1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 In the Matter of 4 : DOCKET NO. 950387-8U Application for Increased : 5 Wastewater Rates by Florida Cities Water 6 Company - North Ft. Myers : Division in Lee County. 7 8 9 **VOLUME 7** 10 Pages 806 through 923 11 **PROCEEDINGS:** HEARING 12 13 **BEFORE:** CHAIRMAN JULIA L. JOHNSON COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON 14 COMMISSIONER JOE GARCIA 15 DATE: Tuesday, December 8, 1998 16 \mathbb{C}^{\square} TIME: Commenced at 10:10 a.m. 17 PLACE: Harborside Convention Center 18 Room C1 1375 Monroe Street 19 Fort Myers, Florida **REPORTED BY:** 20 JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR Chief, Bureau of Reporting 21 22 DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE 86 23 $\overline{\sim}$ DEC 24 4 4 0 2 25

806

1999-1666

1	APPEARANCES:
2	B. KENNETH GATLIN, Ruden, McClosky, Smith,
3	Schuster & Russell, P.A. 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite
4	815, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf
5	of Florida Cities Water Company, North Fort Myers.
6	HAROLD NCLEAN, Associate Public Counsel,
7	Office of Public Counsel, 111 West Madison Street,
8	Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing
9	on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.
10	RALPH R. JAEGER, Florida Public Service
11	Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard
12	Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0862,
13	appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.
14	
15	ALSO PRESENT:
16	BOB CROUCH and MARSHALL WILLIS, FPSC Division of Water
17	and Wastewater
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX		
2	witnesses - volume 7		
3	NAKE		PAGE NO.
4			
5	HARRY GREEN		
5	Direct Statement		817
6			
	LUCILLE EBIE		
7	Direct Statement		831
8			001
1	HELEN BRILLHART		
9			0.25
10	Direct Statement		835
10	CHERYL WALLA		
11			
	Direct Statement		845
12	Examination By Mr. Gatlin		853
13			
13	MICHAEL ACOSTA		,
14			
	Direct Examination By Mr. Gatlin		869
15	Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted		872
16	Cross Examination By Mr. McLean Cross Examination By Mr. Jaeger		884 907
10	Redirect Examination By Mr. Gatlin		916
17	Cross Examination continued		
	By Mr. Jaeger		917
18	Redirect Examination continued		
19	By Mr. Gatlin		919
19			
20			
ł	EXHIBITS - VOLUME 7		
21		75	
22	NUMBER	ID.	ADMTD.
~~			
23			
	33 MA-1, MA-2, MA-3 & MA-4	871	922
24	(Composite Exhibit)		
25	34 Copy of Rule 62-600.405	894	922
I			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Hearing convened at 10:10 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: My name is Julia Johnson,
4	and I did want to make one preliminary announcement.
5	Ms. Crump just came forward, that was the lady that
6	greeted you as you came into the room. I know that
7	quite a few individuals here were concerned about
8	having enough change for the meters. We did secure
9	that change. And if you need that, she will be out
10	front. So if you put a quarter or two in and you're
11	worried about the time running out, we can make change
12	for those that might need it.
13	It's a little after 10:00 so I want to go
14	ahead and have counsel read the notice this morning
15	and we'll start our customer hearing.
16	MR. JAEGER: Notice. In accordance with the
17	holding in Florida Cities Water Company v. State, a
18	hearing on the First District Court of Appeals
19	reversal and remand of the Commission's Order No.
20	PSC-96-1133-FOF-SU has been scheduled for this time
21	and place. And the notice was issued November 17,
22	1998.
23	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We'll take appearances.
24	MR. GATLIN: I'm B. Kenneth Gatlin is
25	that on?
l	

ŀ	
1	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes.
2	MR. GATLIN: Of the law firm of Ruden,
3	McClosky, Smith, Schuster and Russell, 215 South
4	Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing
5	on behalf of Florida Cities Water Company.
6	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I know that people were
7	trying to determine who was speaking. It's the
8	gentlemen seated directly in front of the
9	Commissioners here, Mr. Gatlin, representing the
10	Company.
11	MR. McLEAN: Madam Chairman, my name is
12	Harold McLean. I'm a member of the Office of Florida
13	Public Counsel. That's Mr. Jack Shreve's office. Our
14	address is 111 West Madison Street, Tallahassee,
15	Florida 32399. I appear on behalf of the Citizens of
16	the State of Florida.
17	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. McLean.
18	And the two ladies that had asked about Public
19	Counsel, this gentleman here represents Public
20	Counsel, and he can assist you at the appropriate
21	time.
22	MR. JAEGER: I'm Ralph R. Jaeger, Division
23	of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
24	Tallahassee, Florida 32399, appearing on behalf of
25	Commission Staff.

1 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: My name is Julia Johnson. 2 I'm the Chairman of the Florida Public Service 3 Commission, and I'll be chairing today's customer 4 hearings and also the technical hearings that will 5 take place today and tomorrow, if necessary. Seated 6 to my right is Commissioner Terry Deason, and to my 7 left is Commissioner Joe Garcia.

8 We will be hearing from you your concerns, 9 your statements. We will also, at the appropriate 10 time, hear from the technical witnesses in the 11 technical portion of the hearing.

12 I had a couple of customers ask after the 13 public testimony that we take, could they stay and 14 listen in to the technical portions. Please feel free to do that. We are here certainly to hear from you. 15 And I know that oftentimes listening to the technical 16 portions of the hearing is an educational process, and 17 you can ask questions, I know, on breaks to the Public 18 Counsel representative, Mr. McLean, sitting there. 19 And we have also several members of the Staff of the 20 Public Service Commission -- if the members of Staff 21 could just stand. We have engineers, we have -- stand 22 briefly -- we have several of our engineers, 23 accounting representatives. So to the extent that 24 there's a technical question that we can't entertain 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

you or you don't want to come forward and ask, you can
 always feel free to ask one of the members of the
 Staff committee -- or the Staff members that are
 present today.

5 Let me just briefly -- and I did get a 6 couple of questions from individuals about our Special 7 Report. In fact, one gentlemen asked me to go into 8 some detail with respect to why we're here today.

9 Before I do that, let me make one other 10 preliminary announcement. The proceeding, both the 11 customer hearing and the technical hearings, they are 12 being transmitted over the Internet. So that there 13 are those all across the state, if they have the 14 appropriate information on their computers, they can, 15 indeed, listen in to this proceeding.

