BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Initiation of show cause proceedings against Blanton Lake Utilities Company in Pasco County for violation of Rules 25-30.110(3), F.A.C., Records and Reports; Annual Reports, and 25-30.120, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees. DOCKET NO. 981344-WU ORDER NO. PSC-98-1641-FOF-WU ISSUED: December 7, 1998

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

JULIA L. JOHNSON, Chairman J. TERRY DEASON SUSAN F. CLARK JOE GARCIA E. LEON JACOBS, JR.

ORDER DECLINING TO INITIATE A SHOW CAUSE PROCEEDING AND REFERRING DELINQUENT REGULATORY ASSESSMENT FEES, PENALTY AND INTEREST TO COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE FOR PERMISSION TO WRITE-OFF ACCOUNT

BY THE COMMISSION:

BACKGROUND

Blanton Lake Utility Company (Blanton Lake or utility) is a Class C utility located in Pasco County serving an area west of Dade City. By Order No. PSC-92-1301-FOF-WU, issued November 12, 1992, Blanton Lake obtained Water Certificate No. 328-W. The service area consists of approximately 24 acres with 53 homes. Blanton Lake's 1993 annual report indicates that the system had a gross revenue amount of \$7,917.00 and a net loss of \$45.00.

On June 8, 1994, pursuant to Section 367.165, Florida Statutes, Mr. Stephen J. Matala, owner of Blanton Lake, submitted a notice of abandonment with the Florida Public Service Commission (Commission), the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and the United States Environmental Protection Agency. On July 26, 1994, by Order No. PSC-94-0919-FOF-WS, this Commission acknowledged Blanton Lake's abandonment of its system. Further,

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

Mr. Matala, as prior owner of Blanton Lake, did not remit the utility's regulatory assessment fees for 1992 and 1993.

The Circuit Court of the Sixth Judicial Circuit, in and for Pasco County, Florida, appointed Pasco County Utility Department (Pasco County) the temporary receiver of Blanton Lake in September, 1994. Pursuant to Order No. PSC-94-1235-FOF-WU, issued October 11, 1994, the Commission acknowledged the Circuit Court's appointment of Pasco County as receiver for Blanton Lake.

To the best of our knowledge, Pasco County has operated the Blanton Lake facility efficiently. However, by letter dated April 15, 1996, Pasco County was notified that the 1995 regulatory assessment fee for Blanton Lake had not been received by the Commission, and that pursuant to Florida law, penalty and interest would be assessed against any delinquent amount due. A similar letter, dated June 4, 1997, was sent to Pasco County with regard to Blanton Lake's 1996 regulatory assessment fees.

By letters dated May 6, 1996 and June 9, 1997, Pasco County notified the Commission of its position that, as receiver for Blanton Lake, the County was not responsible for payment of 1995 and 1996 regulatory assessment fees.

On June 19, 1997, Pasco County filed an application with this Commission for acknowledgment of the transfer of water and wastewater facilities from Blanton Lake Utilities Company to Pasco County, pursuant to Section 367.071, Florida Statutes. Pursuant to Order No. PSC-97-1424-FOF-WU, issued November 13, 1997, in Docket No. 970741-WU, the Commission acknowledged the transfer of Blanton Lake Utilities Company to Pasco County and canceled Certificate No. PSC-97-1424-FOF-WU also recognized that Order No. 328-W. regulatory assessment fees had been paid for Blanton Lake Utilities Company for 1994 through 1996 by Pasco County, and that Pasco County had submitted a request for waiver of penalty and interest due for 1995 and 1996 regulatory assessment fees. The Order stated that the County's request for waiver, and the 1992 and 1993 regulatory assessment fees which went unpaid by Blanton Lake's former owner, would be handled in a separate docket.

DECISION NOT TO INITIATE A SHOW CAUSE PROCEEDING AND REFERRAL OF UNPAID 1992 AND 1993 REGULATORY ASSESSMENT FEES, PENALTY AND INTEREST TO THE COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE

In establishing rates, the Commission includes in its determination of the revenue requirements the utility's obligation to pay regulatory assessment fees. However, Blanton Lake failed to pay regulatory assessment fees for 1992 and 1993. Although the utility was abandoned by Mr. Stephen J. Matala in July of 1994 and operated by Pasco County since that time, Mr. Matala remains responsible for the 1992 and 1993 fees pursuant to Section 367.071(2), Florida Statutes.

Pursuant to Section 350.113(4), Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-30.120(5)(a), Florida Administrative Code, a statutory penalty plus interest shall be assessed against any utility that fails to timely pay its regulatory assessment fees, in the following manner:

- 5 percent of the fee if the failure is for not more than 30 days, with an additional 5 percent for each additional 30 days or fraction thereof during the time in which failure continues, not to exceed a total penalty of 25 percent.
- The amount of interest to be charged is 1% for each 30 days or fraction thereof, not to exceed a total of 12% annum.

