## ORIGINIAL

DOCKET NO. 951232-TI [Telecommunications Services, Inc.]

WITNESS: Direct Testimony of Jerry Bir, Appearing on Behalf of Respondent,

Telecommunications Services, Inc., as an adverse witness.

DATE FILED: June 15, 1998

OCCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06706 JUN 25 8

-FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR 2 DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA 3 GENERAL JURISDICTION DIVISION 4 CASE NO. 92-11654 CA 28 5 TRANSCALL AMERICA, INC., 6 d/b/a ATC Long Distance, 7 a Georgia corporation, qualified to'do business 8 in the State of Florida. 9 Plaintiff, 10 ORIGINAL 11 TELECONN SERVICES, INC., a : Florida corporation, a/k/a 12 TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES,: INC., a/k/a T.S.I., 13 Defendant. 14 15 16 4000 Hollywood Blvd. 17 Suite 710 N Hollywood, Florida 18 Tuesday, May 25, 1993 3:40 p.m. 19 20 DEPOSITION OF JERRY BIR taken before Susanne Dunay Siegel, Court Reporter 21 and Motary Public in and for the State of Florida 22 at Large, pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition filed in the above cause. 23 24

VIDEO

25

Jessica R. Berman & Associates, Inc.

• LITIGATION SUPPORT • CONFERENCE ROOMS

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FI	CORIDA
3	CASE NO. 92-1775-CIV-KM	(M
4	The state of the s	
5	S.H. DOHAH & COMPANY, P.A, A	
6	Florida Professional Association f/k/a DOBAN/SIMON/FRIEDMAN, P.A., a Florida Professional Association,	:
7	individually and as class.	
4.	representative of all those	
₹.	similarly situated,	* **
9	Plaintiffs,	:
LO	Vs.	:
11	TRANSCALL AMERICA, INC., a Georgia corporation doing	· ORIGINAL
12	business in Dade County, Florida as "ATC LONG DISTANCE,"	:
4	Defendant.	:
.5		-:
6	4000 Hollywood Suite 710 H	
7	Hollywood, Fl Tuesday, May	
8	3:40 p.m.	
9	DEPOSITION OF JERRY BIS	R
0	taken before Suzanne Dunay Siegel, and Motary Public in and for the St	tate of Florida
1	at Large, pursuant to Notice of Tak filed in the above cause.	king Deposition
2		
3		
4		

1	APPBARANCES:
2	
3	ADAMS & QUINTON, P.A.  By: A. EDWARD QUINTON, III, Esq.  And
4	MESSER, VICKERS, CAPARELLO.
5	MADSEN, LEWIS, GOLDMAN & METZ By: FLOYD SELF, Esq. (Via telephone) On behalf of Transcall
6	MALAND & ROSS
	By: ROBERT C. MALAND, Esq. On behalf of Telecomm
8	BRADLEY and BRADLEY
9	By: JOHN F. BRADLEY, Esq. On behalf of Jerry Bir
10	on behalf of berry Bir
11	ALSO PRESENT:
12	CARLOS RODRIGUES
13	
14	
	Witness Direct Cross
15	JERRY BIR 4
16	Defendant's Exhibit A Page 50
17	Defendant's Exhibit A Page 50
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 17 What is your work address? Q.
- 18 1660 Northwest 65th Avenue, Plantation, 19 Florida, 33313.
  - What is the name of the company you are employed by at this time?
    - W. B. Tech, T-B-C-H.
- 23 Would you kindly tell us your 24 educational background from high school to 25 present?

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

Jessica R. Berman & Associates Inc.

21

22

23

24

- Two years of college at BCC; several. too numerous to state, technical and telephony type training programs, and so forth; and basically that's roughly it.
- Would you generally tell us the type of technical course work and training that you just made reference to?
- It's various types of equipment, how it' operates, how it functions, packet switching,, DS1, fiber optics, some switching technology, and that's all I can remember right now.
- Are you referring now to telephone system equipment, because those references that you made to equipment might not be known by someone who were to just read this transcript.
- Well, there are pieces and parts and they all can be put together to form a system or a network, which is what it's called.
- Q. Would you tell us in summary fashion your work background?
- I began in 1966 with Western Blectric as an installation technician.
  - Q. Is that telephones?
- Yes, which was part of the Bell system; progressed to a section chief and orbit supervisor

and left there in '78 to pursue my own business interests; went back to a Bell operated company in 1981; had two years of service between Southern Bell and AT&T; left there in April of '84 and went to a company by the name of Microtel in April of . 84 -

Then Microtel was acquired -- ATC, reverse merger, and was the senior vice-president of operations and engineering there.

- That's with ATC?
- Yes, and left there in Movember of '91, '90 or '91, and went to W. B. Tech subsequently from there.
- Let me backtrack for just a moment. The three year period or so that you were pursuing your own business interests from 1978 to 1981, did you stay generally in the telephone industry?
  - No.
  - Q. You were doing something unrelated?
  - Totally unrelated. A.
- Q. Then you came back to Bell and you said you had a couple of years of service with Southern Bell, ATET.

Generally speaking, what were you doing

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

during that period of time?

During that period of time I was responsible for running 19 centers in retail sales and in January of '84 was put in charge of ATET's customer service division for the State of Florida.

MR. MALANC: Off the record for a second.

(Wherupon, Mr. Carlos Rodrigues joined the deposition.) BY MR. MALAND:

- Q. To understand what you did at ATC on a day to day basis, would you please give us a little bit more information about that, Mr. Bir?
- A. I was responsible for the operations and engineering functions on a day to day basis, which included customer service, order processing, switching, optronics, network costs, network planning, outside plant, field operations, repair. That's about it.
- I am going to ask you, if you can, to explain to me the relationships, as you understand them, among three different companies.

We have Telus Communications, that would be the first company; Transcall America, Inc., a

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

second company; and Advanced Telecommunications
Corporation, a third company.

How do you understand those three
companies relate to each other?

- A. Transcall America was a company that was, I believe, acquired initially by Steve Raville and Jack Phillips, which subsequently became also known as ATC. ATC acquired Teles and they are basically one in the same company.
- Q. So when I say "ATC," for purposes of this deposition you understand them to be the same as Advanced Telecommunications Corporation,
  Transcall America, Inc. and Telus Communications?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. When you got your paycheck, what company name was on it?
- A. I believe for a period of time it was--well, not believe--it was "Microtel" initially, "Transcall America," and then finally "ATC."
- Q. Do you recall as the time went, or between 1984 and approximately the time when you left in 1990 or 1991, who you reported to? In other words, who was your senior in the chain of command?

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

From April, '84 until June, '90 it was 1 2 Dale Gregory. 3 Do you want me to clarify like when I first started in April of '84, because Gene , Johnson and Dick Smith preceded Dale, but it was 5 6 maybe six, eight months that those two--. 7 For the longest stretch of time it was 8 Dale Gregory that you reported to? 9 A. Yes. 10 0. What was his title at ATC? 11 He was president and chief executive 12 officer. 13 After June, '90 until you left, if there is a period of time there, who did you report to? 14 There was a period of time approximately 15 maybe two or three weeks that I reported to Norman 16 17 Klugman, and after that I reported to, from -- probably the first of July to, I believe, 18 the end of Movember, to Steve Raville, chairman of 19 20 the board. 21 Was it to Mr. Raville that you reported 22 at the time that you resigned from the company?

the sense of indicating that's when you left.

A.

Yes.

23

24

25

(

I am using the term "resigned" only in

	A. That's correct.
2	Q. Do you know where Mr. Gregory can be
3	located now in terms of where he works and lives?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Where is that, sir?
, 6	A. Mr. Gregory works at Rochester
7	Telephone, Rochester, New York.
8	Q. Did Mr. Gregory leave ATC's employee
9	before you did or after you did?
10	A. Before.
11	Q. Are you aware of any of the reasons why
12	Mr. Gregory left employment with ATC?
13	A. No, not to the specifics. I'll put it
14	that way.
15	Q. I am going to go back now and just lay a
16	foundation for some of the questions that I'm
17	joing to be asking you later on and, again, I'm
18	doing this because there's a possibility that when
19	this case goes to trial I may have to read this
20	deposition to the jury if you've moved off
21	somewhere else or otherwise unavailable.
22	We're going to mark as Exhibit A to this
23	deposition a document titled "Agreement" by and
24	between Telus Communications, Inc. and Telecomm
25	Services, Inc., July, 1989, and on the one that

will be attached to the original of the deposition I've highlighted in yellow I think certain of the packages and I would like to ask you some questions about them for purposes of the foundation.

