BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 In Re: Determination of amount of) SPECIAL PROJECT support necessary to provide residential) NO. 980000C-SP basic local telecommunications service 5 to low-income customers who qualify for Lifeline service. 6 7 8 9 PROCEEDINGS: 10 Workshop Wednesday, September 30, 1998 11 DATE: Commenced at 9:30 a.m. 12 TIME: Concluded at 10:15 a.m. 13 14 PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148 15 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 16 REPORTED BY: NANCY S. METZKE, RPR, CCR Post Office Box 3093 17 Tallahassee, Florida. 32315-3093 18 19 20 21 C & N REPORTERS 22 REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS POST OFFICE BOX 3093 23 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32315-3093 (850)697-8314 / FAX (850)697-3715 24 **BUREAU OF REPORTING**

RECEIVED 10 - 12 -98

25

1	PROCEEDINGS			
2	MR. McNULTY: Okay. I guess we can get started			
3	This is a workshop for Undocketed Special Project			
4	980000C-SP. I would like to call the workshop to order.			
5	My name is Bill McNulty. I'm with research and regulator			
6	review staff, Division of Research and Regulatory Review			
7	with the Florida Public Service Commission. And at this			
8	time I think it would be appropriate to have staff			
9	introduce themselves, and then we'll ask the various			
10	interested persons to do the same.			
11	MR. CCX: Will Cox, with the legal division.			
12	MS. WATTS: Clintina Watts, legal division.			
13	MS. MARSH: Anne Marsh, division of			
14	communications.			
15	MR. YU: Yiwen Yu, research division.			
16	MS. DANIEL: Patti Daniel, research. You guys			
17	want to introduce yourselves?			
18	MR. POAG: Ben Poag with Sprint.			
19	MR. FONS: John Fons with the Ausley law firm			
20	representing Sprint-Florida, Inc.			
21	MR. McNULTY: If you could please come to a			
22	mi cronhone			

MR. FONS: John Fons with the Ausley law firm,

MR. POAG: Ben Poag with Sprint.

representing Sprint-Florida, Inc.

23

24

25

MS. WHITE: Nancy White and Nancy Sims, Mary Rose Sirianni for BellSouth.

MR. SCOBIE: Mike Scobie and Kirk McNew with GTE.

MR. ERWIN: Dave Erwin representing ITS Telecommunications Systems.

MR. PASCHALL: Ed Paschall, AARP.

MR. BECK: Charlie Beck and Earl Poucher, Office of the Public Counsel.

MR. McNULTY: Okay. The title of this docket is:

"The determination of the amount of support necessary to
provide residential basic local telecommunications service
to low-income customers in Florida." This workshop is not
to be confused with another scheduled Lifeline-related
workshop scheduled for October 20th. Anne Marsh has some
information on this.

MS. MARSH: On October 20th we'll be having another workshop on Lifeline, and as Bill indicated, it's not part of this project. The chairman has asked me to investigate certain issues that have been raised. Part of it has been in customer testimony in Study 2, but it has come up in other arenas as well. One of the primary issues we'll be discussing will be automatic enrollment in Lifeline. I would really like to hear from both companies and the agencies involved as to the pros and cons of

automatic enrollment and suggestions for how to go about it if we did adopt something like that, or assuming whoever could adopt a program like that would do so.

As an alternative to automatic enrollment, we would also like to explore the possibility of having a standardized form for Lifeline. Some of the agencies have expressed a desire to have a form that they could help their clients fill out. An additional issue is whether or not Lifeline subscribers should be permitted to subscribe to ancillary services at their own expense, which is what they do now. If there is a restriction placed on the services so that they could not sign up for ancillary services, a determination would need to be made as to what they would be allowed to purchase.

We certainly wouldn't be deciding all of that at this workshop. It's just to get some input and some thought. The outcome of the workshop will be that I'll be making a presentation to the commissioners at internal affairs, basically a briefing. What will happen after that on the subject I don't know, but that is where will be going. So that will be October 20th, and I think I have it scheduled for the small hearing room at 9:30. There will be a dial-in number, and that is in the notice, and the notice has gone out.

MS. WHITE: Excuse me. Nancy White for BellSouth

5

```
Telecommunications. Can I ask a couple of questions on
    that?
             MS. MARSH: Sure.
 3
             MS. WHITE: Just clarification.
             MS. MARSH: Okay. Sure.
 5
 6
             MS. WHITE: By automatic enrollment, do you mean
    that like when they sign up for one of the social services
 7
    they would be automatically enrolled?
 8
             MS. MARSH: Yes.
 9
             MS. WHITE: Is that what you mean?
10
             MS. MARSH: Yes.
11
             MS. WHITE: And then the issues that you are
12
   going to take on discussion, you just want -- if people
13
14
    have comments, to be prepared to make comments on those
    types of issues at the October 20th workshop?
15
             MS. MARSH: Yes, and anything related to those
16
17
    topics that you can think of, you know, we can sit down and
18
    discuss it so that I can brief the commissioners on the
19
    topic.
             MR. BECK: Anne, Charlie Beck with the Public
20
   Counsel's office. Commissioner Garcia has mentioned
21
    numerous times at the public hearings about the issue of
22
   Lifeline customers taking ancillary services. What
23
24
    evidence is available about the extent to which Lifeline
    customers do that?
25
```

MS. MARSH: I have gotten a data request 1 2 recently. Let me see, looks to be about 60%. MR. BECK: Okay. You have that, and it's 3 breaking down which services are taken? 4 MS. MARSH: No, I don't. I just asked for a 5 6 total. MR. BECK: Just some ancillary service. 7 MS. MARSH: I have asked them just for whatever 8 ancillary services customers take. I didn't ask for it to 9 10 be broken down. MR. BECK: Okay. So what you have is simply 11 either yes or no? 12 MS. MARSH: Yeah, basically. 13 MR. BECK: Okay. 14 There are a few -- I think maybe one 15 MS. MARSH: company responded to just a couple of services as an 16 example, but basically I just have a percentage number and 17 that's all. 18 MR. BECK: Thank you. 19 MR. McNULTY: Okay. The notice on today's 20 workshop went out on September 14th to all interested 21 persons and to state and federal agencies that are 22 qualifying agencies for Lifeline or qualifying -- that 23 implement or administer qualifying programs for Lifeline 24

service.

25

The purpose of the workshop, as stated in the notice, is to allow interested persons to respond to the method staff is using to determine the number of Lifeline qualified low-income customers in Florida. Everyone should have an agenda and a copy of the staff's draft report on this subject. There is one located -- there are additional copies located at the front table here.

The first thing we would like to do before getting into our methodology is to go through some of the corrections, review some of the corrections to staff's draft report; and these corrections are not grammatical in nature, they are substantive. We will handle grammatical another time with the final review. We didn't feel as though that was important to do at this juncture.

So if you do have a copy, if you wouldn't mind following along on page 8, at the -- towards the bottom of the page at the end of the, I guess what we call the second paragraph, the statement: "However, staff did make a best-guess assumption." That sentence needs to be eliminated, deleted and replaced with the paragraph on the following page, page 9. So that is correction one to be made.

The second correction is on page 10 in the middle of the page. The sentence that starts with, "For example, DCF maintains Medicaid program participation data," the

term "DCF" should be deleted and replaced with A-H-C-A, for Agency for Health Care Administration.

The third correction is on page 13 in table two on the bottom right cell of that table, we have the number 34 thousand 282,676. That number needs to be replaced with thirty -- excuse me, I meant to say 34 million 282,676.

That number is to be replaced with 34,283,676.

That same error appears at the bottom of the page in the text, the second to the last line. That would -- And the fourth correction.

Correction 5 appears on page 15 in table 3, the header for the second to the last column is "Projected Based section 8." It should be "Project Base Section 8," so please drop the e-d on that word.

The sixth correction is also on page 15 but in the bottom of the page under the LIHEAP section. The Florida LIHEAP administrator's name is Robert Lakin, not Lane, so that the name is spelled L-a-k-i-n.

The seventh correction is on page 16 in the middle of the page under the header "Estimation of future number of Lifeline eligible customers and Lifeline support," three lines below that where it says, "Agency for Health Care Administration -- " or it says AHCA, and DFC. That should be DCF, not DFC.

The eighth correction is a few lines down, the

9

```
reference to Agency for Health Care Administration or AHCA
    is incorrect. Where it says, "According to AHCA," it
 3
    should have said, "Office of economic and demographic
    research, " in parens, EDR.
              The ninth correction is at the top of page 17,
 5
 6
   Robert Lakin's name is, again, misspelled, L-a-k-i-n.
 7
              And another correction, or tenth correction is
 8
    the bottom of page 17, the sentence that starts with, "As
    depicted in table 4," the "AHCA" appearing in that sentence
10
    should be replaced with "EDR."
              I believe I have one more correction to tell you
11
    about, and that is on -- take you back to page 5. At the
12
13
    bottom of the page it cites an order number,
    PSC-98-0328-FPF-TP, to include Temporary Assistance to
14
15
   Needy Families. "Temporary Assistance to Needy Families"
    is to be deleted and replaced with "Public housing in
16
    Section 8 and LIHEAP. " And LIHEAP is spelled L-I-H-E-A-P.
17
              UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Would you give that again to
18
19
   us?
              MR. McNULTY: Yes, the final sentence --
20
21
              UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Just give us (inaudible).
              MR. McNULTY: The new language is "Public Housing
22
    and Section 8 and LIHEAP."
23
              MR. POAG: Eliminate your footnote?
24
              MR. McNUL/TY: Excuse me?
25
```

1	MR. POAG: It eliminates your footnote?				
2	MR. McNULTY: Yes, it does.				
3	MR. ERWIN: Would you repeat the first correction				
4	that you gave?				
5	MR. McNULTY: Yes. The first correction is on				
6	page 8. The last sentence of the first complete paragraph				
7	starts with the word "however." That sentence is to be				
8	deleted and replaced with the paragraph on page 9.				
9	Are there any questions on the corrections that				
10	have been discussed this morning?				
11	(NO RESPONSE)				
12	MR. POAG: Deleting that footnote three is going				
13	to change your footnotes all the way through?				
14	MR. McNULTY: Yes, it will.				
15	MR. POAG: Yeah, and then I was just noticing				
16	back on page 15, is that you've got a 45 by the footnote a				
17	the bottom, and it's not clear to me how you jumped to 45				
18	in your footnote references here.				
19	MR. McNULTY: That will be corrected. That's one				
20	of those grammatical issues.				
21	MR. POAG: You followed then on with 46 on the				
22	subsequent page.				
23	MR. McNULTY: Right.				
24	MR. POAG: So you just need to fix those numbers.				
25	MR McNILTY. Very good Thank you for bringing				

that to our attention.

