1 2	FLORIDA	BEFORE THE	
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
-		PUBLIC SERVICE CORMISSION	
3			
4			
5	In the Matter	of : DOCKET NO. 980002-EG	
6	Energy conservati		,
7			E
8			•
9		E. C. C. S.	•
10	PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING ST 7.50	
11		COMMISSIONER SUSAN F. CLARK	
12		COMMISSIONER JOE GARCIA	
13		(Video teleconferencing from Miami) COMMISSIONER E. LEON JACOBS, JR.	
14			
15	DATE:	Monday, November 23, 1998	
16	TIME:	Commenced at 9:30 a.m. Concluded at 11:30 a.m.	
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148	
18		4075 Esplanade Way	
19		Tallahassee, Florida	
20	REPORTED BY:	H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR	
21		Official Commission Reporter (904) 413-6734	ATE 88
22			G-93
- 11			3
23			13601 DEC-38
24			E CUM
25			000

APPEARANCES:

JEFFREY A. STONE and RUSSELL A. BADDERS,
Beggs & Lane, 700 Blount Building, 3 West Garden
Street, Post Office Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida
32576-2950, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

JOHN McWHIRTER, JR., McWhirter, Reeves,
McGlothlin, Davidson, Decker, Kaufman, Arnold & Steen,
Post Office Box 3350, Tampa, Florida 32601-3350,
appearing on behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users
Group (FIPUG).

JOHN ROGER HOWE, Deputy Public Counsel,
Office of Public Counsel, 111 West Madison Street,
Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing
on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

WILLIAM COCHRAN KEATING, IV, Florida Public Service Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

1	INDEX		
2	WITNESSES		
3	NAME	PAG	E NO.
4	M. F. JACOB		8
5	Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted Into the Record by Stipulation		0
6	LEONOR BUSTO Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted		15
7	Into the Record by Stipulation		15
8	MARGARET D. NEYMAN Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted		25
9	Into the Record by Stipulation		
10			
11	EXHIBITS		
12	NUMBER	ID.	ADMTD.
13			
14	1 MFJ-1 and MFJ-2	7	7
15	2 LMB-1 and LMB-2	7	7
16	3 MDN-1 AND MDN-2	7	7
17			
18	MISCELLANEOUS		
19	ITEM	PAG	BE NO.
20	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	(3)	40
21	CENTITIONED OF REPORTER		
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing convened at 9:30 a.m.)

commissioner CLARK: Let's call the hearing to order. Ms. Paugh, if you could walk me through everything I need to do.

MS. PAUGH: We'll commence by reading the notices.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's a good idea.

MR. KEATING: Pursuant to notice issued

October 19th, 1998, this time and place have been set

for a hearing in the following dockets: Docket

No. 980001-EI, fuel and purchased power cost recovery

clause and generating performance incentive factor;

Docket 980002-EG, energy conservation cost recovery

clause; Docket No. 980003-GU, purchased gas adjustment

true-up; and Docket No. 980007-EI, environmental cost

recovery clause.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Take appearances.

MR. STONE: Commissioner, I'm

Jeffrey A. Stone of the law firm Beggs & Lane,

appearing today on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

MR. WILLIS: I'm Lee L. Willis of Ausley,
McMullen, P.O. Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida, 32302,
appearing together with James D. Beasley of the same
firm, P.O. Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302,

1	appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company.
2	MS. PAUGH: If counsel could indicate which
3	dockets they're appearing for, that would be helpful
4	for the record.
5	MR. WILLIS: I'm appearing in both the 01
6	and 07 docket.
7	MR. STONE: And stepping back to me, I'm
8	appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company in the 01,
9	the 02 and the 07 docket.
10	MR. CHILDS: Commissioner, my name is
11	Matthew Childs of the firm of Steel Hector & Davis.
12	I'm appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light
13	Company in the 07 docket.
14	MR. MCWHIRTER: My name is John McWhirter,
15	appearing on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power
16	Users Groups, appearing in Dockets 01, 02, 03 and 07.
17	MR. HOWE: Commissioners, I'm Roger Howe
18	with the Office of Public Counsel, appearing on behalf
19	of the citizens of the state of Florida in the 01, 02,
20	03 and 07 dockets.
21	MS. PAUGH: Leslie Paugh, on behalf of Staff
22	in the 01 and 07 dockets.
23	MR. KEATING: Cochran Keating, appearing on
24	behalf of Staff in the 02 and 03 dockets.
25	CONTRETOURD CLARK, Door Staff have a

- 11	
1	suggestion of how we should proceed?
2	MS. PAUGH: We do.
3	MR. KEATING: Staff suggests that we take
4	the 03 docket first, followed by the 02 docket; then
5	the 01 docket, and finally the 07 docket.
6	COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. We'll do
7	that.
8	(Whereupon other dockets were discussed.)
9	* * * *
10	MR. KEATING: And Docket 980002, we also
11	have a stipulation on all the issues, so again Staff
12	would recommend Staff would recommend that the
13	prefiled testimony of the witnesses listed on Page 5
14	of the prehearing order and that would be
15	M. F. Jacob, Leonor Busto and Margaret D. Neyman
16	COMMISSIONER CLARK: The testimony of those
17	witnesses will be entered in the record as though
18	read.
19	MR. KEATING: And, again, that is all direct
20	testimony.
21	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.
22	MR. KEATING: Staff also recommends that the
23	exhibits listed on Page 8 of the prehearing order be
24	marked for identification starting with the exhibit
25	identified as MFJ-1. Would you also like to make all

1	exhibits from the same witness a composite?
2	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. So MFJ-1 and 2
3	will be Composite Exhibit 1.
4	MR. KEATING: Correct. Exhibits LMB-1 and 2
5	will be marked as Composite Exhibit 2, and
6	Exhibits MDN-1 and 2 will be marked as composite
7	Exhibit 3.
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And Exhibits 1, 2,
9	and 3 will be admitted in the record without
10	objection.
11	(Exhibits 1-3 marked for identification and
12	received in evidence.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 980002-EG

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL F. JACOB

1	Q.	State your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Michael F. Jacob. My business address is Florida Power
3		Corporation, 17757 U.S. Highway 19 North, Suite 660, Clearwater, Florida,
4		33757.
5		
6	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
7	A.	I am employed by Florida Power Corporation (FPC) as Manager of Regulatory
8		Evaluation and Planning.
9		
10	Q.	Have your duties and responsibilities remained the same since you last
11		testified in this proceeding?
12	A.	Yes.
13		
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
15	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to compare the actual costs for implementing
16		programs during the October 1997 through March 1998 time frame with the
17		revenues collected pursuant to the conservation cost recover factor for that
18		same period of time.