MR. QUINTON: Can I take a look at it first?

MR. MALAND: Yes. That will be marked as Exhibit A.

Q. Under the heading "general scope of service" and under the sentence that says, "Telus will perform the following services listed below," paragraph 1 reads, Act as agent for all T.S.I. customers in interfacing with local exchange carriers."

Then it says, "(LEC) and/or
Interexchange carriers (IXE) for processing of
service orders related to switched access for
installation of new or additional service and or
disconnection of said service and/or cancellation
of said service per T.S.I. request."

Since that package contains references to terms of art in the telephone industry and to

8.

BY MR. MALAMD:

equipment that may be well known to you but not well known to the jury, I would appreciate it if you would explain for our benefit what that means.

MR. QUINTON: I want to state an objection for the record to the extent there has been no foundation that this gentlemen has ever seen this document before and to the extent that the gentleman is unaware of any conversations that may have related as to the meaning of the contract at the time the contract was entered into, I would object to his giving an opinion as to what the document means.

MR. MALAND: Let me rephrase the question.

## BY MR. MALAND:

There is a phrase here called, "switched Q. access for installation of new or additional service."

To your knowledge, what does that mean? Switched access gives you the ability as a carrier, such as here T.S.I. or Telus, gives the customer, be it Joe Q. Public, the ability to get access to an interexchange carrier via telephone company.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- What is an interexchange carrier?
- Interexchange carrier, such as ATET, MCI, Telus, ATC. They are all interexchange carriers.
- Interfacing with those interexchange carriers and/or local exchange carriers, which is also another term used in paragraph 1 of that document, what are local exchange carriers?
- Local exchange carriers, be it in this area Southern Bell. A local exchange carrier gives Joe Q. Public access to interexchange carriers.

You can do that by either dialing "1" and you would be what they call picked to a certain interexchange carrier, or you can do it by dialing a 1-0-XXX, which is the interexchange carrier's ID code to the telephone company.

Let me ask you to take the example of T.S.I. bringing a customer named John Smith into ATC.

What mechanically is done by ATC with regard to that customer to interface with local exchange carriers and/or interexchange carriers for processing of service orders related to switched access for installation of new or

1	additional service
2	What doe
3	happens?

Okay. In paragraph 1, as it reads, it's saying that -- I believe this is Telus.

s that mean in practical terms

- That was a Telus agreement.
- That Telus will be T.S.I.'s agent to process orders to, in this case, Southern Bell to allow customers to make calls on someone's network. It doesn't state whose network at this juncture, so if T.S.I. comes to Telus and says, "I have customer number one here and their telephone number is this," that they will process the order to Southern Bell to allow that customer to get to T.S.I.
- Paragraph 2 of the agreement reads in context that Telus will switch traffic from all Telus' FG-A originating groups from Telus' switching sites, from a technical standpoint.

What does that mean?

Okay. That means that feature group--FG-A is a feature group A trunk. Feature group A gives you a line side connection.

In that type of networking a customer must dial a local seven digit number, enter a PIN

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

number, which is a personal identification number, which allows—I I believe it's going to be Telus' switch at this juncture—to identify the customer as a T.S.I. customer and the customer then would dial the area code and the number that they're trying to reach and the call would be processed through Telus' switch.

- one, as you referred to him or her, is assigned a seven digit access number plus a PIN number. They dial that on their own telephone number and then they dial the area code and the phone number and that's how the call goes through?
  - A. Correct.

. 4

Q. Paragraph 3 makes reference to billable records as reported by the DSC 400 switching system.

What is the DSC 400 switching system?

MR. QUINTON: Let me cite another

objection. Maybe we can have a standing
objection.

I object to this witness answering questions as to what the terms in this agreement mean because there's been no foundation that this witness participated in the drafting of this

agreement or the negotiations or preparation of this agreement so he has no knowledge of what the parties meant at the time they set up this agreement, so I object to him giving his opinion as to what the agreement means.

## BY MR. MALAND:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Go ahead, Mr. Bir.
- Could you state the question again? A.
- Q. Yes, sir.

What is the DSC 400 switching system?

- That is the type of switch manufactured by Digital Switch Corporation. It's called a DSC 400 switch.
  - Q. What does that piece of equipment do?
- It provides a networking function to switch calls once you complete your dialing function to allow the interexchange carrier to switch the call to the called number to process the call through the network.
- In terms of the billing process, what, if anything, did the DSC 400 switching system do?
- DSC 400 switch generates a call detail record for every call that is originated. That goes into what you call a raw detailed call record.

21

22

23

24

25

- Were those records utilized by ATC in generating billing thereafter for calls made through the ATC system?
- According to what I read in paragraph 3 here, it says that the calls will be billed based upon completed calls for T.S.I. and customers identified by off codes.

That's the method that they would identify the customers and the call to be billed, yes.

- Please explain to us the ways that you were familiar with that employees of ATC could adjust the DSC 400 switching system to have an impact on time billed?
- There's no way for them to adjust the DSC switch billing record from the switch to impact billing.
- Let me then enlarge the question and ask you in the various steps that were mechanically followed by ATC in the utilization of its equipment until the billing came out in written form, at the end of the line where along that route could there be a manipulation of the equipment in such a way as to change the billing time?

I won't marrow--I will just tell you how it can be done. I don't know any details of how anybody did it or anything.

In this type of call detail record you have several what they call time points in the switch. Time point 1 is the time when the trunk is seized. Time point 3 is digit collection.

In other words, when a customer finishes dialing the digits, all the digits are collected. Time point 6 is called completion at the distant end. Time point 7 is disconnect at the called number.

Based upon these time points and the parameters of which interexchange carriers determine to bill customers, then they could be billed from any one of these time points.

How would the time point for billing purposes as you've just outlined it mechanically be changed within the mechanical system utilized at ATC?

For example, to go from a time point 1 to a time point 6 or viceversa?

Okay. If someone so chose to bill a customer from time point 1 to time point -- you said "6" but it's probably "7" -- that customer would be

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Jessica R. Berman & Associates, Inc. LITIGATION SUPPORT

CONFERENCE ROOMS

charged for the dial event, post dial delay, the ring event, conversation time.

- Q. Until the conversation terminated and the phone was hung up?
- 9. That is the consequence of changing it from time point 1 to time point 7.
- from an engineering standpoint, where within the equipment would the reprogramming occur so the time point would be changed for billing purposes?
  - A. It would take place in the switch.
  - Q. Where would it take place?
- A. In the billing system that they chose which parameters that they were going to bill a customer.
- Q. Where within ATC's organisational chain of command would the decision be made as to what billing system time parameter to use?
  - A. Based upon my knowledge?
  - Q. Yes, sir. That's all I can ask you.
- A. My knowledge, and I can only base it upon the fact when I worked with Dale Gregory, that faction came from the executive pricing committee, which was comprised of four

22

23

24

individuals.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Who were those four individuals?
- Dale Gregory, myself, Pat Delaney and Mike Grimley.

I take that back. There was one more. There was Ray Bermond.

- So the five of you as a committee acting for ATC would make a determination as to whether it would be time point 1 or time point 7 for billing purposes. Is that correct?
  - A. No.
- How did it work from a decision making 0. standpoint?
- It could be the pricing committee's determination whether to bill from time point 1 to time point 7, time point 3 to time point 7, or as the industry standard is, conversation minutes time point 6 to time point 7.
- The industry standard is what again, 0. sir?
  - Conversation minutes. A.
- Q. That means from the time the person who is receiving the call picks up the receiver until that person who has received the call hangs up the receiver?

1	A. That is correct.
2	Q. That is the industry standard for
3	billing purposes?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. At any time while were you at ATC did
6	the executive pricing committee make a decision
. 7	for ATC to bill a larger amount of time than that
8	industry standard?
9	A. No, sir.
1.0	Q. When you left ATC, to your knowledge did
11	ATC charge more than that industry standard for
12	billing?
13	A. I have no factual knowledge of that.
14	Q. Do you have any information at all
15	concerning that?
16	A. Only hearsay and I really don't think
17	that's admissible.
18	Q. Well, this is a discovery deposition at
19	this point. We will examine admissibility at a
20	later point.
21	In other words, what I would like you to
22	do is tell me what you've heard about that,
23	please.
24	A. There has to be, you know, a little more
25	background established here in order to understand

the entire realm of this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 ..

8 ...

