# ORIGINAL

# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Joint Petition for Determination ) of Need for an Electrical Power Plant ) in Volusia County by the Utilities ) Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach, ) Florida, and Duke Energy New Smyrna ) Beach Power Company, Ltd., L.L.P. )

Docket No. 981042-EM

Filed: November 12, 1998

# AMENDED LEAF PRE-HEARING STATEMENT

The Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation, Inc. (LEAF) files its Pre-Hearing Statement pursuant to Order No. PSC-98-1183-PCO-EM and Order No. PSC-98-1221-PCO-EM.

#### **Appearances:**

Gail Kamaras/Debra Swim, 1114 Thomasville Road, Suite E, Tallahassee, FL 32303

#### On behalf of LEAF

### a. Witnesses:

none

#### b. Exhibits:

ACK

AFA

APP

EAG

LEG

LIN 5

OPC \_\_\_\_\_

RCH \_\_\_\_\_

WAS \_\_\_\_\_

OTH \_\_\_\_

CAF \_

CMU \_\_\_

none anticipated

# c. Statement of Basic Position

Based on the commitment of UCNSB to implement a solar generation program of 150 kW, including customer green pricing, LEAF is conditionally supporting the project, subject to verification at hearing of environmental benefits set forth in the petition, exhibits, and testimony of Petitioners.

#### d. Factual Issues

.<u>Issue 1:</u> Is there a need for the proposed power plant, taking into account the need for electric system reliability and integrity, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

LEAF: Conditional yes.

1

**Issue 2:** Does Duke New Smyrna have an agreement in place with the Utilities Commission, New Smyrna Beach ("UCNSB") and, if so, do its terms meet the UCNSB's needs in accordance with the statute?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 3:** Does the Commission have sufficient information to assess the need for the proposed power plant under the criteria set forth in Section 403.519, Fla. Statutes?

LEAF: No position at this time.

**Issue 4:** Does Duke New Smyrna have a need by 2001 for the 484 MW of capacity (476 MW summer and 548 MW winter less 30 MW) represented by the proposed facility?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 5:** Can or should the capacity of the proposed project be properly included when calculating the reserve margin of an individual Florida utility or the state as a whole?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 6:** What transmission improvements and other facilities are required in conjunction with the construction of the proposed facility, and were their costs adequately considered?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 7:** Is there a need for the proposed power plant, taking into account the need for adequate electricity at a reasonable cost, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

LEAF: Conditional yes.

**Is the proposed power plant the most cost-effective alternative available,** as this criterion is used in Section 403.519?

LEAF: Conditional yes.

**Issue 9:** Has Duke New Smyrna provided adequate assurances regarding available primary and secondary fuel to serve the proposed power plant

on a long and short-term basis?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 10:** What impact, if any, will the proposed power plant have on natural gas supply or transportation resources on State regulated power producers?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 11:** Will the proposed project result in the uneconomic duplication of transmission and generation facilities?

LEAF: No position.

**Is the identified need for power of the Utilities Commission, New Smyrna** Beach ("UCNSB") which is set forth in the Joint Petition met by the power plant proposed by Florida Municipal Power Association in Docket No. 980802-EM?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 13:** Are there any conservation measures taken by or reasonably available to the petitioners which might mitigate the need for the proposed power plant?

LEAF: No.

#### e. Legal issues

**Issue 14:** Does the Florida Public Service Commission have the statutory authority to render a determination of need under Section 403.519, Florida Statutes, for a project that consists in whole or in part of a merchant plant (i.e., a plant that does not have as to the merchant component of the project an agreement in place for the sale of firm capacity and energy to a utility for resale to retail customers in Florida)?

**LEAF:** Yes, the Commission has authority to render a determination.

001055

**Issue 15:** Does the Public Service Commission have jurisdiction under the Power Plant Siting Act, Sections 403.501-403.518, and Section 403.519, Florida Statutes, to determine "applicant" status?

LEAF: No position at this time.

**Issue 16:** As to its project's merchant capacity, does Duke New Smyrna have a

statutory or other legally enforceable obligation to meet the need of any electric utility in Peninsular Florida for additional generating capacity?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 17:** As to the proejct's merchant capacity, is either Duke New Smyrna or UCNSB an "applicant" or "electric utility" within the meaning of the Siting Act and Section 403.519, Florida Statutes?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 18:** If the Commission were to grant an affirmative determination of need to Duke New Smyrna as herein requested, when the utilities in peninsular Florida had plans in place to meet reliability criteria, would the Commission be meeting its responsibility to avoid uneconomic duplication of facilities?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 19:** Does the Joint Petition meet the pleading requirements of Rule 25-22.081, Florida Administrative Code?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 20:** Does the Joint Petition state a cause of action by not alleging that the proposed power plant meets the statutory need criteria and instead alleging that the proposed power plant is "consistent with" Peninsular Florida's need for power?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 21:** If the Commission were to permit Duke New Smyrna to demonstrate need on a "Peninsular Florida" basis and not require Duke New Smyrna to have a contract with purchasing utilities for its merchant plant capacity, would the more demanding requirements on Qfs, other non-utility generators and electric utilities afford Duke New Smyrna special status?