1	Q.	For what programs does Florida Power Corporation seek recovery?
2	A.	Florida Power seeks recovery pursuant to the Conservation Cost Recovery
3		Clause for the following conservation programs approved by the Commission
4		as part of FPC's DSM Plan:
5		Home Energy Check
6		Home Energy Improvement
7		Residential New Construction
8		Energy Management (Residential and Commercial)
9		Business Energy Check
10		Better Business
11		Commercial/Industrial New Construction
12		Energy Monitor
13		Innovation Incentive
14		Standby Generation
15		Interruptible Service
16		Curtailable Service
17		Technology Development
18		Gas Demonstration
19		Qualifying Facility
20		
21	Q.	Do you have any Exhibits to your testimony?
22	A.	Yes, Exhibit No (MFJ-1) is entitled, "Florida Power Corporation Energy
23		Conservation Adjusted Net True-Up for the Period October, 1997 through
24		March, 1998." There are five (5) schedules to this exhibit.

Q. Will you please explain your exhibit

A. Yes. My exhibit presents Schedules CT-1 through CT-5. These schedules set out the actual costs incurred for a'll programs during the six month period from October 1997 through March 1998. These pages also describe the variance between actual costs and previously estimated values that were based on two months actual and four months projected data for the same time period. Schedule CT-5 provides a brief summary report for each program that includes a program description, fiscal expenditures for the October 1997 through March 1998 period, program accomplishments over the same period, and a summary of program progress.

Q. Would you please discuss Schedule CT-1?

A. Yes. Schedule CT-1 for the six month period ending March 1998 shows that Florida Power Corporation over-recovered \$12,735,283, including principal, interest, and a revenue decoupling adjustment, in its Conservation Cost Recovery Clause. This amount is \$1,576,523 more than what was previously projected.

Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

A. Yes.

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION DOCKET No. 980002-EG

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL F. JACOB

۱'	u.	State your n	ame and b	usiness a	aaress.		
2	A.	My name is	Michael F	. Jacob.	My business	address is	Florida Power
3		Corporation,	17757 U.S	. Highway	19 North, Suit	e 660, Clea	rwater, Florida,

33764.

5

6

7

8

Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

I am employed by Florida Power Corporation (FPC) as Manager of Regulatory Evaluation and Planning.

10

11

12

Q. Have your duties and responsibilities remained the same since you last testified in this proceeding.

A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

The purpose of my testimony is to describe the components and costs of the A. Company's Demand-Side Management Plan as approved by the Florida Public Service Commission. I will detail the projected costs for implementing each program in that plan, explain how these costs are presented in the attached exhibit, and show the resulting conservation adjustment factors (in \$/1,000 kWh).

Qualifying Facility

A.

Q. Will you please explain Exhibit No. 1?

a summary of cost recovery clause calculations and information by retail rate schedule. Schedule C-2 provides the monthly and total conservation program cost estimates during the projection period of January 1999 through December 1999 for each conservation program as well as for eommon administration expenses. Additionally, Schedule C-2 presents the program costs by specific category (i.e. payroll, materials, incentives, etc.) and includes a schedule of estimated capital investments, depreciation and return for the projection period.

Yes. Exhibit 1 consists of Schedules C-1 through C-5. Schedule C-1 provides

Schedule C-3 contains a detailed breakdown of conservation program costs by specific category and by month for the actual/estimated period of April through August 1998 (actual) and September 1998 through December 1998 (estimated). In addition, Schedule C-3 presents a schedule of estimated capital investment, depreciation and return, an energy conservation adjustment calculation of true-up, and a calculation of interest provision for the actual/estimated period of April 1998 through December 1998. Schedule C-4 projects Energy Conservation Cost Recovery (ECCR) revenues during the January 1999 through December 1999 projection period. Schedule C-5 presents a brief description of each program, as well as a summary of progress and projected expenditures for each program for which FPC seeks cost recovery as part of the Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause.

Q. Would you please summarize the major results from your Exhibit?

A. Schedule C-2, Page 1 of 6, Line 22, shows total net program costs of \$78,210,482 for the January 1999 through December 1999 projection period.

Based on the results contained in Schedule C-1, Page 1 of 4, Lines 18 - 20, the following table presents the projected conservation cost recovery charge in dollars per 1,000 kilowatt-hours by retail rate class for the time period January 1999 through December 1999.

Conservation Adjustment Factors (\$/1,000 kWh)

	Secondary	Primary	Transmission
Retail Rate Schedule	Voltage	Voltage	Voltage
Residential	\$3.21	N/A	N/A
General Service Non-Demand	\$2.18	\$2.16	\$2.14
General Service 100% Load Factor	\$1.59	N/A	N/A
General Service Demand	\$1.87	\$1.85	\$1.83
Curtailable	\$1.62	\$1.60	\$1.59
Interruptible	\$1.54	\$1.52	\$1.51
Lighting	\$0.78	N/A	N/A

Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

A. Yes.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

TESTIMONY OF LEONOR M. BUSTO

DOCKET NO. 980002-EG

June 30, 1998

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Leonor M. Busto, and my business address is: 9250 West Flagle
3		Street, Miami, Florida 33174.
4		
5	Q.	Who is your employer and what position do you hold?
6	A.	I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as an Administration
7		and Regulatory Supervisor.
8		
9	Q.	Have you previously testified in this docket?
0	A.	Yes, I have.
1		
2	Q.	What are your responsibilities and duties as an Administration and
3		Regulatory Supervisor?
4	A.	I am responsible for Regulatory and Administration Support of the Marketing
5		Programs, including all training, budgeting, accounting and system support
6		function related to the Demand Side Management programs. I am also responsible

1		for preparing the Energy Conservation Cost Recovery (ECCR) Forecast and True-
2		Up.
3		
4	Q.	What is the purpose of your testim my?
5	A.	The purpose of my testimony are (1) to present the conservation related revenues
6		and costs associated with FPL's energy conservation programs for the period
7		October 1, 1997 through March 31, 1998, and (2) to present the net underrecovery
8		for the period October 1, 1997 through March 31, 1998 to be carried forward for
9		calculation of FPL's new ECCR factors.
10		
11	Q.	Have you prepared or had prepared under your supervision and control an
12		exhibit?
13	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit LMB-1, which is attached to my testimony, and
14		consists of Schedules CT-1 through CT-6, and Appendix A. Appendix A is the
15		documentation required by Rule 25-17.015(5), F.A.C. regarding specific claims of
16		energy savings in advertisements. While I am sponsoring all of Exhibit LMB-1,
17		parts of the exhibit were prepared at my request by Ms. Korel M. Dubin, Principal
18		Rate Analyst, Rates and Tariffs Department, who is available to respond to any
19		questions which the parties or the Commission may have regarding those parts.
20		Exhibit LMB-1, Table of Contents, Page 1 of 1, identifies the portions prepared
21		by, or under the supervision of, Ms. Dubin and me.
22		
23	Q.	Please explain why your testimony and FPL's true-up petition have been
24		filed ahead of schedule.