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To go back to the acquisition of Telus by ATC and the factors that were disclosed by Telus at the time of due diligence in which it was disclosed that Telus billed for network minutes, so that based upon that information network minutes are defined as the time that the telephone company charges you for what they call access minutes.

Access minutes are defined as the time from which the customer seizes the circuit assigned to a particular interexchange carrier and under the due dilligene that we went through during the acquisition of Telus, it was disclosed that they billed for network minutes.

- That's what Telus told ATC as part of the due diligence investigation where ATC was acquiring Telus?
- Correct. There was quite a bit of financial analysis done at that time to determine what the loss of revenue would be if we after the acquisition of Telus reverted their customers to the billing parameters that ATC had set forth being billed for conversation minutes only.
  - To understand the context of what you're

<sup>·</sup> VIDEO · TRI-COUNTY SERVICE

2

A

5

. 7 ·

9

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

22

23

--

25

telling me, you're telling me that the ATC billing practice at that time was to bill customers only for conversation minutes?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And so the analysis that you are telling us about now refers to comparing the economic impact of taking Telus' customers and changing them from network minutes, as you've described it, to conversation minutes only. Is that correct?
  - A. That's correct, yes:
- Q. Explain to us in practical terms what that means in terms of dollars and cents.
- A. I don't recall all the raw numbers that we crunched but if you just take this into consideration, it will wary by call and it also varies by how you have your tariff filed, and so forth, so I might as well get into that while we're on the same conversation because Telus at that time I believe, to the best of my recollection, had a tariff filed with the Plorida Public Service Commission that allowed them and stated in their tariff to bill customers for network minutes, so if you just look at the difference in the billing and the events and what has to take place in a telephone call, maybe you

can get a good feel for what the difference would be.

From the time the customer seizes that trunk, dials the PIN number, or access code, dials the area code and number, maybe a post dial delay which can vary anywhere from 6 seconds to 18 seconds, depending upon whose network you terminated on, then your ring event, which depends again on at the distant end how many times they let it ring, so one ring is roughly six seconds, so in some cases before any conversation minutes could go on you could have a half a minute, 45 seconds, so as you can see if you took that over 20, 30 million calls a month at 45 seconds a call, then you can see the impact upon the revenue that would be felt, so--

To understand the context of the practical explanation you just gave us, as you understood it the tariff that Telus had on file for its customers at the time ATC was deciding to acquire Telus allowed Telus to charge its customers for network minutes, which were all of those minutes from when the customer seizes the trunk, does all the pre-dialing events and all the way through the conclusion of the call.

· VIDEO

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

true?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's correct.

But the ATC tariff was a different one 0. and was a more restrictive one in that it only allowed ATC to charge its customers for actual customer talk time. Is that true?

That's correct, and I'm going to preface that, too, because at .some point in time through. all the acquisition of companies and so forth there were numerous tariffs and so forth and, as you had asked me before, regulatory was not one of the functions that reported to me.

We did, however, have regular regulatory meetings of which there was a lot of issues of that nature discussed, so it was our intent as ATC whenever we acquired a company to integrate their customer base into the ATC billing system as quickly as possible and also to not impact the revenue base that was there in doing that.

- Was it your understanding that once Telus, for example, was acquired by ATC its customers in effect became ATC customers who had to be billed under the ATC tariff?
- Once the acquisition was -- the letter of intent was signed and the acquisition, quote, was

5

6

R

11

13

14

16

17 18

19

20

22

23

25

put into place, I was taken out of the picture to make those decisions so I can't answer that question.

- Q. Who made those decisions?
- A. I can't honestly answer that either because again I'll say I was taken out of that position and put into what we call the enhanced services division of ATC.
- Q. I would like to go back to the analysis that you made mention of earlier of the impact on revenue after the acquisition of Telus in comparing the network minutes method of billing versus the talk time method of billing.

Was that reduced to writing?

- A. Was that what?
- Q. Was that reduced to writing? Was there any study done in writing? Was there a memo or anything that I could get ATC to turn over to me so I could prove that they made an informed and intelligent decision with regard to their conduct thereafter?

MR. QUINTON: Object to the form of the question in counsel providing a little speech there, but to the best there was a question presented--

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: On every acquisition we did a lot of number crunching.

For me to say, "Hey, yeah, we did all that and it's recorded somewhere," no. Not that I'm aware of.

I mean, we took customer bases by product and so forth, you know, after the fact for every acquisition and did in-depth studies, the marketing department did those, to see what product there was a fit for between an acquired company and the ATC product line, so I mean that was all after the fact.

Once the decision to go forward with a letter of intent and so forth, all of that, you know, was not recorded as such that I'm aware of.

- We know that the analysis was made and you talked about some of the names, Dale Gregory, Pat Delaney, Mike Grimley and Ray Bermond.
- Q. Who in that group was involved in the comparative analysis of one method of billing versus another method of billing?

MR. QUINTON: Let me state an objection in that it mischaracterizes his testimony. he provided us was the executive pricing committee regarding ATC.

The witness has not stated that these same gentlemen performed an analysis in regard to

MR. MALAND: Fair comment.

- Who were the people who performed the analysis of billing methodology in terms of
- For the purposes of acquisition and depending upon the availability of each executive and their functions and what the focus at the time was when we made acquisitions, we didn't want to, you know, cripple the revenue base that we had at the time so we took whoever was available to do

I believe at this juncture on Telus it was Dale Gregory, Pat Delaney, myself.

- Do you know Pat Delaney's current whereabouts in terms of where Pat works and lives?
- I don't know where Pat is employed at this time. He resides in, I believe, Atlanta, Georgia.
  - Q. Do you know when Pat left ATC's employ?
  - A. After the merger of LDDS and ATC.
  - Q. That is relatively recently, though?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Mike Grimley and Ray Bermond? Is it
3	B-E-R
4	A. B-E-R-N-O-N-D.
5	Q. Do you know where Ray Bermond currently
6	resides and works?
7	A. Ray Bermond resides in Boca Raton and I
8	don't know his current place of employment.
9	Q. To your knowledge is Ray still with ATC?
10	A. No.
11	Q. When did Ray leave ATC?
12	A. Ray left when I was in Washington so it
13	had to be July or August, I believe, of '90.
14	Q. Mike Grimley, do you though where Mike
15	currently lives and works?
16	A. I believe Mike resides in Jersey. He's
17	currently employed by Metro Media.
18	Q. Going back to the analysis you indicated
19	you and two others participated in, what was the
20	conclusion of that analysis in terms of revenue
21	impact, although it seems fairly self-evident.
22	A. Well, there would have been a
23	significant dollar impact after the acquisition
24	was complete based upon the revenue base that
25	Telus had given us, so significant impact.

- 1	
1	Q. Who up the chain of commands at ATC to
2	your knowledge was involved in the decision as to
3	whether or not to continue the billing practice
4	that Telus had used referring to it generally as
5	network minutes for the Telus customers as opposed
6	to switching them over to the ATC talk time method
7	of billing?
8	MR. QUINTON: Let me object to the form
9	of the question.
- 1	

Could you read the question back

(The question was thereupon read by the reporter as above recorded.)

THE WITNESS: I don't have any knowledge as to who made the decision to do that because, like I said, I was taken out of the -- once the deal was cut and Dale Gregory left in June of '90, I was taken out of that function.

BY MR. MALAND:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Without asking you who made the decision, because you appear to not be really certain of that, what decision was made as to the methodology of billing what used to be Telus' customers?
  - Only from conversation with some friends

- As best as you can understand it.
- A. As best as I understand, yes.
- Who was it that informed you of that?
- You know, there were just--you know, it was just -- I can't even remember who specifically--but there were--I mean, I met some people at a social function and the conversation just, you know, wandered about ATC and Telus and, "What do you do, " and "How's everything going," and I really don't recall who specifically said it but there was conversation regarding the billing system and how there was going to be -- there would

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	be some problems down the road if it continued
2	like that, and then I believe shortly after that
3	there were articles in the paper about the PSC and
4	State of Plorida, which described the verythe
5	network minute billing method that Telus had
6	deployed.
7	Q. I am going to ask you to assume that the
8	ATC tariff on file in Florida only allowed billing
9	of ATC customers for talk time.
10	Is it fair to say then that if that is a
11	true statement that ATC billing for anything more

MR. QUINTON: Let me state an objection. I don't think this witness has been qualified as an expert to testify as to tariffs. He's already stated that the regulatory people did not report to him so I object to the question.

