RUTLEDGE, ECENIA, UNDERWOOD, PURNELL & HOFFMAN

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

STEPHEN A. ECENIA
JOHN R. ELLIS
KENNETH A. HOFFMAN
THOMAS W. KONRAD
MICHAEL G. MAIDA
J. STEPHEN MENTON

R. DAVID PRESCOTT

GARY R. RUTLEDGE

POST OFFICE BOX 551, 32302-0551 215 SOUTH MONROE STREET, SUITE 420 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301-1841 OF COUNSEL: CHARLES F. DUDLEY

 IAEL G. MAIDA
 TELEPHONE (850) 681-6788

 EPHEN MENTON
 TELECOPIER (850) 681-6515

GOVERNMENTAL CONSULTANTS: PATRICK R. MALOY AMY J. YOUNG

September 30, 1998

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Betty Easley Conference Center, Room 110 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re:

Docket No. 950495-WS

HAND DELIVERY

RECEIVED FRSC SU SEP 30 PN 3: 50 RECCION AND REPORTING

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed herewith for filing in the above-referenced docket on behalf of Florida Water Services Corporation ("Florida Water") are the original and fifteen copies of Florida Water's Response in Opposition to City of Marco Island's Petition to Intervene.

Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by stamping the extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning the same to me.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

ACK RECEIVED & FILED	Sincerely,
AFA DE COST	Ken US Aff
APP FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS	Kenneth A. Hoffman
CAF	
CMU KAH/rl	
CTREnclosures	
EAG	
LEG	
LIN 5	
OPC	
RCH	
SEC	
The (nough)	

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10778 SEP 30 8

FPSD-PECORDS/REPORTING -

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application by Southern)	
States Utilities, Inc. for rate)	
increase and increase in service)	
availability charges for Orange-)	
Osceola Utilities, Inc. in)	
Osceola County, and in Bradford,)	
Brevard, Charlotte, Citrus, Clay,)	
Collier, Duval, Highlands,)	Docket No. 950495-WS
Lake, Lee, Marion, Martin,)	
Nassau, Orange, Osceola, Pasco,)	
Polk, Putnam, Seminole, St. Johns,)	Filed: September 30, 1998
St. Lucie, Volusia and Washington)	
Counties.)	
)	
	_)	

FLORIDA WATER SERVICES CORPORATION'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO CITY OF MARCO ISLAND'S PETITION TO INTERVENE

Florida Water Services Corporation ("Florida Water"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby files its Response in Opposition to the Petition to Intervene filed by the City of Marco Island ("City"). The City's Petition to Intervene should be denied. As grounds supporting this Response, Florida Water states as follows:

1. The final hearing in this docket was held on April 29 and May1-4, 6, and 8-11, 1996. The final order was issued on October 30, 1996, whereupon Florida Water and other parties to this docket timely filed appeals of the final order. On June 10, 1998, the First District Court of Appeal issued its decision in response to these appeals. Southern States Utilities, Inc. v. Florida Public Service Commission, 23 Fla.L.Weekly D1413 (Fla. 1st DCA, June 10, 1998). On August 21, 1998, following the denial of a motion for rehearing, clarification and certification of the June 10 opinion,

¹Corrected opinion mailed August 5, 1998.

the First District issued its mandate in the appeal.

- 2. The City cites its status as a customer of Florida Water and its belief that "it is in the best position to represent the interests of all of the Marco Island customers of the Utility in this proceeding" in support of its Petition to Intervene.²
- 3. Intervention in formal administrative proceedings before the Commission is governed by Rule 28-106.205, Florida Administrative Code. That rule requires that petitions for leave to intervene be filed at least twenty days before the final hearing. As such, the City's petition to intervene comes some two and a half years late.³ The failure to timely comply with the governing intervention rule requires denial of a request for intervention. This has been standard Commission practice and precedent as evidenced, for example, by the denial of petitions to intervene filed by a number of customers in Florida Water's Docket No. 920199-WS rate case after the final hearing and issuance of the final order in that rate case.⁴
- 4. The City's Petition to Intervene is distinguishable from the petitions to intervene which were denied by the Commission as untimely but then reversed in <u>Southern States Utility</u>, <u>Inc. v. Florida Public Service Commission</u>, 704 So.2d 555 (Fla. 1st DCA 1997) ("<u>Southern States</u>"). In <u>Southern States</u>, customer groups sought intervention following the remand from the <u>Citrus County</u>⁵

²City's Petition to Intervene, at par. 5 and 7.