Okay. What we would like to do now is to present an overview of staff's methodology and findings, and following this we will solicit comments and questions, and then we will proceed to Number III on today's agenda.

The first point I would like to make about the Lifeline service program is that it's a federal program and that households qualify for receiving Lifeline assistance by participating in certain federal assistance programs, and those federal assistance programs include Medicaid, Food Stamps, SSI, or Supplemental Security Income, Public Housing Assistance and Section 8 programs and LIHEAP.

In Florida eligibility guidelines have been modified somewhat this year. We have changed what was previously Aid to Families with Dependent Children to be modified now to Temporary Assistance to Needy Families, and we made other changes to include Public Housing and Section 8 and LIHEAP.

The agencies that are basically in a position to help us determine the number of customers eligible to receive Lifeline assistance because they administer the programs include the Florida Department of Children and Families which administers Medicaid, Food Stamps and Temporary Assistance to Needy Families, or TANF. The second such agency is the Agency for Health Care

Administration which administers SSI. The third agency is the Federal Department of Housing and Urban development, which it administers Public Housing Assistance and Section 8. And finally, the fourth agency is the Department of Community Affairs which administers Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program, or LIHEAP.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In developing a methodology for determining the number of Lifeline qualifying customers in Florida, staff knew that the primary obstacle would be more complicated than simply asking the agencies to provide us the number of households participating in each of the programs and then summing up these numbers. We anticipated that there would be a large proportion of these households which would be participating in more than one program thus simply summing the participants of each program would lead to a serious case of double counting of households; however, during the course of developing our methodology, several other issues became evident. The main issues we addressed include, first, the time frame for estimating low-income support requirements called for by the legislation. It's not clear to us whether the current or projected levels of support is required. Not being certain of that, we determined to attempt to provide both current and projected levels.

The second issue that we faced was the fact that there are differences in the ways agencies maintain and

Administration, for instance, maintains their data in terms of case loads while others maintain their data in terms of households. Some can run computer matches on addresses, others can perform matches based on Social Security numbers. We had those kinds of concerns. We also found that different types of data formats were utilized by the different agencies, which presented an obstacle in merging data sets, so compatibility would be a key concern there.

Our third issue was that agencies were prevented from sharing their data in many instances due to confidentiality requirements of client information. Staff's initial attempt to collect the data was contounded by the fact that the agencies could not share their client-specific data, including names, Social Security numbers and addresses.

And then a final issue that came to the fore was that there was limited availability of the agencies' resources and personnel. They are many times concerned with their own internal demands, as you can well imagine, and so this created an extra burden on them, and their availability was sometimes a limiting factor.

So now what I would like to do is give a brief overview of our methodology, and we'll look at both the current and projected Lifeline qualifying households within these methodologies. I'll start with what was our methodology for determining the current number of households.

First, we asked the agencies to determine certain data for us and report it to us. We asked DCF to identify and eliminate multiple occurrences of current recipient households within Medicaid, Food Stamps and TANF data sets. And then DCF also eliminated from this data set all SSI recipient households. They reported that number to staff.

Secondly, AHCA identified and summed the total number of current SSI households, and they reported that number to staff.

Thirdly, HUD performed computer matching of households on Public Housing and Section 8 current program participants but then eliminated those households which also participated in TANF or SSI, and they reported that number to staff.

Fourthly, DCA submitted the total annual number of LIHEAP participants for 1997 in Florida to staff. They did this rather than run electronic matching of households on the most current monthly client data for two reasons: First, DCA maintains annual rather than monthly data on the number of households participating in LIHEAP, so 1997 data is the most current. Secondly, DCA was unable to perform

electronic matching of LIHEAP households and the other programs households since LIHEAP data is distributed in 32 field offices, and only some of those field offices store their data in an electronic data set.

And then finally, staff summed the quantities of the participating households reported from the DCF, AHCA and HUD. To this amount staff added 50% of the reported number of LIHEAP households. Staff made the assumption that 50% of LIHEAP households were not participating in any of the other five programs. The resulting amount became staff's estimate of the current number of customers eligible to receive Lifeline service in Florida.

All right. The second part, of course, then is to develop projections of Lifeline eligible customers, and we determined we would attempt to do this for 1999 and 2000. The staff solicited the following program growth data and client participation unit changes from the agencies and from the Florida Office of Economic and Demographic Research, or EDF.

First, DCF and EDF determined the growth rates for Medicaid programs and Food Stamps.

Secondly, HUD gave specific annual increases expected in its Public Housing and Section 8 programs.

Thirdly, DCA indicated that growth and LIHEAP participation was very dependent upon federal funding. DCA

defaulted to the current participation level as their proxy of future participation levels until such time as better funding data is available.

So finally, staff applied these growth rates and annual increases to the current estimates of Lifeline eligible customers for each of the programs as previously discussed in order to project the number of households eligible to receive Lifeline assistance in Florida in 1999 and 2000. Now Yiwen Yu will present the findings of this study.

MR. YU: I'm going to use this overhead to show you how we calculated the numbers that you have in a copy of the draft report, and as Bill said, we tried to come up with two different numbers: One is the current number; the other is the projected numbers for the next two years.

The biggest problem with, you know, calculating these numbers is that, you know, how to avoid double counting or multiple occurrences or duplication, whatever you want to call it. We used this sort of a van (phonetics) diagram type of picture to show you the potential overlapping relationships among the six qualifying programs. As I said, if you turn the picture upside down it looks like a bug; but this picture shows you that these are the -- you know, you could have also potential overlaps across the six different programs.

In Florida, the biggest one is the Medicaid, but within Medicaid, as you can tell, there were two programs: One is SSI; the other is TANF. And TANF is called the wages in Florida. And then these two programs are a hundred percent included in Medicaid program.

In Florida, SSI is administered by the Agency for Health Care Administration. The rest of Medicaid, we call it the non-SSI Medicaid, is administered by the Department of Children and Families.

The Food Stamp, and you can tell also the Food Stamp has a big overlap with the Medicaid and the other programs, and the Food Stamp is also administered by the DCF. And there are two other relatively smaller programs: Public Housing, Section 8 and the LIHEAP. And Section 8 is administered by HUD, and LIHEAP is DCA.

And from this, the Agency for Health Care
Administration, they provided us the number for SSI, as
Bill already said. The number is 306 thousand. And for
the non-SSI Medicaid, the number is 321 thousand, so add
them up. The sum of the two give us a total number of
Medicaid eligible, total number of Medicaid households,
recipient households. The number is 627 thousand.

And then the second one would show us the numbers. There are the numbers. So here 627 is the Medicaid number, and that is a very good number. We use

that as a base and the fund, the unduplicative part of other programs, and they add up to the number. For instance, for Food Stamps the total number is 417 thousand, and after all the duplications of the all the others, found households receiving like Medicaid. All those subtracted from this number, the left over is only 50,500.

numbers, Food Stamps and the total Medicald, they are updated to the August of this year. For Public Housing the total number of households is 151. The time is July of this year, and that is from HUD. And then we asked them to help us identify and eliminate all those duplications, and they could only do that for SSI and the TANF. In other words, they identify all those HUD recipient households who also receive assistance from SSI and the TANF, and we subtract that part from the total number. We come up with 103 thousand.

And the LIHEAP, they give us a total number of households, recipients, and then the number is 70 thousand, but that is a yearly number. Here the time is a little bit different, a yearly number. That is last year. That is the only number we could get from them. And then this number has -- you know, we have a little problem with, you know, asking them to help us to find and eliminate any duplications, and we could not do any of those, you know,

eliminating duplications or anything.

So in order to come up with a number, we just take the assumption that 50% of this 70 thousand receiving only LIHEAP assistance, and that would give us 35 thousand. And I know this assumption might be a problem, but compare this size of LIHEAP program to the others, this is a relatively small program, so the potential error might be small.

So, and then you sum up the last column to come up with a total number, and that number tells us how many households are eligible for Lifeline programs in Florida at, you know, current number; and this is the current number. The next one we tried to calculate the forecast number, you know, projected for next two years. And, also, the problem is how do you avoid the duplications. And, you know, for the future years, we don't have those addresses for the future recipients, not yet, so the computer cannot do the address match runs yet, so we take the current number as the base. We try to find the growth rate and apply the growth rates to each of these programs and come up with the projected number.

For the Medicaid program, we get the growth rates from the Social Service Estimated Conference, which is also the Economic and Demographic Research, that office. For next year the growth rate is 5.6%, and for year 2000 is 2.5%; and we applied these numbers for the next two years and come up with the Medicaid eligible households.

For Food Stamps, Department of Children and Families, they provide the projected number; and as you can see, that projected the growth rate as negative. The number is getting smaller and smaller. For the next year, the growth rate is negative .17, and for the year 2000 is negative 1.1.