MR. SELF: This is Ployd Self. apologize for interrupting.

than actual talk time violated its tariff?

I would in addition to that ask that it be clarified as to which tariff we're talking about.

BY MR. MALAND:

Go ahead, Mr. Bir. So you understand, the attorneys have a

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

duty to state objections as they feel is in their client's best interest, but this is a discovery deposition at this point and I'm trying to get educated and trying to get to the bottom of this?

- A. Such as ATC's tariff was filed, when I was involved in the decision making of how the customers were to be billed the tariff read as conversation minutes. That's how the customer was to be billed.
  - Q. Only for conversation minutes?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. The second part of my question was:
  Then the method of billing for network minutes
  would have been improper on the part of ATC given
  that tariff. Is that true?

MR. QUINTON: Same objection.

For the record, this witness is not qualified to answer that question. He hasn't been established as an expert on giving opinion testimony on tariffs.

MR. MALAND: We will let the judge make that decision.

THE WITHESS: Yes.

MR. QUINTON: I want to state I don't think this witness has been qualified to having

knowledge for or in regard to ATC for the period of time which you're asking him.

BY MR. MALAND:

- Q. Mr. Bir, was part of your job to determine that the systems within ATC you were responsible for managing were operating in such a manner as to comply with the ATC tariff on file with the State of Florida?
- A. When I was responsible for operations in engineering, that is true and correct.
- Q. You educated me a the bit earlier about some records that I would like to get my hands on and I would like to learn a little bit more about it.

The call detail record for every call generated by the DSC 400 switch, would you tell me physically what those detail records look like, please?

A. Well, depends upon the mode in which they're retained or whatever. You can get the call detail record in a physical printout from a printer. However, the normal method is either by mag tape or by a method called file transfer, which then goes onto a tape which you mount onto the computer which then determines the call detail

record.
Q.
the com
call de
A.
that yo
month p
Q.
physica
of ATC?
A.
Q.
A.
Q.
the org
those r
A.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Q.	Whil	le you	wer	work	ing	for	ATC,	what	Was
the	compai	ny's	polic	y in	terms	of	ret	ainin	g tho	<b>se</b>
call	detai	11 -	acord.		von in		dono	-thed	*	2

- A. I believe in compliance with the FCC that you must retain billing records for an 18 month period.
- Q. Where were the call detail records physically stored while you were still an employee of ATC?
  - A. I don't recall.
  - Q. Were they up in Boca Raton, Florida?
  - A. I believe they were, yes.
- Q. Do you recall who was responsible within the organisation for overseeing the storage of those records?
- A. It was the vice-president of information services, which his name was Ed Janowsky.
- Q. To your knowledge, is Mr. Janowsky still an employee of ATC?
  - A. No.
- Q. Is anyone still an employee of ATC that you used to work with? Just kidding.

Let's focus on Mr. Janowsky.

Is Mr. Janowsky to your knowledge

1	working in the phone industry or, to put it as I
2	did with the others, do you know where he resides
3	and works at this time?
4	A. Yes. Mr. Janowsky works for Cellular
5	One in a similar position as to ATC.
6	Q. Is that in South Florida somewhere?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. If I understand you correctly, the
9	second type of record keeping was raw detail call
10	records.
11	Is that something different than the
12	call detail records?
13	A. The raw detail record comes off of the
14	switch itself. It provides you the raw detail
15	based upon time points 1, 3, 6 and 7 and the raw
16	detail record is then manipulated by the billing
17	system to bill the customers based upon the call
18	parameters that are set up and decided by the
19	billing company.
20	Q. Physically what raw detail call records
21	were retained by ATC while you were still employed
22	there?
23	A. All of the call detail records of to
24	comply with the FCC regulations of 18 months.

Q.

The manipulation of the billing system,

I would like to focus on that for a moment.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is it fair to state that if ATC manipulated or changed the time point for billing purposes that that would have an impact on the amount of money they charged to a customer of ATC?

- That's correct.
- While you were at ATC who was responsible for overseeing that very function?

By that I mean the time points in the billing system for ATC's customers.

- Bd Janowsky.
- Are you aware of any instance or instances where the time point parameters for the billing of ATC customers was changed at the direction of any high officials at ATC?
- Only upon an acquisition would there be a change in a billing parameter and that would be done--I will give you an example of that--when we acquired ATC -- when Microtel acquired ATC, ATC had no less than five or six billing systems, and the number escapes me at this time, and each billing center had their own mechanism and way of billing gustomers.

In other words, the billing center in San Antonio, Texas didn't look anything like the billing center in Atlanta. The billing center in Austin, Texas didn't look anything like the others, so when you acquire a company and you have to kind of integrate those customers that you acquire into your billing system, the one you're going to stick with, so once you acquire a customer base and you make the decision to bill them in a certain manner, such was at that juncture, Microtel/ ATC's decision to bill the customers from time point 6 to 7, be it conversation minutes, then that was the time that you made a decision in upper management knowing the impact it was going to have on the revenue base with all those factors in hand. Then you made the decision to change the billing parameters to the conversation minutes.

I mean, that's at least the decisions that were made when I was in the decision making process.

- If I wanted to show how much time was 0. billed to ATC customers over and above actual talk time, and for purposes of this question I am asking you just to assume that occurred, what records would you suggest I get to do that?
  - You'd probably have to start with the A.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

raw call detail records from the switch and look at what time points were flagged and go from there.

- Q. Assume that I know nothing about what you mean by "what time points were flagged and go from there" and that I'm going to have to sit down with the circuit judge or U. S. district judge, who maybe knows a little bit more than me but not a whole lot more, what do you mean when you say "look at what time points were flagged"?
- A. Whoever is doing the billing system at this juncture for ATC, which I believe it's BDS, I mean I'm sure the people that run the billing system for them would not change a billing parameter unless they were directed by someone at ATC so, I mean, I think you ought to start right there to start with if you're looking for some way to find out information because I can't answer the question you're asking to tell you where to find it.
- Q. Is there a specific thing within the raw call detail records for the switch, a code or something of that nature, that shows me as a layperson what time points were flagged?
  - A. You'd have to get a printout from the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

raw call detail record. You'd have to get it physically printed out and it will give you on there time point 1, 3, 6, 7 and it will give you the elapsed time on each one.

- On each phone call?
- Yeah.
- . What would I be comparing there in those records to know how much the actual talk time was versus the billed time, assuming it was billed above the talk time? Do you follow me?
- You're going to have to look at each call detail record and get the billing--see, you have to get -- you can't just say, "Hey, I can do this. I can do that," because there are things in the switch called clicks and every click is three seconds so, I mean, the closest you can get is three seconds, so, you know, you've got to look at the call detail record, the raw record, and get the time point 1 to time point 7 event seconds or minutes or whatever it is and then compare it to the bill for that particular call to whatever customer it is.
- I've been involved in cases before where you get the computerised information. I don't profess to know a whole lot about computers but

you get the raw data on its computer disc or the tapes and you feed that into another computer and it tells you the difference, for example, between the actual talk time and the billing time, if there is a difference.

Mechanically, do you think that could be done, here?

- A. I'm sure it can be done. You'd have to find a billing company that would do it for you.
- Q. So if I were to get ATC to turn over to me the physical printouts and/or the mag tapes and/or the file transfer tapes of the call detail records for the switch and then compare that to similar computer based information for a billing company, that sophisticated computer should be able to tell me the differential and the volume of difference between the actual talk time and the billing time?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know such a billing company that has that capacity?
  - A. No.
- Q. Would Mr. Janowsky do you think be a good person to ask about that?

I guess what I'm trying to find is who

1	is it whose knowledge about computer and billing
2	and so forth that I could tap into to get to the
3	right place.
4	A. I'm sure Ed could answer the question.
5	Q. Could the computers at ATC itself
6	accomplish that comparative analysis?
7	A. I'm sure they could.
8	Q. If it's not Ed, who would have been in
9	charge of doing that when you were there? Was it
10	Ed Janowsky?
11	A. Right.
12	Q. Do you know who took over Ed Janowsky's
13	position at ATC?
14	A. Ray Yeager.
15	Q. When were you at ATC did you ever
16	destroy any of the raw call detail records for the
17	switch?
18	A. No, sir. I did not personally.
19	Q. Are you aware of them being destroyed or
20	were they always retained?
21	A. I'm sure that after a period of time
22	which exceeded the requirement to which you must
23	retain call detail records that they werethose
24	mag tapes are not cheap so they reuse them over

and over again.

Once you get through the 18 month process you are going to take the tape and reuse it.

