FLGRIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

JANUARY 20, 1998


RE: DOCKET NO. 960757-TP - Petition by Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Florida, Inc. for arbitration with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection rates, terms, and conditions, pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960833-TP - Petition by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960846-TP - Petition by MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should Time Warner's Petition for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-98-0008-PCO-TP be granted? <u>Recommendation</u>: No. Time Warner has failed to identify any point of fact or law that the prehearing officer overlooked or failed to consider in rendering Order No. PSC-98-0008-PCO-TP. Furthermore, the prehearing

officer's order fully comports with the Act's requirements for participation in an arbitration proceeding and is consistent with prior Commission orders regarding participation in arbitration proceedings. Time Warner's Petition for Reconsideration should, therefore, be denied.



COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission



COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE O 1 0 97 JAN 21 8 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

DISSENTING

20C

VOTE SHEET JANUARY 20, 1998 DOCKET NO. 960757-TP - Petition by Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Florida, Inc. for arbitration with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection rates, terms, and conditions, pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960833-TP - Petition by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960846-TP - Petition by MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should these Dockets be closed? <u>Recommendation</u>: No. These Dockets should remain open pending the outcome of the hearing.

APPROVED

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

JANUARY 20, 1998


RE: DOCKET NO. 960757-TP - Petition by Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Florida, Inc. for arbitration with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection rates, terms, and conditions, pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960833-TP - Petition by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960846-TP - Petition by MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should Sprint's Petition for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-98-0008-PCO-TP be granted?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. Sprint has failed to identify any point of fact or law that the prehearing officer overlooked or failed to consider in rendering Order No. PSC-98-0008-PCO-TP. Furthermore, the prehearing officer's order fully comports with the Act's requirements for participation in an arbitration proceeding and is consistent with prior Commission orders regarding participation in arbitration proceedings. Sprint's Petition for Reconsideration should, therefore, be denied.



COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission



COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE O I O 96 JAN 21 8 EPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

DISSENTING

20B

VOTE SHEET JANUARY 20, 1998 DOCKET NO. 960757-TP - Petition by Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Florida, Inc. for arbitration with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection rates, terms, and conditions, pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960833-TP - Petition by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960846-TP - Petition by MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications, Act of 1996.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should these dockets be closed? <u>Recommendation</u>: No. These dockets should remain open pending the outcome of the hearing.

APPROVED

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

JANUARY 20, 1998

RE: DOCKET NO. 960757-TP - Petition by Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Florida, Inc. for arbitration with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection rates, terms, and conditions, pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960833-TP - Petition by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960846-TP - Petition by MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should ACSI's Petition for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-98-0007-PCO-TP be granted?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. ACSI has failed to identify any point of fact or law that the prehearing officer overlooked or failed to consider in rendering Order No. PSC-98-0007-PCO-TP. Furthermore, the prehearing officer's order fully comports with the Act's requirements for participation in an arbitration proceeding and is consistent with prior Commission orders regarding participation in arbitration proceedings. ACSI's Petition for Reconsideration should, therefore, be denied.

APPROVED

MAJORITY	
5 Aria	
Alsan I C	lark
A. Jem Ver	2
Die Ta	reca
REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:	
-	

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 01095 JAN 21 8 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

DISSENTING

20A

VOTE SHEET JANUARY 20, 1998 DOCKET NO. 960757-TP - Petition by Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Florida, Inc. for arbitration with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection rates, terms, and conditions, pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960833-TP - Petition by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996. DOCKET NO. 960846-TP - Petition by MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should these Dockets be closed? <u>Recommendation</u>: No. These Dockets should remain open pending the outcome of the hearing.

APPROVED