## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Complaint of Supra Telecommunications ) Docket No. 980119-TP and Information Systems, Inc., Against ) BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

DRIGINAL

) Dated: April 3, 1998

## MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION BY THE FULL COMMISSION PANEL OF PREHEARING OFFICER'S ORDER ON DISPUTED ISSUES

Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems, Inc., ("Supra") hereby requests the full Commission panel on this proceeding to reconsider the Prehearing Officer's Order on Disputed Issues, Order No. PSC-98-0416-PCO-TP, issued March 24, 1998, pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, and as grounds therefore states as follows:

1. The Prehearing Officer's order determined that the preliminary issues 1, 2, 3, and 6 are not appropriate for consideration in this proceeding addressing Supra's Complaint against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth").

2. Preliminary Issues 1 and 2 relate to Supra's allegations that BellSouth has failed to negotiate in good faith with Supra. Preliminary Issues 4 and 6 relate to Supra's allegation that ACK BellSouth has refused to negotiate the resale of its billing service and its dark fiber.

CAF 3. It is appropriate for the Commission to reconsider the CMU Derianne Prehearing Officer's order because the Prehearing Officer CTR overlooked or misapprehended the legal significance of the EAG LEG -following factual allegations made by Supra:

AFA .

APP

LIN a) Supra has alleged that Supra requested negotiation of OPC \_ the issues of the resale of BellSouth's billing service and the RCH \_ resale of BellSouth's dark fiber in September 1997. DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE SEC . WAS \_ 03884 APR-38 OTH \_\_\_\_

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

b) The Interconnection Agreement entered into by BellSouth and Supra does not contain any provision reflecting the results of any negotiation on these issues. As Supra has repeatedly stated, BellSouth refused to negotiate these issues. The legally significant point here is that there is no Interconnection Agreement addressing the issues of the resale of BellSouth's billing service or dark fiber.

4. Pursuant to the timelines set out in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, it is appropriate for Supra to request that the Commission arbitrate these issues if 135 days have passed since these negotiations were requested. Supra has alleged that it had discussions with BellSouth in late September 1997 regarding these issues. Although the Interconnection Agreement was entered into on October 23, 1997, the discussions at which BellSouth refused to negotiate these issues were held substantially earlier. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, on January 23, 1998, Supra was approximately 120 days away from the discussions in which BellSouth refused to negotiate these issues. Although not precisely within the window of 135 days to 160 days, Supra's filing of the Complaint fell extremely close to the 135th day required in the Act.

5. It is within the Commission's authority to fashion a resolution of the disputes raised in Supra's Complaint that would include the arbitration of Issues 4 and 6, the issues of the resale of BellSouth's billing service and the resale of BellSouth's dark fiber.

6. It is within the Commission's authority to fashion a

resolution of the disputes raised in Supra's Complaint that would require the arbitration of all of the provisions, including the rates, in the Interconnection Agreement entered into by BellSouth and Supra on the basis that BellSouth has failed to negotiate in good faith. For this reason, the Commission should permit Issues 1 and 2 to be considered within this proceeding.

WHEREFORE, Supra respectfully requests the Commission to reconsider the Prehearing Officer's decisions in his Order on Disputed Issues and permit the four disputed issues to be considered within this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted, this /3rd day of April, 1998

aht - hmm kz SUZANNE FANNON SUMMERLIN 1311-B Paul Russell Road, Suite 201

1311-B Paul Russell Road, Suite 201 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850)/656-2288 Florida Bar No. 398586

Attorney for Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems, Inc. I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail to the following parties of record this 3rd day of April, 1998:

Beth Keating, Esq. Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Nancy B. White, Esq. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 11 imment Suzanne Fannon Summerlin