- Q. Do you know for a fact that happened at ATC or are you just supposing that?
  - A. I know it happened, yes.
- Q. I am going to go back now to the agreement marked as Exhibit A and ask you to look at paragraph 4 for a second where it says, "Telus was to generate end of the month reports relevant to traffic distribution and tape output of relevant T.S.I. CDR call distribution reports."

What is traffic distribution?

NR. QUINTON: Note my same objection regarding this witness' qualifications to interpret this document.

knowledge, relevant to what I understood, ATC, which is not their document, would base that traffic distribution from point of origin to wherever the call terminated, which would be the traffic distribution based upon your calling customer base, which would allow you at some juncture—I'm just going to tell you what it would be used for, okay, what the report would be used

1 for.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The report would be used for -- say that if I at some juncture down the line did not want, to be a switchless carrier, which I understand T.S.I. was, that I could acquire my own switch and acquire circuits, terminating circuits, circuits to accomodate the traffic distribution based upon my customer base.

Q. The relevant T.S.I. CDR, call distribution reports, what are the call distribution reports?

> MR. QUINTON: Same objection.

THE WITHESS: I'm not familiar with what a call distribution report is but a CDR is a call detail record.

BY MR. MALAND:

- And we've talked about that earlier? Q.
- A. Right.
- Where on page 3 of the agreement under the heading "method of service" it says all, T.S.I. customers will be turned up through FG-A facilities with the use of dialers," would you explain to us what FG-A facilities are?
- Those are facilities that are provided by the local exchange carrier to allow access to

1	an interexchange carrier's network.
2	Q. In this particular case was that
3	Southern Bell that provided that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. What equipment exactly did ATC or
6	Transcall America or Telus furnish to T.S.I.?
7	A. No physical equipment.
8	Q. So they're like a middle man Southern
9	Bell and T.S.I.?
10	Is that a fairly overbroad but accurate
11	statement?
12	A. It's kind of a broad statement, put it
13	that way.
14	Q. But is it accurate?
15	A. They werethey owned feature
16	groups feature group A facilities from Southern
17	Bell that the T.S.I. customers accessed the
18	terminating network. That's it.
19	Q. If you ain't an expert, I don't know
20	what is, but when someone sits in a deposition and
21	says stuff like that, and he's the only one in the
22	room that knows what's going on, I think you
23	qualify as an expert.
24	Let me just take it down a couple
25	notches so that I can understand it.

1 What is the feature group A equipment 2 that you referred to as being owned by ATC? 3 Well, feature group A, those circuits are provided by Southern Bell to allow customer A 5 or customer 1 or customer 2, or whoever, to dial a 6 seven digit number, get access to that particular 7 interexchange carrier's network switch, then continue dialing an access code or a PIN number, 8 9 which allows the switch to identify that particular customer, continue dialing the area 10 11 code and the terminating telephone number, and the 12 call is then processed through that interexchange carrier's switch to the distant end called number 13 14 and then the call is terminated at the distant end. 15 16 MR. RODRIGUES: Can I speak with you for 17 a second? 18 MR. MALAND: We'll take a five minute 19 preak. 20

(Short break.)

## BY MR. MALAND:

Going back to the agreement where the heading is on "domestic traffic", briefly could you explain to us the four different areas referred to?

21

22

23

24

12

13

14

15

9

10

11

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

They have traffic broken down in four different areas, intraLATA traffic or South Bast traffic LATA 460, that being defined as an example -- Bell has established what they call a LATA, which is a Local Access Transport Area, and that defines a geographic area, geographic calling area, and in this example it is cited as intraLATA traffic in LATA 460, which extends from Key West to Sebastian, thus being the defined LATA, that traffic would be a call from, as an example, Miami to West Palm would be intraLATA.

The next category is interLATA, which is defined as originating from the South East LATA and terminating outside of LATA 460, which is the LATA I defined from Key West to Sebastian, so that as an example would be a call from Miami to Jacksonville, so it would be a 305 area code to a 904 area code.

Interstate private lines. If we're talking about -- which it's hard to determine at this juncture here, from this document, interstate private lines are defined as thus: TSI being a switchless and a non facility based carrier would not have interstate private lines. ATC because they own their own fiber network have interstate

private lines.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Interstate private lines in this context as it's stated, it says, "Originating South Bast, terminating interstate on net."

ATC's fiber network that they own themselves that originated in the southeast to define this example, would be from, say, Miami to Atlanta and a private line being defined as a Tl or an individual VF, which is a voice frequency circuit on that individual T1, say from Miami to Atlanta.

I really can't -- like I said, I can't determine whether they're talking about switch traffic or whatever they're talking about here because you can't determine it from the wording that's here in this agreement.

Interstate all others -- I'll have to assume here because it's not clear at all -- I'm going to have to assume that that interstate would mean something that was terminated on someone else's network, and it's very unclear here so I can't ascertain the last two from the document that's here.

The reference to, "All calls will be billed in six second increments, " to your

3

. 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

knowledge was there a standard in the industry at the time you worked for ATC insofar as billing increments was concerned?

Well, there was a -- yes, and no. You get back to the point of how your tariff is filed and so forth. When I first began at Microtel you had some products that were billed in minimum -- I mean whenever you made a call you had a 30 second minimum bill no matter if your call was ten seconds, you just got a 30 second bill. Some products, they had a one minute minimum so--but as time evolved on and the competitive nature of the business became more and more and more, and carriers went to six second increment billing, then if you were going to be a viable player in the industry you had to evolve your billing system to be billed in six second increments.

## Q. Thank you.

I'm going to turn now to certain of the allegations made by my client against ATC and Transcall, which I am referring to as ATC.

MR. QUINTON: Can we go ahead and have this marked while we're still on the eleven page document.

(Whereupon, the document referred

1	to was marked Defendant's Exhibit A for
2	Identification.)
3	BY MR. MALAND:
4	Q. I am going to refer now to paragraph 33
5	of our complaint in the state court action.
6	Paragraph 33A alleges certain wrongful conduct by
7	ATC and Transcall, A, saying that they billed in
8	violation of and in excess of the charges allowed
9	under Transcall's tariff on file with the Plorida
10	Public Service Commission.
11	Mr. Bir, I would like to know what
12	information you possess concerning billing by ATC
13	and/or Transcall in violation of its tariff with
14	the Florida Public Service Commission.
15	MR. QUINTON: Object to the form of the
16	question.
17	THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of
18	their incorrect billing, no personal knowledge.
19	BY MR. MALAND;.
20	Q. Whatever knowledge you have has just
21	been told to you by others. Is that true?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. Would you go ahead and relate to us what
24	you have heard, please?
25	A. It goes back, I guess, to the original
- 1	

0. Let me turn now to paragraph 33B, direct billing of T.S.I.'s customers despite a course of dealing which was not permitted in an effort and with the intent to steal T.S.I.'s customers.

Do you have any information as to why ATC would have direct billed any of T.S.I.'s customers?

MR. QUINTON: Object to the form of the question. It assumes that it was done.

MR. MALAMD: It was done.

BY MR. MALAND:

6

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Go ahead.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- No, sir. I don't.
- Q. Do you know anything from your own personal knowledge about the course of dealing between TSI and ATC?
  - A. No, sir.
- Do you have any knowledge of any of the dealings between ATC and other parties similarly situated to TSI, meaning switchless resellers like TSI?
- Maybe there's a question. I don't understand the question.
- Q. You may not have first-hand knowledge of the way that ATC and T.S.I. dealt with each other on a day to day basis and what the practice was in terms of whether ATC would send a bill to T.S.I. and then T.S.I. was responsible for billing directly to their customers or some other practice was concerned, but you may know about what was done in similar cases where ATC had a business relationship with other switchless resellers, so what I'm trying to find out is what you know about that area of ATC's business practices generally.
- It was a determination by ATC as a company when I was with ATC and in a position to

make decisions of this nature that we did not allow switchless resellers on the ATC switch and I can explain why. Okay? You're opening yourself up to several different issues here.

Mumber one, you own the feature groups that they're accessing, that the switchless reseller is accessing, and you are--you as the owner of that feature group from the Bell operating company are held liable for the bills for access minutes both originating and terminating.

It was ATC's decision based upon that fact that we have no way to collect the money from a switchless reseller other than rendering a bill and then we were directly liable to the telephone company for the access minutes that we decided not to do that particular function.

If the particular reseller determined that they would like to acquire their own access circults, we would lease them ports on our switch and they then would be liable for the access minutes to the local exchange carrier.

