NANCY B. WHITE Assistant General Counsel-Florida

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5558

April 15, 1998

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 980119-TP (Supra Complaint)

Dear Ms. Bayó:

copies BellSouth original and fifteen **Enclosed** an Telecommunications, Inc.'s Rebuttal Testimony of Marcus B. Cathey, Patrick C. Finlen, W. Keith Milner, William N. Stacy and David P. Scollard, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Enclosures CTR cc: All parties of record EAG A. M. Lombardo LEG R. G. Beatty William J. Ellenberg II OPC SEC _ WAS -OTH .

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Sincerely.

NT NUMBER-DATE 043 | 3 APR 158

FFSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

04314 APR 158

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

CUMENT NUMBER-DATE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 980119-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served

by Facsimile and Federal Express this 15th day of April, 1998 to the following:

Beth Keating Legal Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Tel No. (850) 413-6199 Fax No. (850) 413-6250

Suzanne Fannon Summerlin, Esq. 1311-B Paul Russell Rd., #201 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Tel. No. (850) 656-2288 Fax. No. (850) 656-5589

Nancy B. White (fp)

ORIGINAL

1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MARCUS B. CATHEY
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET NO. 980119-TP
5		April 15, 1998
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND POSITION WITH
8		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. (HERINAFTER
9		REFERRED TO AS "BELLSOUTH" OR "THE COMPANY.
10		
11	A.	My name is Marcus B. Cathey. I am employed by BellSouth
12		Telecommunications Inc. as Sales Assistant Vice President. My
13		address is South E4F1, 3535 Colonnade, Birmingham, Alabama.
14		
15	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES.
16		
17	A.	Currently, my position is Sales Assistant Vice President responsible for
18		handling over 150 different ALECs. I manage a team of 53 people
19		who assist ALECs to start and grow their business throughout the
20		BellSouth serving area.
21		
22	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.
23		
24		
25		
		Pogo 1

Page 1

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 04312 APR 158 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1	A.	I am a graduate of Baylor University with a B.A. in Political Science.
2		I have 20 years experience in the telecommunications industry. Prior to
3		my current position, I directed product management for transport
4		services including Unbundled Network Elements and Local
5		Interconnection.
6		
7	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
8		
9	A.	This rebuttal testimony is in direct response to direct testimony filed by
10		Supra witnesses Mr. Ramos, Mr. Hamilton and Mr. Reinke regarding
11		their dissatisfaction with our account team, and their allegations that
12		the account team members were unresponsive to Supra's needs.
13		
14	Q.	What are your expectations of ALECs?
15		
16	A.	Each ALEC, such as Supra, is assigned an Account Manager
17		responsible for managing the overall relationship between BellSouth
18		and the ALEC. The Account Manager is empowered to call upon a
19		large variety of Subject Matter Experts in order to address specific
20		issues raised by the ALEC.
21		
22	Q.	DESCRIBE THE ACCOUNT TEAM FROM YOUR ORGANIZATION,
23		SPECIFICALLY AS RELATED TO SUPRA.
24		
25		

1	A.	The Account Manager III this case is Wayne Carnes who currently
2		handles the Supra account along with 17 other ALECs. Mr. Carnes
3		reports to a Sales Director, Mike Wilburn, who then reports to me.
4		
5	Q.	DESCRIBE YOUR OBSERVATIONS AS TO HOW SUPRA
6		COMPARES TO OTHER ALECS YOU WORK WITH.
7		
8	Α	It is important to understand that in a wholesale business model the
9		customer, in this case Supra, is supposed to be well versed in
10		telecommunications and have complete capabilities to provide service
11		support to its end users. What we have found in some cases is that
12		ALECs begin operations without first investing in education for their
13		work force and establishing efficient processes for responding to the
14		needs of their end users. As a result, they are forced to learn while
15		trying to solve critical operational issues.
16		
17	Q.	HOW HAVE YOU ATTEMPTED TO WORK WITH SUPRA TO
18		COMPENSATE FOR THEIR ADDITIONAL NEEDS?
19		
20	A.	What we have tried to do over the last 6 months is to equip Supra with
21		the information they requested in order to evaluate the different
22		scenarios for providing service as an ALEC. Comparatively speaking,
23		we have spent as much planning time with Supra as we have with
24		much larger ALECs with more sophisticated needs. Very early on, we
25		

