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Underwood, Purnell and Hoffman, P. O. Box 511, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 420, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551, appearing on behalf of Florida public Utilities Company (FPUC).

JAMEs A. Mogez, Post Office Box 14042, 3201 34th Street South, St. Petersburg, Florida 33733, appearing on behalf of Florida power corporation. JEFFREY A. STONE and RUSSELL A. BADDERS, Beggs \& Lane, 700 Blount Building, 3 West Garden Street, Post Office Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32576-2950, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

LEE L. WILLIS, JAMES D. BEABLEY and HARRY W. LONG, Ausley \& McMullen, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company (TECO).

VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, MCWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief and Bakas, appearing un behalf of Plorida Industrial Pover Users Group (FPIG).

## APPEARNACES CONTINUED:

MATTHEW M. CHILDE and CHARLES A. GUYTON, Steel, Hector \& Davis, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida Power Light Company (FPL).

JOHA ROGER HOWE, Deputy Public Counsel, Office of Public Counsel, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the citigens of the state of plorida.

LESLIE J. PAUGH, Florida Public Service Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, appearing on behalf of the Commission staff.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { PROCEED IN G B } \\
\text { (Hearing convened at } 1: 30 \text { p.m.) }
\end{gathered}
$$

COMOISEIONER CLARE: We'll call the prehearings to order. Counsel, will you please read the notice?

MR. KEATING: Pursuant to notice issued October 19th, 1998, this time and place have been set for a prehearing in the following dockets: Docket No. $980001-E I$, fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause and generating performance incentive factor; Docket No. 980002-EG, energy conservation cost recovery clause; Docket No. $980003-G U$, purchased gas adjustment true-up; and Docket No. 980007-EI, environmental cost recovery clause.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We'll take appearances.
MR. WILLIS: I'm Lee L. Willis, appearing together with James D. Beasley, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing together with Harry W. Long, Jr., P.O. Box 111, Tampa, Florida 33601, appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company in the 01 and the 07 docket.

MR. PALECKI: Michael Palecki, 955 East 25th Street, Hialeah, Florida 33013, appearing on behalf of City Gas Company of Florida in the 03 docket.

MR. MogEE: James McGee, Post Office
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Box 14042, St. Petersburg, 33733, appearing on behalf of Florida Power Corporation in the 01 and 02 dockets.

MR. STONE: I'm Jeffrey A. Stone, and with me is Russell Badders. We're of the law firm Beggs \& Lane. We're representing Gulf Power Company in the 31, 02 and 07 dockets.

MR. CHILDS: Commissioner, my name is Matthew Childs of the firm of Steel Hector \& Davis. I'm appearing on behalf of Florida Power \& Light Company in the 01 and the 07 docket. I believe Mr. Guyton will be here shortly in the 02 docket.

MR. HORTON: Norman H. Horton, Jr. of Messer, Caparello \& Self, appearing in the 03 on behalf of Sebring Gas System and South Florida Natural Gas.

MR. HOFPMON: Commissioner, my name is Kenneth A. Hoffman. My address is Post Office Box 551, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, and I'm appearing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company in the 01 and 03 dockets.

MR. BHIEFELBEIN: Wayne Schiefelbein, Ruden McClosky Smith Schuster \& Russell. I'm appearing on behalf of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation in the 03 docket.

MR. NICHOLsOM: David Nicholson, Post office

Box 1531, appearing on behalf of People's Gas System in the 03 docket.

MR. HOWE: Commissioner Clark, I'm Roger Howe with the Public Counsel's Office. The address is as shown in the prehearing orders. I'm appearing on behalf of the citizens of the state of Florida in the 01, 02, 03 and 07 dockets.

MB. KAUFMAN: Vicki Gordon Kaufman of the McWhirter Reeves Law Firm, 117 South Gadsden, Tallahassee, Florida 32301. I'm appearing on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group in the 01 , 02 and 07 dockets.

Ms. PAUGH: Leslie Paugh on behalf of Staff in the 01 and 07 dockets.