1	Α.	In Order No. PSC-98-0691-POF-PU the Commission decided to change the
2		recovery period for all adjustment clauses for investor-owned electric and gas
3		utilities to an annual, calendar year. In doing so, the Commission observed that
4		such a change would create a number of advantages and that such advantages
5		would not be realized unless the recovery period for the ECCR clause was
6		consistent with the recovery period for the other clauses. To make the recovery
7		period for the ECCR clause consistent with the recovery period for other clauses,
8		the Commission decided in Order_No. PSC-98-0691-FOF-PU to initiate rule
9		making to modify Rule 25-17.015, F.A.C. so the recovery period for the ECCR
10		clause ran during the calendar year rather than from April through March.
11		However, the Commission also recognized that it could not modify Rule 25-
12		17.015 in time to effect that change before the scheduled hearings on the
13		ECCR factors for 1999, so it stated it would target a rule change for the year 2000.
14		
15		To secure the advantages the Commission recognized in Order No. PSC-98-0691-
16		FOF-PU, FPL has petitioned the Commission requesting a waiver of portions of
17		Rule 25-17.015(1), F.A.C. so that FPL may file for ECCR factors for the calendar
18		year 1999. While the Commission did not have enough time to amend the rule
19		before the 1999 factors were set, FPL believes the Commission may waive the
20		rule. To facilitate the Commission's consideration of FPL's rule waiver request,
21		FPL has filed this true-up filing earlier than scheduled so that the Commission and
22		parties have time to review the filing and reach the conclusion that a rule waiver
23		has merit.

1		Please explain why the true-up period in your testimony and FPL's true-up
2		petition is October 1997 through March 1998 rather than a twelve month
3		period beginning April 1 as contemplated by Rule 25-17.051(1) (A).
4	A.	Rule 25-17.015(1)(a) states that a utility seeking ECCR cost recovery shall file,
5		"an annual final true-up filing showing the common costs, individual programs
6		costs and revenues, and actual total ECCR revenues for the most recent 12-month
7		historical period from April 1 through March 31 that ends prior to the annual
8		ECCR proceedings." For the proceedings scheduled for the first quarter of 1999,
9		that twelve month period would be April 1, 1997 through March 31, 1998,
10		because the twelve month period ending March 31, 1999 would not yet be ended.
11		
12		However, the current ECCR factors approved for FPL already has a final
13		true-up through September 1997, six months through the true-up period
14		contemplated in Rule 25-17.015. When Rule 25-17.015 was amended in
15		November of 1997 to reflect the new April through March recovery and true-up
16		periods, no arrangement was made for the transition from the then existing
17		recovery periods to the new annual, calendar year period. Consequently, to make
18		the transition from the final true-up period underlying the current ECCR factors,
19		FPL is filing a six month true up period from October 1997 through March 1998.
20		This avoids repeating a final true-up for a period already subject to a final true-up.
21		April 1997 through September 1997.
22		
23	Q.	When will FPL submit its projection filing?

1		If FPL is successful and receives a waiver of Rule 25-17.015, F.A.C., FPL will
2		submit a projection filing with calendar year 1999 ECCR factors in early October
3		1998. If FPL is unsuccessful with its rule waiver request, FPL plans to file a
4		projection filing in January 1999 as contemplated by the current schedule.
5		
6	Q.	What is the actual net true-up amount which FPL is requesting for the
7		October 1997 through March 1998 period?
8	A	. FPL has calculated and is requesting approval of an underrecovery of
9		\$1,356,129 as the actual net true-up amount for that period.
10		
11	Q.	What is the adjusted net true-up amount which FPL is requesting for the
12		October 1997 through March 1998 period which is to be carried over and
13		collected in the January 1999 through December 1999 period.
14		FPL has calculated and is requesting approval of an underrecovery of \$1,389,882
15		as the adjusted net true-up amount for that period. The adjusted net true-up of an
16		underrecovery of \$1,389,882 is the difference between the actual net true-up of
17		an underrecovery of \$1.356,129 and the estimated/actual net true-up of an
18		overrecovery of \$33,756 approved by the Commission at the February 1998
19		Hearing. This is shown on Exhibit, (LMB-1), Schedules CT-1 Page 1 of 1,
20		and CT-2 Page 1 of 5.
21		
22	Q.	Are all costs listed in Schedule CT-2 attributable to approved programs?
23	A.	Yes they are.

1	Q.	During the October 1997 through March 1998 period is FPL seeking
2		recovery of any advertising which makes a specific claim of potential energy
3		savings or states appliance efficiency ratings or savings?
4	A.	Yes. A copy of the advertising, data sources and calculations used to substantiate
5	*	the savings are included in Appendix A, Pages 1-A through 7-E.
6		
7	Q.	How did your actual program expenditures for October 1997 through March
8		1998 compare to the Estimated/Actual and original estimated projections for
9		that period presented at the February 1998 Hearing?
10	A.	At the February 1998 Hearing, total expenditures for October 1997 through March
11		1998 were estimated to be \$78,404,166. The actual expenditures for the period
12		were \$79,263,725. This represents a period variance of \$859,559 more than
13		projected. This variance is shown on Schedule CT-2, Page 3 of 5, Line 34, and is
14		explained in Schedule CT-6.
15		
16	Q.	Was the calculation of the adjusted net true-up amount for the period
17		October 1997 through March 1998 period performed consistently with the
18		prior true-up calculations in this and the predecessor conservation cost
19		recovery dockets?
20	A.	FPL's adjusted net true-up was calculated consistent with the methodology set
21		forth in Schedule 1, page 2 of 2 attached to Order No. 10093, dated June 19, 1981.
22		The schedules prepared by Ms. Dubin detail this calculation.