Also, if you go home tonight or tomorrow and 16 want to listen to what was actually discussed, it is 17 also available -- it's cataloged and it's available. 18 If you have the appropriate equipment on your 19 computer, you can access this hearing through that 20 process. But I did want those to know that were 21 intending to testify, that yes, it will be transmit 22 over the Internet. 23

Let me give you a little bit of background about the case.

The Florida Cities Water Company is a water 1 and wastewater company operating in north and south 2 Fort Myers. They were last granted an increase in 3 wastewater rates in a full rate case July 1, 1992. 4 Price index increases, which allow recovery of certain 5 increased expenses, was granted on July 1996. The 6 current rate case was processed under the proposed 7 agency action proceeding of the Commission. Under 8 that process the case is not set for formal Commission 9 hearing unless a timely protest of the Commission's 10 proposed action is filed. 11

The utility's proposed rate increases were designed to generate \$2,591,000 in annual operating expenses, reflecting a 22.73% increase. The Company maintained this increase was necessary in order to obtain reasonable and -- a fair and reasonable rate of return of 9.08%.

18 The Commission issued a Proposed Agency
19 Order in 1992. That final Order was appealed by
20 customers of the utility and the customers requested a
21 formal hearing.

The hearing was granted and -- I'm sorry, the request was granted on January 10th, 1996, and the rates went into effect subject to refund. Those rates, however, do still remain in effect.

Hearings were held July 24th and 25th, with customer testimony being taken on that morning and in the evening of July 24th, 1996. Subsequent to the hearing, the Commission issued a final Order on September 10th, 1996. However, that Order was appealed.

7 On appeal the First District Court of Appeals reversed the Commission on two issues 8 regarding to the capacity of wastewater treatment 9 plant and the calculation of used and useful. For the 10 calculation of used and useful, the First DCA gave the 11 Commission the discretion to reopen the record and 12 The Commission has take additional evidence. 13 exercised that Commission (sic) and scheduled the 14 15 hearing for today and tomorrow. The hearing will allow you to provide us, again, with additional public 16 17 comment. And we will take your testimony today, this 18 afternoon, and on the 9th we will have additional technical testimony. 19

The Staff will make a recommendation on February 18th, 1999, and the Commission will vote on March 2nd, 1999. All of the information is provided in the blue "Special Report" that, I believe, you all received from Ms. Crump. However, I did have at least one or two people ask that I walk through that again.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 If you have any questions on procedure and how we got 2 to where we got to today -- because I understand it is 3 a very complicated process -- we're here to try to 4 entertain any questions you might have. The Company 5 is here. Public Counsel is here, and as I stated, the 6 professional Staff members are here.

Now, for those of you that have signed up to testify, we do ask that you -- at the appropriate time, which will be in a few moments -- I'll ask you to stand and swear you in. The reason we do that is so your testimony can become a part of the official record upon which we rely when we have our final deliberations and our final decision making.

Joy is our court reporter. She'll be seated 14 here to my right and she'll be transcribing everything 15 that's said. As you come forward, I'd ask that you 16 sit here in the seat to my right from Joy, state your 17 name and address for the record, and then begin your 18 statements or your testimony. After you've finished, 19 if you could sit for just a moment, I'll ask if any of 20 the Commissioners or the Staff members or the Company 21 22 members, if they have any questions we'll ask you 23 those questions. If you feel like answering the questions, please do. If you don't, you don't have to 24 worry about it and that will be just fine. 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I had a person ask me, well, they don't want 1 to be grilled and they don't want to go through a 2 cross examination process. We're really here to hear 3 That's the main purpose. 4 from you. So feel comfortable in coming forward and we'll try to 5 accommodate you in every way that we can. 6 7 With that, other than swearing in the witnesses, are there any other preliminary matters? 8 9 MR. JAEGER: The only preliminary matter is Staff filed its Request of Staff to Strike a Portion 10 11 of Mr. Acosta's Remand Testimony. We could either take that up now or after the customer testimony 12 before the technical --13 14 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We'll take that up after the customers testify at the beginning of the 15 technical portion. 16 17 MR. JAEGER: Okay. That would be fine. 18 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Anything else? That's all I have. 19 MR. JAEGER: 20 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: With that, for those that 21 would like to testify in the customer portion of this hearing, if you could stand and raise your right hand. 22 23 (Witnesses collectively sworn.) CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. You may all 24 25 be seated.

1	
1	Public Counsel will be calling the names of
2	the citizens that would like to provide us with
3	comment. And with that, Public Counsel. Could you
4	call the first customer.
5	MR. McLEAN: Thank you, Madam Chairman. The
6	Citizens call Mr. Harry Green.
7	
8	HARRY GREEN
9	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
10	the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
11	testified as follows:
12	DIRECT STATEMENT
13	WITNESS GREEN: Good morning.
14	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Good morning.
15	WITNESS GREEN: I have typed up a few copies
16	of my comments. If you want me to hand them out now
17	or later?
18	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We'll take care of that.
19	WITNESS GREEN: My name is Harry Green. I
20	live at 4443 North Pacific Circle in North Fort Myers
21	and I'm a customer of Florida Cities Water Company,
22	Waterway Estates wastewater treatment plant
23	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Green, you might
24	want to get that mike a little bit closer.
25	WITNESS GREEN: Is that better?
ļ	

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That's great.

1

WITNESS GREEN: I'll read from my prepared statement. First paragraph says the attached table was prepared to determine the amount of reuse water used by Lochmoor Golf Club during the 1997-98 time period and to see what flows went through Waterway Estates wastewater treatment plant during that time period.

9 Secondly, before reviewing the data in the attached table I must note that as of yesterday I was 10 informed by Mr. Robert Dick of the Florida City Water 11 Company that the reuse water shown in their monthly 12 operating reports was not requested by the Lochmoor 13 Country Club, but was used by Florida City Water 14 Company to keep their equipment checked and 15 functional. Therefore, I request that the reuse 16 17 information shown on the attached table be disregarded. 18

19 Third, the flow data were obtained from 20 monthly operating reports that the Company submits to 21 the Florida DEP. The purpose of compiling this data 22 was to see what differences would arise in the 23 determination of used and useful ratios, and to also 24 determine if I&I was a significant problem with this 25 plant.

I should mention as a footnote I'm a
 part-time employee of the Florida DEP. I'm an OPS
 person and I do data entry for the Wastewater Division
 in the Southwest District.

My fourth paragraph is headed "Used and 5 Useful Ratios." A. The original method used by the 6 7 Public Service Commission for determining this ratio was to divide the max month daily average flow by the 8 plant design capacity. This last term, the plant 9 design capacity, is really the permit capacity, which 10 in this case is 1.25 million gallons a day annual 11 12 average daily flow.