MR. KEATING: Cochran Keating on behalf of Staff in the 02 and 03 dockets.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Anyone else?
Mr. Guyton, Mr. Childs has entered your appearance. We're glad you're here.

First of all, let me thank everyonç for allowing us to move the prehearing conference to this afternoon. I really appreciated it. I'm sorry if it inconvenienced you. I had to take my mother for some tests, and she really appreciated having me there, so thank you all, and she thanks you.

Any preliminary matters?

M8. PAUGH: No preliminary matters, but we do have a recommendation in terms of expeditiousness for the proceeding, what dockets we may wish to consider first; and that would be the 03 docket and then the 02 docket, and the gas folks can then leave if they so desire.

COMMISBIONER CLARK: 03,02 , then what?

MS. PAUGH: 01 and 07.

COMMIESIONER CLARK: Any objection to
following that order? (No response.)

Seeing none, we'll follow that order. And I need to tell you all that I apologize; I have not had an opportunity to read the prehearing orders and the motions that are pending. It may take us a little longer, but $I^{\prime} m$ sure we'll get through it.

Starting with the 03.
(Whereupon other dockets were discussed.)

COMDIBSIONER CLARK: We'11 take up 01.

MB. PAUGH: Commissioner, I would recommend that we take 01 issue by issue.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Let me just ask this: Rre there any changes through page 6 ?

MR. WILLIS: TAmpa Electric does not have a
change, but we would like to inform you that rampa Electric has filed a motion for leave to file supplemental testimony.

We understand that both Public Counsel and FIPUG have no objection to this, and it's with respect to -- nor staff has an objection. It is with respect to issues $10 A$ through 10 C . And there's one page of one exhibit which has confidential information in it, and we're required to inform you of that according to Page 3 of the prehearing order, and we can point that out when we get to the exhibits.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: OkaY.

MR. sTONE: Commissioner, you were asking ci changes to the first six pages.

COMMISBIONER CLARE: Correct.

MR. STONE: I would note that on this prehearing order my name is misspelled again. I will notify Staff about the corrections.

COMMISBIONER CLARK: How is it misspe:led?
MR. sTONE: The same way my father-in-law did several years ago. It's supposed to be $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Y}$.

COMMIBSIONER CLARK: Okay. Mr. McGee, any changes?

MR. MogEE: No, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER CLARE: Mr. Stone, other than that, any changes?

MR. STONE: Not in the first three pages.

COMMIBSIONER CLARK: Mr. Childs?

MR. CHILDS: We have no changes.

COMMISIONER CLARK: Mr. Hoffman?

MR. HOFFMAN: No changes.

COMMIBEIONER CLARK: Mr. HOWE?

MR. HOWE: No changes.

COMMIBSIONER CLARK: Ms. Kaufman?

MS. KAUFMAN: No changes.

MR. WILLIE: Commissioner Clark, On Page 5 we would like to add the names of Mark J. Hornick, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{K}$.

COMMISSIONER CLARE: Mr. Willis, I'm having trouble hearing you. Can you give me that information again?

MR. WILLIE: It's Mark J. Hornick appearing for TECO on Issues $10 \mathrm{~A}, 10 \mathrm{~B}$, and 10 C .

MB. PAUGH: Would you spell that last name, please, Mr. Willis?

MR. WILLIS: $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{K}$.

MB. PAUGH: Thank you.

MR. WILLIS: And Dierdra Brown, $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{A}$, A. Brown; TECO issues $10 \mathrm{~A}, 10 \mathrm{~B}$, and

10C. Mr. Hornick's middle initial is "J".

COMMIESIONER CLARK: This is not the
supplemental testimony, is it?
MR. WILLIS: Yes, it is the supplemental
testimony.
CONOISBIONER CLARK: Staff, have you agreed to the supplemental testimony?

MB. PAUGH: We have, Commissioner.
COMMISBIONER CLARK: Okay.

