1		BEFORE THE				
- 1		BEFORE THE				
2	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION					
3						
4	In the Matter o	: f : DOCKET NO. 980671-TL				
5	Request for review	of :				
6	proposed numbering plan relief for th	· Colored Colo				
	407 area code.					
7						
8		10° (5° 50° 50° 50° 50° 50° 50° 50° 50° 50° 5				
9	PROCEEDINGS:	ORLANDO SERVICE HEARING				
10						
11	BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN JULIA L. JOHNSON COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON				
12		COMMISSIONER JOE GARCIA				
13		COMMISSIONER E. LEON JACOBS, JR.				
14	DATE:	Thursday, September 24, 1998				
15						
16	TIME:	Commenced at 8:15 p.m. Concluded at 8:25 p.m.				
17						
18	PLACE:	Orlando City Hall City Council Chambers (2nd Floor) 400 South Orange Avenue Orlando, Florida				
19		Orlando, Florida				
20						
21	REPORTED BY:	JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR Florida Public Service Commission				
22		Official Commission Reporter				
23		Official Commission Reporter				
24		efter of the second of the se				

1	APPEARANCES:					
2	CHARLES REHWINKLE, Post Office Box 2214					
3	(MCFLTLHOO107), Tallahassee, Florida 32316-2214,					
4	appearing on behalf of Sprint-Florida, Inc. (Sprint)					
5	J. JEFFREY WAHLEN, Ausley & McMullen, Post					
6	Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing					
7	on behalf of Vista-United Telecommunications (Vista).					
8	JUNE MCKINNEY, Florida Public Service					
9	Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard					
LO	Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870,					
11	appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.					
L2	CHARLIE BECK, Associate Public Counsel, Office of					
L3	Public Counsel, c/o the Florida Legislature, 111 West Madisor					
L4	Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399, appearing on					
15	behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.					
16						
17						
18	ALSO PRESENT:					
19	D. WAYNE MILBY, Senior NPA Relief Planner					
20	Lockheed Martin					
21	THOMAS C. FOLEY, Sprint					
22						
23						
24						

1		
2	INDEX	
3	WITNESSES	
4	NAME	PAGE NO.
5	ROBERT F. STONEROCK, JR.	
6		6
7	Direct Statement	Ç
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	II	

_	ı	ı
7	ı	۱
_	ı	ļ
	ı	ı

II

PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing convened at 8:15 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We're going to go back on the record.

Again, I'm Julia Johnson, Chairman of the Public Service Commission. We have a full Commission with the exception of Commissioner Clark here to participate in our 407 hearing. Counsel, could you please read the notice.

MS. McKINNEY: Pursuant to notice issued

August 27, 1998, this time and place was set for a

hearing in this Docket 980671, request for review of

proposed numbering plan relief for 407 area code. The

purpose of the hearing is set out in the notice.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We'll take appearances.

MR. BECK: My name is Charlie Beck with the Office of Public Counsel, appearing to sponsor public witnesses.

MS. McKINNEY: June McKinney on behalf of Staff.

chairman Johnson: I know the gentlemen who would like to testify was here earlier. If you could stand, I'll go ahead and swear you in at this time.

I appreciate you coming in to testify tonight and appreciate your indulgence in sitting

through our first hearing. I wouldn't want you to have to wait any longer. If you could, please come forward. I notice that you already have our literature and background information. We're mainly here to hear from you and your views on how we should handle the exhaust issue.

WITNESS STONEROCK: Am the only one?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, you are.

ROBERT F. STONEROCK, JR.

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

witness stonerock: Okay. I have an unique suggestion as to how to handle the area code.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I'm sorry, sir. If you could give your name for the record, and where you're from.

WITNESS STONEROCK: Okay. I'm Robert F. Stonerock, Jr. and I'm from Orlando.

And I have a unique situation as to how to handle the area code situation, and I think it's unique, although I have no illusions that it's probably been thought of before. I mean, that I've

never seen it offered as a solution before, and I have been interested in the area code situation ever since reading about how it was handled in Texas and in Atlanta.

I believe that the area code problem has come about in our area mainly due to the widespread use of cellular phones and pagers. In theory, a 7-digit phone number system should allow about 8 million different phones to operate in any one area code -- I mean phone numbers. I'm guessing that there are some 2,500,000 people in the area code 407 currently, and that would allow for about three phone numbers per individual, man, woman and child. Of course, businesses take up some of the excess available numbers, and this includes fax machines. But I can see that with the widespread use of cellular phones and pagers, the rest of the excess space would rapidly dwindle. And I understand that that's to happen sometime in 1999.

I propose the new area code should be created into which all cellular phones and pagers would be immediately transferred. In addition, dedicated fax lines could also have that area code if necessary. But mainly all cell phones and pagers would have it.

the choice.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

There was an article by Greg Dawson in the recent Orlando Sentinel newspaper in which he fatalistically says something like, well, why worry about the new area code issue anyway? In about five years there will be no geographic identity associated with area codes at all.

Well, I submit that it is an inferior plan which has us dialing more and more numbers for local calls. And it is worse not having a clue as to where an individual is that we're calling, or whether we're dialing long distance to reach that person. And it is the worst of all having to memorize what your neighbor's area codes are. Also, having to dial more numbers means more mistakes and more numbers are harder for most of us to remember so we'd have to just look them up which takes time. The modern-day tendency is to make things more complex for the sake of more options. Doing so can make life more difficult, which is quite the opposite of the intent of those options. I think that just this once we should keep things simple. Assign the cell phones and pagers the new area code and leave the rest of us alone with the local entity, and with the ability to dial fewer numbers to make local calls.

