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

MR. MELSON: MCI agrees. 1 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Hatch? 3 MR. HATCH: I proposed it, I agree. 4 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Oh, a memory lapse. 5 MR. SELF: I already agreed with Mr. Hatch. 6 7 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Good. What I would 8 suggest is letting you all work out the order of 9 witnesses. I know there are depositions to be 10 taken today and I don't want to prolong it by 11 going, going through it here --12 MS. WHITE: The --13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- unless you think 14 we need to. 15 MS. WHITE: The order that is in the 16 prehearing, the order of witnesses that's in the 17 prehearing order for BellSouth is acceptable for 18 BellSouth's witnesses, I quess with the caveat that on Page 5 of the draft prehearing order I 19 20 want to make sure that everybody understands that 21 Ms. Caldwell and Mr. Zarakas are a panel. 22 are kind of listed as two separate witnesses, and they really are a panel. 23 24 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Let me just 25 direct Staff. Let's make sure it shows them on

the prehearing order as a panel so the Commissioners and particularly the Chairman will be aware of it.

MR. PELLEGRINI: Yes. We'll make that clarification, Commissioner Clark.

MS. WHITE: I guess the only other issue we'd have is, since we are doing direct and rebuttal together, we have some witnesses that are just rebuttal so we would have to figure out where in the process they would go.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's correct. I guess, again, it was my inclination to leave it to you all to work it out, but I'm available if we can't.

Is there anything else?

MR. HATCH: With respect to the order of witnesses, Madam Chairman, Jim Wells, one of the AT&T's rebuttal witnesses, is available only on the second day of the hearing; and I'm trying to work out some conflicts with the others. As I can resolve them, then there won't be an issue; but if it becomes a problem, I will notify you and the other parties.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask at this time. Is there anyone else whose witnesses are

1 not available on any days? Mr. Melson? 2 MR. MELSON: Mr. Wood is available only the third day of the hearing, the 28th. But he is 3 4 likely to be the last or next-to-last witness, so I don't think that will be a problem. 5 6 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. You never 7 know, we might finish up Tuesday night, so you all need to be prepared for that. That doesn't mean 8 for you to extend your cross-examination. 9 10 MR. MELSON: You can read my mind, Commissioner. 11 12 MR. HATCH: That was very good, very 13 quick. (Laughter) 14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Anything else? All right, we will adjourn --15 16 MR. PELLEGRINI: There is one further 17 matter, Commissioner Clark, and that is the 18 identification of the testimony related to Docket 19 No. 97-1140, or the former Issue 2. COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's correct. 20 21 What I would ask you to do is -- I hope you would 22 be able to do that today -- identify the testimony 23 and exhibits that are no longer appropriate to be 24 included in this docket and get it to Staff?

MR. HATCH: I will endeavor to do that.

25

1 I anticipate that that will not be possible, 2 because the non-recurring charge witness is Jack 3 Lynott and I already know that I can't find him 4 today because I have been trying since this morning. 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, is there 6 somebody else that can look at the testimony? 7 MR. HATCH: Part of the problem -- the 8 testimony itself is really not the problem, it is 9 10 going through the schedule of all the exhibits and the model itself to make sure which pieces don't 11 fit. I will endeavor to do that as soon as I 12 13 possibly can. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask Staff. 14 15 What is your time frame on needing that 16 information to correct the prehearing order. 17 MR. PELLEGRINI: I suppose if it is done by the conclusion of this week, I think we can 18 19 work it out. 20 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let's put it close 21 of business Friday. Anything else? 22 MR. PELLEGRINI: I don't have anything 23 else. 24 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. 25 Thank you very much. And we will, to

```
the -- if we can, I will see you on Tuesday at
1
     3:00. Thank you very much.
2
               MR. SELF: Thank you.
3
                (Hearing concluded at 10:00 a.m.)
4
5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- 1	
1	STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	I, SYDNEY C. SILVA, CSR, RPR,
4	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Prehearing Conference in Docket Nos. 980833-TP, 960846-TP,
5	and 960757-TP was heard by the Prehearing Officer at the time and place herein stated; it is further
6	CERTIFIED that I stenographically
7	reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed by me; and that this transcript,
8	consisting of 27 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	DATED this 16th day of January, 1998.
10	
11	Sandmen C. Silva
12	SYDNEY C. SILVA, CSR, RPR
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	