1	Q.	What was the source of the data used in calculating the actual net true-up		
2		amount?		
3	A.	Unless otherwise indicated, the data used in calculating the adjusted net true-up		
4		amount is taken from the books and records of FPL. The books and records are		
5	*	kept in the regular course of our business in accordance with generally accepted		
6		accounting principles and practices, and provisions of the Uniform System of		
7		Accounts as prescribed by this Commission. As directed in Rule 25-17.015,		
8		F.A.C., Schedules CT-2, Pages 4 and 5 of 5 provide a complete list of all account		
9		numbers used for conservation cost recovery during the period October 1997		
10		through March 1998.		
11				
12	Q.	Does that conclude your testimony?		
13	A.	Yes, it does.		

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

TESTIMONY OF LEONOR M. BUSTO

DOCKET NO. 980002-EG

October 5, 1998

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Leonor M. Busto, and my business address is: 9250 West Flagler
3		Street, Miami, Florida 33174.
4		
5	Q.	Who is your employer, and what position do you hold?
6	A.	I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as an Administration
7		and Regulatory Supervisor.
8		
9	Q.	What are your responsibilities and duties as an Administration and
10		Regulatory Supervisor?
11	A.	I am responsible for Regulatory and Administration Support of the Marketing
12		Programs, including all training, budgeting, accounting and system support
13		function related to the Demand Side Management programs. I am also
14		responsible for preparing the Energy Conservation Cost Recovery (ECCR)
15		Forecast, True-Up and Testimony.
16		
17	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?

1	A.	The purpose is to submit for Commission review and approval the projected
2		ECCR costs to be incurred by FPL during the months of January 1999 through
3		December 1999, as well as the actual/estimated ECCR costs for April 1998
4		through December 1998, for our Demand Side Management programs. I also
5		present the total level of costs FPL seeks to recover through its Conservation
6		Factors during the period January 1999 through December 1999, as well as the
7		Conservation Factors which, when applied to our customers' bills during the
8		period January 1999 through December 1999, will permit the recovery of total
9		ECCR costs.
0		
1	Q.	Are you sponsoring an exhibit in connection with your testimony?
2	A.	Yes, I am sponsoring Exhibit LMB-2, which is attached to my testimony and
3		consists of Schedules C-1 through C-5. While I am sponsoring all of Exhibit
4		LMB-2, parts of the exhibit were prepared at my request by Ms. Korel M. Dubin,
5		Principal Rate Analyst, Rates and Tariff Administration, who is available to
6		respond to any questions which the parties or the Commission may have
7		regarding those parts. Exhibit LMB-2, Table of Contents, Page 1 of 1, identifies
8		the portion prepared by, or under the supervision of, Ms. Dubin and me.
9		
0	Q.	Are all the costs listed in these schedules reasonable, prudent and
1		attributable to programs approved by the Commission?
2	A.	Yes they are.

1	Q.	Please describe the methods used to derive the program costs for which FPL
2		seeks recovery.
3	A.	The actual expenditures for the months April 1998 through July 1998 are taken
4		from the books and records of FPL. Expenditures for the months of August 1998
5		through December 1998 and January 1999 through December 1999 are
6		projections based upon a detailed month-by-month analysis of the expenditures
7		expected for each program at each location within FPL where such costs are
8		incurred. These projections are developed by each FPL location where costs are
9		incurred and take into consideration not only cost levels but also market
10		penetrations. They have been subjected to FPL's budgeting process and an on-
11		going cost-justification process.
12		
13	Q.	Why is FPL filing the projection for the months of January through
14		December ?
15	A.	In Order No. PSC-98-1211-FOF-EI the Commission approved FPL's petition for
16		waiver of Rule 25-17.015(1), F.A.C., allowing FPL's ECCR projection filing for
17		the period January 1, 1999 through December 31, 1999.
18		
19	Q.	Does that conclude your testimony?
20	A.	Yes, it does.

1		Gulf Power Company
2		Before the Florida Public Service Commission
3		Prepared Direct Testimony of Margaret D. Neyman
4		Docket No. 980002-EG October 12, 1998
5		
6	Q.	Will you please state your name, business address,
7		employer and position?
8	A.	My name is Margaret D. Neyman and my business address
9		is One Energy Place, Pensacola, Florida 32520. I am
0		employed by Gulf Power Company as the Marketing
1		Services Manager.
12		
13	Q.	Are you familiar with the documents concerning the
4		Energy Conservation Cost Recovery?
15	A.	Yes, I am.
16		
17	Q.	Have you verified, that to the best of your knowledge
18		and belief, this information is correct?
9	Α.	Yes, I have.
20		
21		Counsel: We ask that Ms. Neyman's exhibit consisting
22		of 5 Schedules be marked for identification as:
23		Exhibit No. 3 (MDN-2).
24		
25		

1	Q.	Ms. Neyman, for what purpose are you appearing before
2		this Commission today?
3	A.	I am testifying before this Commission on behalf of
4		Gulf Power Company regarding matters related to the
5		Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause and to answer
6		any questions concerning the accounting treatment of
7		conservation costs in this filing. Specifically, I
8		will address projections for approved programs during
9		the January, 1999, through December, 1999, recovery
10		period and the results of those programs during the
11		recovery period, April, 1998, through December, 1998,
12		(4 months actual, 5 months estimated).
13		
14	Q.	Would you summarize for this Commission the deviations
15		resulting from the actual expenditures from April
16		through July of the current recovery period?
17	Α.	Projected expenses for the period were \$829,468
18		compared to actual expenses of \$801,894 for a
19		difference of \$27,574 or 3.32% below budget. A
20		detailed summary of these expenses is contained in my
21		Schedule C-3, pages 1 and 3 and my Schedule C-5, pages
22		1 through 18.
23		
24		
25		

1 0. Would you describe the results achieved by the programs during the current period, April, 1998, through July, 2 1998? 3 A detailed summary of results for each program is 4 Α. contained in my Schedule C-5, pages 1 through 18. 5 6 7 Would you summarize the conservation program cost Q. 8 projections for the January, 1999 through December, 1999 recovery period? 9 10 Program costs for the recovery period are projected to Α. be \$2,288,183. These costs are broken down as follows: 11 12 depreciation/amortization and return, \$480,565; 13 payroll/benefits, \$1,541,532; materials/expenses, \$608,450; advertising, \$347,313; and vehicles, \$55,818; 14 all of which are offset by program revenues, \$366,930. 15 More detail is contained in my Schedule C-2. 16 17 Would you review the expected results for your programs 18 0. during the January, 1999, through December, 1999, 19 recovery period? 20 The following is a synopsis of each program goal: 21 Α. (1) Residential Energy Audits - During the period, 22 2,000 audits are projected to be completed. These 23 audits emphasize selling customers on making 24

25

conservation improvements.

