BEFORE THE 1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 In the Matter of DOCKET NO. 980281-TP 4 Complaint of MCI Metro Access: 5 Transmission Services, Inc. : against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for breach of approved interconnection agreement. 8 9 10 PROCEEDINGS: PREHEARING CONFERENCE 11 12 BEFORE: COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON 13 Prehearing Officer 14 DATE: Thursday, July 23, 1998 15 TIME: Commenced at 9:30 a.m. Concluded at 9:50 a.m. 16 17 PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148 18 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 19 20 REPORTED BY: JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR Chief, Bureau of Reporting 21 22 23

24

25

APPEARANCES:

RICHARD D. MELSON, Hopping Green Sams and Smith, Post Office Box 6526, Tallahassee, Florida 32314, and DULANEY L. O'ROARK, III, 780 Johnson Ferry Road, Atlanta, Georgia 30346, appearing on behalf of MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc.

J. PHILLIP CARVER, 675 West Peachtree

Street, Suite 4300, Atlanta, Georgia 30375, appearing
on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

CATHERINE BEDELL, Florida Public Service

Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard

Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870,

appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

-	
2	(Hearing convened at 9:35 a.m.)
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Call the prehearing
4	conference to order. Can I have the notice read,
5	please?
6	MS. BEDELL: Pursuant to notice this time
7	and place were set for prehearing conference in Docket
8	No. 980281-TP, complaint of MCI Metro Access
9	Transmission Services, Inc. against BellSouth
10	Telecommunications, Inc. for breach of approved
11	Interconnection Agreement.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Appearances.
13	MR. CARVER: Good morning. Phillip Carver
14	for BellSouth, 675 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta,
15	Georgia 30375.
16	MR. MELSON: Richard Melson of Hopping Green
17	Sams & Smith on behalf of MCI Metro. With me is
18	Dulaney O'Roark, we call him D. O'Roark, from MCI in
19	Atlanta.
20	MS. BEDELL: Catherine Bedell with the
21	Commission Staff.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any preliminary
23	matters?
24	MS. BEDELL: I don't believe so.
2.5	MD MEIGON: No gir

1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. We will
2	proceed then into the draft Prehearing Order.
3	I anticipate this will go fairly rapidly, so
4	if I move along too rapidly, please interrupt me.
5	We'll go back and review anything anybody likes.
6	We'll begin with Section 1, the case
7	background. Any changes or corrections?
8	Section 2, standard language concerning
9	confidential information. Is it anticipated
10	confidential information will be presented in hearing?
11	MR. MELSON: No, sir.
12	MR. CARVER: No, sir.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Section 3 addresses
14	prefiled testimony and exhibits.
15	Section 4 exhibits order of witnesses. Any
16	changes or questions concerning the order of
17	witnesses?
18	MR. CARVER: Yes, sir. One change to the
19	order of witnesses. As listed here Mr. Stacy is last
20	and we'd like him to be the first of the BellSouth
21	witnesses.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection?
23	MR. MELSON: No, sir.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well, that change
25	is made. Show Mr. Stacy as being the first BellSouth