1		identified Supra as a customer seriously in	terested in becoming a
2		successful ALEC and we have treated ther	m accordingly.
3			
4	Q.	RELATE ANY SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF	GOING THE EXTRA MILE
5		TO ASSIST SUPRA.	
6			
7	A.	In September of 1997, we invited their Mar	keting Vice President Bob
8		Campbell to attend our Strategic Advantag	e Conference to meet with
9		other top executives throughout the telecon	mmunications industry to
10		learn more about our wholesale market eff	ort.
11			
12		Since then, we have had numerous discuss	sions, conference calls and
13		meetings trying to address Supra's varied	and changing needs. Our
14		objectives on the Account Team are closel	y aligned with our customers'
15		ability to be successful in the market. This	includes not only the direct
16		sale of services to the ALEC but also on he	ow they rate us individually
17		and as an Account Team on providing ong	oing service and support.
18			
19		Listed below is a brief accounting of the fa	ce to face meetings
20		conducted with Supra aside from numerou	s telephone conversations.
21		Strategic Advantage Conference	9/25/97 - 9/26/97
22		Issues Meeting	10/29/97
23		Issues Meeting	12/5/97
24		Issues Meeting	1/29/98

25

1		Implementation visit	3/24/98 - 3/26/98
2		Customer On Site Meeting	4/9/98
3			
4		To meet Supra's needs and given their lack of	telecommunications
5		expertise, we directed them to invest in training	g which often times was
6		made available at no direct charge to Supra.	
7			
8	Q.	DID SUPRA TAKE ADVANTAGE OF YOUR C	FFER, AND DID THEY
9		PROVIDE ANY FEEDBACK TO YOU AS HOW	V USEFUL THEY
10		FOUND THE TRAINING?	
11			
12	A.	As stated in their testimony, they did attend se	veral BellSouth provided
13		classes, some more that once given the turnov	er of their work force. I
14		agree with their statements that some of the to	raining was more useful
15		than others, which is expected given the divers	se nature of the needs o
16		ALEC representatives attending the training.	Attached (Exhibit MBC-
17		1) is a copy of feedback received from a Supr	a attendee, which
18		indicates a high degree of satisfaction with one	e of our training courses.
19		We do not require that attendees identify them	selves or their company.
20			
21		From our training records Supra has attended	24 training classes
22		(some with multiple attendees). Of 24 seats, E	BellSouth provided 18 at
23		no charge.	
24			
5			

1	Q.	WERE YOU SURPRISED TO LEARN THROUGH THIS TESTIMONY
2		THAT SUPRA FELT YOUR TEAM WAS UNRESPONSIVE TO THEIR
3		NEEDS?
4		
5	A.	Absolutely. As mentioned before, we went to great lengths as an
6		Account Team to respond to their needs, frequently with very short
7		notice. An example of Supra's expectations during this period was a
8		page that I personally received from Mr. Ramos at 8:00am CST on a
9		Saturday in October to discuss general telecommunication issues
0		followed by a second page that same night at 10:00 P.M. This resulted
11		in a face-to-face meeting the next week to discuss issues in more
2		depth.
3		
14		Also attached (Exhibit MBC-2) is a letter from Mr. Ramos to me
5		thanking me for our meeting of October 19, 1997.
16		
7	Q.	WHAT CAUSED SUPRA'S CONCERN?
8		
9	A.	Much of the discussion regarding Supra's displeasure was with
20		handling end users who wished to return their service to BellSouth.
21		Mr. Ramos' specific request was that we educate each of our retail
22		contact people in Florida to understand who Supra was so they could
23		help explain to the end users that they were only reselling BellSouth's
24		
5		

1		service. Our response to Mr. Ramos' request was that it was his
2		responsibility to educate the end users on who Supra was, not ours.
3		
4	Q.	WHAT OTHER ISSUES DID YOU DISCUSS AT THIS TIME?
5		
6	A.	As Mr. Ramos' testimony indicates, he was very dissatisfied with
7		information BellSouth provides to Supra so they can bill their end user.
8		An interesting observation is that Supra would begin operations without
9		first fully testing their billing capabilities and that they would be waiting
10		on BellSouth's bill to them before they would bill their end user. With all
11		of my other ALECs, they acquire billing information directly from their
12		end user and issue their bill to their end user without having to depend
13		on BellSouth's bill.
14		
15		
16	Q.	DID YOU STATE THAT SUPRA COULD NOT OBTAIN AN
17		INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT THAT WOULD BE SUPERIOR
18		TO EXISTING AGREEMENTS?
19		
20	A.	At the time of the discussion, I believe that Supra had already signed
21		its interconnection agreement. I did explain to Mr. Ramos that as a
22		practical matter that the rates he accepted were probably the best he
23		could get given that they had been arbitrated by the FPSC. Mr. Ramos
24		believes the rates he accepted were too high to develop a valid
25		