1	(2)	Residential Mail-in Audit - This is a direct mail
2		energy auditing program. This program builds on
3		the success of Gulf's existing Residential Energy
4		Audit program and will assist in the evaluation of
5		the specific energy requirements of a residential
6		dwelling. Gulf expects 1,000 participants during
7		the projection period.
8	(3)	Gulf Express Loan Program - This program is no
9		longer accepting new loans. No units are
10		projected during this period. The projected costs
11		are for the administration of existing loans.
12	(4)	In Concert With The Environment - This energy
13		awareness program is being presented to 8th and
14		9th grade students as a supplement to the
15		residential audit program. During the period,
16		1,000 students are projected to receive the
17		presentation.
18	(5)	Duct Leakage Repair - The object of the program is
19		to provide the customer with a means to identify
20		house air duct leakage and recommend repairs that
21		can reduce customer kWh energy usage and kW
22		demand. During the period, 20 homes are projected
23		to participate in this program.
24	(6)	Geothermal Heat Pump - The objective of this
25		program is to reduce the demand and energy

1		requirements of new and existing residential
2		customers through the promotion and installation
3		of geothermal systems. During the projection
4		period, 400 customers are expected to participate
5		in the program.
6	(8)	Residential Advanced Energy Management - The
7		program is designed to provide the customer with a
8		means of conveniently and automatically
9		controlling and monitoring his/her energy
10		purchases in response to prices that vary during
11		the day and by season in relation to the Company's
12		cost of producing or purchasing energy. The AEM
13		system includes field units utilizing a
14		communication gateway, a radio frequency (RF)
15		based Local Area Network (LAN), major appliance
16		load control relays, and a proprietary,
17		programmable thermostat (Superstat), all operating
18		at the customer's home. This program will be
19		marketed under the name "GoodCents Select."
20		
21		Gulf expects 6,750 customers to participate in
22		this program by the end of this projection period.
23		The startup of the program has been delayed
24		because of several factors. Please refer to M.D.
25		Neyman testimony, Docket No. 980002-EG, January

1	13, 1998, for a detailed explanation of the
2	factors contributing to the delay in full
3	implementation.
4	
5	The expected prototype units have been delivered,
6	but the production units have been delayed due to
7	failures of electronic components during testing.
8	Gulf now estimates production units will not
9	arrive until late 1998.
10	
11	Despite the unpreventable delays that have
12	occurred, Gulf still believes that the AEM System
13	is a viable program. Gulf's near term residential
14	conservation goals have been adversely impacted as
15	a result of the delays in implementing AEM, but
16	the process has produced the most cost-effective
17	solution that is currently possible.
18	
19	Gulf is modifying its schedule for market
20	implementation as a result of the delays, and
21	plans to increase the number of units deployed
22	during the years 1999 to 2003 to still accomplish
23	the basic program objective of achieving a total
24	of 80,000 kilowatts of peak demand reduction by
25	year end 2004.

1	(9)	GoodCents Building - This program includes both	
2		new and existing commercial customers. For the	
3		period, 215 installations are projected.	
4		Implementation strategies will concentrate on	
5		architects, engineers, developers and other	
6		decision makers in the construction process.	
7	(10)	Energy Audits and Technical Assistance Audits -	
8		Gulf projects 156 audits for the period. Emphasis	
9		wil be placed on audits for large, complex	
10		commercial customers such as hospitals, hotels and	
11		office buildings. These audits will focus on the	
12		benefits of alternative technologies such as heat	
13		pump water heaters and geothermal technologies.	
14	(11)	Commercial/Industrial Mail-In Audit - This is a	
15		direct mail energy auditing program. This program	
16		builds on the success of Gulf's existing	
17		Commercial/Industrial Energy Audit program and	
18		will assist in the evaluation of the specific	
19		energy requirements of a given business type.	
20		Gulf expects 950 participants during the	
21		projection period.	
22	(12)	Solar for Schools Pilot - This program uses "green	
23		pricing" to fund solar technologies in public	
24		schools. It also incorporates a school-based	
25		energy education component as well as enhanced	

1		security lighting for schools. During the
2		projection period, Gulf will continue evaluating
3		various implementation options for Solar for
4		School. In addition, Gulf will develop and
5		implement a "green pricing" promotion plan.
6		(13) Conservation Demonstration and Development -
7		For this period, 16 research projects have been
8		identified. A detailed description of each
9		project is in Schedule C-2.
10		
11	Q.	Ms. Neyman, what amount does Gulf propose to bill for
12		the months January, 1999, through December, 1999, as
13		Energy Conservation Cost Recovery factors?
14	A.	The factors for these months and how they were derived
15		are detailed on Schedule C-1, page 3 of 3.
16		
L7	Q.	Ms. Neyman, does this conclude your testimony?
18	A.	Yes, it does.
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	
1	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And Staff recommends we
2	approve the stipulated issues in Docket 980002?
3	MR. KEATING: That's correct. That's on
4	Issues 1 and 2, which is all the issues in the docket.
5	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is there a motion?
6	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'll move it.
7	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Second.
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Show the stipulated
9	issues approved without objection.
10	Now we move to
11	MS. PAUGH: 980001, Commissioner.
12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.
13	(Whereupon other dockets were discussed.)
14	* * * * *
15	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Anything further to
16	come before the Commission?
17	MR. McWHIRTER: I'd like to make a statement
18	for the record, if I may.
19	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes, Mr. McWhirter.
20	MR. MCWHIRTER: This is the first proceeding
21	in which the Commission has moved from semiannual to
22	annual proceeding. And when you first considered this
23	prospect, our firm expressed some serious concern
24	about judicial due process because of the limited
25	period of time in which massive amounts of information

would have to be analyzed and dealt with.

The collections that you're approving today are for prospective periods that will be trued up. The due process issue comes out like this: We first saw the testimony and exhibits filed by 12 separate utilities the first week in October. It entails analyzing that information; not only the information that is contained in the filings, but also the information that may have been omitted from the filings.