The plant design capacity is much greater than this so as to handle unusual high inflow conditions. Therefore, the value of 1.2 MGD is debatable, as indicated by the fact that at one time values of 1.5 MGD and then 1.3 MGD were used.

Since this number is the denominator of the used and useful ratio, the larger values will reduce the result. And it was to Florida Cities Water Company's benefit to keep this value as small as possible.

B. Apparently the Public Service Commission decided to change the numerator of the ratio from the max month daily average flow to the annual average

daily flow. This reduces the numerator and,
 therefore, the resultant ratio, and it is this change
 that Florida Cities Water Company protested to the
 Court of Appeals.

And C. The attached table shows for 1997, 5 6 if you calculate by the old method, the used and 7 useful comes out to be 93.4%. The new method is only 73.4%, a difference of approximately 21.5%. And for 8 1998, for the time through October, by the old method 9 the ratio would be 92.2%, and by the old method, 10 75.8%. A difference of 18.2%. Neither of these 11 results obviously equals the 60% that I recall the 12 Public Service Commission ratio resulted in. 13 The 14 reason for the difference between my results and those of the Public Service Commission is unknown to me as 15 16 these calculations have not been released to the public, even though I'm fairly certain that they would 17 18 be made available if requested.

19 It can also be argued that a more meaningful 20 figure for the numerator might be the max three-month 21 daily average flow. However, from the average 22 residence position, none of these parameters are very 23 meaningful and are, to say the least, completely 24 unknown, and at the best, are very confusing.

25

Lastly, I would like to point out that when

the max daily flow from Column 5 on the attached table 1 is compared with the average monthly flow, Column 3 in 2 the attached table, it is apparent that this plant 3 has, or had, a serious I&I condition. 4 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: When you compare which 5 6 two, I'm sorry? WITNESS GREEN: Column 3 and Column 5 on the 7 attached table. Column 3 being the monthly average 8 daily flow, and Column 5 is the max daily flow for 9 that particular month. 10 **COMMISSIONER GARCIA:** Got you. Thank you. 11 WITNESS GREEN: You're welcome. I'm having 12 trouble reading because I lost a lens and I'm reading 13 with one eye. 14 This fact, the I&I problem, also influences 15 the used and useful ratio, especially when the max 16 monthly flow is used in the numerator. 17 In conclusion, I'd like to thank the Public 18 Service Commission for their deliberations and 19 patience. But I'd also like to take this opportunity 20 to criticize the procedure used in these hearings, in 21 that I think it is very unfair to the residents to 22 have hearings on subjects that are very complicated 23 24 and which the concerned citizens are not informed of 25 Public Service Commission Staff considerations that

lead to certain decisions before the hearings are 1 In other words, it would be more reasonable to 2 held. me to have the Staff present their findings to the 3 public before the public is asked to comment on these 4 5 findings. Thank you. I have no other comments. 6 7 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Green. WITNESS GREEN: You're welcome. 8 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any questions for 9 Mr. Green? 10 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Green, I know 11 you've participated in most of the hearings that we 12 have -- I'm over here. 13 WITNESS GREEN: Oh, yes, sir. 14 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I know you 15 participated in these -- it just -- and only 16 discussing the issue you brought up at the end, it's 17 sort of very difficult for us, because we've sort of 18 got to put on the case and listen to it -- but it's 19 very tough for us to sort of do both things. I mean, 20 we're sort of wearing two hats here. But clearly a 21 lot of these issues are very complex. So it's tough 22 for me to get a handle on them sometimes, so it's very 23 tough -- and this is all I do -- but it's sort of 24 25 tough to educate the public on some of these issues

and at the same time try to listen to the full case
 and try to make the issue before it's voted on. So I
 mean while your suggestion is good, it's just
 difficult to carry that out.

5 WITNESS GREEN: I don't understand why it 6 wouldn't be possible to have the Staff testimony and 7 the Company's testimony before the public citizens' 8 input. But that's for you folks to decide. That's my 9 own opinion.

10 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Jaeger, how soon 11 is the testimony available for the public?

12 MR. JAEGER: I think the problem is we 13 usually have the Utility go first and then 14 intervenors, and Staff comes in a week or two weeks 15 later. So I think that's his problem.

The intervenors file their testimony prior 16 to Staff the way it's set up now. We always file 17 testimony a couple of weeks before the prehearing 18 conference, at least. And then that's usually at 19 least three weeks before the hearing. 20 So it is getting tight on the customers. And by the time they 21 get it, it may be of little use except for standing up 22 for the customer to testify. 23

24WITNESS GREEN: I did request a copy of the25prehearing conference, I think it was. In effect it

1 says nothing about the issue. Now, I don't know where 2 the specifics of the issues are covered, but they 3 weren't in the prehearing conference report that I 4 received from Mr. McLean's office.

5 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What do you mean? The prehearing -- you mean the Prehearing Order? All that 6 7 does, I guess, is get everyone on the same page. And usually -- in this case I was the Prehearing Officer 8 so -- what it basically does is the Company, Staff and 9 10 Mr. McLean's office comes before us and we sort of narrow down the issues that are going to be considered 11 and that's what we leave. Basically the Order isn't a 12 13 really thorough discussion of anything. It's simply laying out the issues that will be sort of debated in 14 15 the hearing today.

16 WITNESS GREEN: And that's exactly it. It 17 doesn't tell the customer or resident anything about 18 the --

19 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: The Company, Public
20 Counsel's office, the Staff sometimes reserves
21 judgment until the hearing. But the Staff and -- I'm
22 sorry, Public Counsel's office and the Company lays
23 out their position on each of the issues.

24 **WITNESS GREEN:** Not in here, no, sir. The 25 individuals concerned are listed and their

1 || backgrounds.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What are you holding?
WITNESS GREEN: Prehearing conference.
Proceedings. November 18th.

5 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Maybe they gave you a 6 transcript as opposed to what we did -- can I see 7 that? Stay right there. (Commissioner Garcia picks 8 up document.)

Yeah, this doesn't say a thing.

10

9

WITNESS GREEN: Right.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: In fact, what you 11 should have requested -- and I'm sorry -- in fact, it 12 probably -- seeing that I was the hearing officer, it 13 just probably sounds like somebody smugly going 14 through the issue very quickly and trying to get 15 through it. And that's precisely what we're trying to 16 do at the prehearing conference. What you should have 17 gotten, which is available --18

MR. JAEGER: The Prehearing Order was issued
November 24th. That's when it came out.