Ms. PAUGH: We also have a question of
Mr. Willis. Will Mr. Burkhardt also continue to testify on Issues $10 \mathrm{~A}, 10 \mathrm{~B}$ ? I assume he will testify on $10 B$ and 10 E regardless.

Are the new witnesses supplanting

Mr. Burkhardt, or are they in addition to?

MR. WILLIS: They are in addition to.
Mr. Burkhardt's testimony can be supplanted with respect to 10 A and $10 B$, and $I$ don't think there's an issue with respect to 10 -- (inaudible) --
(Reporter requested clarification.)

There is not an issue in controversy with respect to $10 D$ and $E$.

COMMISBIONER CLARE: Let me ask this: Do we need to make that change? I mean, is it necessary to not allow that testimony into the record?

M8. pAUGH: No, it's not necessary. We
can -- are you talking about the supplemental testimony?

COMMIBSIOAER CLARK: NO. I'm talking about Mr. Burkhardt.

M8. PAUGH: We can leave it in the record as
is. That's no problem.

COMMIBSIONER CLARK: Okay. Staff, do you
want us to go issue by issue?

MS. pAUGH: Yes, please.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Issue 1;
are there any changes to Issue 1?
MS. PAUGH: I have a question of Florida Power \& Light. If they would agree to Staff's number --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

MS. PAUGH: -- Issue 1 can therefore be stipulated.

COMMISBIONER CLARK: Issue 2.

MB. PAUGH: Addressed to Florida Power Corporation; if they can agree to Staff's number, this issue can be stipulated.

MR. McGEE: Yes, we concur with the number of Staff shown on Page 8.

Ms. PAUGH: With respect to Issue 3 --

COMMIBSIONER CLARK: Issue 2 can be
stipulated; is that right?
MS. PAUGH: Yes, Commissioner.

COMOMSSIONER CLARK: Okay. Issue 3.

MR. MogeE: Same stipulation I think applies to FPC.

Ms. PAUGH: Thank you, Mr. McGee. This
issue can be stipulated except with respect to Tampa Electric Company, The Tampa Electric Company amount is dependent on the answers to Issues $10 B$ and $10 C$, and it appears that those issues will be going to hearing on the 23 rd .

COMMIESIONER CLARK: Okay.
MR. WILLIB: What I would suggest -- maybe I want to come back to this issue after we've gone all the way through, and then perhaps we can work out a stipulation with respect to that, if it simplifies things.

COMMISBIONER CLARK: Sounds okay to me.

Issue 4.

MS. PAUGH: The same is true with respect to

Issue 4. All of it can be --

COMOISSIONER CLARX: Can you identify where
that's coming from?
(Technical difficulties.)

COMMISEIONER CLARK: Issuc 4.

MS. PAUGH: With respect to Issue 4, everything can be stipulated except Tampa Electric Company for the same reason the resolution of issues $10 B$ and $10 C$ may affect $i t$, so we may wish to TP 4.

COMMIBSIONER CLARK: Issue 5.
MR. WILLIS: Tampa Electric can agree with Staff's position on Issue 5 .

M8. PAUGH: This issue can be stipulated.

COMMISBIONER CLARK: Issue 6.

M8. PAUGH: This issue can be stipulated.
COMMISEIONER CLARK: Issue 7.

Ms. Paugh: This issue can be stipulated, except with respect to Tampa Electric Company. The $10 B$ and $10 C$ issues will inform the resolution of this issue.

MR. WILLIS: We would like to come back to that one as well. That would be 3, 4 and 7.

Ms. PAUGH: That's fine.
COMMISEIONER CLARK: ISsue 8.
MS. PAUGH: This issue can be stipulated.

COMOIBSIONER CLARE: IsSue 9A.

MB. PAUGH: I'm sorry, Commissioner. We need to go back to Issue 8 .

MR. WILLIs: Tampa Electric will agree with

Staff's number with respect to Issue 8, which is -- as I understand, it is -- could you tell me what -- state the number and I'll --

MR. BOHRMANN: I believe the right number would be 1.00072 .