To understand that, to deal with it effectively it requires expert participation.

Utilities have numerous experts that are presenting their testimony. Consumer advocates have to locate and employ an expert. The expert has to have time to consider what's in the record and what has been omitted from the record. And then under your discovery rules, if we pose requests for production and interrogatories, the utilities have 30 days in which to respond.

I would suggest to you humbly that in order to do any even piecemeal analysis in order to determine what the real issues in the case are, it would take 30 days or so. That puts us in the first week of November, and when you have the hearings the

third week of November immediately before the

Thanksgiving holidays, I would suggest to you that we
can't be expected to do a reasonable case in order to
present meaningful facts to you in a meaningful way.

I don't suggest that the Commission was wrong in moving to an annual proceeding. I think probably it's appropriate at this time because of the fact that prices are not nearly so volatile as they were when these cost recovery proceedings were instituted initially.

But what I would also suggest to you is that since these rates are prospective and since we've got a year to live with them, that the Commission give a friendly eye to discovery that has -- may be filed subsequent to today's proceeding in which we may wish to plumb certain transactions such as affiliated transactions in which a utility buys product from its sister companies.

As you know, much of the information that's filed in these cases is under the umbrella of secrecy because they're fearful in a competitive environment the utilities' information will be misused, and as a consequence, we don't have the information there.

So we would like to have you give us your pledge, if you would, that when we come in during the

course of this year to maybe further investigate some of these circumstances and explore them, that the Commission not take the attitude that the decision was made today, it is now chiseled in stone, and it's too late to engage discovery.

MR. WILLIS: Before you go do something that is just thrown here on the table at the last minute, I think that you should -- if any such action is taken by Mr. McWhirter, you should take it into account after responses have been filed by the companies that are involved and to take a reasoned decision rather than giving -- making statements off the cuff here in response to something that has just been presented here for the first time.

I think that with respect to the procedures followed here that the planned workshops at the beginning of next year to further discuss how we can make the procedures more meaningful and easy for all concerned -- and that is one of the things that Mr. McWhirter could discuss at that time and can be resolved later by the Commission if no agreement is made among the parties after full discussion.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, Mr. McWhirter, it appears as if we still haven't determined exactly what our procedures are going to be going to a yearly

activity. And as I understand what Mr. Willis just said, we'll be having a workshop on how we should proceed in these cases; is that correct, Staff?

Ms. PAUGH: That's correct. Those were Issues 7 and 7A, as I recall.

commissioner clark: It sounds like we're
going to be looking at it.

welcome the opportunity to participate in a workshop that's designed to make the procedure more meaningful. But I'm not talking about procedural matters, I'm talking about substantive matters; and all I suggest to you is if we are -- when we seek discovery on substantive issues that were dealt with in this case, that the Commission determine now that it will not summarily dismiss our opportunity to inquire further, since this is an open docket.

commissioner CLARK: I don't think that's a decision we have to make now. I was going to say, well, who is the prehearing officer, but I seem to recall it's me. (Laughter)

It seems to me that if and when you make that request, it would be appropriate to hear our arguments on the pros and cons of doing that, and I can tell you if it comes before the prehearing

officer -- I don't know if it will be me -- I'll have 2 an open mind. I think we're embarking on a different 3 strategy for these things, and I think we were 4 concerned at the time about the notion of giving 5 enough time to review information and prepare for 6 hearing. So we'll take it up at the time you feel the 7 need to exercise that.

MR. MCWHIRTER: Well, I understand from what you've said that your previous prehearing order does not preempt continuing discovery in this matter.

MR. WILLIS: I don't think she made any such decision. That's not before her.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McWhirter, I'm not prepared to say yea or may on that.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: It's an open docket. That's about it.

MS. PAUGH: These are open ongoing dockets at all times. Discovery can be had at all times. We close the docket down from one year, and at the same time open up the next one. So there is no reason why you can't commence discovery in this docket tomorrow if you so desire.

> MR. McWHIRTER: Thank you very much. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Anything else we

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

have to take up at this time? MS. PAUGH: Not from Staff. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, thank you all for your hard work on this case. And I wish you all a happy Thanksgiving. (Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 11:30 a.m.)

STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON 2 I, H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR, Official 3 Commission Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Hearing in Docket No. 980002-EG was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is 6 further CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported 7 the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 39 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings and the insertion of the prescribed prefiled testimony of the witnesses. 10 11 DATED this 30th day of November, 1998. 12 13 H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR 14 Official Commission Reporter (904) 413-6734 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

