#### VOTE SHEET

#### **OCTOBER 6, 1998**

RE: DOCKET NO. 980281-TP - Complaint of MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for breach of approved interconnection agreement.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Has BST provided MCImetro with information about BST's OSS and related databases in compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the parties' Interconnection Agreement? If no, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. Staff believes that the system disclosure requested by MCIm is not required and goes beyond the scope of the parties' interconnection agreement. Therefore, staff recommends that BST has provided MCIm with information about BST's OSS and related databases in compliance with the parties' interconnection agreement.

# APPROVED

#### COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

		ISSIONERS' S.	IGNATURES		
2	MAJORITY		D: J. Jem	ISSENTING	
Jus	an Karl	<u>~</u>	Jusan.	fClark	<u></u>
X	Jen Verer				
REMARKS/	DISSENTING COMMENTS:	Commissi dissente	mers Dea m Issu	sn and Clar	ĸ
PSC/RAR33 (5/)		•	11	280 OCT 128	

23

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 2</u>: Has BellSouth provided MCImetro with the Street Address Guide (SAG) data in compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the parties' Interconnection Agreement? If no, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. Staff recommends that BST has failed to provide Regional Street Address Guide (RSAG) data to MCIm in compliance with the parties' Interconnection Agreement. Therefore, staff recommends that the Commission require BST to negotiate in good faith with MCIm and provide MCIm a subset of the Florida-specific RSAG data, excluding any proprietary information. BellSouth should provide MCIm a download of this database, with subsequent updates on the same day that the changes occur, at no cost to MCIm. Staff recommends that the Commission require BST to provide the initial download to MCIm within 30 days of the Commission's final order.



Issue 3: Has BellSouth provided MCImetro with the due date calculation for a service order request from a customer, in compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the parties' Interconnection Agreement? If no, what action, if any, should the Commission take? Recommendation: No. Staff believes that BST has failed to provide MCIm with the due date calculation for a service order request from a customer in compliance with the parity standard of the parties' interconnection agreement. Staff recommends that, in the advance of industry standard interfaces, the Commission should require BST to provide MCIm with the ability to calculate due dates in the inquiry mode of LENS, including due dates for UNEs, within 30 days of the Commission's final order. In addition, staff believes that the national standard interfaces jointly being developed by BST and MCIm should include a due date calculation function, including the calculation of due dates for UNEs, and the interfaces should integrate the data from the interval table with the data from the scheduling table to produce the available due dates as BST has done for itself.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 4</u>: Has BellSouth provided MCImetro with access to telephone numbers and telephone number information in compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the parties' Interconnection Agreement? If no, what action, if any, should the Commission take? <u>Recommendation</u>: No. Staff believes that BST has failed to provide MCIm with access to telephone numbers and telephone number information in compliance with the parties' Interconnection Agreement. Therefore, staff recommends that the Commission require BST to modify LENS to provide MCIm with: the ability to reserve the same number of telephone numbers per order as BST; the capability to automatically assign a customer a telephone number; a list of the available NXX codes in LENS, as BST has done for itself. Staff recommends that the Commission require BST to make these modifications within 30 days of the Commission's final order.

#### APPROVED

<u>Issue 5</u>: Has BellSouth provided MCImetro with access to Universal Service Order Codes (USOCs) in compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the parties' Interconnection Agreement? If no, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. Staff does not believe that BST has provided MCIm with access to USOC Codes in compliance with the parties' Interconnection Agreement. Therefore, staff recommends that the Commission require BST to provide MCIm with the Field Identifier (FID) and state validity information in the same comma spaced value format that BST currently provides to MCIm for USOCs, within 30 days of the Commission's final order.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 6</u>: Has BellSouth provided MCImetro with customer service record (CSR) information in compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the parties' Interconnection Agreement? If no, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. Staff recommends that the Commission require BST to provide MCIm with access to all CSR data, including pricing information, unless BST is not authorized to release such information either by the customer or pursuant to applicable law, rule or regulation. In addition, staff recommends that the Commission require BST to provide MCIm with a CSR Schema. Staff further recommends that the Commission require BST to provide this information to MCIm within 30 days of the Commission's final order.