Now, extemporaneously I might also say that having had some time to reflect on this situation it seems to me that I am a great objector to overlay versus the split system. And I think that's quite apparent from what I just read. But that the -- for me the overlay system would be out. I would think that adding one more digit, either to a 7-digit phone number, or one more digit to the area code, would also take care of the problem, leaving for area code identity. One more digit in either of those modalities would automatically allow us to have some eight to ten times more phone numbers associated with any one particular area code. And that would be considerable for a long time to come.

That's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Questions? I do have a couple of comments with respect to your suggestion.

I think your suggestion is a good suggestion. In fact, the state of New York, I think, was the first to deal with that issue and they tried to implement an area code assignment to the beeper carriers, and I believe the cellular providers. That was challenged by the providers as something that was not competitively neutral.

As we open up our markets to competition one

of the provisions of the federal act calls for a competitive neutrality. That case went through the federal court system and the standard of competitive neutrality prevailed. There's currently another state attempting to do the same thing, and it's my understanding that it's Connecticut, and that they filed something with the FCC with a similar proposal.

I'm not certain as to the status of that case. Counsel, are you aware of the case and might you have some information on the status?

MS. McKINNEY: Yes, Chairman. On March 31, 1998, the Connecticut Department of Public Utilities
Control filed a petition before the FCC dealing with the subject in which we're speaking: Wireless overlay strictly dealing with those phones.

Staff checked with the FCC to get an update on what the status of the petition is pertaining to that issue, and we were informed it's in the preliminary stages. The FCC is trying to determine whether or not to reopen up that issue. And that's where it stands.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: So there may be some reconsideration of that particular standard. And in fact, we've received comments and filings from other citizens in the state here, and generally 257 of them

that have filed with the Commission thus far, just to put a statement on the record, have indicated an interest in the split. Only two supported the overlay and there were 18 that said well, why don't you give it to the cellular pagers and the fax machines, the new area code. So there are some folks thinking as you are, perhaps not as articulate and prepared in presenting before the Commission. So we appreciate your comments. And I think it's something that we all do need to look at a little more closely, and hopefully the FCC will give us a little more leeway in that regard.

witness stonerock: I hope so too. And I'd also like to say that I'm heartened to hear that area code identity is something that is alive and well in our hearts, and I think that's real important.

what the theory behind it is, because I think it is a good idea. But the whole concept is to some degree that the FCC determined, they said you want to sort of be able to compete on all levels. And the truth is that cellular to some degree tries to compete with business lines. And there are some people who only have cellular service. I agree with you and I think every one on this Commission would love to have that

as an option before us. It's just -- let me ask you before you leave, because you have given considerable thought to this. Let's say we can't do what you suggested and FCC doesn't come down with ruling before -- because I guarantee you, if they came down with a ruling, we'd probably go your way. But of the plans that are offered, did you see any one you preferred? I know you didn't like the overlay. But of the -- I don't remember how many there are -- there's ten different plans, excluding two of them are overlay, so that leaves eight plans -- are there any of these you felt a preference for?

witness stonerock: I probably did not examine them well enough. But I did come up with a general concept about that.

I think that our -- traditionally, I've lived here a long time and traditionally -- I'm an Orlando citizen -- and I think in terms of especially Winter Park, Ocoee, East Orlando as being part of the Metropolitan Orlando area much more than I do the Kissimmee people. I don't think that -- it's almost a natural.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Do you have this green sheet?

WITNESS STONEROCK: Yes, I do.

1 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Could you open up to Page 4. So you would think that Alternative 2 is 2 around what you're talking. 3 WITNESS STONEROCK: Let me look at that. 5 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yeah. Real quick. Alternative 2 or Alternative 7, I would assume. 6 7 WITNESS STONEROCK: 7. Something of that nature. Yes, I believe so. 8 9 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: One last question: 10 One of your fellow citizens, customers, participants, 11 suggested the 321 area code if we have pick a new area code. What do you think about that? In other words, 12 13 to sell the concept of we're the space area, for the 14 Brevard area of the -- what do you think of that as an 15 area code, 3-2-1? 16 WITNESS STONEROCK: You mean the actual 17 numbers 3-2-1? COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yeah. 18 19 WITNESS STONEROCK: I think it's great. 20 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Good. Good. It gets the "Idea and Month" in my book. It's absolutely 21 wonderful. 22 23 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you for your testimony. Appreciate you're waiting. Thank you. 24 25

I'd like to thank you all for coming out for

both our hearings. Appreciate all of the testimony that we have been provided with this evening. Your comments will be a part, again, of the record that we will use, and a part of the record that we can use to make our final decisions. And with that, this hearing is adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 8:25 p.m.)

1 STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 COUNTY OF LEON) 3 I, JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR, Chief, Bureau of Reporting, Official Commission Reporter, 4 DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Hearing in Docket 5 No. 980671-TL was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is 6 further 7 CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed by me; and that this transcript, consisting of 14 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. DATED this 8th day of October, 1998. 10 11 12 13 14 15 flic Servide Commission Chief, Bureau of Reporting 16 (850) 413-6732 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24