In addition, pursuant to Sections 367.145(1)(b) and 367.161, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-30.120(5)(b), Florida Administrative Code, the Commission may impose an additional penalty upon a utility for failure to pay regulatory assessment fees in a timely manner.

Notices of delinquency for failure to remit its regulatory assessment fees were mailed to the utility on April 28, 1993, June 15, 1993 and May 3, 1994. As of November 17, 1998, the utility owes the following: \$713.08 (\$356.81 for 1992 and \$356.27 for 1993) in regulatory assessment fees, as well as \$178.27 in penalty and \$449.27 in interest for a total of \$1,340.62. We calculated the penalty and interest based on the number of days elapsed since the respective regulatory assessments were due and the date of our vote in this matter. The date of our vote is included in computing the amount of time elapsed.

1

Regulatory assessment fees are intended to cover the costs incurred in Public Service Commission regulation of utilities. Apparently, the utility had no inclination to pay the fees voluntarily, nor does it appear that the utility made a good faith effort toward payment. Utilities are charged with the knowledge of the Commission's rules and statutes. Additionally, "[i]t is a common maxim, familiar to all minds that 'ignorance of the law' will not excuse any person, either civilly or criminally." <u>Barlow v. United States</u>, 32 U.S. 404, 411 (1833). Thus, any intentional act, such as the utility's failure to pay regulatory assessment fees, would meet the standard for a "willful violation." See Order No. 24306, issued April 1, 1991, in Docket No. 890216-TL.

On June 8, 1994, Mr. Matala notified this Commission of his intention to abandon the utility effective July 30, 1994. By Order No. PSC-94-0919-FOF-WU, issued July 26, 1994, we acknowledged the notice of abandonment. The Circuit Court appointed Pasco County Utility Department (Pasco County) the temporary receiver of Blanton Lake in September, 1994.

Nevertheless, pursuant to Section 367.071(2), Florida Statutes, Mr. Matala, the transferor, remains liable for, among other things, any outstanding regulatory assessment fees of the utility which were due and owing before he abandoned the utility. Although Mr. Matala remains liable for the 1992 and 1993 regulatory assessment fees, we are unable to locate him. We sent letters on April 28, 1993, June 15, 1993 and May 3, 1994, and have received no response. We attempted to contact him at a telephone number which we had on file. Although the telephone number was in operation, there was no one by the name of Steven Matala at that number. Nor was Pasco County able to provide us with sufficient information to locate him.

Based on the foregoing, we find that a show cause proceeding and further collection efforts would not be cost effective. Therefore, we find that a show cause proceeding shall not be initiated against Steve Matala, former owner of Blanton Lake. The unpaid 1992 and 1993 regulatory assessment fees and associated penalty and interest shall be referred to the State of Florida Comptroller's Office for permission to write off the account as uncollectible. Our decision with regard to this matter is consistent with Order No. PSC-98-1100-FOF-WS, issued August 17, 1998, in Dockets Nos. 900025-WS and 930944-WS; Order No. PSC-98-0663-FOF-WS, issued May 14, 1998, in Docket No. 980342-WS; and

:

Order No. PSC-98-0906-FOF-SU, issued July 7, 1998, in Docket No. 980258-SU.

REFERRAL OF 1995 AND 1996 PENALTY AND INTEREST TO THE COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE

On August 1, 1994, Pasco County was appointed temporary receiver of Blanton Lake by the Circuit Court of the Sixth Judicial Circuit in and for Pasco County, Florida. Pursuant to Section 367.022(2), Florida Statutes, Pasco County could have immediately sought an exemption for operating the Blanton Lake facilities. We did make the County aware of the exemption statute by letter and telephone conversations with various County staff. We also sent the County a copy of an application for an exemption. For whatever reason, Pasco County never filed an application for an exemption. Therefore, the utility, with Pasco County as receiver, was regulated by the Commission until June 1996, when Section 367.022, Florida Statutes, became self-executing.

Blanton Lake with Pasco County as receiver, did not timely remit regulatory assessment fees for the January 1995 to June 1996 time period in accordance with Section 367.145, Florida Statutes. After the nonpayment of regulatory assessment fees was brought to our attention, we contacted Pasco County about the outstanding regulatory assessment fees. By letter dated May 6, 1996, Pasco County stated its position that, as receiver for Blanton Lake, the County was not responsible for regulatory assessment fees. By letter dated June 9, 1997, Pasco County submitted a check in the amount of \$635.46 for outstanding regulatory assessment fees and requested a waiver of the penalty and interest.