21 **COMMISSIONER GARCIA:** That's what you should 22 have gotten. And, in fact, I'll give you my copy so 23 you get an idea of what that is. But basically 24 it's -- these documents are a product of that 25 transcript. If you read them together you'll find out

what occurred there, but it doesn't give you any 1 2 insight. What you should have had was the Prehearing 3 Order. Now, that won't give you Staff position on 4 every issue. There are some issues that we take a 5 preliminary position on. But as a general rule, our 6 Staff has to reserve judgment as we do until -- they 7 don't -- they may have a preliminary position, but they reserve judgment until after the hearing is 8 completed. Because then we'd be prejudging something. 9 But it does give you a very good idea of where 10 Mr. McLean's office is, or the Public Counsel's office 11 is, and it certainly gives you very determined and 12 directed position on where the Company is. Let me 13 give you a copy of that so -- it may be helpful as we 14 go through -- (Commissioner Garcia hands copy to 15 witness.) 16

WITNESS GREEN: Thank you, sir. 17 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Green, I appreciate 18 all the work and time that you've put into preparing 19 your documents. Obviously, you're at a level of 20 expertise that oftentimes customers aren't as 21 knowledgeable as you, and information from a public 22 witness, as yourself, is very helpful. And you're 23 pretty familiar with how this process works. Public 24 Counsel in this instance -- do we call you Assistant 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 Public Counsel?

2 MR. MCLEAN: I think I've made it up there. 3 I'm not sure.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. McLean is, of course, 4 the customer attorney. And I think oftentimes he 5 wishes that we got stuff to him sooner too. But we do 6 the best we can given the statutory constraints and 7 some of timing constraints that we have. And we will 8 endeavor to the extent that we can, make this process 9 more user friendly; try to do that. I don't know if 10 there's anything else we can do to accommodate getting 11 you information quicker. Maybe working with Staff and 12 helping us understand your dilemma might help us a 13 14 bit.

WITNESS GREEN: Thank you, Ms. Johnson. I
think reversing the order of today's and tomorrow's
session would have been very helpful.

18 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Like letting the 19 technical folks go first?

WITNESS GREEN: Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I think that's something
we can consider. What happens oftentimes is that -and I guess we could accommodate that too -oftentimes customers want to go first. They want to
have their statements on the record. And then if they

want to stay around, they stay around. But if they 1 2 don't, they can leave. In fact, maybe that's 3 something that can be accommodated. Because if we give customers a time certain, if they don't want to 4 5 come early they don't have to. WITNESS GREEN: Or maybe a combination. 6 7 Like, have customers' comments and testimony, and then the technical, and then a follow-up with it. Any 8 other customer --9 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Now, we are doing that 10 tonight. 11 WITNESS GREEN: No. But you're not going to 12 have anything after the technical discussion from the 13 14 customers. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: This morning we're having 15 the customer testimony. Then we're going to bring 16 forth the technical witnesses and this evening we're 17 going to have the customers participate again. 18 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: There's a good 19 chance -- the difficult part is most of the people 20 participating are making money by the hour, we sort 21 of -- it's going and gets going. But the truth is, I 22 think we only have one witness we can't take up 23 tonight that we're going to take up tomorrow. That's 24 only a witness from Public Counsel's office, if I'm 25

1 not mistaken.

2	So if you sit through this today and can
3	remain conscious, which is it's a two-parter if
4	you can do that, you will have pretty much the entire
5	Company position on this issue. And that will give
6	you the opportunity for tonight to comment on the
7	record that's before us. But it's the Chairman is
8	absolutely right, it's a question of time certain. We
9	wish we could say we'll do this at this time. But
10	sometimes Staff or Mr. McLean has a point he wants to
11	make, and the witness doesn't want to get to that
12	point so it takes him quite a while to get there. And
13	likewise, when the Company is deposing someone else,
14	we've got to give them the freedom to develop their
15	case, that generally doesn't have a time certain.
16	WITNESS GREEN: Of course, hearing
17	Mr. McLean's technical, I imagine, testimony against
18	the Company's position would be very important to the
19	residents and customers.
20	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Uh-huh.
21	WITNESS GREEN: And yet we will not have an
22	opportunity tomorrow to comment on that.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Green right
24	here. (Indicating direction of voice.)
25	One of the procedures we follow at the
l	l

Commission is we require the expert witnesses to
 prefile their testimony. It's in question-and-answer
 format. You've probably seen that before.

WITNESS GREEN: No.

4

5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: We require that to be 6 prefiled. So that is information that is available. 7 So if an informal customer, such as yourself, or any customer that wishes to become informed, if they can 8 inquire of our Staff when the testimony is going to be 9 10 filed, you actually can hear -- I mean you can read 11 the questions and answers of the prefiled testimony. Now, obviously the cross examination that takes place 12 later, that can only be done here in a live hearing 13 and you have to be physically present to hear that. 14 But you can hear -- read the direct testimony before 15 the hearing ever begins. That may be of assistance. 16 You may want to keep that for future --17

18 WITNESS GREEN: Yes. I'll have to ask for 19 prefiled.

20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Prefiled testimony.
21 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Green.
22 WITNESS GREEN: Thank you.
23 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me ask you just

24 for functional purposes -- I don't use it for maybe --25 did you get on the Internet to look at some of our

stuff or no? 1 2 WITNESS GREEN: Yes, sir. 3 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Is it easily accessible to you? Did you find it easy to sort of 4 access the information on this case or there was some 5 trick to it? 6 7 WITNESS GREEN: No. It's easily accessible. It's just so verbose and takes forever to download it 8 9 on my computer. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I agree. 10 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Green. 11 WITNESS GREEN: Thank you. 12 MR. McLEAN: Lucille Ebie. 13 14 LUCILLE EBIE 15 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 16 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 17 testified as follows: 18 DIRECT STATEMENT 19 WITNESS EBIE: Good morning. I am 20 Ms. Lucille Ebie from 5965 Sonnet Court, North 21 Fort Myers, Florida 33903, zip code. 22 I'll tell you what I have to ask you this 23 24 morning. I want to know if you can answer some 25 questions.

1 First is what is going with Florida Cities Water that they are hounding us for more money about 2 3 every six months? I remember one time they asked for a raise and they got 134% out of 170 they were asking 4 for. And to me I think that's a very large amount for 5 anybody to get. Who gets wages at that kind of 6 7 percentage? Nobody. If they buy a company, they should be able to take care of it. If they cannot 8 have enough knowledge to make the money they make 9 reach, maybe it's our best interest if we try another 10 company for our water service -- for our water needs. 11 Because, it boils down to a lot of people, whether 12 they have a bunch of children or they have used a lot 13 of water, they can not afford these bills. Just heard 14 15 of a lady that's renting and she said she's got children and her water bill is atrocious. 16 Well, the old people that are on limited 17 income like I am, that is more than we need to pay. 18 Since we're paying more than anybody in Florida that I 19 know of. And you take -- the water used to be fairly 20 good, but now it's changed quite a bit. I started 21 feeling ill. I thought something is wrong because the 22 water didn't even smell as good so I started buying 23 bottled water and I felt better right away. So it 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

makes a difference if you are getting good water or

25

1 not.

