HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET

POST OFFICE BOX 6526

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32314

(850) 222-7500

FAX (850) 224-8551

FAX (850) 425-3415

Writer's Direct Dial No. (850) 425-2313

July 24, 1998

ORIGINA

ANGELA R. MORRISON GABRIEL E. NIETO GARY V. PERKO MICHAEL P. PETROVICH DAVID L. POWELL WILLIAM D. PRESTON CAROLYN S. RAEPPLE DOUGLAS S. ROBERTS GARY P. SAMS TIMOTHY G. SCHOENWALDER ROBERT P. SMITH CHERYL G. STUART W. STEVE SYKES T. KENT WETHERELL, II

OF COUNSEL ELIZABETH C. BOWMAN

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Records & Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

> MCI -- Docket No. 971140-TL Re:

Dear Ms. Bayó:

RECEIVED & FILED

JAMES S. ALVES

BRIAN H. BIBEAU KATHLEEN BLIZZARD

RALPH A. DEMEO

THOMAS M. DEROSE

WILLIAM H. GREEN

WADE L. HOPPING

KIMBERLY A. GRIPPA

GARY K. HUNTER, JR.

ROBERT A. MANNING

FRANK E. MATTHEWS

RICHARD D. MELSON

NORNHOL .T NAHTANOL

RICHARD S. BRIGHTMAN

PETER C. CUNNINGHAM

RANDOLPH M. GIDDINGS

KEVIN B. COVINGTON

Enclosed herein for filing on behalf of MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. (collectively MCI), are the original and 15 copies of MCI's Response to BellSouth's Motion for Extension of Time.

By copy of this letter these documents have been provided to the parties on the attached service list.

Very truly yours,

RECORDS ACK Richard D. Melson RDM/kcq APP **Enclosures** CAE Per Certificate of Service **C**MU CTR EAG . LEG LIN

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07855 JUL 24 B

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

QPC SEC WAS __

OTH ____

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Motions of AT&T

Communications of the Southern

States, Inc. and MCI

Telecommunications Corporation and)

MCImetro Access Transmission

Services, Inc. to compel BellSouth)

Telecommunications, Inc. to comply)

with Order PSC-96-1579-FOF-TP and)

to set non-recurring charges for)

combinations of network elements)

with BellSouth Telecommunications,)
Inc, pursuant to their agreement.)

Docket No. 971140-TP

Filed: July 24, 1998

MCI'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO BELLSOUTH'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCImetro Access

Transmission Services, Inc. (collectively referred to as MCI)

hereby file their response in opposition to the motion of

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) for an extension

of time to file an amendment to the parties' Interconnection

Agreement (Motion for Extension). As grounds for its opposition,

MCI states:

1. By Order No. PSC-98-0810-FOF-TP issued June 12, 1998

(Order) the Commission (i) construed the provisions of the

Interconnection Agreement relating to the pricing of combinations
of unbundled network elements, (ii) construed the provisions of
the Interconnection Agreement related to switched access usage
data, (iii) approved non-recurring charges for various loop-port

1

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

combinations, and (iv) directed the parties to submit a written agreement memorializing and implementing the Commission's decisions within 30 days of the date of the Order, or by July 13, 1998. In addition, the Commission refrained from deciding what services provided by means of unbundled network elements (if any) constitute the recreation of a BellSouth retail service and directed the parties to negotiate this issue.

2. On July 13, 1998, BellSouth filed its Motion for Extension, asking that the deadline for filing an amendment to the Interconnection Agreement be extended until 14 days after the issuance of an order resolving BellSouth's pending Motion for Reconsideration of the Order. As grounds for this request, BellSouth asserted that (i) the parties have been unable to successfully negotiate the issue of what network element combinations recreate an existing BellSouth service¹ and (ii) resolution of the "migration" issue raised by BellSouth's motion

¹ BST has also taken this position with MCIm to frustrate MCIm's efforts to order interconnection services out of its existing Interconnection Agreement with BST. Since the announcement of the Commission's decision in this Docket, MCI has been attempting to enforce the terms of the Interconnection Agreement as interpreted by the Commission. By letter dated June 1, 1998, MCIm requested one particular unbundled network element (UNE) combination under the Agreement -- i.e. a 4 wire DS1 loop and DS1 digital transport -- to connect its customers to the MCIm switch at the UNE prices approved by the Commission and contained in the Agreement. BST has refused to provide this UNE combination at UNE prices, claiming that the combination recreates a BST Private Line offering - MegaLink service. By letter dated July 14, 1998, MCIm specified the serving arrangement sought and how it would be used to provision "dial tone" to MCIm's customers and requested that BST reconsider its decision. By letter dated July 21, 1998, BST confirmed that it would not change its position. It appears that the parties have reached an impasse on this issue. MCIm anticipates that it will file a complaint with the Commission in the near future requesting that the Commission enforce its Order No. PSC-98-0810-FOF-TP.

for reconsideration is needed before the parties can conclude negotiation of such an amendment.

- 3. Neither of these is a valid basis for the requested extension. First, the Order did not require that the amendment to be filed within 30 days of the Order incorporate the parties' agreement on what network element combinations (if any) recreate an existing BellSouth service. The amendment to be filed on that date was required only to reflect the Commission's "decisions" (i.e. the pricing decisions and the contract interpretation decisions.) It was not required to address the recreation issue, which the Commission expressly refrained from deciding.
- 4. Second, the migration issue raised by BellSouth's Motion for Reconsideration relates only to an alleged inconsistency in the Commission's discussion and decisions related to the Interconnection Agreement between BellSouth and AT&T. This part of the Motion for Reconsideration has nothing to do with the Commission's decisions regarding the Interconnection Agreement between BellSouth and MCImetro. Therefore nothing in the order on reconsideration will affect any issues between MCI and BellSouth.
- 5. On July 13, 1998, MCI filed with the Commission a partially executed amendment to the BellSouth/MCImetro
 Interconnection Agreement which properly incorporates all of the Commission's decisions in the Order. That filed amendment is

executed by MCImetro. As indicated in the letter transmitting the amendment, BellSouth refused to execute this document.

Instead, BellSouth chose to file its Motion for Extension.

WHEREFORE, BellSouth has stated no valid basis to extend the time for filing an amendment to the parties' Interconnection Agreement. Its Motion for Extension should be denied and BellSouth should be ordered to immediately execute and file the amendment in the form previously submitted by MCImetro.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 24th day of July, 1998.

HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH, P.A.

BV: The O.M

Richard D. Melson
Post Office Box 6526
123 South Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, FL 32314
904/222-7500

and

Michael J. Henry MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP. 780 Johnson Ferry Road, Ste. 700 Atlanta, GA 30342

Attorneys for MCI

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was furnished to the following parties by hand delivery this 24th day of July, 1998.

Charlie Pellegrini
FL Public Service Commission
Gerald L. Gunter Building
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. # 370
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Nancy B. White c/o Nancy H. Sims Southern Bell Telephone Company 150 S. Monroe St. Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Tracy Hatch
AT&T Communications of
the Southern States, Inc.
101 N. Monroe Street
Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Pio D. Mesa

ATTORNEY