MR. WILLIS: Tampa Electric agrees.
COMMIBSIONER CLARK: Issue 9A.
MS. PAUGH: With respect to Issue 8, Staff has advised all of the parties in this docket affected, and I believe that's Tampa Electric Company, Florida Power Corporation, FPC -- is that right -Florida Power \& Light -- that the regulatory tax factor will be changing, and that will change these numbers.

We don't have those figures now, but we'll get in touch with the parties to make sure that they understand what we're doing in advance of issuing the order.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Issue 9A.
MS. PAUGH: Issues 9A through 9D, as in dog, can be stipulated if Florida Power Corporation will accept Staff's wording.

COMMISBIONER CLARK: On what? All of them?
MS. PAUGH: On all of them.
MR. Mcgez: Yes; that is acceptable to

Florida Power.

COMMIBSIONER CLARE: Shov 2A through D stipulated. Issue 10A.

MR. WILLIs: It would appear that Issue 10A, although it is in a series of issues with respect to Tampa Electric, that Issue 10A is not in controversy.

Ms. PAUGH: That's correct, Commissioner. 10A can be stipulated.

COMMIBSIONER CLARK: Okay. 10B.
Ms. PAUGH: On Issue $10 B$ Staff has requests for discovery still outstanding with Tampa Electric Company on the Btu issue. In addition, Staff needs to review the supplemental testimony that Tampa Electric Company has motioned to file.

COMIBBIONER CLARK: This is on 108?
MB. PAUGH: That's correct. So this issue cannot be stipulated. It is anticipated that it will go to hearing.
M. WILLIs: We are filing the responses to that discovery. We hope that during the course of this week that in review of our testimony and so forth, that some progress can be made on that: but we understand the posture of those issues at this moment.

COMMBSIONER CLARK: Okay.
Ms. paval: Mr. Willis, when do you
anticipate filing the discovery?
MR. WILLIS: We plan to do it today.
Ms. PAUGH: Okay, Thank you.
COMIBSIORER CLARK: So we should just skip
over Issues B, C and D?
MR. WILLIS: Issue 10 D I think can be
stipulated.
MB. PAUGH: That's correct, Commissioner.
COMOIBSIONER CLARK: Okay.
MR. WILLIS: As well as 10E.
M8. PAUGH: That's correct, Commissioner.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: LOF?
M8. KAUPMaN: Commissioner Clark, on 10 C
FIPUG would like to change its position to "yes".
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. I assume Staff
will get with OPC and FIPUG if they are stipulating this issue.

Ms. PAUGH: Certainly.
MR. WILLIS: With respect to Issue $F$, Tampa Electric can agree with Staff, and that should stipulate that issue.

Ms. PAUGH: Does Tampa Electric Company
accept Staff's wording?
MR. WILLIS: Yes.
Ms. PAUGH: Okay. Thank you.

COMDIEBIONER CLARK: Issue IIA.

MB. PAUGH: 11A can be stipulated.

COMOISSIONER CLARK: 11B.

MB. PAUGH: This issue can be stipulated.

COMMIESIONER CLARK: 12 A.

MS. PAUGH: Apparently three attachments did
not become incorporated into the draft prehearing order. Those attachments have been made available today and will be part of the prehearing order. They are for FPC, TECO and Staff's attachment.

COMMIBSIONER CLARK: And what?

MS. PAUGH: Staff's attachment.

COMOISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

MR. WILLIs: That issue can be stipulated.

MS. PAUGH: That's correct. I'm sorry.

COMDISSIONER CLARK: 12B.

M8. PAUGH: This issue can be stipulated.
The attachments relate to this issue as well.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Issue 13.

Ms. PAUGH: If Florida Power \& Light Company accepts Staff's wording, this issue can be stipulated.

MR. CHILDS: We do.

COMMISBIONER CLARK: Issue 14.

MR. WILLIS: Tampa Electric will accept
Staff's position with respect to Issue 14.

MS. PAUGH: with that, it can be stipulated. COMMIBSIONER CLARK: Issue 15.