2	Also one time I had a problem that they
3	had my land was disappearing you probably heard
4	this story before but they wouldn't do anything
5	about it. I called them. Because the neighbor man
6	told me. The sewer line went right between me and the
7	other property right beside him. And the land was
8	going down, down. I filled in, filled in and it just
9	kept disappearing. When I called them, they ignored
10	it until we had one those meetings. I got to the
11	meeting and by that time they said, "Oh, we'll send
12	somebody out to check on it."
13	It took a while, but they finally got there.

Within with a year, approximately a year, they finally did do a little something. They promised me extra dirt for the dirt I lost and all of that. They brought one wheelbarrow full, I'll have you know, and a couple of pieces of sod to put on top. That's how they compensated.

For the money we're paying them and they can't even do a job proper? I don't think much of a company like that. And if we're running a company, we have to put in books what we're making, what we're using for expenses and what we need for capital gains. Do they do that? If they did that, they should have

plenty of money that they could take and pay for these 1 2 improvements, whatever they are always wanting to do. 3 They have background -- they are run by Avatar, bond 4 company, stock company, whatever you want to call it. 5 Okay. If they need help, why don't they get them to 6 back it up. But I think in the best of us people, 7 that we start looking for another water company and 8 see what we can find out or what company we can get on 9 to that's already in the area. Because the more you 10 give them, the more they want. And to me, I think 11 there comes a time it's got to stop.

12 You take, when we're paying that much out in 13 water, we try to sell our properties, what's going to happen? I know what's going to happen. Nobody will 14 buy it and we're going to be stuck giving away the 15 16 property for a lot less than it's worth. So we have got that to consider about too. Because who is going 17 to move here when it's the highest paid water bills in 18 Florida? It's not going to happen, I'm sorry. Thank 19 20 you.

21 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much. Any 22 questions?

23 WITNESS BBIE: Okay. Thank you very much.
24 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you for your
25 testimony.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	MR. McLEAN: Citizens call Helen Brillhart.
2	
3	HELEN BRILLHART
4	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
5	the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
6	testified as follows:
7	DIRECT STATEMENT
8	WITNESS BRILLHART: Good morning. My name
9	is Helen Brillhart. I live at 942 Jolly Road in North
10	Fort Myers.
11	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Ma'am, could you
12	repeat your last name? I'm over here. It's these
13	mikes are really bad. If you could just repeat your
14	name. Speak right into the mike. I didn't catch your
15	name.
16	WITNESS BRILLHART: My name is Helen
17	Brillhart and I live at 942 Jolly Road, North Fort
18	Myers, and I am a Florida Cities Water customer.
19	Now, I spoke before at this hearing and,
20	again, the previous testimony, there's some valid
21	points that were made.
22	But the first question that I have for the
23	Public Service Commission is the request that Florida
24	Cities Water had for their increase was turned down by
25	the Public Service Commission, yet Florida Cities

Water went before a Court of Appeals and got that 1 2 decision overturned. How does that happen? How can 3 they -- how can the Court of Appeals -- after the Public Service Commission, who are the representatives 4 5 for the state, for the people -- and they are hearing testimony from both Florida Cities Water and from the 6 customers, and I know the last time that we had it, 7 8 there was testimony, there were statements given, yet a Court of Appeals was able to overturn that decision 9 so that this is why this is continuing. Can I ask why 10 11 and how this happens?

12 **COMMISSIONER GARCIA:** I'll give you the 13 philosophical answer and then I'll let Mr. Jaeger 14 handle the hard legal question. That's the way it 15 works. We're not the last authority.

The Company felt that it didn't get a fair 16 hearing on what it believed was correct, and felt our 17 process was not correct. They have a right to appeal 18 it. Just like you have a right to sort of appeal 19 anything else that comes -- that any agency of the 20 government determines in your life, just like those 21 who participate. But Mr. Jaeger will answer the more 22 technical aspect of it, but that's a right that they 23 have. Maybe I wish they didn't have it, but I don't 24 think we'd live in a democracy if we didn't. They can 25

1 go to the courts if they feel they didn't get a fair 2 treatment by this Commission on an issue.

WITNESS BRILLHART: I'm sorry, I think the people of the North Fort Myers water district that are being served by Florida Cities Water are the people that are getting the raw deal in this instance, and have been for years. Now, Avatar Industries, which is it one -- if you follow the paper trail up -- the owners of Florida Cities Water.

Now, over the past hearings that have taken 10 place, and the people of North Fort Myers, owe Cheryl 11 Walla a good deal of gratitude for all her efforts and 12 13 all her research. They have had a lot of violations 14 that have taken place. They have not fulfilled 15 when -- they did get the increases, for what they were wanting to use those increases for, they did not; they 16 17 have charged the people. They have overcharged the people and overcharged the people in what they have 18 19 done. And I have gotten some rate comparisons from 20 the different towns. But to top it off, Avatar Industries is building a development in southwest Cape 21 Coral, not in their own water district. They are 22 taking it and putting it in another area. 23 24 Here they are socking it to us, basically,

25 and yet they are building another development, and

1 advertising it on buses and yet not even putting it in 2 their own water district -- that makes you wonder why 3 they don't want to build something in their own water 4 district.

5 But just as a point of comparison, Florida 6 Cities Water has the South Fort Myers branch and we in 7 the North Fort Myers branch. And basically we're 8 talking about the wastewater rates. This is basically 9 what this is about.

10 Now, we in North Fort Myers have a meter base facility charge. Now, there is no meter. 11 This 12 is just a quote/unquote "figure" as a base rate. So 13 in other words, if you use nothing, if you use no water whatsoever, you're going to pay a sewer 14 facility, base facility charge of \$28.56. In South 15 Fort Myers, that rate -- now mind you, this is still 16 Florida Cities Water -- same meter size for the water 17 and everything, they pay \$14.56. Now, wait a minute. 18 Something's not right here. This is the same company 19 servicing both. We don't have the -- I don't think we 20 even have the quality of water that probably the 21 people in South Fort Myers have. Then the rate per 22 gallonage charged, based on the water usage was how 23 they determine what they are going to charge us for 24 the sewer rate -- in the North Fort Myers area, per 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

gallonage charged per thousand gallons, we pay \$5.15.
 In South Fort Myers they pay \$2.69. This is the same
 Company. Okay.

