ORIGINAL

RECEIVED-FPSC

28 PH 1:

GATLIN, SCHIEFELBEIN & COWDERY, P.A.

Attorneys at Law

3301 Thomasville Road, Suite 300 Tallahassee, Florida 32312

B. KENNETH GATLIN WAYNE L. SCHIEFELBEIN KATHRYN G.W. COWDERY TELEPHONE (850) 385-9996 TELECOPIER (850) 385-6755 E-MAIL: bkgatlin@nettally.com

HAND DELIVERY

OF COUNSEL THOMAS F. WOODS

July 28, 1998

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records & Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 970365-GU Complaint of Mother's Kitchen Ltd. against Florida Public Utilities Company regarding refusal or discontinuance of service.

Dear Ms. Bayo:

OTH _

Enclosed, for filing in the above-referenced case, are an original and fifteen (15) copies of Florida Public Utilities Company's Motion to Strike Petitioners' Response.

Please acknowledge receipt of the foregoing by stamping the enclosed extra copy of this letter and returning same to my attention.

Thank you. ACK _____ Sincergly, AFA _____ RECEIVED & FILED APP ØF RECORDS CAF Plescow FPSC G.W. Kathry CMU . CTR . EAG Makin KGWC/ldv LEG Elias_Enclosures LIN OPC _____ DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE RCH _____ 07928 JUL 28 8 SEC ____ WAS _____ FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint of Mother's Kitchen Ltd. against Florida Public Utilities Company regarding refusal or discontinuance of service.

Docket No. 970365-GU

MOTION TO STRIKE PETITIONERS' RESPONSE

COMES NOW FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY (FPUC), by and through its undersigned counsel, and hereby moves the Commission to strike "Mother's Kitchen Ltd. Response to Florida Public Utilities' Motion to Strike of July 2, 1998," as 1) untimely filed, 2) containing documents and references to documents not in the record of the hearing before the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ), and 3) as arguing additional exceptions the ALJ's Recommended Order on the merits of the case. Respondent submits the following grounds in support hereof:

"Nother's Kitchen Ltd. Response to Florida Public Utilities' Motion to Strike of July 2, 1998" must be struck as untimely

 On July 2, 1998, Respondent FPUC served and filed its Motion to Strike Petitioners' Exceptions to the Recommended Order as untimely filed.

2. Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.204, any response to Respondent's July 2, Motion to Strike should have been filed by July 14, 1998 (<u>i.e.</u>, 7 days after service plus 5 days since service was by U.S. mail). <u>See In re: Complaint of Tahitian Gardens</u> <u>Condominium Assoc.</u>, Thc., Against Aloha Utilities etc., Docket No. 97192-WS, "Order Granting, in part, Motion for Extension of Time,"

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07928 JUL 28 #

97 FPSC 10:188 (requiring adherence to the 12 day time period for filing a response to a motion to dismiss or strike pursuant to Rules 25-22.037(2) and 25-22.028(4), F.A.C.); and <u>In Re: Joint</u> <u>Petition of Citrus County et. al.</u>, Docket No. 930647-WS, Order Dismissing Joint Petition, 93 FPSC 9:659 (Where the utility timely filed a motion to dismiss a petition, this Commission struck the Petitioners' response to the motion to dismiss as untimely pursuant to Rule 25-22.037(2), F.A.C.).

Petitioners did not file their response until July 24,
1998, and the response is therefore untimely.

4. Petitioners have not offered any proof of excusable neglect for their untimely filing of their response to Respondent's Motion to Strike, and therefore their response must be stricken. See <u>Hamilton County Bd. of County Commrs. v. State of Florida</u>, Dept. of Envtl. Regulation, 587 So. 2d 1378 (Fla. 1st DCA 1991).

5. Petitioners are represented by a qualified representative who represented by sworn affidavit, pursuant to administrative rule requirement, that he had the ability to conform to the rules of the PSC in this matter. Qualified representatives must conduct themselves pursuant to the standards of conduct set forth in Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.107.

6. Rule 28-206.104(f) requires that a certificate of service shall accompany each document filed with the Public Service Commission. As provided for in the Rules of Civil Procedure, a

certificate of service is one party's attestation and certification that a particular filing was sent to the other party on a particular day. However, once again, although the certificate of service indicates service on July 18, 1998, the post-mark on the envelope in which the response was received by the undersigned is stamped July 21 and July 22, 1998.

Petitioners' response must be struck as an attempt to reargue or argue new exceptions to the ALJ's Recommended Order

7. In paragraph 5 of Petitioners' Response, Petitioners begin by stating that Respondent's Motion to Strike: "alleges absence of any offer of proof of excusable neglect along with a citation of Hamilton." However, Petitioners proceed to reargue merits of the case and do not make any reference whatsoever to why their exceptions to the recommended order were untimely filed.

8. Pa. graphs 5 - 9 of Petitioners' response which argue the merits of the case rather than being responsive to Respondent's Motion to Strike Petitioners' exceptions to the recommended order should be stricken. These arguments have or should have been raised as exceptions to the recommended order, to which Respondent has already filed its response pursuant to rule. To allow further argument on exceptions at this time would be prejudicial to Respondent, and would allow Petitioners an unauthorized second argument taking exception to the ALJ's Recommended Order. See \$120.57(1)(i), Fla. Stat. and Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.217.

9. Respondent objects and disagrees with the substance of

paragraphs 5 - 9 of the Petitioners' response, which, among other things, is consistently contrary to the record, and advocates action by the Commission which would be contrary to law. If the Commission does not strike paragraphs 5 - 9, Respondent requests that the Commission allow it an additional 10 days in which to respond to these new exceptions.

Petitioners' response should be stricken because it contains reference to and attachment of irrelevant, nonrecord documents

10. Petitioners' response should be stricken because it contains reference to and attachment of irrelevant documents not entered into the record of the hearing below. Only evidence of record may be considered by the agency in considering the ALJ's recommended order. Section 120.57(1)(f) and (h), Fla. Stat. (1997).

11. All of the documents attached to Petitioners' response should be stricken because they are not part of the record in this case, except the document identified as "Resp. '33,'" consisting of three pages (which is Respondent's Exhibit 33 from the hearing), and the document marked "Resp. Ex. '32,'" pages 1 of 31, and 2 of 31 (which consists of the first 2 of 31 pages of Respondent's Exhibit 32 from hearing), except that the Commission should strike the dark black handwritten notations written sideways across page 1 of 31, which do not appear on Respondent's Exhibit 32. 1 2. The references to the documents not of record which are identified in paragraph "11" above, located in Petitioners' response

paragraphs 5 - 9, must be stricken.

WHEREFORE, Florida Public Utilities Company respectfully requests that the Commission strike Petitioners' response for the reasons set forth herein.

Dated this 28th day of July, 1998.

Respectfully submitted,

KATHRYN S.W. COWDERY Fla. Bar #363995 Gatlin, Schiefelbein & Cowdery 3301 Thomasville Road, Suite 300 Tallahassee, FL 32312 (850) 385-9996

Attorneys for FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been sent, via U.S. Mail, to: Anthony Brooks, II, Qualified Representative, P.O. Box 1363, Sanford, Florida, 32772, and via Hand Delivery to: Bob Elias, Esquire, Division of Legal Services, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, on this 28th day of July, 1998.

COWDERY