And the next program is Public Housing. The project -- the forecast information we got from HUD is, they said just add up one thousand each year to the current number, and they believe that is a reasonable number to use; and that's the only forecast information we got from HUD. So we add one thousand to their current number for the next two years.

And again, LIHEAP they couldn't provide a number for the next two years, the projected number, except to say that over the years the budget has no big changes; and then so if the budget does not change, the number of recipient households are not going to change a lot. So we just assumed that it's not going to change for the next two years. And that -- again, that's the only information we have. That might be a problem, but this is the only information we have. And again, that relatively the program is small compared to the others.

1	So we just do these additions, and they come up
2	with the number of households eligible for Lifeline
3	services in Florida for the next two years. And again, our
4	task was to try to come up with the number of eligible
5	households, and that's what we have done. And now we are
6	open to the questions and the comments relating to these
7	numbers. Thank you.
8	MS. SIMS: Do you have a figure for total
9	households in the State of Florida, I mean total households
10	in the State of Florida?
11	MS. DANIEL: Nancy, I'm Patti Daniel. We rely on
12	the Florida Statistical Abstract for that information. I
13	believe it's about five million households in Florida.
14	MS. SIMS: Okay. Do you have do you know what
15	the requirements are in order to participate in LIHEAP?
16	MS. DANIEL: We have that data. We don't profess
17	to be experts at it, so I do have that data.
18	MS. SIMS: Can we get a copy of that?
19	MS. DANIEL: Uh-huh.
20	MS. SIMS: And also, what is required to, you
21	know, have Public Housing or Section 87
22	MS. DANIEL: We have that data, and I might let
23	Yiwen speak to that. We had quite a bit of difficulty
24	getting a bottom line. You cannot go to a Florida statute
26	and find what the eligibility requirements are. I believe

there is probably a lot of discretion within the agencies, and it's very difficult. It's not only based on income, it's based on, you know, number of people in the household. Sometimes these benefits go to children. Sometimes they go to the family. There are just a wide variety of issues.

MS. SIMS: Yeah, I was mainly looking at --

MS. DANIEL: I can give you a best guess.

MS. SIMS: -- just those two.

MS. DANIEL: Okay. Which were the two again?

MS. SIMS: Well, the LIHEAP and the Public Housing and Section 8.

MS. DANIEL: Okay.

MS. SIMS: Are you all open to anything that we have gleamed from other states? Because we were speaking with some of our regulatory counterparts in Louisiana, and they've been dealing with Universal Service and Lifeline and so forth. And I don't have anything in writing. I mean you are going to have to take -- this was a phone conversation, but it was regarding the LIHEAP and the Public Housing. And basically, they had a conversation with one of the -- a casual conversation with one of the representatives that works on these agencies, and they said that in Louisiana, which of course is probably a high participation state as far as Lifeline eligibility, that

also participate in the other programs, in one of the other programs. So that puts it a little bit -- if that carries any weight.

Now I thought maybe we would try to talk to some of our other states too and see if they have gotten any information. I don't know if other states keep better records or, you know, have any better information, but I think that we are going to go to our other states and see if there is anything else that could help you in estimating on these two areas.

MS. DANIEL: We would welcome something more concrete than we currently have. I think for LIHEAP we're, as you can see from Yiwen's discussion, we are particularly at a loss.

MS. SIMS: Yeah. Okay.

MR. McNULTY: Anything along those lines. It would be good to have some of the background as to how they came up with that estimate. We ran into the problem here as LIHEAP is administered in Florida where some of the field offices maintain the data in electronic format and yet others don't. We basically ran into the problem of not being able to get the whole data set, obviously, so that we considered the possibilities of doing a statistical inference based upon one or two field offices, but then we ran into some confidentiality issues as well; so we would

be very interested to find out how that was implemented in those states. It would help us support any estimate that we would use.

MS. SIMS: I guess you can appreciate now our predicament in trying to determine whether somebody is actually eligible when we go to these agencies to verify our list. It gets to be pretty hectic.

MR. McNULTY: Yes, we have a lot of appreciation for that.

MR. YU: For the Lifeline program, we also looked at the eligibility requirements also, and the same thing for the Public Housing, Section 8; but, you know, you look at income requirements. There are other, some other things that you need to pay attention to. For instance, those programs serve recipients on first-come, first-serve basis. So, you know, to be poor is to be, you know, meeting the income level, is the first one; but it doesn't necessarily mean that your income is, you know, is meeting the requirements and then you will get the assistance. It also depends on the funding. If the funding is not sufficient, you will be put on the waiting list. So that would make it maybe differences across the states.

MS. DANIEL: And which programs is that applicable?

MR. YU: Two programs: LIHEAP and the Public

Housing and the Section 8. Thanks.

MS. SIMS: I'll see if we can find anything else about Louisiana as well as some of the other states. I don't know if we'll be very successful, but we'll try.

MR. McNULTY: We also are attempting to get an update from the Florida LIHEAP administrator on what the prognosis is for funding, federal funding for the program, since that is something that is a determinant for LIHEAP participant -- LIHEAP participation.

MR. YU: Also, for the LIHEAP, in terms of data collecting, and there are field offices which, you know, operate and run these programs. They don't -- many of them are not computer automated yet, so that created a potential problem in collecting the most updated data.

MR. FONS: This is John Fons. I have a question for Charlie Beck. Charlie, does NASUCA keep any statistics on Lifeline?

MR. BECK: I could ask. I don't know offhand.

MR. FONS: Okay. You haven't checked on that?

MR. BECK: No, I haven't.

MR. FONS: Okay.

MS. DANIEL: Who? I'm sorry. Who?

MR. FONS: NASUCA which is the National

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA

Association of State Public Counsels. Close?

MR. BECK: Close.

C & N REPORTERS

MR. FONS: What?

MR. BECK: State Utility Consumer Advocates.

MR. FONS: State Utility Consumer Advocates,

national association thereof.

MR. McNULTY: Other comments on the --

MR. POUCHER: Earl Poucher of Public Counsel's office. I'd like to ask a question. Is there any data or evidence that would provide information on Medicaid recipients as to whether or not they live in households with other telephone service? And I'm thinking of mother-in-laws who receive Medicaid living in a house with existing telephone service. They probably would not be a candidate for Lifeline, but does anybody know how many mother-in-laws live in houses with telephones?

MR. McNULTY: Most of this counting we really relied very heavily upon the agencies for. In this case, of course, it was the Department of Children and Families. And we understand that they keep their case load data and are able to determine by address the number of individuals who are participating in their various programs.

MR. POUCHER: Yeah, I see You've done a good job, or they've done a good job of liminating duplications where two people in the same household have Medicaid. I think that's -- based on the way the report reads, it's been taken care of; but I'm concerned about the number of

people who are Medicaid recipients who live in houses with other people, other families that are not recipients that are not duplicates but people who are wage earners and are not public welfare recipients and have the basic telephone service for the household.

A Medicaid recipient, just because they receive Medicaid, is not going to be a candidate for telephone service unless they live in their own house separately; so I would be concerned -- I don't think you can ever put your arms around that number, but I would put a big disclaimer around the 34-million-dollar number and the 815 thousand participants because of the fact that there are many people who receive Medicaid who live in houses with other people who have the telephone service in that house, and I -- I don't think you can find out what that number is, but it deserves to be mentioned as one of the reasons why it's very unlikely that you'll have a hundred percent participation ever or anything approaching that.

The second disclaimer I would recommend that you deal with is Lifeline eligible customers who have existing bad debts with the telephone companies. Presently, those customers who have bad debts, even though they are eligible for Lifeline, will not be eligible for telephone service until they pay their bad debt; and if they are Lifeline eligible, why it's unlikely that they are going to be able

1	to do that. So somewhere you've got to take into			
2	consideration the fact that there are a lot of customers			
3	out there who have bad debts with the telephone companies,			
4	and they are not going to get telephone service, even			
5	Lifeline telephone service, unless there is a change of			
6	rules.			
7	MR. McNULTY: So in both of those instances you			
8	would say that the, either the estimate or the projections			
9	that we came up with were overstated?			
10	MR. POUCHER: Yes, very definitely. And as a			
11	matter of personal information, every Lifeline eligible			
12	customer that I've tried to help to get telephone service			
13	has a bad debt with the telephone company and did not get			
14	their telephone service.			
15	MR. McNULTY: Thank you. Are there any other			
16	comments on this portion of the agenda?			
17	(NO RESPONSE)			
18	MR. McNULTY: Okay. I think that then we could			
19	go to Number III. Yes, comments?			
20	(NO RESPONSE)			
21	MR. McNULTY: This is asking basically for other			
22	issues related to determining the amount of support. If			
23	there are any issues at this time, any interested persons			
24	are welcome to openly discuss it.			

25

MR. McCABE: I just have some questions regarding

what it is --

1

MR. McNULTY: Could you identify yourself? 2 MR. McCABE: Tom McCabe with TDS Telecom, Quincy. 3 I was interested in terms of what you envision providing to 4 5 the legislature. Would it be this report here with, you know, some modifications as were discussed, or will you be 6 7 making a series of recommendations in terms of whether Universal Service -- Lifeline should be funded through a 8 universal service program, how that program should be funded and things of that nature? I mean I think that, you 10 know, based on the number of subscribers today, I don't 11 think that we would need to start out trying to fund 35 12 million dollars; and I'm curious in terms of whether you 13 will be presenting recommendations in terms of, yes, there 14 is a need for Lifeline support. Yes, it should be funded 15 through a universal service fund, and at this time we would 16 project that the funding should be based on X-number of 17 dollars today with updates periodically, maybe on a yearly 18 basis, in terms of actual subscribership and things of that 19 nature because everyone is going to be paying into this 20 fund; and my company, obviously, based on what I would 21 anticipate, number of customers I would have, it's very 22 important to us. Yet at the same time, we will be paying 23 into the fund, and we don't want to be in a situation of 24 paying 35 million dollars -- part of 35 million dollars 25

into the state coffers that are going to sit there unused until you get a hundred percent subscribership.

