## ORIGINAL

RECEIVED-FPS(

98 NOV 12 PM 4:24

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Petition for Emergency Relief of Supra ) Telecommunications and Information ) Systems, Inc., Against BellSouth ) Telecommunications, Inc. )

CK

AFA

APP

CAF

CTR

EAG

LEG LIN

OPC

RCH

SEC

WAS

OTH

Docket No. 980800 Epp ADS AND REPORTING Filed: November 12, 1998

## SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS & INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC.'S RESPONSE TO BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc., ("Supra") hereby files this Response to BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s ("BellSouth's") Motion for Oral Argument. Pursuant to Florida Public Service Commission ("the Commission" or the "FPSC" hereafter) Rules 25-22.058 and 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, Supra moves the Commission to deny BellSouth's Motion for Oral Argument on its Motion for Reconsideration By the Full Commission and, as support therefor, states as follows:

1. The issue of whether Supra should have first priority in BellSouth's North Dade Golden Glades and West Palm Beach Gardens Central Offices was identified as an issue in this proceeding and would have been, normally and appropriately, addressed as a part of the full proceeding. (The full formal hearing in this matter was held on October 21, 1998.)

 The Commission panel provided BellSouth, and other telecommunications carriers that had been denied physical collocation at these two central offices, the opportunity for full oral argument on this procedural issue on September 22, 1998. By granting such oral argument before the three-member

OF RECORDS

RECEIVED & FILED

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 12677 NOV 128 EPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING Commission panel assigned to this docket to BellSouth and other telecommunications carriers prior to the full substantive hearing in this proceeding, the Commission has already gone well beyond what was required in making this procedural determination.

3. In its Motion for Reconsideration By the Full Commission, BellSouth has simply restated all of its arguments raised in the original oral argument, arguments which were addressed in detail by the Commission staff in the recommendation filed regarding that oral argument. Further oral argument before the full Commission is unnecessary and inappropriate as BellSouth has raised no issue of fact or law that the Commission panel assigned to this docket has not clearly considered.

Respectfully submitted this  $12^{24}$  day of November, 1998.

hinn

Suzanne Fannon Summerlin Supra Velecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. 1311-B Paul Russell Road, Suite 201 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (904) 656-2288 Florida Bar No. 398586

2

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail or \*hand delivery to the following parties of record this  $\sqrt{2^{++}}$  day of November, 1998:

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

\*Beth Keating, Esq. Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Suzanne Fannon Summerlin