4 Now, just as a point of comparison, I called 5 other local utilities and Gulf Environmental, which serves the San Carlos area in Estero, which is south 6 7 of Fort Myers. Their base rate for their sewer charge is \$12.15, which is comparable to Florida Cities on 8 their south side, and their rate per thousand gallons, 9 10 up to a 12,000 maximum is \$3.32. Mind you, ours is \$5 11 and something.

Now, the city of Fort Myers, which is --12 encompasses the part on the other side of the bridge 13 before you get to South Fort Myers, they have a base 14 rate of \$5 for that same -- if they have the same 15 water size, and their water usage -- sewer usage up to 16 10,000 gallons is \$5 per 1,000, but they have a 17 maximum and they said it all depends on the meter, it 18 depends on the usage and it depends -- they had a lot 19 of variances. And I had asked them to send me the 20 information because they said they had a lot of 21 different -- they did not send it to me. And I was 22 disappointed because I did want some comparisons to 23 24 work with.

25

In the city of Sanibel, which is, you know,

an island -- mind you the effort that must go into 1 water and wastewater and treatment there is probably 2 more extensive than it is for us. But they have one 3 flat fee that they charge their residents, \$35 a 4 5 That's it. They said there is -- they didn't month. service the water, they just handle the sewer 6 7 treatment and everything, and that's their base rate 8 and they pay quarterly in the city of Sanibel.

9 North Fort Myers Utility, which is the other
10 utility in North Fort Myers that has sewer treatment
11 residential service, they have -- for all meter sizes,
12 their base rate is \$10.98, which, again, we're paying
13 almost \$30, and their gallonage charge is \$3.98 per
14 1,000 gallons up to ten thousand maximum.

So it's like -- ours stands out like a sore thumb. And for the service we are not getting, the quality of water we're not getting, the care they are not providing the citizens of North Fort Myers -- I mean one of the testimonies -- I don't know if the lady is here, she was a widow the last time -- used \$5 worth of water, yet had almost a \$60 bill.

Another point that I wanted to consider for the people is the fact that, you know, like you say fixed incomes, their increase that they got way back was more than enough to suffice for a long, long, long

1 time to come. And they have not proven or shown the 2 citizens of North Fort Myers any -- they have not 3 given us anything back in return.

4 What I want to see, and I want for every 5 resident of North Fort Myers, they have been giving us a 42-cent per bill rebate -- I'm not even sure for 6 what. I mean, that does nothing. When I'm looking at 7 an \$80 to \$90 bill every month for my water usage, and 8 I'm the family of four, and I don't feel -- I mean 9 when I lived on the other side of 41 where I had the 10 other utility, my water rate was less than half of 11 this. It's a sad, sad thing that this could happen. 12

First of all, the thing that they lost the 13 case, I don't know what they are presenting before the 14 Court of Appeals for the Public Service Commission. 15 Like was said, we don't know what they are providing 16 or what they are presenting because we can't counter. 17 We can't say -- we can't argue it because we don't 18 know what they are trying to tell you. They are going 19 to expand this, put these -- one of the things we got 20 a couple of months ago, they are going to be doing 21 galvanized piping and stuff. Excuse me, what did you 22 23 do with the profit you got way back then? Because they did not do what they were supposed to do, that's 24 not any problem. That's not their problem. We have 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

been paying that money month after month after month 1 to get quality water we're not getting. And that 2 3 water -- someone else had said that the tank that holds it, when it gets full they just dump it and 4 start over again because it's not -- the people are 5 trying to conserve their water. Well, okay. So what 6 7 do we do? We're paying for the water they are dumping all over the ground? I mean that's not right either. 8

9 I think what we need to see is the Public Service Commission stand up to the Court of Appeals. 10 You people are there for us. You people need to be 11 there for us. And you people need to say we want to 12 see that rate cut in half. They want to see our bills 13 half of what they are. And, in fact, I would like to 14 see a rebate for the last -- since '92, '93, whenever 15 they had that big rate increase -- that 134% or 16 whatever they got, they shouldn't have gotten. I mean 17 our rate, like I say, is double South Fort Myers. And 18 we have been paying it. We shouldn't be. 19

I would like to see a refund to the people -- maybe a year's worth with no charge. I don't know. I know it's ridiculous, but what they are asking for is ridiculous too. And how they can get away with going ahead and putting in -- filing for appeals for what reason I don't know. And like I say,

I have -- originally I'm from New York state. And our 1 Public Service Commission, when the people protested 2 about utility rates, the Public Service Commission 3 stepped in. They went and they investigated. 4 They 5 had investigators. They went in and they actually went and fired the top people of some of the 6 7 utilities. Because it's not workers. You can't blame the people going around reading the meters. We're 8 not. We're not faulting them. It's not their fault. 9 But the upper management of Florida Cities Water 10 obviously are pocketing it or -- they certainly are 11 not putting it back to the people. If they were, they 12 would not be asking for increases. The few residents 13 that we are in our area, to be paying the kind of 14 15 rates that we're paying is abhorrent. Absolutely. It's frustrating and it's not fair. 16

And I would like to see the Public Service 17 Commission fight for the people of this area because 18 we -- and we have been -- we have come out here in 19 full force many times and we've written letters. And 20 21 like I say, I don't have access to everything you people do. You know what they are doing. You know 22 23 what they are saying. You don't see what we see. You don't live in the area. You don't taste the water, 24 drink the water. Sometimes it's brown. Sometimes it 25

will even come out brown. Why? We don't know. 1 Sometimes the --2 3 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Are you getting brown water, ma'am? I'm sorry, I'm over here. Are you 4 getting brown water? Maybe we should have our Staff 5 qo and --6 WITNESS BRILLHART: Right now, no. 7 But I have had instances where you turn on the water and 8 it's definitely not clear, and there is -- there's 9 discoloration. I think what we need to do is show 10 them -- in other words, like I say, they have been 11 fined. They have been fined and fined more than once 12 for violations for not doing what they were supposed 13 to do. So I would like to see them forced into 14 cutting back our rate at least 50%. (Applause) 15 Absolutely. Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. Any 17 questions? 18 MR. MCLEAN: No, ma'am. 19 No questions. MR. JAEGER: 20 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you for your 21 testimony. 22 Thank you. 23 WITNESS BRILLHART: MR. MCLEAN: Citizens call Cheryl Walla. 24 25