1		business plan.
2		
3	Q.	DID YOU STATE THAT THERE WAS NO NEGOTIATION OF THE
4		SALE OF BELLSOUTH'S DARK FIBER?
5		
6	A.	I explained to Mr. Ramos that we had argued in Florida that Dark
7		Fiber was not a separate network element, therefore it would not be
8		offered through the local interconnection agreement.
9		
10	Q.	DID YOU STATE THAT BELLSOUTH WOULD NOT AGREE TO
1		RESELL ITS BILLING SERVICES TO SUPRA?
12		
3	A.	Yes, I did confirm BellSouth's position not to resell our billing
4		services.
5		
6	Q.	WHY DID BELLSOUTH WAIT NINE MONTHS TO SEND AN
17		IMPLEMENTATION TEAM TO VISIT SUPRA?
8		
9	A.	For several reasons, first the implementation team was refocused
20		late last year to make it more valuable during their visits. All activities
21		were suspended during this review period. Second, due to the rampant
22		increase in number of customers needing a visit, our resources
23		assigned to the implementation team had to be increased dramatically
24		to meet the higher demand for visits. The implementation team is
) <u></u>		

1		provided as a courtesy to our new ALEC customers.
2		
3	Q.	DURING THE IMPLEMENTATION TEAM VISIT, WAS AN
4		ORDER REJECTED?
5		
6	A.	Yes. Supra attempted to place an order that was rejected. It was
7		referred to the implementation team for assistance. The order in
8		question was not generated by the implementation team as an
9		example but rather referred to the team for assistance. The order wa
10		rejected due to an improper edit which was BellSouth's fault, but later
11		rejected again because the unit number was missing. The order was
12		still pending when the team left. The team later had to assist Supra to
13		resolve the problem.
14		
15	Q.	WAS THE IMPLEMENTATION TEAM VISIT VALUABLE TO SUPRA?
16		
17	A.	From the attached feedback form, you can see that Supra felt the visit
18		was very beneficial. (Attachment MBC-3)
19		
20	Q.	WERE THERE ANY OUTSTANDING ISSUES RESULTING FROM
21		THE IMPLEMENTATION TEAM VISIT?
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	A.	Yes, as always there were some items which required additional
2		research. At the time of this testimony, Supra should have received
3		our response.
4		
5	Q.	DID YOU MAKE A JOKE OF SUPRA'S LOSS OF DIAL TONE
6		DURING THE ALTS CONVENTION IN ATLANTA?
7		
8	A.	I don't remember my exact words to Mr. Ramos regarding his loss of
9		dial tone, but my intent obviously was misinterpreted. Incidentally, I
10		was the one who invited Mr. Ramos to attend the ALTS Show in
11		Atlanta and asked him to stop by our booth. Early that day I had been
12		made aware of an escalation from Mr. Reinke regarding no dial tone at
13		his new location and mentioned to Mr. Ramos that we were working on
14		getting it corrected. Mr Ramos did not appear to have been as upset
15		about the outage as his testimony indicates. We take very seriously out
16		responsibility to provide high quality service to our customers and
17		clearly this would not have been something I would have intentionally
8		made light of to Mr. Ramos.
9		
20	Q.	WHY DID IT TAKE SO LONG TO PROVIDE A COPY OF THE
21		MANUAL THAT EXPLAINS THE SERVICE CAPABILITIES OF THE
22		UNBUNDLED LOOPS?
23		
24		
>5		