That is the only way when I was an officer of ATC that we allowed a switchless reseller to be on our switch.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. When you say be on your switch, mechanically what does that mean?
- A. That means that that particular reseller such as a T.S.I. could acquire their own access trunks, their own feature group A's from the local telephone company and they would be physically connected to the ATC switch.
- Q. Turning now to 33C, are you aware of any advertising and soliciting of T.S.I.'s customers made by ATC?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. Is that outside of the realm of your day to day functioning at ATC?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Turning to 33D, there's an allegation that ATC and/or Transcallimproperly billed for calls that were not made, that were not completed, that had busy signals and/or bad connections.

Is that more in the realm of information that you would have dealt with on a day to day basis?

A. As far as this T.S.I. and so forth, I really can't answer to any knowledge of the T.S.I. billing parameters or functions as such to this particular scope.

- 18 19 20 time--I'm really not clear when you left.
  - '90, I believe.
  - So now that we fixed that, between, let's, say July 7, '89 through Movember '90 when you left, who would have been the person at ATC who fielded customer complaints?

22

23

24

23

24

- A. The director of customer service who would have been responsible for that function would have been Sheila Ackerman.
  - Q. Is she still with ATC?
  - A. I don't believe so.
  - Q. Do you know where she resides and works?
- A. I believe she resides in Boca Raton,
  Florida. I have no knowledge of where she's
  employed.
- Q. Do you happen to know if she's married or single and, if she's married, what her husband's name is?
  - A. Her husband's name is Steve Ackerman.
  - Q. A-C-K-R-R-M-A-M?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Paragraph 33E, and again I'm going to make it a little broader because of the comments you've made about not necessarily having personal knowledge about T.S.I.'s customers, I'm going to ask you generally about your knowledge of overcharging and adding time to calls by ATC or Transcall while you worked there.
  - A. Was it done?
  - Q. Yes, sir.
  - A. No, it was not, not while I was there.

•	Q. was it done after you left?
2	A. I have no personal knowledge of that.
3	Q. Have you heard it was done?
4	A. I heard that they changed their billing
5	methodology to the way that Telus had done it and
6	the knowledge that I have of Telus' billing method
7	was based upon the due diligence that was
8	performed in the acquisition of Telus by ATC.
9	Q. How could ATC have added time to calls?
10	I guess what I'm looking at is a primer
11	on the way ATC could have cheated its customers.
12	MR. QUINTON: I object to the form of the
13	question.
14	Move to strike it.
15	BY MR. MALAND:
16	Q. Go ahead.
17	A. I mean, I can sit here and make up
18	thousands of scenarios how somebody could add
19	minutes to something or whatever. That's a real
20	hard one to answer.
21	MR. QUINTON: I also object on the basis
22	you are asking the witness to speculate. He's
23	already advised that he has no idea.
24	MR. MALAND: That's a
25	mischaracterisation of his testimony.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

What he said is he could think of a thousand ways for them to add time.

I would like to know some of the more common ones so when I ask the ATC executives or employees if they did those, I can ask with intelligence.

THE WITNESS: I mean, you could take a billing system and say, "Okay, I've got this time point that I'm billing from, " be it time point 6 to 7, and automatically say, "Hey, I'm going to add 30 seconds to each call." There's no magic to It's something that could be done. You could add a minute. You could add five minutes. You could at whatever amount you wanted.

MR. QUINTON: Just for the record, I'm going to move to strike this question and the whole answer as totally speculative based on the witness' testimony.

There's been no precedent that he is aware of any of this being done at Telus Transcali or ATC.

MR. MALAMD: You don't have to make speaking objections and you reserve your right to move to strike at the time of trial, Counsel, so why don't we just get on with the discovery

deposition where the witness who obviously is very knowledgeable about how the mechanics of how ATC ran its business can tell me the different ways it was possible to manipulate the billing to add time.

That's the nature of the question here and that's perfectly permissible.

BY MR. MALAND:

Q. I've heard one way, which is to take the billing system and I guess you are saying reprogram it in such a way as to add time to each call. That's one way.

## How else?

A. There was-there are certain things that you can look at on the switch, such as ring back tone default, which is described as I make a long distance call, switch gets the call, the call gets to the distant end, it rings and rings and rings and rings and rings, and if you set a parameter on your switch, not the switch, the bill system, that says, "Okay, if I get this ring back tone default parameter and it's 45 seconds," as an example, "then I bill the call as though it's an answered call even though it was not answered."

You can have the same exact parameter on

busy tone default. You can get customers that will call and the line will be busy on the distant end and they will sit there with the receiver off the hook for X amount of seconds, or whatever, and however you define it in the software of your billing system, you can either pick up revenue or throw revenue away based upon how you want to bill calls.

Again, I'm going to say that calls at ATC while I was in control of the decision making process or part of the decision making process were billed for conversation minutes only.

MR. RODRIGUES: I want to make a note.

MR. QUINTON: I am going to object to you saying -- you have an attorney here representing If you want to speak with him, that's fine. BY MR. MALAND:

- Q. Whose billing system was T.S.I. on there, Telus' billing system or ATC's?
- I'm going to have to recall here for a second when the acquisition of Telus was made. believe it was in March or so of '90. I can't recall.

MR. RODRIGUES: Might even be a little bit earlier, actually.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

<sup>·</sup> VIDEO

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

12

13

11

14 15

17

18

16

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

THE WITNESS: I really can't recall so they were--based upon this agreement or exhibit we have here in front of us, that's a Telus exhibit, so they had to be on Telus' billing system. BY MR. MALAND:

- Then after the acquisition are you saying they remained on Telus' billing system or went over to ATC's billing system?
- I'm sure that they remained on Telus' billing system.
  - Why is that? Why are you sure of that?
- Well, ATC had a switch in Fort Lauderdale. Telus' switch was in Miami. Just the sheer size of the feature groups that both companies had on those two switches would not allow the combination of the switch to take place, that the port capacity of the two 400's, you couldn't do it.
- Just so you know, Mr. Bir, I'm not suggesting that you personally had any control over the billing system by my questions because, you know, you've taken a particular point of saying that while you were involved in the decision making process certain things took place but I also want to make it clear that you -- is it

1 true that you were not the person responsible for hands on controlling the billing computers at ATC 2 3 while you were there? Is that true? A. That's correct. 5 Who was responsible for the hands on Q. control of the billing systems? By that I mean 6 7 the computer billing systems at ATC while you were 8 there. 9 Bd Janowsky. A. 10 So is it fair to say that if your 11 earlier testimony was you didn't have any personal 12 first hand knowledge of there being any manipulation of ATC's computer billing system 13 14 while you were there to add seconds or to change the defaults on the billing parameters, that 15 16 doesn't necessarily mean that someone else didn't 17 do it? 18 In other words, it may have been done by 19 omeone without your knowledge. Isn't that true? 20 MR. QUINTON: Object to the form. 21 THE WITHESS: It's possible. 22 BY MR. MALAND: 23 Q. Let's be clear. Anything is possible. 24 A. Sure.

· VIDEO

25

Jessica R. Berman & Associates, Inc. . UTIGATION SUPPORT . CONFERENCE ROOMS

Q.

I would like the record to be clear that

That's true.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- But let's be equally clear that you don't know if that was done or was not done by someone else at ATC. Is that true?
  - That's correct.
- Just finishing up here on paragraph 33B, there are certain allegations made about billing twice for calls that were overlapping. In other words, two separate line items on a bill for a call being made twice at the same time.

Again, is that a function of the billing computer or something that would have been outside of the realm of your day to day handling?

- Yes.
- So the actual -- maybe I can hold an umbrella up here on these questions--from a big picture standpoint the questions dealing with the physical mechanics of how the bills were generated by ATC's billing computers were not within your

23

24

25

personal knowledge and domain?

- That's correct.
- 0. I would have to ask Ed Janowsky about that?
  - That's correct.
- 0. Who ran the Telus switch when it was acquired by ATC?
- I don't know the individual's name that ran it but the person that was ultimately responsible was Rudy McGlashan.
- Q. Who ran the Telus billing after Telus was acquired by ATC?
  - Ray Yeager.
- 0. Why did you not have control over the Telus switch after Telus was acquired by ATC?
- Because I was the -- the acquisition as I stated before--once the acquisition was signed and we agreed as the two companies that the networks needed to be integrated in order to achieve the most network efficiencies and, you know, get the synergies of the two companies together as quickly as possible, Morman Klugman was named as chief operating officer and Rudy McGlashan was named as vice-president of operations and engineering, and I was placed as vice-president of enhanced

services, so I was taken out of the quote unquote long distance operations and put into the enhanced services.