As discussed previously, the Commission is required to set a regulatory assessment fee that each utility must pay once a year in conjunction with filing its annual financial report required by Commission rule. Section 367.145(1), Florida Statutes. Rule 25-30.120(1), Florida Administrative Code, requires each utility to pay a regulatory assessment fee based upon its gross operating revenue. Beginning January 1, 1991, each utility is required to pay a fee in the amount of four and one-half percent for the entire year. Pursuant to Section 350.113(4), Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-30.120(5)(a), Florida Administrative Code, a penalty shall be assessed against any utility that fails to pay its regulatory assessment fee by March 31.

The law as indicated above is clear that penalty and interest must be assessed to delinquent regulatory assessment fees. The law is also clear that we lack the statutory authority to grant a waiver of the requirement to pay penalty and interest. See, Order No. PSC-96-0834-FOF-WS, issued July 1, 1996, in Docket No. 960540-WS, wherein the above provisions were construed to bar waiver of regulatory assessment fees, penalty, and interest, but not to preclude a reasonable payment schedule to redress a utility's delinguency. See also, Order No. 24290, issued March 26, 1991 in Docket No. 900961-SU, wherein we stated that we had no statutory authority to grant a waiver, and that Section 350.113(5), Florida Statutes, permitted a fee deadline to be extended 30 days for good cause shown. Also see, Order No. PSC-97-0767-FOF-FU, issued June 30, 1997 in Docket No. 970360-GU, June 30, 1997, wherein the lack of authority to waive the statutory penalty and interest assessments on late regulatory assessment fee payments was discussed:

> It is the function of the legislature and not the courts or administrative agencies to change the law. 1 Fla. Jur. 2d, Administrative Law, Section 32. The grant of a waiver of the regulatory assessment fee penalty statute, in the absence of any waiver provisions, express or implied, contained in the statute, would be a modification of the statute. This is a function reserved solely for the legislature. basis for In addition, there is no interpretation of Section 350.113(4), F.S. The statute is clear and unambiguous on its If the terms and provisions of a face. statute are plain, there is no room for Southeastern administrative interpretation. Utilities Service Co. v. Redding, 131 So. 2d 1 (Fla. 1950).

We believe that a ruling on Pasco County's request is unnecessary. The utility itself is liable for the outstanding penalty and interest. The utility accumulated losses during the County's tenure and therefore lacked the funds to pay. Pasco County could not pay the penalty and interest out of the utility's funds and did not want to nor was required to use its own funds to pay the outstanding penalty and interest. Pasco County now operates the utility, however there are no excess funds within the utility to pay the fines. A ruling on the request for waiver would

ultimately only result in the initiation of a show cause proceeding for the \$331.80 amount. Such action is not cost effective and collection of that amount is highly unlikely. In consideration of the foregoing, we find it appropriate to refer the unpaid penalty and interest associated with January 1995 to June 1996 regulatory assessment fees to the State of Florida Office of the Comptroller for permission to write off the account as uncollectible. This portion of our Order is consistent with Order No. PSC-98-1100-FOF-WS, issued August 17, 1998, in Dockets Nos. 900025-WS and 930944-WS; Order No. PSC-98-0663-FOF-WS, issued May 14, 1998, in Docket No. 980342-WS; and Order No. PSC-98-0906-FOF-SU, issued July 7, 1998, in Docket No. 980258-SU.

As discussed previously in this Order, Pasco County, as courtappointed receiver of Blanton Lake, was regulated by the Commission until June 1996, when Section 367.022, Florida Statutes, became self-executing. Whereas Blanton Lake, with Pasco County as courtappointed receiver, was exempt from Commission regulation since June 1996, no regulatory assessment fees shall be assessed or owed from that period onward.

CLOSING DOCKETS

Upon referral of the 1992 and 1993 regulatory assessment fees and associated penalty and interest, and the penalty and interest associated with 1995 through June 1996 regulatory assessment fees to the Comptroller's Office, no further action will be required, and this docket shall be closed.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the unpaid 1992 and 1993 regulatory assessment fees and associated penalty and interest, and the penalty and interest associated with 1995 through June 1996 regulatory assessment fees shall be referred to the State of Florida Office of the Comptroller for permission to write off the account as uncollectible. It is further

ORDERED that no ruling on Pasco County's request for waiver of penalty and interest is necessary. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall be closed upon referral of the regulatory assessment fees penalty and interest.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 7th Day of December 1998.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director Division of Records and Reporting

By: tay le Kay Flynn, Chief

Bureau of Records

(SEAL)

JSB

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water and/or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate

1

Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.