1	A.	vvnere we have standard information requests, the Account Team can
2		be responsive because the information is available. In Mr. Ramos'
3		case his requests are so comprehensive that often times information is
4		not readily available to meet his needs and has to be assembled.
5		
6	Q.	DO YOUR "EXPERTS" NOT HAVE CORRECT INFORMATION
7		REGARDING UNBUNDLED LOOPS?
8		
9	A.	Mr. Ramos did meet with BellSouth's experts regarding
10		Unbundled Loop Services. As a new product in a new market, there
11		will always be additional understanding to be achieved as the
12		product becomes mature. We don't agree with Mr. Ramos that our
13		answers regarding Unbundled Loops are suspect. We believed that
14		Mr. Ramos was satisfied with our answers except for one issue
15		which needs additional clarification concerning a BellSouth policy.
16		
17	Q	ARE THERE OTHER EXAMPLES OF THE EFFORTS OF YOUR
18		TEAM TO ASSIST SUPRA?
19		
20	A.	Yes, another example of the extraordinary Account Team support
21		Supra received was the many calls to negotiate payment
22		arrangements. Typically, this falls outside of the Account Team
23		responsibility, but given the sensitivity of the Supra Account, I was
24		
25		

is

1		called on many times to negotiate payment arrangements to prevent
2		new service additions from being suspended.
3		
4		These payment negotiations occurred at least once monthly over a
5		3 month period.
6		
7	Q.	HOW WOULD YOU RESPOND TO MR. RAMOS' STATEMENTS
8		ABOUT SUPRA'S BUSINESS STATUS?
9		
10	A.	BellSouth has invested a great deal of time supporting Supra's entry
11		into the local market. In spite of regulatory complaints, executive
12		escalations and slow payment pattern, the Account Team has
13		continued to work toward assisting Supra establish operations in
4		Florida.
5		
6		
7	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
8		
9	A.	Yes.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

COURSE EVALUATION UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS TRAINING CLASS 12/9/57 - 12/10/97

TOPIC	COMMENTS	R	ATH	13		
Course Content	Course objectives were met. Course content to relevant to my job.	1	2	4	40	þ
Course Majorials	Materials were current. Course materials added value to the squrse. Pace of instruction was conductive to learning.	1 1	2 2	3 3	100	B
Course Dalivery	Instructor was knowledgeable of the subject mat	ior.				
,	Tom Roberts	1	2	3	49	P
Dalivery Environmen	nt Cleeeroom environment was conducted to learning	18-	2	3	49	
in additional courses	sections for other topics you would like to have inched A top by in the common of the	et	4	10	100 140 140	L
Was the length of th	e course sufficient for the material reviewed?		-	•		
Additional suggestion	ne for course improvement		1			
IN FORM	entive training sea	5/	9/	1	4	VE
Thank you for your	perticipation in the Settleuth CLEC Training Progra	MT.				
,	Su	MA	•		1	
	Your C		NY N	leave	, ,	

5 = Highest levet of agreement.

1 = Lowest level of agreement.



Supra Telecom & information Systems, inc.

Docket # 980119 Exhibit MBC-2 Page ____ of ____

Phone: (305) 443 - 3710 Fez: (308) 443 - 1078

P.O. Baz 1441221 Coral Gables, FL 33134-4122

WWW & Entail: www.supratelectime.cum males de su pratelecome.com

Date: October 29, 1997

Marcus B. Cathey Sales Assistant Vice President CLEC Interconnection Sales

Fax No.: 1 205 977 0037

Dear Mr. Cathey,

This was send to now in the same we can turn the corner of how butter relations and that the corner of how butter relations.

May I, on behalf of the entire management and staff of Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc., express my appreciation at the quality time you spent at our meeting of today. We are particularly impresent with your style and appreciable knowledge of the issues confronting our company at this point in time.

We hope that this is the beginning of a lasting and fruitful relationship between our two corporations.

Respectfully yours,

Docket # 980119 Exhibit MBC-3 Page _1 of \$2

1.	Did the information covered in the Validation Meeting meet your expectations?
	Reseased Expostations Mest Expostations Did Not Mest Expostations
2.	Were questions answered in a timely manner?
	Exceeded Expectations Met Expectations Did Not Most Expectation
3.	Was this meeting beneficial in helping you do business with BellSouth?
	Exceeded Expectations . Met Experiences . DM Not Mass Expectations .
4,	Were the BellSouth Representatives professional, courteous, and knowledgeable?
	Exceeded Expentations Met Expentations Did Not Meet Expectations
5.	Additional comments:
	Could lost a little Complex lit
	it was now wer restaurand be
	the time it lasted
Nas	ne (optional):
	V.P. Manario