MB. PAUGH: This issue can be stipulated, but Staff recommends that Florida Power \& Light's language be used.

COMMISBIONER CLARK: Issue 16.

MB. PAUGH: This issue can be stipulated.

COMOISBIONER CLARK: Issue 17.

MR. WILLIS: It would appear this issue
could be stipulated.
MS. PAUGH: I believe there was some
question about Florida Power Corporation's numbers.
Do they accept staff's figures?

MR. GUYTON: Yes, that's correct.

M8. PAUGH: In that case, this issue can be stipulated.

MR. HOWE: Excuse me, Commissioner Clark.

On Issue 17 , what is the reason for the disagreement? The reason $I^{\prime} m$ concerned is Staff is apparently giving the company a bigger overrcovery -- underrecover, than the company is asking for.

M8. PAUGH: I believe it was just a -- like a clerical error.

MR. HOWE: Is that the nature of it?

MB. PAUGH: That was my understanding, yes.

MR. HOWE: Mr. McGee, is that correct?

MR. McGEE: Roger, my understanding is that the difference in the numbers had to do with how the true-up figures were calculated for the two periods that were involved, and that the overall number was never in disagreement, but it was just a matter of how those numbers were expressed.

MR. HOWE: All right. Thank you. I will not oppose any stipulation.

COMMIBSIONER CLARK: ISSUE 18.

Ms. PAUGH: This issue can be stipulated.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Issue 19.

MS. PAUGH: This issue can be stipulated.

COMOIBSIONER CLARK: ISSue 20.

MS. PAUGH: This issue can be stipulated.
COMMIBSIONER CLARK: That's it; right?

MR. WILLIS: Yes; although on the exhibit
list on Page 45 I need to list Witness Hornick.

COMMISBIONER CLARK: I'm sorry?

MR. WILLIB: I need to list an exhibit for

Witness Hornick, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{TECO}$; and we've
designated it $\mathrm{MJH}-1$.
COMMIESIONER CLARX: DO you have a short
title?

MR. WILLIs: Let me give it to Staff.

COMMIBSIONER CLARK: That wili be fine.

MR. $\mathrm{HILLIs:} \mathrm{And} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{other} \mathrm{one} \mathrm{is} \mathrm{Witness}$

Brown, TECO, DAB-1, and I'll also give the title to Staff.

COMMISBIONER CLARK: Okay. Now, Staff, do I have to grant the motion to file the supplemental testimony?

MS. PAUGH: That will be fine.

COMMISEIONER CLARK: I'll grant ine motion by Tampa Electric Company to file -- there being no objection to the motion to file supplemental testimony, the motion is granted.

MS. PAUGH: (inaudible)
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is your mike on? Get right in front of it.

MS. PAUGH: We have temporarily passed on Issues 3,4 , and 7. Do we wish to readdress those at this time?

MR. WILLIS: Yes. I would like to readiress those. It would appear that the only issue that's left with respect to Tampa Electric is the Gatliff Btu adjustment issue. And it would -- I think it would be expedient to approve the particular factors and proposals that Tampa Electric has proposed, but to do so with a proviso that any adjustment that may be
required as a result of Tampa Electric specific Issues $10 B$ and $C$, with respect to Tampa Electric's purchase of coal from Gatliff Coal Company will be made in a true-up component at the next fuel recovery hearing, and that way we can simplify the hearing further with respect to the presentation.

I have a proposed wording that I could provide to the parties. (Handing out documents.) (Pause)

MS. PAUGH: Commissioners, Staff, has had an opportunity to review the proposed stipulation of Tampa Electric Company and cannot agree with it.

The reason we cannot agree with it is that if, because of these issues, there's a disallowance of Tampa Electric Company, we feel that the ratepayers should not have to wait a full year to receive those dollars.

MR. HOWE: Commissioner Clark, I would concur with Ms. Paugh's statements, and I would add, the reason we're having the hearing in November is so that we can get the factor right for January through December. I can see no valid reason to wail intil next year.