- Q. What reasons were you given for taking you out of the long distance area?
- A. The fact that -- really I wasn't given any reasons, okay, but the fact that there was a shift in control of the company to the Telus organization.

I wasn't Norman Klugman's right hand man, so to speak, and therefore I was shifted out of that organization.

- .Q. Did you ever express the opinion to anybody that in your mind it would be improper in any way to charge Telus customers for network minutes as opposed to talk time after the acquisition by ATC?
- A. No. I didn't express that to anyone there.
  - Q. Did you ever express that to anyone?
- A. Not that I can recall. I don't believe I did.
- Q. Let me see if I can put it this way: To what extent do you believe that your concern that ATC's customers be billed properly and in

accordance with the tariff affect ATC's decision to move you out of the long distance area.

MR. QUINTON: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not the person you should be asking that question.

## BY MR. MALAND:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. I will get to him sooner or later but I would like to ask your opinion because you were there and you seem to be someone who is concerned about that.
- A. I'll just say that, you know, based upon the due diligence and based upon the way that ATC had billed customers being for conversation minutes that—and it had always been our practice as a company to bill for conversation minutes—that if I had been—if the organization had stayed the way it was, then the decision would have been made to convert the customers to conversation minutes billing, the Telus customer base.

Like I said, that decision making process was alleviated.

- Q. Was that made at a higher level than your level of office in ATC?
  - A. Was what made?

A. I have no personal knowledge to that.

The decision concerning the method of

- Q. To what extent do you understand that

  Norman Klugman participated in the decision making

  concerning the billing method by ATC after the

  Telus acquisition?
- A. I can only say that based upon the levels of the people that were there prior to the acquisition such as the chairman of the board being Steve Raville, Dale Gregory being the president and chief operating officer, and then your executive vice-presidents under that making a decision process, that I would have to say that based upon that structure that Norman being ultimately Dale Gregory's replacement was in that decision process along with the other senior vice-presidents of the company.
- Q. And the chairman of the board, Mr. Raville?
- A. I would have to assume that because that was the way it was when Steve was the chairman of the board and Dale was there.
- Q. Forgive me if I already asked you this, but why did Ray Yeager continue to handle the

22

23

24

25

Telus billing after the acquisition as opposed to Mr. Janowsky or someone else?

- Mr. Janowsky's services were rendered no longer necessary by the company.
- So his employment was ended and Mr. Yeager took over the billing function?
  - Yes, sir.
- Did controlling the Telus switch after the acquisition of Telus by ATC become a problem relating to loss of personnel?
  - I'm not sure of that. I don't know.
- Q. You don't have personal knowledge of that?
- See, because you have to remember this, okay -- you have to go back to where I went right after the acquisition was completed. In June when Dale Gregory left we had acquired a company -- we . had invested in a company in Washington D.C. called Data America and there were several participants in that, be it Telesphere, ATC and a gentleman by the name of Francesco Galesi.

Somewhere I'd say 90 days into the investment by these groups of people the money that they had invested seemed to be gone so the chairman of the board, Steve Raville, who I

reported to at the time, asked me to go to Washington to find out what was going on with Data America, so from July or even I believe it was even prior to that -- in other words, there were some times when I flew up there for the week and flew back on the weekends and so forth.

From that time frame to mid November I was in Washington D.C. at Data America trying to resolve the problems and to straighten out the revenue and get the costs in line at that company, so I'm not privy to the personnel problems that were going on in Boca and -- at that time.

- Q. Are you aware of a letter being issued by Mr. Morman Klugman directing that the time parameters be switched from time point 6 to time point 1?
- Only from the standpoint that -- that the State Attorney General's office informed me that that letter did exist.
- Are you aware of that letter being given to any employees at ATC by Mr. Klugman to be effectuated and the reaction of those employees?
- I believe that there was some concern by some individuals saying that they were concerned about their large users, if this change were

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 effected.

2

3

5

6

7

. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Who was it within ATC that expressed that concern?
- A. I'm getting this third hand, so, there was an employee that worked in the IS department for Telus that was eventually retained by EDS, and I cannot recall that gentleman's name.
  - Q. Dan Merit?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Joe Signorelli?
  - A. Joe Signorelli.
  - Q. What do you recall about --
- A. There again, that was--when conversations that were related to me by a third party and conversation that went on at that social function, there were several prior employees of ATC and still employees of ATC.
- Q. Just generally speaking, what was the concern there concerning if that change were effectuated and what the backlash would be from major users?
- A. Well, there wasn't really a cold blanket statement that came out but being in the industry the effect that—as an example, I will use this as a broad base because this has been in the press

and everything else, that the State of Florida was concerned about their billing because it came -- one month it was this amount and all of a sudden it went to this amount, so the concern, you know, obviously should have been that, "Hey, here's a revenue jump and a billing increase."

Somebody is going to ask a question, "Where the hell did it come from? Why this month and not last month?"

- Q. Do you know a Cathy Biondillio?
- Yes. Cathy Biondillio.
- Where does she reside? 0.
- Cathy is, I believe, currently manager of switch engineering.
  - 0. Is that at ATC?
  - Yes. I'm sorry.
- Q. Is it true that Cathy was one of the people who expressed a concern about the effectuation of that change and the time point parameters that had been requested by Morman Klugman?
- Yes.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Please tell me what she expressed to you.
  - A. She only expressed the fact that

1	
2	(Discussion off the record.)
3	Q. Was there a concern expressed that to
4	effectuate the change that had been requested by
5	Mr. Klugman in the time point parameters would
6	violate the tariff on file with the Florida Public
7	Service Commission?
8	A. I don't believe that that wasyou know,
9	here again, this conversation took place after the
10	social function and there was, you know, partaking
11	of alcoholic beverages and so forth so it's, you
12	knowI don't believe that there was a concern
13	there.
14	That's just my interpretation. I can't
15	answer for that.
16	Q. Did you derive from those comments that
17	there was a concern that it would have been an
18	unethical practice to have effectuated the change?
19	MR. QUINTON: Object to the form.
20	THE WITHESS: I really can't say one way
21	or the other.
22	BY MR. MALAND:
23	Q. Who was present when those conversations
24	took place?
25	A. There was a lot of people. There

was concerned about the large users.

1	wasyou know, it was just general conversation.
2	When you work with people for a period
3	of time and you meet them again and you say, "Hey,
4	how's work going? How's this? How's that," I
5	don't recall all of the people that were there.
6	Cathy, myself, Tom Richards. There were
7	a lot of people in and out at various times:
8	People would have a couple of drinks and leave and
9	so forth, so
10	Q. Where was this conversation or the
11	social gathering that you were referring to?
12	A. I believe it was at the Cove, Deerfield
13	Beach.
14	Q. Approximately when was it?
15	A. Oh, God.
16	Q. It was after you left the company?
17	A. Long after, yes.
18	Q. What was the occasion? Do you know?
19	Was it someone's birthday party or something in
20	particular?
21	A. No. It was just "What are you going
22	to do tonight?" Social gathering.
23	Q. "Let's get together and have a drink and
24	chit chat" ?

1 Tallahassee attorney. It's a late hour. 2 have places to go. They have turned off the air conditioning here, and we all agreed that Mr. Bir 3 will voluntarily appear at a time convenient to him for the conclusion of his deposition and we're 5 going to try to schedule the location closer to 6 his counsel's office or closer to downtown Fort 7 Lauderdale. 8 9 Is that agreeable to everybody? 10 MR. QUINTON: Try to reset it within a 11 reasonable time. Is that okay? 12 MR. MALAND: Okay. 13 MR. BRADLEY: Okay. 14 (Whereupon, the deposition adjourned at 15 6:15 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE							
2	STATE OF FLORIDA )							
3	COUNTY OF DADE )							
4								
5	I, Suzanne Dunay Siegel, Court							
6	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the deposition of JERRY BIR, a							
7	witness herein; that the deponent was first duly							
8	sworn by me; that reading and signing of the deposition were waived by the deponent and							
9	counsel; that the foregoing pages, numbered 1 to 75, inclusive, constitute a true record thereof.							
10	I further certify that I am not of							
11	counsel, I am not related to nor employed by an attorney to this suit, not interested in the outcome thereof.							
12								
13	The foregoing certification does not apply to any reproduction of this transcript by							
14	any means unless under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying shorthand reporter.							
15	Dated at Miami Bade County							
16	Florida, this 9th day of June, 1931 Aureling Jea							
17	0.0							
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24							

EXHIBIT \_\_\_\_\_\_(JB - A)

AGREEMENT

BY AND BETWEEN

TELUS CONHUNICATIONS, INC.