1.	Did the information covered in the Validation Meeting meet your expectations?
	Escended Exportations
2.	Were questions answered in a timely manner?
	Expended Experiations
3.	Was this meeting beneficial in helping you do business with BellSouth?
	Escended Expectations
4.	Were the BellSouth Representatives professional, courteous, and knowledgeable?
	Expectations
5.	Additional comments:
Very	Vegul Judy John and Sandar's expertise gove
14	a hand new perspective and the Telegram business.
Ver	magnical windly and "grown decill.
Nan	ne (optional): Divis Concin

1.	Did the information covered in the Validation Meeting meet your expectations?
	Exceeded Expectations Ment Expectations 200 Ment Expectations
2.	Were questions answered in a timely manner?
	Exceeded Reportations
3.	Was this meeting beneficial in belping you do business with BellSouth?
	Exceeded Expectations
4.	Were the BellSouth Representatives professional, courteous, and knowledgeable?
	Exercised Experiations Met Experiations Met Met Meet Expertations
5.	Additional comments:
	us is a nice procedure, the reps
<u> </u>	(AST LEDS) are lanousedgeable. His procedure
<u> </u>	eds to be repeated to ensure proper faming of cleer.
	ne (optional):

1.	Did the information covered in the Validation Meeting meet your expectations?
	Expectations Met Expectations Did Not Most Expectations
2.	Were questions answered in a timely manner?
	Exceeded Expectations Met Expectations Did Not Most Expectation.
3.	Was this meeting beneficial in helping you do business with BellSouth?
	Exceeded Expectations
4.	Were the BeilSouth Representatives professional, courteous, and knowledgeable?
	Extended Expectations
5.	Additional comments:
Nas	ne (optional):

1.	Did the information covered in the Validation Meeting meet your expectations?
	Besonded Expectations
2.	Were questions answered in a timely manner?
	Exceeded Expeciations Met Expectations Did Not Most Expectation
3.	Was this meeting beneficial in helping you do business with BellSouth?
	Exceeded Experiations Met Experiations Did Not Made Experiations
4.	Were the BellSouth Representatives professional, courteous, and knowledgeable?
	Exceeded Expectations Met Expectations Did Net Most Expectations
5,	Additional comments:
Nan	ne (optional):

1.	Did the information covered in the Validation Meeting meet your expectations?
	Exceeded Expertations Met Expertations Did Not Most Expectations
2.	Were questions answered in a timely manner?
	Saccorded Expectations Met Expectations Did Not Ment Expectation
3.	Was this meeting beneficial in helping you do business with BellSouth?
	Exceeded Expectations Did Not Meet Expectations
4.	Were the BellSouth Representatives professional, courteous, and knowledgeable?
	Exceeded Expentations Met Experintions Did Not Most Expectations
5.	Additional comments:
Na	me (optional): Licia BACGOSA - STIS

1.	Did the information covered in the Validation Meeting meet your expectations?
	Exceeded Expectations Med Experiations Did Not Most Expectations
2.	Were questions answered in a timely manner?
	Expectations Mot Expectations Did Not Most Expectation
3.	Was this meeting beneficial in helping you do business with BellSouth?
	Exceeded Expectations Met Expectations Did Not Meet Expertations
4.	Were the BellSouth Representatives professional, courteous, and knowledgeable?
	Exceeded Expectations
5.	Additional comments:
Na	me (optional):

ADVISORY TEAM MEETING ROSTER

JETOMER: JUPEA		VISIT DATES:	3-24,25,26
. •	1.1		
Judy Glass	BellSouth	404	CIEC Advisory
John Switherman	•	957-7370	
ANDRA JONES	ty	7-17-	"/
with a Arrington	Bellsouth	714-0010	Cestoma Sign
icily their	SLORA TELTOM	45-3710	Compressions
	SINN'H TE KEEN	31.6	CLUST. SUE. SA
NO HAMILTON	5T14	443 37 10	CUST SUL ME
aniel Coance	হ্যা১	443-3210	Marketing Name
letur Miriki	STIS	4764250	
MBIO COMPA	STIS	4208	V.P. HARNETINE
lane Carnes	Bell South	205 977-2847	Account Man
IRIA BARBOSA	51,5	476 42 14	PA & ADVERTIZING
ur. e Parlee	5775	443-3710	Cust Suc Space
			
		 	
			
	······································		

Revised 2/13/06 Version 1

Page 1