1	CHERYL WALLA
2	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
3	the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
4	testified as follows:
5	DIRECT STATEMENT
6	WITNESS WALLA: I have some information that
7	I have copies of. Should I hand that out now? It's
8	something I'm going to be talking about.
9	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me see if I can
10	help you. (Commissioner hands out documents.)
11	WITNESS WALLA: My name is Cheryl Walla.
12	1750 Dockway Drive, North Fort Myers, 33903. I'm a
13	customer of Florida Cities Waters. I had highlighted
14	my copy so some of it is darkened, but that's the
15	important numbers, too.
16	I want to thank the Commissioners for coming
17	to Fort Myers today and the people appreciate that
18	you're here.
19	I must tell you I was pleasantly surprised
20	when I was reading Florida Cities Water Company's
21	witnesses' testimony, for instance, Mr. Acosta's and
22	Mr. Cummings'. Because the testimonies for this
23	hearing was called to make new evidence on the used
24	and useful issue. And, frankly, their evidence, or
25	lack thereof, new evidence, was nothing really that
l	1

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	wasn't already presented or known already.
2	Under the used and useful issue, "used"
3	meaning put to use regularly, consistently, and
4	"useful" meaning beneficial, serviceable and
5	functional, you cannot put their testimonies into the
6	equation. For example, their max month average daily
7	flow is not used regularly, therefore, cannot be used
8	in the numerator of the equation for used and useful
9	for the entire year.
10	I'm going to speak on Mr. Acosta's remand
11	testimony. Specifically regarding Page 2, Line 4. I
12	don't know if you want to go to that or you want me to
13	just go ahead.
14	Mr. Acosta uses the word "ignored" when
15	describing
16	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Hang on one second,
17	Ms. Walla. If you're going to go directly to his
18	testimony, let me have a chance to look at what you're
19	citing to. Is it in his direct you said?
20	WITNESS WALLA: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Page 2, Line 4?
22	WITNESS WALLA: Page 2, Line 4.
23	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm sorry. Okay.
24	WITNESS WALLA: I'm also going to be talking
25	about his remand and Mr. Cummings' regular testimony.
	I

1 Mr. Acosta uses the word "ignored" when describing the PSC determination of percent for the 2 3 numerator when, in fact, the average daily flow max month was used with the other 11 months' flows to 4 5 determine the average annual daily flow. It was not ignored. So to allude that the September '94 peak 6 7 flow was not used as a contributing factor in the numerator of used and useful simply is not a fact. 8 9 Also, Page 2, Line 14.

MR. GATLIN:Madam Chairman, may I inquire11of the Commission for a moment?

Ms. Walla is a party. She's been a party to this proceeding from the very beginning. And parties were supposed to file prepared testimony at the appropriate time. And I do not have copy of her prepared testimony, or of any of her testimony, and I think that is a violation of the rule.

18 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Gatlin, I didn't
19 see her as a party in the prehearing on this.

20 MR. GATLIN: She's a party, and has been a 21 party, since the beginning. I have no notice that she 22 has withdrawn as a party. I still serve documents on 23 her as a party.

24 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And you're objecting to 25 her testifying?

MR. GATLIN: Yes. I'd like to have a copy
of the testimony; like every other party has to do is
file testimony.

4 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Objection is overruled.
5 I'm going to allow her to complete her testimony.
6 WITNESS WALLA: Thank you. I've lost my

7 || place.

Okay. Also on Page 2, Line 14, the 8 9 inevitable peaks are also accounted for in the yearly average inflows that the plant experiences. 10 In fact, in the test year '94, nine out of the 12 months' 11 average flows were below the then rated capacity of 12 1 million gallons per day allowed. Whereas, only 13 three months were at capacity or just above. I'm 14 talking about the test year that's used for the used 15 and useful calculation. 16

Those three months being August, September and October, right in the middle of our rainy season, and also not strange to anyone who has studied the peak months of this test year, '94, is that eight out of the ten years used in the chart provided by Florida Cities shows that their peak month flows fall in our rainy season period.

Now, what conclusion can be drawn from that? Is it possibly inflated flows from I&I? Now, that

1 chart, I've given you a copy of that for your 2 information.

3 MR. GATLIN: Mr. Chairman, this witness is 4 giving opinion testimony, giving technical testimony, 5 and we are not prepared and cannot respond to it. This should have been filed as testimony so that we 6 7 could have filed responses if it could have been filed. She's been instructed time and time again to 8 abide by the rules that every other party has to abide 9 10 by. There's no way we can respond to this today. You know, this is a very serious violation of our rights 11 in this case. 12

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I'm going to allow her to 13 continue to provide her public testimony. She's 14 participating as a customer and she's testifying 15 before us. We allow other customers to prepare 16 II written comments. To the extent you need to have time 17 to respond, we have technical hearings today and 18 tomorrow, and your witnesses can be allowed to react 19 l to they would to any other public customer that 20 participates. 21 l

22 MR. GATLIN: I understand your ruling, Madam 23 Chairman. Of course, I'll abide by it, but I don't 24 think that's sufficient.

25

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. That's been noted

for the record. But I will allow her to testify and 1 2 to provide these documents. Ms. Walla. 3 WITNESS WALLA: Thank you. My next comments are on the rebuttal 4 5 testimony of Mr. Acosta. Specifically, Page 2, Line 10 through 12. 6 7 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: The mikes -- I'm over here -- the mikes are really bad. You need to speak 8 right into the mike. You said what page? 9 10 WITNESS WALLA: Okay. Page 2, Line 10 through 12. 11 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. Thank you. 12 WITNESS WALLA: Once again, the peak flows 13 are included in the averaging of the 12 month flows 14 and are recognized for ratemaking purposes. Then on 15 Page 6, Line 2 through 3, Mr. Acosta is talking about 16 I the Florida Department of Environmental Protection; 17 that they wouldn't allow the plant to be permitted at 18 any higher capacity. FDEP would not allow plant to be 19 permitted at any higher capacity because of discharge 20 limitations into the Caloosahatchee River and reuse 21 22 not because of plant in-service or in-place 23 limitations. We also have on Page 7, Line 14 through 21. 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

This generic analysis does not take into account the

25

	и 1
1	fact that flows were all treated to FDEP standards in
2	test year '94, even though the flows were above
3	permitted capacity in three of the months.
4	Page 8, Line 7 through 21. Here again
5	Mr. Acosta claims the average annual daily flow does
6	not include peak flows, when, in fact, when averaging
7	the 12 months, average daily flow max month was
8	included. How can you average the year without
9	including all 12 months and including the peak month,
10	September '94?
11	Now, this is in testimony of Mr. Cummings'.
12	It deals with his exhibit TAC-1, and it's quite
13	lengthy, his exhibit. In all of Mr. Cummings
14	designing aspects of the plant, his considerations,
15	for example the NAOH requirements, methanol feed
16	requirements, aeration equipment and sludge storage
17	volume, et cetera, he considers winter, summer, peak
18	and average figures so to suggest that average daily
19	flow max month is not considered in the averaging for
20	this design capacity is wrong.
21	On Page 6, Lines 19 through 21 23
22	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Cummings'
23	testimony?
24	WITNESS WALLA: Yes, sir. Once again
25	Mr. Cummings states, only short-term variations can be
1	I contraction of the second

handled by the plant concerning effluent treated
 properly. This is regarding the exhibits I gave you
 for their September '94 peak month usage, their
 monthly operating report, and their general
 information report.