### APPROVED

<u>Issue 7</u>: Has BellSouth provided MCImetro with service jeopardy notification in compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the parties' Interconnection Agreement? If no, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. Staff does not believe that BST has provided MCIm with service jeopardy notification in compliance with the parties' Interconnection Agreement. Therefore, staff recommends that the Commission require BST to provide MCIm with service jeopardy notification via EDI,

within 30 days of the Commission's final order.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 8</u>: Has BellSouth provided MCImetro with firm order confirmations (FOCs) in compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the parties' Interconnection Agreement? If not, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. Staff recommends that the Commission find that BellSouth has not met the FOC requirements as provided in the parties' Interconnection Agreement. Staff recommends that the Commission order BST to comply with the timeframes for returning FOCs as provided in the agreement. To the extent any modifications to BST's OSS are necessary, staff recommends that the Commission order BST to make such modifications within 30 days of the final order in this proceeding.

### APPROVED

APPROVED

<u>Issue 9</u>: Has BellSouth provided MCImetro with network blockage measurement information in compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the parties' Interconnection Agreement? If no, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. Staff recommends that the Commission find that BellSouth has not provided MCImetro with network blockage measurement information as provided in the parties' Interconnection Agreement. Staff recommends that the Commission order BellSouth to provide the blockage data on the trunks serving MCImetro in the same manner and for the same threshold levels as currently provided to IXCs, within 30 days of the Commission's order. Staff recommends that the information that BellSouth should provide be for blockage on every trunk group that carries MCImetro's local traffic, blockage on those trunk groups that emanate from BellSouth's end offices or tandems and are interconnected with MCImetro's switch, and information on comparable trunks used by BellSouth for its local traffic to MCIm for the purpose of demonstrating parity.

Commissioners Deason and Clark dissented.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 10</u>: Has BellSouth provided MCImetro with local tandem interconnection information in compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the parties' Interconnection Agreement? If no, what action, if any, should the Commission take? <u>Recommendation</u>: No. Staff recommends that the Commission order BST to identify and make available to MCIm all existing independent telephone company local and EAS routes served by BST's local tandems. In addition, staff recommends that the Commission order BST to provide a complete list of CLLI codes for the local tandems. Further, staff recommends that the Commission urge the parties to continue to exchange any additional information necessary to facilitate interconnection and trunk routing at BST's local tandems. Finally, staff recommends that BellSouth should provide the information within 30 days of the Commission's order.

### APPROVED

APPROVED

<u>Issue 11</u>: Has BellSouth provided MCImetro with recorded usage data in compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the parties' Interconnection Agreement? If no, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. Staff recommends that the Commission find that BST has not provided MCIm with recorded usage data in compliance with the parties' interconnection agreement. Staff recommends that the Commission order BST to provide recorded usage data for billable and non-billable completed calls, at the same frequency and to the same extent that BST can provide such information to itself, when requested by MCIm. Staff recommends that this should apply only to those BST switches that have the capability to record such usage data and where MCIm is providing service using BST's local switching element. Staff also recommends that BellSouth should begin providing MCIm the recorded usage data within 30 days of the Commission's order.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 12</u>: Has BellSouth provided MCImetro with access to directory listing information in compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the parties' Interconnection Agreement? If no, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. Staff believes BellSouth (BST) is not providing access to directory assistance (DA) listing information in compliance with the BST/MCImetro (MCIm) Agreement. Staff recommends that the Commission require BST to provide all DA database listing information available to BST, excluding the identity of the subscriber's local service provider, to MCIm within 30 days of the Commission's final order.

# APPROVED

<u>Issue 13</u>: Has BellSouth provided MCImetro with soft dial tone service in compliance with the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the parties' Interconnection Agreement? If no, what action, if any, should the Commission take?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. Staff believes that BellSouth (BST) is not providing MCImetro (MCIm) with soft dial tone service (SDTS) on a competitively neutral basis as required in the BST/MCIm Agreement. Staff recommends that the Commission should require BST to provide unbranded SDTS, within 30 days of the Commission's final order, for the duration of any temporary disconnection for non-payment of a MCIm subscriber's local residential service.

# APPROVED

<u>Issue 14</u>: Should this docket be closed? <u>Recommendation</u>: No. If the Commission approves staff's recommendations, this docket should remain open until BST complies with the requirements of this order.