State of Florida



Bublic Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: November 10, 1998

Lee Willis, Esquire TO:

John W. McWhirter, Esquire Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esquire John Roger Howe, Esquire

FROM: Robert V. Elias, Chief of Electric & Gas, Division of RVE

Legal Services

Docket No. 950379-EI - Investigation into earnings for RE:

1995 and 1996 of Tampa Electric Company.

It does not appear that we will reach consensus on the wording of the issues to be litigated at the December 7, 1998, hearing in this docket.

To facilitate the preparation of the Draft Prehearing Order, please utilize the issue numbers and respond to the issues as attached to this memo in your Prehearing Statements, due November 16, 1998. After reviewing the Prehearing Statements, staff will consider scheduling a meeting prior to the Prehearing Conference to attempt to resolve any outstanding issues.

AFA -	
APP -	
CAF -	
CMU =	
CTR .	
EAG	
LEG	
LIN	
OPC	
RCH	
SEC	
WAS	
OTH	

ACK ____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12627 NOV 10 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Docket No. 950379-EI

What is the appropriate cost rate to apply to ISSUE 1 (TECO):

deferred revenues in the capital structure?

Should accrued interest be included in the ISSUE 2 (STAFF):

deferred revenue component of the capital structure if a zero cost is

appropriate?

What is the appropriate method to calculate ISSUE 3 (TECO):

the separation of the FMPA and City of Lakeland wholesale contracts from the retail

jurisdiction for 1996?

What is the effect of assigning a zero cost ISSUE 4 (FIPUG):

rate to deferred revenues for 1996?

Has TECO properly calculated the amount of ISSUE 5 (FIPUG):

deferred revenues for 1996?