AND

TELECOMM SERVICES INC.

JULY 1989



DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT

THOSE A FOR THE STATE OF THE STATE O

### AGREEMENT

This	Agreement	is ente	red into	on 2	vay	71		1989,	by
and	between	Telus	Communi	cation		Inc.,	a	Flori	ida
corp	oration wi	th an o	ffice at	1020	N.W.	163	Drive,	Mian	ni,
Flor	ida 33169,	(herein	after "T	elus")	, and	Tele	COMM	Servi	ces
Inc.	a Florida	corpora	tion with	an of	fice	at _			_
	(her	einafter	"T.S.I.	").					

Whereas, T.S.I. desires to receive the services described in the Service Descriptions and related Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein (hereinafter the "Service") from Telus, and Telus is willing to provide the Service pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth herein:

Now, therefore, T.S.I. and Telus hereby mutually agree as follows:

# GENERAL SCOPE OF SERVICE

Telus and T.S.I. agree that Telus will perform the following services listed below under the following terms and conditions contained in this document.

 Act as agent for all T.S.I. customers in interfacing with Local Exchange Carriers (LEC) and or Interexchange carrings (IXC) for processing of service orders related to switched access for installation of new or additional service and or disconnection of said service and/or cancellation of said service per T.S.I. request.

- Switch traffic from all Telus' Fg-A originating groups from Telus' switching sites.
- 3. To bill and receive revenue at our P. O. Box from billable records as reported by the DSC 400 switching system generated from completed calls for T.S.I. customers identified by auth codes. Billing would extend to actual mailing of each invoice by Telus to all T.S.I. customers.
- To generate end of month reports relevant to traffic distribution and tape output of relevant T.S.I. CDR, call distribution reports.

#### TERMS AND AGREEMENT

The minimum term of this agreement will be 1 year which will commence at the signing of this contract.

# PAYMENT & DEPOSITS DISPUTES

Telus reserves the right to require a deposit in the event it is determined that T.S.I. has been delinquent in its payment to Telus for services rendered.

### METHOD OF SERVICE

All T.S.I. customers will be turned up through FG-A facilities with the use of Dialers. The Dialers Purchase, Installation and Maintenance will be the sole responsibility of T.S.I. Each T.S.I. customer will be assigned with an auth code to access Telus' network on a customer by customer basis to a designated point of contact at T.S.I.

#### DOMESTIC TRAFFIC

The Rate Structure will be as outlined below for Domestic and International traffic. Traffic is divided into four areas.

IntraLATA Traffic or (South East traffic LATA 460)

InterLATA Traffic (Originating South East

Terminating outside of LATA 460)

Interstate Private Lines (Originating South East
Terminating Interstate on Net\*)

Interstate all other areas.

The rates quoted will be for all periods i.e. Day/Evening/Night-Weekend. All calls will be billed in 6 second increments.

### COST PER MINUTE

	Day	Evening	N/Weekend
IntraLATA	.12	.12	.12
InterLATA	.125	.125	.125
Interstate on NET	.14	.14	.14
Interstate All Other	.15	. 15	.15

\*All interstate on NET will be defined in Exhibit A.

# INTERNATIONAL RATES

International traffic will be at rates currently filed in Telus' Tariff but will be billed to T.S.I. full minute rounding for the first minute and 6 second increments for each additional minute.

# 1-800 TRAVEL

In the event T.S.I. customers require a 1-800 travel number, Telus will provide a specific 1-800-780-XXXX for this purpose. This number will be accessed through an auth code which will be assigned per customer. The rates will be as follows:

	DAY	EVENING	NIGHT
1-800	. 195		

# 1-800 MIX-XXX ABBIGNED

In the event each T.S.I. customer requires a full 1-800-780-XXXX to be assigned exclusively for their purpose, Telus will do the necessary translation to achieve this at the rates listed below:

# COST PER MINUTE

DAY EVENING NIGHT

1-800 .21

Fixed charge of \$5 per 800 number per month.

### FRAUD

Due to the nature of access, Telus will hold T.S.I. liable and responsible for all fraud which might occur on the auth codes assigned. Telus will offer the full capability of its resources to monitor those auth codes for fraud but will not assume or be responsible for any determined fraud as a result of its monitoring.

### CANCELLATION

If T.S.I. cancels this agreement within the initial term agreed for any reason or reasons other than a material breach of the terms and conditions contained herein, T.S.I. will be liable for the minimum charge per month as contained in this agreement for that period of time from the date of

receipt of cancellation to the end of the agreed upon term.

T.S.I.'s failure to obtain or maintain any necessary certificates, permits, licenses or other authority required to receive Services or to maintain Equipment on the premises at each of T.S.I.'s sites.

## MINIMUM CHARGE

Telus will require T.S.I. to pay a minimum charge per month equating to the total monthly billing or a fixed charge of \$5,000 per month if T.S.I. proposes to cancel this agreement outside the terms of this agreement under the cancellation clause.

# SUSPENSION AND OR TERMINATION OF SERVICE

In the event payment in full is not received from T.S.I. by Telus or on before the due date as described in this agreement, Telus shall have the right, on or after the tenth calendar day after Telus has given T.S.I. written notice of non-payment in accordance with this agreement temporarily suspend all Service to T.S.I. (either completely or only with respect to any affected Segments, as Telus may at its option elect) until such time as T.S.I. has paid in full all arrearages, including any late fees of 1 1/2% specified herein, or to terminate Service (either completely or only with respect to any affected Segments, as Telus may at its option elect), at Telus' option. Further, the Service provided by Telus to T.S.I. is subject to the condition that

actions of Telus or its agents). If Telus' failure of performance by reason of force majeure specified above shall be for (i) thirty (30) days or less, then this Agreement shall remain in effect, but an appropriate percentage of charges shall be abated in the discretion and determination of Telus; and (ii) more than thirty (30) days, then this Agreement may be cancelled by either party without liability whatsoever on the part of any party.

This Agreement shall be binding on Customer and its respective successors and assigns. Customer may not assign this Agreement, whether by operation of law or otherwise, without the prior written consent of T.S.I., which agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld. T.S.I. may terminate this Agreement in the event of a change in control of Customer without T.S.I.'s prior written consent.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have exeucted this Agreement.

	ecome services INC. lorida Corporation
By:	July granezi
Tit	10: PRESIDENT.
Date	: 7/7/89
)Notary Public in the )State of <u>Fining</u>	Sail Grekman
My Commission expires:	DECRET PUBLIC. STATE OF PLOMOK. HY COMMISSION EXPIRES: JAN. 24. 1992, COMORD PROPERTY PUBLIC UNGERWATERS:
	US COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
By:	
Tit	
)Notary ublic in the )State of Land	Hil Backman
Istate of Mail And	7/7/8 9 Date
Mr. Completion audience.	MOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF PLOMBA.

ADDENDUM TO CONTRACT BETWEEN TELUS COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND TELECOM SERVICES, INC.

Telus will provide installation of dialers for TSI at a rate of \$10.00 per line.

Maintenance of these dialers will be done by TSI.

The \$10.00 charge covers installation only.

TELUS COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

TELECON SERVICES, INC.

#### EXHIBIT A

# ON-NET INTERSTATE

LATA	CITY
128	Boston
132	New York
222	Delaware Valley
224	North Jarsey
228	Philadelphia
234	Pittsburgh
236	Washington, D.C.
238	Baltimore
320	Cleveland
322	Youngstown
324	Columbus
325	Akron
328	Dayton
336	Indianapolis
340	Detroit
348	Grand Rapids
358	Chicago
422	Charlotte
426.	Raleigh
438	Atlanta
470	Nashville
490	New Orleans
520	St. Louis
552	Dallas
560	Houston
628	Minneapolis
656	Denver
722	San Francisco
730	Los Angeles
920	Connecticut

# ADDENDUM TO CONTRACT BETWEEN TELUS COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND TELECOM SERVICES, INC.

Telus will provide installation of dialers for TSI at a rate of \$10.00 per line.

Maintenance of these dialers will be done by TSI.

The \$10.00 charge covers installation only.

TELUS COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

TELECON SERVICES, INC.