JAMES S. ALVES BRIAN H. BIBEAU KATHLEEN BLIZZARD RICHARD S. BRIGHTMAN KEVIN B. COVINGTON PETER C. CUNNINGHAM RALPH A. DEMEO THOMAS M. DEROSE RANDOLPH M. GIDDINGS WILLIAM H. GREEN KIMBERLY A. GRIPPA WADE L. HOPPING GARY K. HUNTER, JR. JONATHAN T. JOHNSON ROBERT A. MANNING FRANK E. MATTHEWS RICHARD D. MELSON

HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

POST OFFICE BOX 6526 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32314

ALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA SEST

(850) 222-7500 FAX (850) 224-8551

FAX (850) 425-3415

Writer's Direct Dial No. (904) 425-2313

June 4, 1998

ORIGINAL

ANGELA R. MORRISON GABRIEL E. NIETO GARY V. PERKO MICHAEL P. PETROVICH DAVID L. POWELL WILLIAM D. PRESTON CAROLYN S. RAEPPLE DOUGLAS S. ROBERTS GARY P. SAMS TIMOTHY G. SCHOENWALDER ROBERT P. SMITH CHERYL G. STUART W. STEVE SYKES T. KENT WETHERELL, II

OF COUNSEL ELIZABETH C. BOWMAN

BY HAND DELIVERY

Jennifer Brubaker Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Fl 32399-0850

> Re: Mid-County Services, Inc. Docket No. 971065-SU

Dear Jennifer:

2с Ж

\S ____

н ____

We would like to thank the staff for meeting with us last week regarding the possibility of settling Mid-County's protest without the need for a hearing.

The following are the general outlines of a potential offer of settlement that Mid-County believes would provide a reasonable basis for concluding this docket.

* Include 100% of CWIP in rate base. As we discussed, these amounts relate to a post-test-year main extension project.

Correct the adjustment made for key-man insurance
 expenses to avoid removing more expense than was allocated to the
 NCK <u>ut</u>ility in the first instance.

IFA _______* Establish a 96% used and useful percentage. The IPP ______tility derived this figure by adjusting the 88% used and useful IAF _____percentage from the prior rate case to reflect the 9.1% growth that the utility has experienced in annual average daily flows MU _____between the prior test year and the current test year.

TR _____ * Eliminate one-third of the adjustment to allocated
AG _____expenses that was proposed in the PAA Order. As we discussed,
EG _____the utility believes that it has a strong basis to support the
allocation methodology contained in the MFRs. This adjustment is
N _____proposed in the spirit of compromise.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06063 JUN-58

Jennifer Brubaker June 4, 1998 Page 2

* The utility is willing to make reasonable rate structure changes as might be proposed by staff.

I have attached a spreadsheet showing the approximate results of these proposed changes, which would increase the revenue requirement proposed in the PAA Order by \$102,400, to \$1,092,157. This is still significantly less than the amount the utility believes that it will be able to support at hearing.

The tentative proposals set forth in this letter are for settlement purposes only, and do not represent the position that the utility would take if the case proceeds to hearing.

After you have had an opportunity to review the enclosure, we would appreciate the opportunity to discuss this proposal further. As you know, I will be departing on vacation on June 5, returning on June 20. In my absence, I will ask Mark Kramer to call Marshall Willis directly to discuss this proposal.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Very truly yours, Pio 0 ru

Richard D. Melson

RDM/mee Enclosure

cc: Blanca Bayó (for docket file) Marshall Willis Harold McLean Mark Kramer

110816.1

Mid-County Services, Inc.

۰.

.

Calculation of Position Docket No. 971065-SU Test Year Ended 12/31/96

Description	Test Year Per <u>Utility</u>	Utility <u>Adjustments</u>	Adjusted Test Year <u>Per Utility</u>	Commission Adjustments	Commission Adjusted <u>Test Year</u>	Stipulated <u>Adjustments</u>	Adjusted Stipulated <u>Position</u>
Utility Plant in Service	3,880,925	(131,742)	3,749,183	280,144	4,029,327	-	4,029,327
Land	18,403	(18,403)	-		-	-	-
Non-Used & Useful		-	-	(337,678)	(337,678)	258,749	(78,929)
Accumulated Depreciation	(1,004,622)	10,754	(993,868)	4,434	(989,434)	(8,613)	(998,047)
CIAC	(2,174,889)	,	(2,174,889)	.,	(2,174,889)		(2,174,889)
Amortization of CIAC	777,284	2,697	779,981		779,981	-	779,981
Construction WIP	-	148,330	148,330	(296,659)	(148, 329)	148,329	-
Working Capital Allowance	103,144	(2,048)	101,096	(16,901)	84,195	4,284	88,479
Other	-	58,787	58,787	(2,205)	56,582	-	56,582
	.						
Rate Base	1,600,245	68,375	1,668,620	(368,865)	1,299,755	402,748	1,702,503
Operating Revenues	883,000	342,899	1,225,899	(236,142)	989,757	102,400	1,092,157
Operating Expenses							
Operation & Maintenance	825,155	(16,385)	808,770	(112,344)	696,426	34,270	730,696
Depreciation	63,126	3,236	66,362	(24,858)	41,504	8,613	50,117
Taxes Other Than Income	92,989	15,988	108,977	(14,570)	94,407	4,825	99,232
Income Taxes	(64,608)	148,302	83,694	(47,933)	35,761	16,954	52,715
Total Operating Expenses	916,662	151,141	1,067,803	(199,705)	868,098	64,663	932,761
Operating Income	(33,662)	191,758	158,096	(36,437)	121,659	37,737	159,396
		1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	100,000				
Rate of Return	-2.10%	280.45%	9.47%	9.88%	9.36%	9.37%	9.36%

The above schedule contemplates the following adjustments:

Inclusion of 100% of CWIP in rate base Correction of Key Man life insurance adjustment Effective U&U based on prior RC 33% reduction in Allocation adjustment

AADF in prior RC	660,550
AADF in current RC	720,956
Increase	60,406
Increase in %	9.1%
U&U determined in Prior	88%
Effective U&U Rate	96%