MR. WILLIS: Well, what I would suggest that we do is that perhaps we could still accomplish the
same goal, and what I'd like to do is excuse -- we've got Witness Keselowsky would be excusad. I'd like to excuse Karen Zwolak, Rod Burkhardt, and go -- present witnesses of Mark Hornick and Dierdra Brown, and then if necessary, we could -- I mean, if after inearing there's an adjustment, we can then just provide that calculation.

COMOISSIONER CLARK: It strikes me that once you decide the issue, that it's a mathematical calculation, so we could just hear the issue -- the witnesses we need to and then make the calculation. There should be no disagreement.

MR. WILLIB: Right.
MS. PAUGH: There's no problem with that.

MR. WILLIE: Okay. That's fine.
Ms. KAUFMAN: Can Mr. Willis clarify which
witnesses those will be?

COMMISBIONER CLARK: I think Mr. Hornick and Ms. -- is it Mr. Hornick?

MR. WILLIs: Mr. Hornick and Ms. Brown will
be the witnesses that would testify.
MB. KAUFMAN: What about Mr. Eurkhardt?

MR. WILLIs: Well, as I understand, we would
not present Mr. Burkhardt. We would understand that with respect to the Gatliff issues, it's not with any
prejudice with respect to either one of those issues, but we would not present him; just those two.

It's really subsumed in Witness Hornick's testimony with respect to Gatliff, and we hope that -you know, by the review of this material during this week, that we can perhaps make some progress between us with respect to these issues.

COMMIBSIONER CLARK: Ms. Kaufman, would you have questions for Mr . Burkhardt?
ms. kavfinan: At this point, Commissioner Clark, I don't know, because I only noted that ie is listed here for Issue $10 B$, but I can't tell you that I recall his testimony with enough detail to let you know that, but I will commit to review it and let Mr. Willis know.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I suppose the alternative is to strike his testimony that relates to that because it's not necessary.

MR. WILLIS: or we can have Witness Hornick adopt his testimony with respect to Gatliff.

COMAIBSIONER CLARK: I think the objective is not to have Mr. Burkhardt here, because he doesn't necessarily add to the resolution of the two issues.
ms. xaumun: Well, I think that if there's another witness that can address any issues that he
has addressed in his prefiled testimony, I wouldn't object.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: OkaY.
MR. HOWE: Commissioner Clark, on this point can it be understood among the parties that Mr. Burkhardt's prefiled direct testimony, if entered into the record as though read, will not form any part of the evidentiary basis for a Commission decision on the two issues that remain?

MR. WILLIB: We would have no objection to that. What -- Roger, with respect to just adopting it, however, it would become a part of the testimony of Witness Hornick; is that --

MR. HOWE: Well, I understand -- the problem with adopting it, I'm concerned that we'll be faced with a witness who's adopting testimony he's not that familiar with. So my point is just if you want to put Mr. Burkhardt in the record as though read, I don't object as long as it's understood that it won't form any basis for the Commission's decision on the two issues which remain.

COMMIESIONER CLARK: Do you have
cross-examination for him, Mr. Howe?

MR. HOWE: I don't plan on any, but I don't want to find that -- cross-examination for Mr. Hornick
and Ms. Brown, that anybody is relying on somebody else's evidence. I just want the record to we clear.

MR. WILLIS: Well, Mr. Hornick can answer any questions with respect to that.

MR. HOWE: So whcre are we on the status of Mr. Burkhardt's testimony on Issue 10 D ?

MR. WILLIE: What I would propose is that Mr. Hornick adopt that portion of his testimony and that Mr. Burkhardt be excused.

MR. HOWE: So that part of Mr. Burkhardt's testimony would not be introduced, then, through Mr. Burkhardt; is that correct?

MR. WILLIS: That's correct.

MR. HOWE: I'll agree to that.

COMMIBSIONER CLARE: Are we going to work the language out in the prehearing order that makes all that clear?

MR. WILLIs: Yes, we are.
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. I presume you'11 have pages and lines to indicate which will be adopted by Mr . Hornick.