	ADMTD 2/12	days 3479, 3474 980002-EG
	advocates 34/14	deni 34/11 denit 34/1, 37/14
& 2/3, 2/7, 4/20, 5'11, 5/13	ogreement 36/21 amounts 33/25	decision 36/3, 36/11, 37/19, 36/13 Decker 3/7
•	analysis 34/23	Deputy 2/11 designed 37/10
69, 33/14	analysing 347	desire 38/23
	APPEARANCES 2/1, 4/18	determine 34/23, 37/15 determined 34/24
01 5/5, 5/8, 5/16, 5/19, 5/23, 6/5	appropriate 35/1, 37/23 approve 33/2	Direct 3/4, 3/6, 3/9, 6/19, 66/8 discovery 34/18, 35/14, 36/5, 37/13, 36/11, 36/19,
12 5/9, 5/16, 5/19, 5/24, 6/4	approved 33/9 approving 34/2	36/22 discuss 36/17, 36/20
83 5/16, 5/20, 5/24, 6/4 87 5/6, 5/9, 5/13, 5/16, 5/20, 5/22, 6/5	arguments 37/24	discussed 6/8, 33/13
	Arnold 2/7 attitude 34/3	discussion 34/22 dismits 37/16
3/14, 7/3, 7/8, 33/4	Ausley 4/23	Division 2/16 DOCKET 1/5, 4/11, 4/14, 4/15, 4/16, 5/6, 5/9, 5/13,
I-3 7/11	•	64, 65, 6/10, 33/2, 33/4, 37/17, 36/16, 36/20, 36/22,
111 2/12 11:30 1/14, 39/7	BADDERS 2/2	dockets 4/11, 5/3, 5/16, 5/20, 5/23, 5/34, 6/8, 33/13,
12 345 12950 24	Bensley 4/24 Beggs 2/3, 4/20	36/18 during 36/25
148 1/17	Betty 1/17 Blount 2/3	the second secon
15 3/6 1996 1/14, 4/10, 40/11	Boulevard 2/17	E
19th 4/10	Bex 2/4, 2/2, 4/23, 4/25 Building 2/3	Rasky 1/17 easy 35/18
2	BUSTO 3/6, 6/15	Electric 5/1 embarking 38/3
2 3/15, 7/2, 7/4, 7/5, 7/6, 7/6, 33/4	00)1 2011	employ 34/15
23 1/14 25 3/8	C	Energy 1/6, 4/14 engage 36/5
2540 2/16	call 4/3 case 34/23, 35/3, 37/14, 20/4	entalls 346 entered 6/17
	cases 36/30, 37/3	environme: 35/21 environme: al 4/14
3 2/3, 3/16, 7/7, 7/9	Center 1/17 CERTIFICATE 3/26, 40/1	Esplanade 1/18 evidence 7/13
30 34/19, 34/24	CERTIFIED 407	exercise 36/1
30th 40/11 32302 4/23, 4/25	Childs 5/11 childeled 36/4	exhibit 6/24, 7/3, 7/5, 7/7 EXHIBITS 3/11, 6/23, 7/1, 7/4, 7/6, 7/8, 7/11, 34/5
32399-0870 2/18 32399-1400 2/13	circumstances 36/2	expected 36/3 expert 34/12, 34/15
32576-2950 2/5 32601-3350 2/8	CLARK 1/11, 4/3, 4/8, 4/18, 5/25, 6/6, 6/16, 6/21,	experts 34/13
3350	7/2, 7/8, 33/1, 33/5, 33/8, 33/12, 33/15, 33/19, 34/23, 37/6, 37/18, 38/14, 38/25, 39/3	explore 36/2 expressed 33/23
39 40/8 391 4/23, 4/25	clause 14, 4/13, 4/15, 4/17 close 38/28	eye 35/14
	COCHRAN 2/15, 5/23	7
40 3/20	collections 34/2 commence 44, 38/22	fact 35/9
4075 1/18	Commenced 1/15 COMMISSION 1/1, 1/26, 2/16, 2/18, 23/14, 33/21,	factor 4/13 facto 36/4
413-4734 1/21	36/5, 36/13, 36/3, 36/21, 37/15, 46/3, 46/5 COMMISSIONER 1/11, 1/12, 1/13, 4/3, 4/8, 4/18,	fearful 35/21 filed 34/5, 35/14, 35/20, 36/10
5	4/19, 5/10, 5/25, 6/6, 6/16, 6/21, 7/2, 7/8, 33/1, 33/8,	filings 34%, 34/10 FIPUG 2/10
5 6/13	35/6, 35/7, 35/6, 35/11, 35/12, 35/15, 35/19, 56/23, 37/6, 37/18, 38/14, 38/16, 38/25, 39/3	firm 4/28, 4/23, 5/11, 33/23
	Commissioners 5/17 companies 35/18, 36/10	FLORIDA 1/1, 1/18, 2/4, 2/8, 2/9, 1/13, 2/14, 2/15, 2/17, 4/23, 4/25, 5/12, 5/18, 5/19, 46/1, 46/5
,	Company 2/5, 4/21, 5/1, 5/8, 5/13 competitive 35/21	followed 6/4, 36/16 friendly 35/14
7 3/14, 3/15, 3/16, 37/5 700 2/3	composite 7/1, 7/3, 7/5, 7/6	fuel 4/12
7A 37/5	concern 33/23 concerned 36/19, 38/5	G
1	Conference 1/15, 20%	GARCIA 1/12, 33%
1 34 673	cons 37/24 consequence 35/23	Garden 2/3 gas 4/15
812 2/13	conservation 1/6, 4/14	generating 4/13 Group 2/10
•	Consumer 34/14	Groups 5/16
904 1/21	continuing 36/11	Gulf 2/5, 4/21, 1/8
980001 32/11 980001-EI 4/12	Correct 7/4, 33/3, 37/3, 37/4	п
980002 6/10, 33/2 980002-EG 1/5, 4/14, 40/5	cost 1/6, 4/12, 4/14, 4/16, 35/9 Counsel 2/11, 2/12, 5/2, 5/18	happy 39/5
980003-GU 4/15 980007-EI 4/14	COUNTY 40/2	hard 39/4 hearings 34/25
9:30 1/15, 4/2	CSR 1/20, 49/3	Hector 5/11
	cuff 36/13	helpful 50 holidays 38/2 HOWE 2/11, 5/17
A a.m 1/15, 1/16, 4/2, 39/7	D	humbly 3421
account 369	DATE 1/14	ESTANCE TO THE RESERVE OF
action 34/8 activity 37/1	DATED 40/11 Davidson 2/7	II. 501
adjustment 4/15	Davis 5/11	ID 3/12 idea 4/9
admitted 7/9	day 40/11	

identification 6/24, 7/11 identified 6/25 incentive 4/13 indicate 5/2 Industrial 2/9, 5/15 information 33/25, 34/7, 34/9, 36/19, 35/22, 35/23, 36/6 inquire 37/16 Inserted 3/4, 3/6, 3/8 Insertion 40/9 Instituted 35/10 Instituted 35/10 Interrogatories 34/19 Investigate 36/1 Insue 34/4 Insue 34/4 Insue 4/11, 33/2, 33/4, 33/9, 34/23, 37/5, 37/14 ITEM 3/19 IV 2/15

3

JACOB 3/4, 6/15 JACOBS 1/13, 33/7, 36/16 James 4/14 JEFFREY 2/2, 4/20 JOE 1/13 JOHN 24, 2/11, 5/14 Judicial 33/24

K

Kaufman 2/7 KEATING 2/15, 5/23

L

Lane 2/3, 4/20 later 36/21 Laughter 37/21 law 4/20 Lee 4/23 Legal 2/16 LEON 1/13, 49/2 LEONOR 3/6, 6/15 Leslie 5/21 Light 5/12 limited 33/24 listed 6/13, 6/23 live 35/13 LMB-1 3/15, 7/4 LMB-2 3/15 locate 34/14