³The same result obtains under the prior rule governing intervention, Rule 25-22.039, Florida Administrative Code, which required petitions for leave to intervene to be filed at least five days before the final hearing.

⁴See Order No. PSC-93-1598-FOF-WS issued November 2, 1993 in Docket No. 920199-WS.

⁵Citrus County v. Southern States Utilities, 656 So.2d 1307 (Fla. 1st DCA), cert. den., 663 So.2d 631 (Fla. 1995).

decision once it became clear that such customers faced potential surcharges under the then-recent Florida Supreme Court decision in <u>GTE Florida Inc. v. Clark</u>, 668 So.2d 971 (Fla. 1996) ("<u>GTE Florida"</u>). In reversing the Commission's denial of intervention to potentially surcharged customers, the First District limited its holding to the facts of that case:

We find that the PSC erred in denying these petitions as untimely <u>in</u> the <u>circumstances of this case</u>, where the issue of a potential surcharge and the applicability of the *Clark* case did not arise until the remand proceeding.

Southern States, 704 So.2d at 559.

- 5. Here, the City was on notice of the February 29, 1996 GTE Florida decision requiring surcharges well before the final hearing in this docket. Accordingly, the Southern States decision is distinguishable from the facts in this case and does not provide legal support for the Commission to deviate from the applicable rule requiring the timely filing of a petition for leave to intervene. By granting the City's Petition to Intervene, the Commission will undermine the intervention rule and the administrative hearing process by establishing a precedent which essentially says that any affected party can take a belated second bite at the apple by waiting to pursue intervention only after a case has been reversed and remanded to an agency. Such a precedent is abhorrent to an efficient, administrative process, has no basis under Chapter 120, Florida Statutes, and undermines the governing rule on intervention.
- 6. The Marco Island customers already are represented in this rate case through two intervenors: the Office of Public Counsel and the Marco Island Fair Water Rate Defense Committee.

 As a matter of law, the City has no authority to represent citizens of the City who are customers of Florida Water in this proceeding because the City failed to allege and demonstrate that it has passed

a resolution authorizing the City to represent Florida Water's Marco Island water and wastewater customers in this proceeding. See Section 120.52(12)(d), Florida Statutes.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, Florida Water respectfully requests that the Commission deny the Petition to Intervene filed by the City of Marco Island.

Respectfully submitted,

KENNETH A HOFFMAN, ESQ.

Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood, Purnell & Hoffman,

P. A.

P. O. Box 551

Tallahassee, FL 32302

(850) 681-6788

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U. S. Mail to the following on this 30th day of September, 1998:

Lila Jaber, Esq.
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Gerald L. Gunter Building
Room 370
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Charles J. Beck, Esq.
Office of Public Counsel
111 W. Madison Street
Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Michael B. Twomey, Esq. P. O. Box 5256 Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq. Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esq. 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. Paul Mauer, President Harbour Woods Civic Association 11364 Woodsong Loop N Jacksonville, FL 32225

Larry M. Haag, Esq. 111 West Main Street Suite #B Inverness, FL 34450

Frederick C. Kramer, Esq. Suite 201 950 North Collier Boulevard Marco Island, FL 34145 Ms. Anne Broadbent President Sugarmill Woods Civic Asso. 91 Cypress Blvd., West Homosassa, FL 34446

Arthur I. Jacobs, Esq. P. O. Box 1110 Fernandina Beach, FL 32305-1110

Mr. Frank Kane 1208 E. Third Street Lehigh Acres, FL 33936

John Jenkins, Esq.
Martin S. Friedman, Esq.
Rose, Sundstrom & Bentley, LLP
2548 Blairstone Pines Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Darol H.N. Carr, Esq.
David Holmes, Esq.
Farr, Farr, Emerich,
Sifrit, Hackett & Carr,
P.A.
2315 Aaron Street
P. O. Drawer 2159
Port Charlotte, FL 33949

KENNETH A. HOFFMAN, ESC