Printed	by Linda	a Williams	9/21/98	5:05pm
To: I	(ay Flyn inda Wi : 98092	lliams	CONFI	RMED
	ommy Wil	liams	21/98=11:16	am==
by addi company though	ng ",In wants it wasn name i	revise this c." Tommy is that as par 't included n the appli	indicated t t of its n d in the	

ACK ______ AFA ______ APP ______ CAF ______ CMI' _____ CTR ______ EAG ______ EAG ______ LEG ______ NOPC ______ RCH ______ SEC _____ WAS _____ OTH Cy_INDONYE

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

ORIGINAL



FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Printed by Kay Flynn

98 10:37am

From: Kay Flynn To: Tommy Williams Subject: fwd: 980928 ····· Tommy, have you had a chance to look at this company's name? (Did anyone contact you when ag was being prepared?) Do you have something to indicate the name shou include "Inc."? Kay Fwd to: Kay Flynn 980927 is the same company as 980928 and 980928 should have an "INC" on the Fwd to: Tommy Williams Please ask the applicant to provide documentation for the record. All we have is the application itself, where they indicated "Public Telephone Network" was the company name. Fwd to: Kay Flynn Kay, if you look in both applications they give the same Sec. of State corporate charter numbers (page 4 in both applications). They are the same company. Fwd to: Tommy Williams I know. But the application has "Public Telephone Network" as the name. How do w know they want their ALEC cert name to include "Inc."? Fwd to: Kay Flynn Well, that is what is on their tariff and price list and John Marks just sent me revisions with the same names on them. Fwd to: Tommy Williams And you did point out to him the discrepancy -- that the application has the name without "Inc." while the price list has "Inc."--and also got his word that the A application name should have included "Inc."? (Your friend, Kay) Fwd to: Kay Flynn I advised. Your friend, Tommy