MR. McNULTY: Tom, basically the research and regulatory review, Division of Research and Regulatory Review conducted this study and is forwarding its results to the Division of Communications, and if there are any policy issues to be addressed, it would be addressed by the Division. We are in the process of working together with them to get this report to the legislature, but that's the extent of what our study has been doing. And we have basically put together this report with the assistance of the Division of Communications for factual basis only. We haven't really provided any policy recommendation, per se, and perhaps the Division of Communications might have something to say on the issues that you've presented.

MS. MARSH: As far as I know, for now. this is what is going to be inserted into the report. I don't think a lot of other people in communications have seen it besides me, and some policy issues may come up later on, so it's possible there could be some things added to it. We haven't really sat down and discussed this particular report because it just has gotten to us just the same as it has to you. The statute asked for the amount of support, and this is basically -- I view it as kind of a maximum number. Obviously the participation at Lifeline is a whole

```
lot less. In fact, it's even lower now than it was in
 1
    '97. So obviously you don't need a 34- or
 2
    36-million-dollar funding, but whether we'll make any
 3
    recommendations on that or not, I don't know at this time.
             MR. McNULTY: It sounds like the other workshop
 5
   will maybe get into some of those issues.
 6
              MS. MARSH: No.
 7
             MR. McNULTY: No? Okay. All right. Excuse me.
 8
             MS. MARSH: At least we don't plan to.
 9
             MR. McNULTY: Okay.
10
              MS. MARSH: Although, if somebody has something
11
    they want to bring up, you know, I'd certainly be glad to
12
    entertain it.
13
              MR. McNULTY: Okay. Other issues that we can
14
    address today?
15
              MR. PASCHALL: Ed Paschall with AARP. I raise a
16
    question here in conjunction with what Mr. Poucher with
17
    public counsel mentioned a little bit ago about the fact
18
    that he had tried to help quite a number of people get
19
    Lifeline assistance and were unable to do so because they
20
    had a bad debt with a telephone company already, and while
21
    they were qualified for it otherwise, as far as the
22
    requirements were concerned, the telephone company would
23
```

not give it to them because of their bad debt with them.

Would there be any way that we could get a number from the

24

25

combined telephone companies as to how many people they have like that with bad debts? Because if it's only maybe a bad debt for a month or something like that, maybe there would be some way that could be resolved so that they could be included into this program.

MR. McNULTY: That's an excellent question, and I think that we can definitely investigate that.

MS. SIMS: Let me -- This is Nancy Sims with BellSouth. Let me explain to you what we have been doing for, it's been well over a year now, I think. We instituted what we call a toll credit limit program, and what we do is if a customer -- of course, before we cut a customer off, we will try to work with the customer to work out a payment schedule, and they will agree to go on a -- to get a toll block on their line and work out a payment schedule, and we will not cut them off.

If they have been disconnected for non-pay and we have not yet -- they have not yet been turned over to a collection agency, because we have certain contracts with collection agencies that we are -- We are in the process of renegotiating those so that we can override some of those. But if they've been disconnected and they have a bad debt, in fact, most of -- a lot of the ones we have tried to contact and send letters to and say, we have a plan now whereby if you will agree to put a toll block on

your line and agree to some type of a payment schedule, we will turn your service back on. And I think we've been pretty successful with that, but we can certainly give you a report. I don't know that we can tell you which ones that have bad debts would have been eligible for Lifeline. You know, I don't know, that our records can tell that, but we can certainly give you reports on, you know, what has happened with bad debt as far as before we put the toll credit limit on and after we put the toll credit limit program in.

MR. PASCHALL: I think that would be very useful there, and if the other telephone companies would consider doing the same thing, I think that would be very helpful in the total analysis of what you can do to get more of those people back into the loop, if you want to call it such, because that's the main purpose of the whole thing in the first place, is to get them back in there, if they are out. And the other point of it is that, just as she said, if they would agree to toll blocking or just tell them pure and simple that if they want to get back in, that we would do that, but they would have to agree to that toll blocking. Would the other companies -- I think if the other companies would do that, I think we would come up with some very useful figures that may provide some additional support to those people to get some of their

debts -- I'm not saying we should pay a two- or three-hundred-dollar bill for some of them that they are not trying to pay themselves, but to help some of them get back in the loop who may be making a strong effort in that area. I think it might be certainly well worthwhile.

MR. McNULTY: Are there other telephone companies here today that could maybe give their perspective on their ability to quantify the number of customers with bad debt who don't have the opportunity?

MR. POAG: We operate, I think, pretty much the same as BellSouth has described, and I don't know that we would be able to quantify the number that were Lifeline eligible. Possibly you could find out which ones were Lifeline that were disconnected, but I'm not sure how much of a process that is and how long it would take to do it. It would definitely be some sort of a manual process though, I believe.

MR. McCABE: For Quincy we basically operate the same way BellSouth does, and again, we would not have any idea whether we had people that had been disconnected that would be eligible for Lifeline. I don't know how we would go about finding that information out. I don't think that that's something that should be -- that burden should be placed upon us to find out who is eligible for Lifeline.

MR. McNULTY: But it would be possible to find

out the total number of people who have been basically withheld from service due to bad debt considerations? 2 MR. McCABE: We could provide you probably with 3 information of people that we have disconnected. One of 4 the difficulties though is, you know, a lot of times we may 5 6 have somebody who has been permanently disconnected that, you know, we don't even know whether they still exist in 7 the area. I mean we have a lot of bad debt customers that 8 no longer are in our territory. 9 MR. McNULTY: Okay. Does GTE have any comments 10 on this? 11 MR. SCOBIE: We do the same basic thing Bell 12 does, and I would agree with Tom --13 MR. McNULTY: You have to come to --14 MR. SCOBIE: GTE does the same basic thing, I 15 guess, as BellSouth and Sprint as far as dealing with 16 customers that go out non-pay or with bad debt. I am 17 pretty sure we could not quantify those that would be 18 eligible for Lifeline service. As far as total calculation 19 of the total customers that have gone out non-pay, there 20 may be some way to calculate that, but I'm not sure at this 21 time until we go back and check. 22 MR. McNULTY: Thank you for that response. 23

24

25

workshop?

Okay. Are there any other comments at today's

CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF LEON I, NANCY S. METZKE, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 3rd day of October, 1998. NANCY S. METZKE, CCR, RPR