MR. WILLIS: okay.
MB. PAUGH: Commissioner, Staff has a concern about excusing TECO's witnesses, and that is that witnesses who have sponsored the A schedules
which are directly impacted by this proceeding will need to be able to testify to their contents, and I believe that is particularly Witness 2 wolak; and Staff does have cross-examination questions.

COMMISSIONER CLARE: I thought you said it was just a mathematical calculation.

Ms. PAUGH: But to get to there we have to lay the foundation.

MR. WILLIB: What I would suggest is that Ms. Zwolak be excused and that those questions be directed to Ms. Brown.
48. PAUGH: As long as she can answer the çuestions and is intimately familiar with the A schedules, we'll be fine; but that's where the recovery is sought, so we need to get into the details of the A schedules.

MR. WILLIE: I understand.
Ms. PAUGH: All right. That's acceptable to Staff.

COMMISBIONER CLARK: And I presume you'll add language to the prehearing order that clarifies that, and you'll agree to it. If you can't agree to it, let me know.

> Anything else we need to take up with
respect to 01?

MS. PAUGH: I preaume that we leave the TP'd Issues 3, 4 and 7 unstipulated at this time.

COMMISSIONER CLARE: Well, they'll be stipulated with respect to everyone but TECO.

Ms. PAUGH: Yes, yes. I'm sorry.
COMMIBEIONER CLARK: And 10A -- no. I'm sorry. 10D and E remain?

MR. WILLIS: No. 10 E and $F$ were -- 10D
and E were stipulated, and $10 F$ was stipulated, so we're really going to hearing on $10 B$ and $C$.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.
MS. PAUGH: That's correct, Commissioner.
Before we leave the hearing matter, I'd like to make Tampa Electric Company aware for the record of documents for which we will seek official recognition. They are as follows: Order No.

PSC-93-0443-FOF-EI, including the stipulation between Tampa Electric Company and the office of Public Counsel in Docket No. 930001-EI; Order No. PSC-94-1092-FOF-EI, in Docket No. 9400J1-EI; order No. PSC-95-1089-FOF-EI, in Docket No. 950001-EI; order No. PSC-96-1172-FOF-EI in Docket No. 960001; Order No. PSC-97-1045-FOF-EI in Docket No. 970001.

Thank you.
COMMISBIONER CLARK: Anything else? (No
response.)
Okay. With that, we will stipulate the evidence and testimony into the record on Monday with the exception of Hornick and Brown, unless of course between now and then we get an agreement on them and can likewise stipulate their testimony and evidence in the record, and indicate to the Commissioners unless they have an objection to that, that we will stipulate the evidence and testimony into the record on those witnesses, and parties will be excused from the hearing on Monday.

Ms. PAUGH: Okay. Just for clarification, Commissioners, Staff has asked me to reiterate that the regulatory tax factor adjustment will affect FPL FPUC and TECO. I believe they have all agreed to discuss that further with staff.

MR. WILLIS: Yes.
COMGIESIONER CLARK: Sounds good.
(Whereupon other dockets were discussed.)

COMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Anything else on any of these dockets? (No response.)

Mr. Stone, you look like you want to say something. Unless you hurry up, I'm going to adjourn this.

MR. STONE: No, Commissioner. I was
thinking that if there was going to be an 01 hearing with witnesses and there was going to be an 07 apparently with Gulf's witness, that we might be able to get a time certain other than $9: 30$ Monday morning, but I realize that's not practical.

So what you saw was a question that I resolved in my own head.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. There being nothing further to take up at this time, before I adjourn it, I'll be available to resolve anything to the end that we can shorten up this hearing on Thanksgiving week.

And with that, this hearing is adjourned;
prehearing is adjourned. Thank you.
(Thereupon, the hearing concluded at
3:40 p.m.)

STATE OF FLORIDA)

COUNTY OF LEON )

I, H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR, Official Commission Reporter,

DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Prehearing Conference in Docket No. $980001-E I$ was heard by the Prehearing officer at the time and place herein stated; it is further
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