1	witness.
2	MS. BEDELL: Commissioner Deason, have we
3	established whether we're going to do direct and
4	rebuttal together?
5	MR. MELSON: That's fine with MCI.
6	MR. CARVER: That's fine with us also.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. This is
8	set for hearing when? When are the dates?
9	MS. BEDELL: The hearing date is August 5th.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: It's a one-day
11	hearing?
12	MS. BEDELL: It's a one-day hearing.
13	MR. MELSON: It's the day before you travel
14	south for the area code docket.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: So we're going to need
16	to conclude within that day, and hopefully at a
17	reasonable hour.
18	MR. MELSON: Hopefully.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does anyone anticipate
20	that's going to be a problem at this point? I know
21	it's kind of preliminary but
22	MR. O'ROARK: Commissioner Deason,
23	D. O'Roark with MCI. We had a somewhat similar
24	hearing in Georgia a few months ago and we were able
25	to get that done in, actually, less than a day.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. 1 2 Mr. Carver, were you at that hearing? MR. CARVER: No, sir, I was not. But if it 3 will be helpful, I could tell you I think BellSouth 4 could do all of its cross of both of the MCI witnesses 5 in probably two hours. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And I'm sure our Staff 7 will work to see we get out of here at a reasonable 8 9 hour. 10 MS. BEDELL: We will accommodate you. COMMISSIONER DEASON: It appears that the 11 Commissioners' already full calendar is getting more 12 full all the time and we're having to do things one 13 right after the other -- especially when travel is 14 involved it gets difficult at times. 15 Okay. We will move then to Section 5, basic 16 positions. Any changes or corrections? 17 18 MR. MELSON: No. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Section 6, issues and 19 Issue 1? Issue 2? Let me, before we get positions. 20 further into the issues, let me ask kind of a generic 21 question concerning the issues. 22 When reviewing this order last night, it 23 appears that the language of the issues is fairly 24

uniform. It addresses different subject matter but

25

the structure of the issue itself is fairly uniform. And within that structure, part of the issue indicates whether there is compliance with the Act. And I guess I need some guidance from the parties as to why this particular language is included in the issue when it was my understanding that we're here to determine if parties are in compliance with the agreement. And that we're to pass judgment on that, but not necessarily pass judgment as to whether the parties are in compliance with the Act. This is not a 271 proceeding. And that's what my concern is. And I'd like some -- I would like the parties' viewpoint on that question.

MR. MELSON: I guess, Commissioner Deason, it's MCI's view that in a number of places the Interconnection Agreement establishes criteria for standards that essentially look at what would be parity under the Act. And to the extent the agreement incorporates some of the standards of the Act, we may end up looking at the Act and the pronouncements the FCC has made under the Act for guidance as to how to interpret particular provisions, if there's any ambiguity in the provisions themselves.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Carver.

MR. CARVER: Our position is that the

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

agreement complies with the Act, particularly to the extent it was arbitrated. So I tend to have the same take that you do, that the reference to the Act I don't think is particularly necessary.

And I agree with Mr. Melson, certainly there will be some citations to the Act and perhaps to FCC orders. But I would still say that the bottom line question here is whether the agreement has been complied with or not. So given that, BellSouth, although we don't necessarily advocate striking the references to the Act, we certainly would have no objection to it.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff, what is your viewpoint?

Mr. Melson's comments were to you, in terms of trying to examine whether what is being done gets to parity under the Act, that's still interpreting the contract and the terms of the contract and looking back towards the Act, I believe, for perhaps some clarification.

This is Mr. Melson's complaint and we want to give him appropriate deference, but we still don't believe we'd be actually interpreting the Act.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Melson, is that your understanding?

MR. MELSON: We have -- our complaint alleges both that BellSouth has violated the Interconnection Agreement and that it has violated the Act. And we believe the Commission's jurisdiction to enforce the Interconnection Agreement also -- that you also have jurisdiction to enforce the Act. And so while I think the focus on the Act is probably a secondary one in most of these issues, I think it is an important part of our complaint and that it's appropriate for you to hear how Bell is or is not complying with the Act in various particulars.

commissioner deason: Do you have any positions on any issues which are such that your -- it's not a contractual provision which you're saying that BellSouth is not in compliance with. It is a requirement within the Act that they are not in compliance with, or are they always in conjunction?

MR. MELSON: I think they are always in conjunction. I think it's always a breach of the Interconnection Agreement which may also be a violation of the Act.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: But there's nothing here that you're indicating violates the Act, but there's not also with that, in your viewpoint, a violation of the agreement.

MR. MELSON: There may be one issue with regard to directory listings -- not directory listings -- I withdraw that.