LEAF: No position.

#### f. Policy issues

**Issue 22:** If Duke New Smyrna premises its determination of need upon Peninsular Florida without contracts from individual purchasing utilities, how would the Commission's affirmative determination of need affect subsequent determinations of need by utilities petitioning to meet their own need?

LEAF: No position.

Issue 23: Stipulated

**Issue 24:** Will granting a determination of need as herein requested create a risk that past and future investments made to provide service may not be recovered and thereby increase the overall cost of providing electric service and/or future service reliability?

**LEAF:** No. This issue is inappropriate, especially as to alleged non-recovery of investments not yet made.

**Issue 25:** If Duke New Smyrna premises its determination of need upon Peninsular Florida without contracts from individual purchasing utilities, how would the Commission's affirmative determination of need affect subsequent detrminations of need by Qfs and other non-utility genrators petitioning to meet utility specific needs?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 26:** If the Commission abondons its interpretation that the statutory need criteria are "utility and unit specific" how will the commission ensure the maintenance of grid reliability and avoid uneconomic duplication of facilities in need determination proceedings?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 27:** Will granting a determination of need as herein requested result in electric utilities being authorized to similarly establish need for additional generating capacity by reference to potential additional capacity needs which the electric utility has no statutory or contractual obligation to serve?

LEAF: No position.

**Issue 28:** What effect, if any, would granting a determination of need as herein requested have on the level of reasonably achievable cost-effective conservation measures in Florida?

LEAF: None.

**Issue 29:** Would granting the determination of need requested by the joint petitioners be consistent with the public interest and the best interests of electric customers in Florida?

LEAF: Conditional yes.

**Issue 30:** Would granting the determination of need requested by the joint petitioners be consistent with the State's need for a robust competitive wholesale power supply market?

LEAF: Conditional yes.

**Issue 31:** Would granting the determination of need requested by the joint petitioners be consistent with state and federal energy policy?

LEAF: Conditional yes.

**Issue 32:** Based on the resolution of the foregoing issues, should the petition of UCNSB and Duke New Smyrna for determination of need for the New Smyrna Beach Power Project be granted?

LEAF: No position at this time.

Issue 33: Should this docket be closed?

LEAF: Yes.

#### g. Stipulations

23.2 28

LEAF has not entered into any stipulations.

#### h. Pending motions

LEAF has no motions pending.

### I. Other matters

None at this time.

Gal Kamaras

Gail Kamaras, Esq. Legal Environmental Assistance Fdn. 1114 Thomasville Road, Suite E Tallahassee, FL 32303-6290 (850) 681-2591 (850) 224-1275 (fax)

001058

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 981042-EM

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation, Inc. (LEAF) AmendedPre-Hearing Statement has been served by hand delivery (\*) or by US Mail on November 12, 1998 to the following:

Leslie J. Paugh, Esq\*. Grace Jaye, Esq. Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

a 200 mp?

Robert Scheffel Wright, Esq. John LaVia III, Esq. Landers & Parsons PO Box 271 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Ronald L. Vaden, Utilities Director Utilities Commission City of New Smyrna Beach PO Box 100 New Smyrna Beach, FL 32170-0100

Kelly J. O"Brien, Manager Structured Transactions Duke Enregy Power Services LLC 5400 Westheimer Court Houston, TX 77056

Lee Willis, Esq. James Beasley, Esq. Macfarlane Ausley PO Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Charles Guyton, Esq. Steel Hector & Davis 215 S. Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 William Willingham, Esq. Michelle Hershel, Esq. FECA PO Box 590 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Gary Sasso, Esq. Carlton Fields PO Box 2861 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Susan D. Cranmer Asst. Secretary & Asst. Treasurer Gulf Power Co. One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780

Jeffry Stone, Esq. Beggs & Lane PO Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576-2950

Terry L Kammer John Schantzen System Council U-4 IBEW 3944 Florida Blvd., Suite 202 Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410

Jon Moyle, Jr. Moyle, Flanigan 210 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

By: Sail Kamara 6

Gail Kamaras

001059