0	9:30 1:12 4:22	around 27:10,11	calculated 16:12
&	A	ask 2:95:16:925:1826:7	calculating 16:16
1:22		asked 3:19 6:5,8 14:4,5 18:11 30:23	calculation 35:19 call 2:4 7:17 16:19 17:7 32:11
I A VIDSANIENS	A-H-C-A 8:1 a.m 1:12	asking 12:10 18:24 28:21	33:15
7 31:2	AARP 3:7 31:16	Assistance 9:14,15 11:8,9,10,	
# #	ability 34:8	12,16,21,24 12:3,6 16:8 18:15	came 13:17 23:18 28:9
	able 23:22 26:19 27:25 34:12	19:4 24:19 30:11 31:20	can 2.25:1,17,17,18 13:4,5,20
36:7,7,7,7	about 4:1 5:22,24 6:2 9:12 11: 6 21:13 25:3 26:25 31:18 34:22	Association 25:24 26:4	17:2,10 20:4 21:18 22:7 23:13 24:4 25:2 27:9,15 31:14 32:7
1	Abstract 21:12	assuming 4:2	21 33 3,4,6,7,14
	According 9:2	assumption 7:19 15:8 19:3,5	candidate 26:13 27:7
1:24	across 16:25 24:22	attempt 12:23 13:13 15:15	cannot 19:17 21:24
	actual 29:19	attempting 25:5	Care 8:2,23 9:1 11:25 13:1 17
1.1 20:8	actually 24:6	attention 11:1 24:14 August 18:9	7,16 26:25 carries 23:2
10 7:23	add 17:19 18:2 20:11,14 added 15:7 30:20	Ausley 2:19,24	case 12:16 13:3 26:16,18
103 18:17	additional 4:8 7:6 33:25	authorized 37:6	cesual 22:21
13 8:3	additions 21:1	automated 25:13	CCR 37:10
14th 6:21	address 19:18 26:19 31:15	automatic 3:23 4:1,4 5:6	cell 8:4 Center 1:14
15 8:11,15 10:16 151 18:10	addressed 12:18:30:7,7 addresses 13:4,16:19:16	automatically 5:8 availability 13:18,22	certain 3:20 11:9 12:22 14:4
16 8:19	adjourned 36:3,4	available 5:24 16:3	32:19
17 9:5,8 20:7	administer 6:24 11:21	avoid 16:17 19:15	certainly 4:15 31:12 33:3,7 34
1997 14:20,24	administered 17:6,8,12,15 23:	В	CEPTIFICATE 27.1
16 18 1:11 37:8 1999 15:15 16:8	19	THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PA	CERTIFICATE 37:1 Certified 37:5
	administers 11:23 12:1,3,5 Administration 8:2,23 9:1 12:	back 9:12 10:16 33:2,15,17,20 34:4 35:22	chairman 3:19
2	1 13:2 17:7,17	beckground 23:17	change 10:13 20:19,20,21 28:
2 3:21	administrator 25:6	bad 27:21,22,24 28:3,13 31:	5
2.5% 20:1	administrator's 8:17	21,24 32:2,3,23 33:5,8 34:8 35:	changed 11:14
2000 15:15 16:9 19:25 20:7	adopt 4:2,3	2,8,17	changes 11:17 15:17 20:18 Charlie 3:8 5:20 25:16,16
20th 3:15,17 4:21 5:15 282,676 8:5,6	Advocates 26:2,3 affairs 4:19 12:5	Base 8:13 18:1 19:19 Based 8:13 13:5 22:2,3 23:24	check 35:22
	efter 4:19 18:4 33:9	26:24 29:11,17,21	checked 25:19
3		basic 3:12 27:4 35:12,15	Children 11:15,22 17:9 20:3
3 8:11	22 9 34:19	basically 4:19 6:13,17 11:19	22:4 26:17
30 1:11	agencies 3:25 4:6 6:22,23 11:	22:20 23:21 28:21 30:3,11,24	cites 9:13 clarification 5:4
306 17:18	19 12:10,25 13:8,10,14 14:4 15:17 22:1,22 24:6 26:16 32:20	34:18 35:1 banks 24:15 29:19 30:12	clear 10:17 12:20
3093 1:17,23 32 15:2	agencies' 13:18	became 12:18 15:10	client 13:12 14:22 15:17
321 17:19	Agency 8:2,22 9:1 11:25,25	because 11:21 22:14 27:6,12	client-specific 13:15
34 8:5,6 31:2	12:1,4 13:1 17:6,16 32:19	29:20 30:22 31:20,24 32:2,19	Clients 4:8 Clintina 2:12
34,283,676 8:7	agenda 7:5 11:5 28:16	33:16 BECK 3:8,8 5:20,20 6:3,7,11,	Close 25:24,25
34-million-doller 27:11 35 19:4 29:12,25,25	ago 31:18 agree 32:14,25 33:1,19,21 35:	14,19 25:16,18,20,25 26:2	coffers 30:1
36-million-dollar 31:3	13	BEFORE 1:1 7:8 32:12 33:8	collect 13:13
3rd 37:8		believe 9:11 20:12 21:13,25	collecting 25:11,14
4	15:6	34:17 Bell 35:12	collection 32:19:20 column 8:12:19:9
	Aid 11:15 allow 7:2	BellSouth 3:2 4:25 32:9 34:11,	combined 32:1
4 9:9	allowed 4:14	19 35:16	come 2:21 3:22 16:13 18:16
4075 1:15 417 18:3	along 7:16 23:16	below 8:22	19 2 9 20 20 2 21:1,4 30:19 33
45 10:16,17	already 17:18 31:21 alternative 4:4	Ben 2:18,23	23 35:14
46 10:21	alternative 4:4	benefits 22:4 besides 30:19	Commenced 1:12 comments 5:14.14 11:4 21:6
5	Although 31:11 among 16:21	best 22:7	26.5 28.16,19 35:10,24
The second secon	amount 3:11 15:7,10 28:22 30:	best-guess 7:19	COMMISSION 1:1 2:7
5 8:11 9:12	23	better 16:2 23:6,7	Commissioner 5:21
5.6% 19:25 50% 15:7.9 19:3	analysis 33:14	Betty 1:14 big 17:11 20:18 27:10	commissioners 4:18 5:18 communications 2:14 30:6,
50,500 18:6	ancillary 4:10,12 5:23 6:7,9 Anne 2:13 3:15 5:20	blggest 16:16 17:1	12,14,18
6	annual 14:19,23 15:22 16:5	Bill 2:53:18 16:13 17:18 34:2	Community 12:5
	another 3:14,18 7:13 9:7	bit 18:20 21:23 23:2 31:18	companies 3:24 27:21 28:3
60% 6:2 627 17:22.24	anticipate 29:22	block 32:15,25	32:1 33:12,22,23 34:6 company 6:16 28:13 29:21 31
02/ 1/.22,24	anticipated 12:12 anybody 26:13 anything 5:16:19:1:22:13,17	blocking 33:19,22 both 3:24 12:23 13:24 28:7	21,23
7	enything 5:16:19:1 22:13:17	bottom 7:16 8:4,8,16 9:8,13	compare 19:5
70 18:19 19:3		10:17 21:24	compared 20:25
0	appearing 9:9 appearing 9:9 appearing 9:9 applicable 24:24 applied 16:4 20:1 apply 19:20 appreciate 24:4 appreciation 24:8	Box 1:17,23	compatibility 13.9 complete 10.6
8	appears 8:8,11	breaking 6.4	complicated 12.9
8 7:16 8:13,13 9:17,23 10:6 11:	spolled 16:4 20:1	brief 5:18 13:23 briefing 4:19	computer 13:4 14:14 19:17
12,18 12:4 14:15 15:23 17:14, 14:21:21 22:11 24:12 25:1	apply 19:20	bring 31:12	25:13
815 27:11	appreciate 24:4	bringing 10:25	concern 13:9
850)697-8314 1:24 850)697-8715 1:24	appreciation 24:8 approaching 27:18 appropriate 2:8	broken 6:10	concerned 13:19 26:25 27:9 31:23
850)697-8715 1:24	approaching 27:18	budget 20:18,19	concerns 13.6
The second secon	appropriate 2.0	bug 16:23 burden 13:21 34:23	concrete 23:12
Q	Inten 34:5 35:8		
9 7:21 10:8	area 34.5 35.8 areas 23:10	6	conducted 30:5
9 9 7:21 10:8 980000C-SP 1:4 2:4	areas 23:10 areas 23:10 areas 3:22 arms 27:10	C calculate 19:13:35:21	

confused 3:14 conjunction 31:17 ons 3:25 consider 33:12 consideration 28:2 considerations 35:2 considered 23:23 Consumer 26:2,3 contact 32:24 contracts 32:19 conversation 22:19,20,21 copies 7:7 copy 7:5,15 16:12 21:18 corrected 10:19 correction 7:21,23 8:3,10,11, 15,19,25 9:5,7,7,11 10:3,5 corrections 7:10,10,11 10:9 couldn't 20:16 Counsel 3:9 31:18 Counsel's 5:21 26:6 Counsels 25:24 counterparts 22:15 counting 12:16 16:18 26:15 COUNTY 37:3 couple 5:1 6:16 course 12:17 15:13 22:23 26: 17 32:12 COX 2:11.11 cree*ed 13:21 25:13 credit 32:11 33:9,9 curious 29:13 current 12:21,23 13:25 14:2,6, 12,15,22,25 15:11 16:1,5,14 19:12,12,18 20:11,14 currently 23:12 customer 3:21 28:12 32:12. 13,13 customers 1:5 3:13 5:23,25 6: 97:48:21 11:20 12:8 15:11,14 16:6 27:20,22 28:2 29:22 34:8 35:8,17,20 cut 32:12.16

D

DANIEL 2:16,16:21:11,11,16, 19,22:22:7,9,12:23:11:24:23 25:22 data 6:1 7:25 13:1,2,3,7,9,11, 13,15 14:5,7,8,22,23,24 15:2,4 4,16 16:3 21:16,17,22 23:20,22 25:10.14 26:7,18 **DATE 1:11** DATED 37:8 Dave 3:5 day 37:8 DCA 14:19,23,25 15:24,25 17: DCF 7:25 8:1,24 14:5,8 15:6, 20 17:13 deal 27:20 dealing 22:16 35:16 debt 27:24 28:13 31:21,24 32: 3,23 33:8 34:8 35:2,8,17 debts 27:21:22:28:3:32:2:33:5 deciding 4:15 defaulted 16:1 definitely 28:10 32:7 34:16 deleted 7:20 8:1 9:16 10:8 Deleting 10:12 demands 13:20 demographic 9:3 15:18 19:24 Department 11:22 12:24 17:8 20:3 26:17 Dependent 11:15 15:25 depends 24:20 depicted 9:9 described 34:11 deserves 27:16 desire 4:7 determinant 25:8 determination 3:11 4:13

determine 7:3 11:20 14:4 24:5 | Esplanade 1:15 26:19 determined 12:22 15:15:20 determining 12:7 14:2 28:22 develop 15:14 developing 12:7,17 DFC 8:23.24 diagram 16:20 dial-in 4:23 didn't 6:9 7:13 differences 12:25 24:22 different 13:7,8 16:14,25 18: difficult 22:2 difficulties 35:5 difficulty 21:23 disclaimer 27:10,19 disconnected 32:17,22:34:14, 20 35:4,6 discretion 22:1 discuss 5:18 28:24 discussed 10:10 16:7 29:6 30: discussing 3:23 discussion 5:13 23:13 distributed 15:2 Division 2:6,11,12,13,15 30:4, 6,8,12,14 docket 3:10 oes 10:2 20:19 25:16 26:13 34:19 35:10,13,15 docen't 24:17 doing 23:23 30:10 32:9 33:13 dollars 29:13,18,25,25 irs 29:13,18,25,25 done 21:5 26:21,22 double 12:16 16:17 down 5:17 6:4,10 8:25 16:23 draft 7:5,11 16:13 drop 8:14 due 13:11 35:2 duplicates 27:3 duplication 16:18 duplications 18:4,12,25 19:1, 15 26:22 during 12:16

e-d 8:14 ach 12:11,15 16:6 19:20 20: Earl 3:8 26:6 mers 27:3 Engley 1:14 mic 9:3 15:18 19:24 Ed 3:7 31:16 EDF 15:19,20 EDR 9:4,10 effort 34:4 eighth 8:25 either 6:12 28:8 electronic 14:21 15:1,4 23:20 eligibility 11:13 21:25 22:24 24:11 eligible 8:21 11:20 15:12,14 16:6,8 17:21 19:11 20:2 21:2,4 16:6.8 17:21 16:11 20:2 21:2.4 24:6 27:20,22,23,25 26:11 33:5 34:13,21,24 35:19 Eliminate 9:24 14:6 18:12,24 eliminates 10:1 eliminating 19:1 26:22 else 23:9 25:2 end 7:17 end 7:17 Energy 12:5 enrolled 5:8 enrollment 3:23 4:1,4 5:6 entertain 31:13 envision 29:4 error 6:6 19:7 ERWIN 3:5,5 10:3