Everything has at least one foot sitting in the Interconnection Agreement.

commissioner DEASON: Well, with that understanding then, my concern is to make sure that this Prehearing Order is as clear as possible to those individuals who are going to be reviewing it, not the least of which will be the Commissioners. And I want to make sure it's clear to them when they are preparing for this hearing.

I do not have a problem with the current wording of the issues, with the understanding we have had here today. I think the Order should clarify that we're here to interpret the agreement and to see if the parties are in compliance with the agreement. And along with that there can be questions of the Act, but the primary focus that we're going to have is the interpretation of the agreement itself?

I think I'm trying to sum up what I've heard here. And I think everyone is pretty much in agreement with that. We can leave the wording of the issue as they are. I just want to make sure that we're not getting into a mini 271 hearing here.

1 MR. MELSON: We'll have time enough for one 2 of those later. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Hopefully later, yes 4 at some point. 5 Okay. With that understanding, that generic understanding, we can proceed then with specifics of 6 7 the issues. 8 Issue 1? Issue 2? Issue 3? Issue 4? 9 Issue 5? Issue 6? Issue 7? Issue 8? Issue 9? 10 Issue 10? Issue 11? Issue 12? And Issue 13? Very 11 well. 12 Section 7 addresses the exhibit list. changes or corrections to that list? 13 14 MR. CARVER: Yes, sir. As to Mr. Stacy's testimony, there are, I believe, 25 exhibits listed. 15 And there were actually four more exhibits attached to 16 17 his testimony. And those are identified in our prehearing statement. So we'd just like to have those 18 exhibits numbered 26 through 29 added to the list. 19 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. I'm sure that can be incorporated into the order. 21 22 MS. BEDELL: Yes, sir. 23 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Section 8 addresses proposed stipulations. My understanding is

there are no stipulations. And Section 9 addresses

pending motions and it's my understanding there are no pending motions. Okay.

Is there -- oh, one last question. Do the parties anticipate providing opening statements?

MR. MELSON: If the Commission would like to hear them we'll be happy to do them. We had not planned to ask.

MR. CARVER: We hadn't planned to either.

My only thought is with five witnesses in one day we may be pressed for time. I think our witnesses in their summaries will pretty much -- I think their summaries will suffice for what we have to say.

commissioner deason: I'm not inviting opening statements, I'm just seeking verification. Sometimes we get to hearing and one party has the belief there's going to be opening statements and the other party does not and it's always been my policy that unless both parties are fully informed and prepared, there will be no opening statements. And what I've heard here is that parties are willing to, for the benefit of time purposes, willing to let their witnessing summarize their testimony and there will be no opening statements.

Very well. Anything else at this time?

MR. CARVER: One other matter, and I

apologize because I missed it as we went past it. 2 On Page 4 at the beginning there's a 3 statement that briefs will be limited to 40 pages. Ι 4 hope we can cover all of the issues, but since there 5 are 13 of them, we might need a little bit more than 6 40 so I'd just like to request perhaps we get 50 7 pages. 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any objection? 9 MR. MELSON: No objection. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff. 10 MS. BEDELL: The 40 pages is part of the new 11 12 administrative code. Usually our orders reflect 60 13 pages, but 50 pages is not a problem for us. COMMISSIONER DEASON: So there's no problem 14 15 making that modification? 16 MS. BEDELL: None at all. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Show then that would 17 18 be change to do 50. 19 MR. CARVER: Thank you. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Anything else. 21 Hearing none, thank you all for being so prepared. Hopefully the hearing will go as smoothly. Thank you. 22 This hearing is adjourned. 23 24 (Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 25 9:50 a.m.)

STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 COUNTY OF LEON 3 I, JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR, Chief, Bureau of Reporting, Official Commission Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Prehearing Conference in Docket No. 980281-TP was heard by the 5 Prehearing Officer at the time and place herein stated; it is further 7 CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed by me; and that this transcript, consisting of 13 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. 9 10 DATED this 23rd day of July, 1998. 11 12 13 14 15 Chief, Bureau of Reporting FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 16 (904) 413-6732 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25