M

Madison 2/12 MARGARET 3/8, 6/15 marked 6/34, 7/5, 7/6, 7/11 massive 33/25 Matter 1/5, 36/11 matters 37/11, 37/12 matters 5/11, 5/12 Matthew 5/11 McGlothlin 2/7 McMullen 4/23 McWHIRTER 2%, 5/14 MDN-1 3/16, 7/6 MDN-2 3/16 MDN-2 3/16 meaningful 35/4, 36/18, 37/16 MFJ-1 3/14, 6/25, 7/2 MFJ-2 3/14 Miami 1/12 mind 38/2 minute 36/7 MISCELLANEOUS 3/18 misused 35/23 Monday 1/14 motion 33/5 move 33/6, 23/10 moved 33/21 moving 354 MR. CHILDS 5/16 MR. HOWE 5/17 MR. KEATING 49, 5/13, 6/3, 6/16, 6/19, 6/12, 74, 33/3 MR. McWHIRTER 5/14, 23/17, 23/19, 23/20, 34/9, 36/20, 36/23, 37/8, 38/9, 36/14, 38/24 MR. STONE 4/19, 5/7 MR. WILLIS 4/22, 6/3, 36/6, 37/1, 36/12 Ms. Paugh 4/4, 4/6, 5/2, 5/21, 6/2, 33/11, 37/4, 36/18, 39/2

NAME 3/3, 5/10, 5/14 may 30/15 meed 4/5, 30/5 NEYMAN 3/0, 6/15 notes 4/9 notices 4/7 notices 4/7 notion 38/5 November 1/14, 34/25, 35/1, 40/11 NUMBER 3/12

0

Oak 2/17
objection 7/10, 33/9
October 4/10, 34/5
Office 2/4, 2/8, 2/12, 5/18
officer 37/20, 38/1
Official 1/20, 40/3
omitted 34/9, 34/17
open 37/17, 38/2, 38/16, 38/18, 38/21
opportunity 37/9, 37/16
order 4/4, 6/14, 6/23, 34/21, 34/22, 35/3, 38/16

P.O 4/23, 4/25 P.O 4/23, 4/25
pages 46/8
participate 37/9
participation 34/12
parties 36/22
Paugh 5/21
Pensacola 2/4
performance 4/13
period 33/25
period 34/3
piecemeni 34/22 piermen 34/3 piecemenl 34/22 PLACE 1/17, 4/10, 40/5 planned 36/16 pledge 35/25 plumb 35/16 plumb 35/16
pose 34/18
Post 2/4, 2/8
POTAMI 1/20, 40/3
Power 2/5, 2/9, 4/12, 4/21, 5/8, 5/12, 5/15
preempt 38/11
Prefiled 3/4, 3/6, 3/8, 6/13, 40/9
prebearing 6/14, 6/23, 37/26, 37/25, 36/16
prepared 36/15
prescribed 40/9
presented 36/13
precenting 34/13
prices 35/8
prepared 37/11 procedural 37/11 procedural 37/10 procedural 37/10 procedural 36/15, 36/12, 36/25 proceed 6/1, 37/2 proceeding 33/20, 33/22, 35/4, proceeding 33/20, 33/22, 36/6, 36/15 PROCEEDINGS 1/10, 35/9, 40/7, 40/9 process 33/24, 34/4 product 35/17 production production 34/18 pros 37/24 prospect 33/23 PUBLIC 1/1, 2/11, 2/12, 2/15, 5/18, 40/5 purchased 4/12, 4/15 puts 34/24

R

rates 35/12 read 6/18 reading 4/6 reason 36/21 reasoneble 35/3 reasoned 36/11 recall 37/5, 37/21 received 7/12 recommend 6/12 recommends 6/22, 33/1 Record 3/5, 3/7, 3/9, 5/4, 6/17, 7/9, 33/18, 34/14, 34/17 recovery 1/6, 4/12, 4/14, 4/17, 35/9 Recves 2/6 REPORTED 1/26, 46/7 Reperter 1/20, 3/20, 40/1, 40/3 request 37/23 requests 34/18 requires 34/13

resolved 36/21 respect 36/15 respend 34/20 respense 36/13 respenses 36/10 review 36/6 ROGER 2/11, 5/17 Room 1/17, 2/13 RPR 1/20, 46/3 rules :4/18 RUSSELL 2/2 RUTHE 1/20, 40/3

S

saw 34/5 Second 33/7 secrecy 35/20 seek 37/13 semiannual 33/21 separate 345 serious 33/23 SERVICE 1/1, 2/16, 40/5 Services 2/16 Services 2/16 set 4/16 Show 33/8 Shumard 2/17 sister 38/18 sounds 37/6 Staff 2/19, 5/21, 5/24, 5/25, 6/3, 6/11, 6/12, 6/23, 33/1, 27/3, 29/3 starting 6/34 State 3/14, 5/19, 40/1 statement 33/17 statements 36/12 Steel 5/11 Steen 3/7 Steen 27 stenographically 46/7 stepping 57 stipulated 33/2, 33/8 Stipulation 25, 37, 39, 6/11 STONE 2/2, 4/20, 36/4 strategy 36/4 Street 2/4, 2/12 substantive 37/12, 37/14 suggestion 6/1 supervision 46/8 SUSAN 1/11

T

table 34/7
talking 37/11, 37/12
Talkhasee 1/18, 2/13, 2/17, 4/23, 4/25
Tampa 2/8, 5/1
teleconferencing 1/12
Testimony 3/4, 3/6, 3/6, 4/13, 6/16, 6/26, 34/5,
34/14, 46/9 Thank 38/24, 39/3 Thankagiving 36/2, 39/5 Thereupon 39/6 Thereupon third 35/1 thrown 36/7 TIME 1/15, 4/10, 33/25, 34/15, 35/7, 36/14, 36/20, 36/5, 36/6, 36/7, 38/21, 39/1, 46/5 times 36/19 transactions 35/16, 35/17 transcribed 40/8 transcript transcription 40/9 true 40/8 true-up 4/16 trued 34/3

U

umbrella 35/20 Users 27, 5/16 utilities 34%, 34/13, 34/19 utilities' 36/23 utility 35/17

v

Video 1/12 volatile 35/9

w

walk 4/4 week 34/4, 34/25, 36/1

welcome 37/9	
West 2/3, 2/12	
WILLIAM 2/15	
Willia 4/23	
wish 35/15, 39/4	
witness 7/1	
WITNESSES 3/1, 6/13, 6/17,	40/10
work 39/4	
workshop 37/2, 37/9	
workshoos 36/16	
wrong 35%	

x

X 3/1

Y

year 35/13, 36/1, 36/17, 38/28 yearly 36/25