estimate 15:11 23:18 24:2 28 Estimated 19:23 estimates 16:5 estimating 12:19:23:9 Estimation 8:20 even 27:22 28:4 31:1 35:7 ever 27:9.18 every 28:11 Everyone 7:4 29:20 evidence 5:24 26:8 evident 12:18 example 6:17 7:24 excellent 32:6 except 20:17 Excuse 4:25 8:6 9:25 31:8 exist 35:7 existing 26:12 27:20 expected 15:23 expense 4:10 experts 21:17 explain 32:9 explore 4:5 expressed 4:7 extent 5:24 30:10 excra 13:21

faced 12:24 fact 12:24 13:14 27:12 28:2 31:1,18 32:23 factor 13:22 factual 30:12 Families 9:15,15 11:15,16,23 24 17:9 20:4 26:17 27:2 family 22:5 far 22:24 30:16 31:22 33:8 35: 16,19 FAX 1:24 federal 6:22 11:7,9,10 12:2 15: 25 25:7 feel 7:13 few 6:15 8:25 field 15:3,3 23:20,24 25:11 figure 21:8 figures 33:24 fill 4:8 finai 7:13 9:20 13:17 finally 12:4 15:5 16:4 find 18:24 19:19:21:25:24:1 25:2 27:15 34:13,24,25 finding 34:22 findings 11:3 16:9 firm 2:19,24 first 7:8 10:3,5,6 11:6 12:1\$ 14:4.23 15:20 24:17 33:17 first-come 24:15 first-serve 24:15 five 15:10 21:13 fbx 10:24 FLORIDA 1:1 2.7 3:13 7:4 8 17 11:13,22 12 6 14:20 15:12, 18 16:8 17:1,4,6 19:11 21:3,9, 10,12,13,24 23:19 25:6 followed 10:21 following 7:16:21 11:4 15:16 FONS 2:19,19:24:24:25:15:15. 19,21,23 26:1,3 Food 11:11,23 14:7 15:21 17 10,10,12 18 3,8 20 3 footnote 9:24 10:1,12,16,18 footnotes 10:13 fore 13:17 forecast 19:13 20:10,13 foregoing 37:6 form 4:6,7 format 13:1 23:20 formats 13:7 forth 22:17 forwarding 30:5 found 13:6 18:4

fourth 8:10 12:4 Fourthly 14:19 frame 12:19 front 7:7 fund 18:1 29:12.16.21.24 funded 29:8,10,15 funding 15:25 16:3 24:20,20 25:7,7 29:17 31:3 future 8:20 16:2 19:16:17

G

Garcia 5:21 gave 10:4 15:22 gets 24:7 getting 7:9 20:6 21:24 give 9:18,21 13:23 17:20 18: 18 19 4 22 7 31 24 33 3 7 34 7 glad 31:12 good 10 25 17 25 23 17 26 21 gleamed 22:14 got 10:16 20:10,13 28:1 gotten 6:1 23:5 30:22 grammatical 7:11,12 10:20 growth 15:16,20,24 16:4 19: 19,20,22,25 20:5,7 GTE 35:10,15 guess 2:2 7:17 22:7 24:4 35: guidelines 11:13 guys 2:16

handle 7:12 happen 4:19 happened 33:8 having 3:17 4:5 header 8:12,20 Health 8:2,23 9:1 11:25 13:1 17:7,16 hear 3:24 hearing 4:22 hearings 5:22 heavily 26:16 hectic 24:7 help 4:7 11:20 18:12,24 23:9 24 2 28 12 31:19 34:3 helpful 33:13 here 7.7 10:18 17:24 18:20 23: 18 29:5 31:17 34:7 high 22:23 Home 12.5 house 26:11 27:8,14 household 22:3 26:23 27:5 households 11.8 12:11,13,16 13.4.25 14.3.7.9.12.15.16.21, 24 15.1.2.6.8.9 16.7 17.21,22 18.5,10,14,19 19:11 20:2,20 21:2,5,9,9,13 26:9 houses 26:14 27:1,13 housing 9:16,22 11:12,17 12: 2,3 14:15 15:23 17:14 18:7,9 20:9 21:21 22:11 20:25 24:12 25:1 how 4:1 10:17 16:12.17 19:10. 15 23:17 24:1 26:13 29 9 32:1 34:14,15,21 However 7:18 10:7 12:16 HUD 14:14 15:7,22 17:15 18

Fd 26:7 31:12 idea 34:20 identified 14:11 identify 14:5 18:12,14 29:2 III 11:5 28:19 imagine 13:20 implement 6:24 implemented 24:1 important 7:14:29:23

hundred 17:5 27:17 30:2

11,14 20:10,14

inaudible 9:21 Inc 2:20.25 include 9:14 11:10,17,22 12: included 17:5 32:5 including 13:15 Income 11:11 22:2 24:13,17, 18 incorrect 9:2 increases 15:22 16:5 Indicated 3:18 15:24 individuals 26:19 inference 23:24 information 3:16 13:12 20:10, 13,22,24 21:12 23:6,7 26:8 28: 11 34:22 35 4 Initial 13:13 input 4:16 inserted 30:17 instance 13:2 18:3 24:14 instances 13:11 28:7 instituted 32:11 interested 2:10 6:21 7:2 24:1 28:23 29:4 internal 4:18 13:20 introduce 2:9,17 investigate 3:20 32:7 involved 3:25 issue 4:8 5:22 12:24 13:10,17 issues 3:20,22 5:12,15 10:20 12:17, 18 22:5 23:25 28:22 23 30:7,15,19 31:6,14

job 26:22,22 John 2:19,24 25:15 July 18:10 jumped 10:17 uncture 7:14

keep 23:6 25:16 26:18 key 13:9 kind 30:24 kinds 13:6 Kirk 3:3 knew 12:9 know 4:20 5:17 16:16,17,24 18:23,24,25 19:5,12,14,16:21: 14,21 22:3 23:6,7 24:12,16,16, 18 25 4,11,18 26:13 29:6,11 30 16 31:4,12 33:4,6,6,7 34:11 21 35:5,7,7

L-a-k-l-n 8:18 9:6 L-I-H-E-A-P 9:17 Lakin 8:17 Lakin's 9:6 Lane 8:18 language 9:22 large 12:13 last 8:9,12 10:6 18:21 19:9 later 30:19 law 2:19.24 lead 12:15 least 31:9 left 18:6 legal 2:11.12 legislation 12:20 legislature 29:5 30:9 LEON 37:3 less 31:1 Let 6:2 21:22 32:8.9 letters 32:24 level 16:1 24:17 levels 12:21,23 16:2 Lifeline 3:18,24 4:6,9 5:23,24 6:23,24 7:3 8:21,21 11:7,8,21 12.8 13.25 15.12,14 16.5.8 19. 11 21:2 22:16.24 24:10.25:17 26:13 27:20,23,24 28:5,11 29:

8.15 30:25 31:20 33:5 34:12. 14,21,24 35:19 Lifeline-related 3:14 LIHEAP 8:16,17 9:17,17,23 11:12,18 12:6 14:20,24 15:1,2, 8,9,24 17:14,15 18:18 19:4,6 20:16 21:15 22:10,19,25 23:12, 19 24:25 25:6,8,9,10 like 2:4 3:24 4:2,3,5 5:7 7:8 11:2,6 13:23 16:23 18:5 26:7 31:5 32:2.3 firmit 32:11 33:9,9 limited 13:18 limiting 13:22 line 8:9 21:24 32:15 33:1 lines 8:22,25 23:16 list 24:7,21 little 18:20,23 23:2 31:18 live 26:9,14 27:1,8,13 living 26:11 load 26:18 loads 13:3 local 3:12 ocated 7:6,7 long 34:15 longer 35:9 look 13:24 24:12 looked 24:10 looking 22:6 looks 6:2 16:23 loop 33:15 34:4 loss 23:14 iot 20:20 22:1 24:8 28:2 30:18 31:1 32:23 35:5.8 Louisiana 22:15,23 25:3 w-income 1:5 3:13 7:4 12:5, 19 lower 31:1

made 4:13 11:17 15:8 main 12:18 33:16 mainty 22:6 maintain 12:25 13:3 23:20 maintains 7:25 13:2 14:23 make 5:14 7:18 11:6 24:21 31

making 4:18 29:7 34:4 manual 34:16 many 13:11,19 19:10 25:12 26:13 27:12 32:1 MARSH 2:13,13 3:15,17 5:3,5, 9,11,16 6:1,5,8,13,15 30:16 31: 7,9,11 Mary 3:1 match 19:18

matches 13:4,5 matching 14:14,21 15:1 matter 28:11 maximum 30:24 may 30:19 33:24 34:4 35:5,21 maybe 6:15 23:4 24:22 29:18 31:6 32:2,3 34:7 McCABE 28:25 29:3,3 34:18

35:3 McNULTY 2:2,5,21 3:10 6:20 9:20,22,25 10:2,5,14,19,23,25 23:16 24:8 25:5 26:5,15 28:7, 15,18,21 29:2 30:3 31:5,8,10, 14 32:6 34:6,25 35:10,14,23 36:2 mean 5:6,10 21:9 22:18 24:18 29:10 35:8

meant 8:6 Medicald 7:25 11:10,23 14:7 15:21 17:1,2,5,7,8,11,19,21,21, 25 18:5,8 19:22 20:2 26:8,11, 23 27:1,6,7,13 meeting 24:16,18 mentioned 5:21 27:16 31:18

merging 13:8

method 7:3 methodologies 14:1 thodology 7:9 11:3 12:7,17 13:24 14:2 **METZKE 37:5,10** microphone 2:22 middle 7:23 8:20 might 19:5,7 20:23 21:22 30: 14 34:5 Milce 3:3 million 8:6 21:13 29:13,25,25 mind 7:15 misspelled 9:6 modifications 29:6 modified 11:14,16 month 32:3 monthly 14:22,23 more 9:11 12:9,14 23:11 33:14 morning 10:10 most 14:22,25 25:14 26:15 32: 23 mother-in-laws 26:11,14 MS 2:12,13,16 3:1,17 4:25 5:3, 4,5,6,9,10,11,12,16 6:1,5,8,13, 15 21:8,11,14,16,18,19,20,22 22.6,7,8,9,10,12,13 23:11,15 24:4,23 25:2,22 30:16 31:7.9. 11 32 8 much 34:10,14 multiple 14:6 16:18

name 2:5 8:17,18 9:6 names 13:15 Nancy 3:1,1 4:25 21:11 32 8 37:5,10 NASUCA 25:16,23 National 25:23 26:4 nature 7:12 29:10,20 necessarily 24:17 necessary 1:4 3:11 need 4:13 10:24 24:14 29:12. 15 31:2 needs 7:19 8:5 Needy 9:15,15 11:16,24 negative 20:5,7,8 new 9:2 next 16:15 19:13.14.25 20:1.6. 9,15,17,21 21:3 ninth 9:5 non-pay 32:17:35:17,20 non-SSI 17:8,19 none 36:2

notes 37:7

notice 4:23,24 6:20 7:2

noticing 10:15 now 4:11 11:2,16 13:23 16:9

21:5 23:4 24:4 30:16 31:1 32

10,25 number 4 23 6 17 7 3 8 4 5 7 21 9:13 11:5,20 12:8,10 14:2,9 12,13,18,19,24 15:8,11 16:7.14 17:17,18,19,20,21,22,25,25 18 2,3,6,10,16,18,19,20,21,22,23 19:2,10,10,12,13,14,19,21 20: 4,6,12,12,14,16,17,19 21:2,4 22:3 26:19,25 27:10,11,15 28 19 29:11,22 30:25 31:19,25 34 8.12 35:1

numbers 10:24 12:12 13:6:16 16:12,14,15,17 17:24,24 18:8 20:1 21:7 numerous 5:22

obstacle 12:9 13:8 obviously 23:22:29:21:30:25 31:2 occurrences 14:6 16:18 October 3:15,17 4:21 5:15 37: off 32:13,16

offhand 25:18 Office 1:17,23 3:8 5:21 9:3 15: 18 19:24 26:7 offices 15:3,3 23:20,24 25:11 Okay 2 2 3 10 5 5 6 3 11 14 20 11 2 21 14 22 9 12 23 15 25 19,21 28:18 31:8,10,14 35:10, 24 36 2 One 3:22 5:7 6:15 7:6.21 9:11 10 19 12 14 16 14 17 1 3 23 19 13 20 11 14 22 21 21 23 1 24 24 17 27 16 35 4 ones 32:23 33:4 34:13 only 15:3 18:6,13,22 19:4 20 13,22,23 22:2 30:12 32:2 open 21:6 22:13 openity 28:24 operate 25:12:34:10,18 opportunity 34.9 order 2.4 9:13 16.7 19:2 21:15 other 3:22 11:17 12:17 15:1,10 16:15 17:3,11,13 18:2,13 22:14 23:1,1,5,6,8 24:13,13 25:3 26: 5.10 27 2 2 13 28:15 21 30 18 31:5,14 33:12,18,22,23 34 6 35 24 others 13:3,5 18:4 19:6 20:25 23:21 otherwise 31:22 out 4:8,24 6:21 24:1 27:15 28: 3 29:12 32:14,15 33:17 34:13, 22.24 35:1,17,20 outcome 4:17 over 18:6 20:18 32:10,18 overhead 16:11 overlap 17:11 overlapping 16:21 overlaps 16:25 override 32:21 overstated 28.9 overview 11:3 13:24 own 4:10 13:20 27:8

page 7:16,17,21,21,23,24 8 3, 8,11,15,16,19,20 9 5 8,12,13 10.6,8,16,22 paragraph 7:18,20 10:6,8 parens 9:4 part 3:19,20 15:13 18:1,16:29 25 participant 25:9 participants 12:15 14:16,20 27:12 participate 21:15 22:25 23:1 participated 14:17 participating 11:9 12:11,14 14:24 15:6,9 26:20 participation 7:25 15:17,25 16:1,2 22:24 25:9 27:18 30:25 particular 30 21 particularly 23:13 PASCHALL 3:7,7 31:16,16 33: Patti 2:16:21:11 pay 24:14 27:24 34:1,3 paying 29:20,23,25 payment 32:14,15:33:1 people 5:13 22 3 26 23 27:1,2 3,12,13 30:18 31:19 32:1 33: 15.25 34:20 35:1.4 per 30:13 percent 17:5 27:17 30:2 percentage 6:17 perform 13:5 14:25 performed 14:14 perhaps 30:14 periodically 29:18 permanently 35:6 permitted 4:9 personal 28:11 personnel 13:19

persons 2:10 6:22 7:2 28:23 perspective 34:7 phone 22:18 phonetics 16:20 picture 16:20,22,23 PLACE 1:14 33:17 placed 4:11 34:24 ian 31:9 32:25 please 2:21 8:14 POAG 2:18,18,23,23 9:24 10: 1,12,15,21,24 34:10 point 11:6 33:18 policy 30:7,13,19 poor 24:16 portion 28:16 position 11:19 possibilitias 23:23 possibility 4:5 possible 30:20 34:25 Possibly 34:13 Post 1:17,23 potential 16:21,25 19:7 25:13 Poucher 3:8 26:6,6,21 28:10 31:17 predicament 24:5 prepared 5:14 present 11:2 16:9 presentation 4:18 presented 13:8 30:15 presenting 29:14 Presently 27:21 pretty 24:7 33:3 34:10 35:18 prevented 13:10 previously 11:15 16:6 primary 3:22 12:9 probably 22:1,23 26:12 35:3 problem 16:16 18:23 19:5,15 20:23 23:18,21 25:14 PROCEEDINGS 1:10 process 30:8 32:20 34:15.16 profess 21:16 prognosis 25:7 program 4:3 7:25 11:7,7 12:6, 14,15 14:15 15:16 17:5 19:6,7, 22 20:9,25 24:10 25:7 29:9,9 32:5,11 33:10 programs 6:24 11:9,10,12,22 12:11 15:2,10:21:23 16:6,22:25 17:2,4,12,13 18:2 19:11,20:23 1,2:24:15,23,25:25:12:26:20 Project 2:3 3:19 8:13 16:7 20: 10 29:17 Projected 8:12 12:21,23 13:25 16:15 19:14,21 20:4,5,17 projections 15:14 28:8 proportion 12:13 pros 3:25 provide 1:4 3:12 12:10,23 20: 4.16 26:8 33:24 35:3 provided 17:17 30:13 providing 29:4 proxy 16:1 PSC-98-0328-FPF-TP 9:14 PUBLIC 1:1 2:7 3:9 5:20,22 9: 16,22 11:11,17 12:3 14:15 15: 23 17:14 18:7,9 20:9 21:21 22: 10,20,25 24:12,25 25:24 26:6 27:4 31:18 purchase 4:14 pure 33:19 purpose 7:1 33:16 put 24:21 27:9,10 30:11 32:25 33:8,9 puts 23:2 Rose 3:1 RPR 37:10 qualified 7:4 31:22 run 13:4 14:21 25:12

quality 1:5 11:8 qualitying 6:23,23,24 12:8 13: 25 16:22 quantify 34:8,12:35:18

rune 19:18

guantities 15:5 guestion 25:15 26:7 31:17 32: questions 5:1 10:9 11:4 21:6 28:25 Quincy 29:3 34:18 guite 21:23 31:19

raise 31:16 raised 3:20 ran 23:18,21,25 rate 19:19,25 20:5,7 rates 15:20 16:4 19:20.22 rather 14:21,23 reads 26:24 really 3:24 26:15 30:13,21 reasonable 20:12 reasons 14:22 27:16 oceive 11:21 15:12 16:8 18 15 26:11 27:6,13 receiving 11:8 18:5 19:3 recently 6:2 recipient 14:6,9 17:22 18:14 20:19 27:6 recipients 18:19 19:17 24:15 26:9 27:1,2,4 recommend 27:19 recommendation 30:13 recommendations 29:7,14 record 37:7 records 23:7 33:6 reference 9:1 references 10:18 regarding 22:19 28:25 regulatory 2:5,6 22:15 30:4,4 related 5:16 28:22 related 5:16 28:22 relating 21:6 relationships 16:21 relatively 17:13 19:7 20:24 relied 26:16 rely 21:11 renegotiating 32:21 repeat 10:3 replaced 7:20 8:1,5,7 9:10,16 report 7:5,11 14:5 16:13 26:24 29:5 30:9,11,17,22 33:4 37:6 reported 14:9,12,17 15:6,7 Reporter 37:5 REPORTERS 1:22 reports 33:7 representatives 22:22 representing 2:20,25 3:5 request 6:1 required 12:22 21:20 requirements 12:20 13:12 21: 15,25 24:11,13,19 31:23 earch 2:5,6,15,16 9:4 15:19 19:24 30:3,4 residential 1:4 3:12 resolved 32:4 resources 13:19 respond 7:2 responded 6:16 RESPONSE 10:11 28:17,20 35:23 36:1 rest 17:7 restriction 4:11 resulting 15:10 results 30:5 review 2:6,6 7:10,13 30:4,5 right 8:4 10:23 15:13 31:8 Robert 8:17 9:6 room 4:22

said 9:3 16:13,22 17:18 20:11 22 22 33 18 same 2:10 8:8 24:11 26:23 29 23 30 22 33 13 34 11 19 35 12 ant 30:21 say 8.6 20:17 28.8 30:15 32:24 3,6,17 18:13.15 asying 34.1 says 6.22.23.9.2 schedule 32.14,16.33.1 scheduled 3.14,15.4.22 SCOBIE 3 3,3 35:12,15 se 30:13 second 7:17,23 8:9,12 11:25 12:24 15:13 17:23 27:19 Secondly 14:11,25 15:22 section 8:13,13,16 9:17,23 11 12.18 12:3 14:15 15:23 17:14. 14 21:21 22:11 24:12 25:1 Security 11:11 13:5,15 see 6:2 20:5 23:5,8,13 25:2 26: Seeing 36:2 seen 30:18 send 32:24 sentence 7:19:24 9:8.9.20 10: separately 27:8 September 1:11 6:21 series 29:7 serious 12:15 serve 24:15 SERVICE 1:1 2:7 3:12 6:7,25 11:7 15:12 19:23 22:16 26:10. 12 27:5,8,14,23 28:4,5,12,14 29:8,9,16 33:2 35:2,19 services 4:10,12,13 5:7,23 6: 4,9,16 21:3 set 14:8 15:4 23:22 sets 13:9 14:8 seventh 8:19 peveral 12:17 share 13:14 sharing 13:11 Shorthand 37:5 should 4:9 7:4 8:1,13,24 9:3, 10 29:8,9,15,17 34:1,23,23 show 16:11,20 17:23 shows 16:23 sign 4:12 5:7 simple 33:20 simply 6:11 12:10,14 Sima 3:1 21:8,14,18,20 22:6,8. 10.13 23:15 24 4 25 2 32 9 8 ince 15:2 25:8 Sirianni 3:2 alt 5:17 30:1 situation 29:24 six 16:21.25 south 8:15 stre 19:6 small 4:22 19:7 20:25 smaller 17:13 20:6,6 social 5:7 13:5,15 19:23 solicit 11:4 solicited 15:16 some 3:15 4:6,16,16 6:7 7:9, 10 15:4 15:3 22:15 23:4,17,19 25 24:13 25 3 28:25 29:6 30 19.20 31:6 32 4,21 33:1,24,24, 25 34:2,3,16 35:21 somebody 24:5 31:11 35:6 something 4:2 23:11 25:8 30: 15 31:11 32:3 34:23 sometimes 13:22:22:4.4 somewhat 11:14 somewhere 28:1 sorry 25:22 sort 16:19 34:16

speak 21 23 speaking 22:14 Special 2:3 specific 15:22 spelled 8:18 9:17 Sprint 2 18 23 35 16 Sprint-Florida 2 20,25 SSI 11:11 12:1 14:9,12,17 17 staff 2:6,8 7:3,18 12:8 14:10. 13,18,20 15:5,7,8,16 16:4 staff's 7:5,10 11:3 13:13 15:11 Stamp 17:10.11.12 Stamps 11:11,23 14:7 15:21 18:3,8 20:3 standardized 4:6 start 14:1 29:12 started 2.2 starts 7:24 9:8 10:7 state 6:22 21:9,10 22:24 25:24 26 2 3 30 1 stated 7:1 statement 7:18 states 22:14 23 5.6.8 24:2.32 25:3 Statistical 21:12 23:23 statistics 25:16 statute 21:24 30:23 stenographic 37:7 stenographically 37:6 still 35:7 store 15:3 strong 34:4 Study 3:21 30:5,10 subject 4:20 7:6 submitted 14:19 subscribe 4:9 subscribers 4:9 29:11 subscribership 29:19 30:2 subsequent 10 22 substantive 7:12 subtract 18:16 subtracted 18:5 successful 25:4 33:3 such 11:25 16:2 33:15 sufficient 24:20 suggestions 4:1 sum 17:20 19:9 summed 14:11 15:5 summing 12:12,14 Supplemental 11:11 support 1:4 3:11 8 22 12:19. 21 24 2 28 22 29 15 30 23 33 Sure 5:3.5 34:14 35:18.21 Systems 3.6

table 7:7 8:3,4,11 9:9 t-ko 5:13 6:9 9:12 19:3,18 22: 18 28:1 34:15 taken 6:4 26:25 taking 5:23 talk 23:4 TANF 11:24 14:7,17 17:3,3 18: 13,15 task 21:4 TOS 29:3 Telecom 29:3 Telecommunications 3:6,12 telephone 26:10,12 27:4,7,14, 21,23 28:3,4,5,12,13,14 31 21, 23 32:1 33:12 34:6 telephones 26:14 tell 9:11 17:2,10 33:4,6,19 tella 19:10 Temporary 9:14,15 11:16,24 tenth 9:7 term 8:1 terms 13:2,3 25:10 29:4,7,13, 14,19

sounds 31:5

territory 35:9 testimony 3:21 text 8:9 Thank 6:19 10:25 21:7 28:15 35:23 Thanks 25:1 that's 6:18 10:19 20:13,22 21: 5 26:24 30:9 32:6 33:16 34:23 themselves 2:9 34:3 they've 22:16 26:22 32:22 thing 7:8 24:11 33:13,16 35: 12,15 thereof 26:4 things 24:13 29:10,19 30:20 think 2:8 4:21 5:17 6:15 23:8, 12 26:24 27:9,15 28:18 29:10, 12 30:18 32:7,10 33:2,11,13, 22.23 34:5.10.22 thinking 26:10 third 8:3 12:1 13:10 Thirdly 14:14 15:24 thirty 8:6 those 5:14,16 10:20,24 11:10 13:6 14:16 15:3 18:5,12,14,25 19:16 22:8,25 23:16 24:2,14 27:21 28:7 31:6 32:21,22 33: 14,25 35:18 though 7:14 27:22 5 1:17 35:5 thought 4:17 23:4 thousand 8:5 17:18,19,22 18: 3,17,19 19:3,4 20:11,14 27:11 three 8:22 10:12 three-hundred-dollar 34:2 TIME 1:12 2:8 7:13 12:19 16:2 18:10,20 28:23 29:16,23 31:4 35:22 times 5:22 13:19 35:5 title 3:10 today 29:11,18 31:15 34:7 today's 6:20 11:5 35:24 together 30:8,11 toli 32:11,15,25 33:8,9,19,21 Tom 29:3 30:3 35:13 top 9:5 topics 5:17 total 14:11,19 17:20,21 18:3,8, 10,16,18 19:10 21:8,9 33:14 35:1,19,20 towards 7:16 tried 16:13 19:13 28:12 31:19 32:24 try 19:19 21:4 23:4 25:4 32:13 trying 24:5 29:12 34:3 turn 16:22 33:2 turned 32:18 two 8:3 14:22 16:14,15 17:2,4, 13,20 18:7 19:14 20:1,15,17,21 21:3 22:8,9 23:10,24 24:25 26: 23 34: type 16:20 33:1 types 5:15 13:7

Uh-huh 21:19 unable 14:25 31:20 under 8:16,20 understand 26:18 Undocketed 2:3 unduplicative 18:1 UNIDENTIFIED 9:18,21 unit 15:17 Universal 22:16 29:8,9,16 unless 27:8 28:5 unlikely 27:17,25 until 16:2 27:24 30:2 35:22 unused 30:1 up 3:22 4:12 5:7 12:12 16:13 17:20 18:2 16 19:2,9,10,21 20: 2,11 21:1,4 23:18 28:9 30:19 31:12 33:23 update 25:6 updated 18:9 25:14

updates 29:18 upon 15:25 23:24 26:16 34:24 upside 16:23 Urban 12:2 us 9:21 11:20 12:10.21 14:5,5 17:17.20.23 18:12.18.24 19:4, 10:24:2 29:23 30:22 34:24 use 16:11 17:25 20:13 24:3 used 16:19 useful 33:11,24 using 7:3 Utility 26:2,3 utilized 13:7

van 16:19 variety 22:5 various 2:9 26:20 verify 24:6 Very 10:25 15:25 17:25 22:2 24:1 25:4 26:16 27:17 28:10 29:22 33:11,13,24 view 30:24 VOICE 9:18,21

wage 27:3 wages 17:4 waiting 24:21 want 2:17 5:13 16:19 29:24 31:12 33:15.20 WATTS 2:12,12 Way 1:15 10:13 18:7 26:24 31: 25 32:4 34:19 35:21 ways 12:25 we'll 2:9 3:17,23 13:24 25:4,4 31:3 we're 23:12 Wednesday 1:11 weight 23:3 welcome 23:11 28:24 welfare 27:4 well 3:22 13:20 22:10 23:25 25:3 32:10 34:5 whatever 6:8 16:18 whereby 32:25 WHEREUPON 36:4 whether 4:8 12:21 24:5 26:9 29:7,13 31:3 34:20 35:7 WHITE 3:1,1 4:25,25 5:4,6,10, whole 23:22 30:25 33:16 wide 22:5 Will 2:11 3:23 4:17,19,20,21 22 7:12 10:14 19 11:4.5 16:9 24:19.21 27:23 29:6,14,23 31:6 32:13,14,16,25 33:2 withheid 35:2 within 13:25 14:7 17:2 22:1 word 8:14 10:7 words 18:14 work 32:13,13,15 working 30:8 works 22:22 Workshop 1:10 2:3,4 3:13,15, 18 4:16,17 5:15 6:21 7:1 31:5 35:25 36:2,4 worthwhile 34:5 wouldn't 4:15 7:15 writing 22:17

X-number 29:17

18,22 21:3

year 11:14 18:9,11,21 19:25, 25 20:6,7,11 32:10 yearly 18:20,21 29:18

ars 16:15 19:14,16 20:1,15,

32:18,18 Yiwen 2:15 16:9 21:23 Yiwen's 23:13 yourself 29:2 ourselves 2:17 YU 2:15,15 16:9,11 24:10,25 25:10