If you recall, which this is subject to 6 check, of course, April 25th, 1996, our hearing, 7 Page 631, Lines 19 through 22, are already in the 8 9 record. Mr. Cummings considers short-term variations 10 to be a day, when in the test year, September 1994, which contain max month peak flows. There were 29 11 days straight that were above 1 million gallons per 12 day, the permitted capacity at the time, and all 13 effluent was treated within FDEP parameters of what is 14 acceptable as far as BOD, TSS, total nitrogen and 15 total phosphate. And that's all on those reports that 16 17 I handed you.

And to check that they are all in FDEP 18 parameters, Page 12, Lines 5 through 13, FDEP maximum 19 concentrations in milligrams per liter of effluent are 20 listed there, and that's in Mr. Cummings' testimony. 21 In conclusion, the average annual daily flow 22 takes into consideration the max month average daily 23 flow. And although Florida Cities Water Company has 24 once again gone through reams and reams of paper, and 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

racked up thousands of dollars in attorney fees, which 1 by the way are not prudent attorney fees, they've 2 offered nothing new in proof and evidence in their 3 argumentative testimony to this Public Service 4 Commission. 5 I'd like to say to the people, you should 6 get up here and speak your mind. This hearing is for 7 us. We're paying everybody here. Get up here and 8 speak your mind. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Ms. Walla. 10 Any questions for, Ms. Walla? 11 MR. JAEGER: She handed out three sheets of 12 paper. Did she want to make them an exhibit or is 13 that just informational purposes? 14 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: With the other exhibit we 15 put that in the correspondence side of the record. Ι 16 guess we should do the same with these. 17 WITNESS WALLA: Okay. 18 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We did give the Court 19 Reporter copies? Yes. Thank you. 20 Any questions of Ms. Walla? 21 MR. GATLIN: I have a question or two. 22 EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. GATLIN: 24 Have you withdrawn as a party from this 25 Q

1 proceeding?

-	proceeding:
2	A I didn't know that I had to withdraw. I
3	haven't included myself in any prehearing conferences,
4	any prefiled testimony. I thought that these
5	testimonies here were public record. It didn't matter
6	if I had
7	Q But have you withdrawn as a party?
8	A No. Did I have to?
9	Q Did you file didn't you file prepared
10	testimony in the earlier portion of the proceeding?
11	A I did in the hearing in 1996, yes, I did. I
12	did not file testimony in the District Court of
13	Appeals case.
14	Q I was asking about the Commission
15	proceeding.
16	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Hang on, Mr. Gatlin.
17	Let me ask our attorney since I don't know. Is this
18	the same case? At least since I didn't see Ms. Walla
19	in my Prehearing Order, I assumed that she wasn't a
20	party to this case.
21	MR. JAEGER: I believe the way it works, she
22	has forfeited her right to put on other you know,
23	like if she wanted to call witnesses, she could not.
24	But she hasn't forfeited her right as being a customer
25	of this utility. And I think as a customer she could

1 always testify.

COMNISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Gatlin's alluding
that he somehow has received some unfair surprise here
because Ms. Walla simply is a thorough customer. I
thought we were looking at -- that was a case that
clearly was finished and we're taking up a separate
testimony here on a separate issue.

8 MR. JAEGER: I believe she can testify as a 9 customer, and she just cannot -- her deal as a party, 10 she would be able to cross examine or put on issues by 11 cross examining witnesses or bringing her own 12 witnesses, but I think she can always testify as a 13 customer.

MR. GATLIN: Commissioner Garcia, my 14 position is that this is the same proceeding, as I 15 understand it. It's a continuation of the same 16 II 17 proceeding. And as a party, her responsibility was either to inform the other parties that she's 18 19 withdrawn as a party, which I'm sure Mr. McLean could have advised her to do, or she could have filed 20 testimony, like other parties have had to do. 21

If I'm understanding that she's withdrawn now as a party, it's a surprise to me and has caused some problems for my client.

25

MR. McLEAN: Commissioner, it shouldn't be

that much of a surprise since Ms. Walla neither 1 appeared at the prehearing conference, was excused 2 3 from it, nor did she file a prehearing statement. Here's a situation which you're flirting with here, I 4 5 think. You make all of the testimony and all the details of the rate case available to the customers 6 7 before the case. And customers may well analyze that 8 and bring some interpretations to you. If you rule --9 and, incidentally, there's already been a ruling on this issue. But if you rule the way that Mr. Gatlin 10 11 is urging you to read it, it seems to me like you'll 12 disfranchise their opportunity to comment on the 13 testimony.

Now, Mr. Gatlin has this point, and that is 14 15 this lady is a special customer. She intervened 16 before and she hasn't withdrawn. Well, she has done 17 everything but withdrew. She is essentially -- she is disabled in all material respects from participating 18 in the case the way a party participates, and that's 19 not a surprise to Mr. Gatlin. Certainly he knows 20 that. 21

Ms. Walla has been a very active participant all along and has contacted our office and asked me what the best way was for her to make her views known. And I have advised her that she always has the right

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

to testify before the Commission as any other customer 1 2 would. And it seems very odd to me because the 3 argument Mr. Gatlin makes is that she's somehow -- she has a lesser opportunity to testify as a customer 4 5 because she intervened in the earlier portions of the 6 case. And, for example, had we withdrawn, had 7 Ms. Walla withdrawn as a party before the prehearing conference, the situation would be exactly the same as 8 9 it is today. She would be able to respond just like 10 any other customer can to the written aspects of the 11 case. So I don't see the prejudice. 12 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Do you have other 13 questions, Mr. Gatlin? MR. GATLIN: Yes, I do. 14 (By Mr. Gatlin) Are you an engineer, 15 Q 16 Ms. Walla? 17 No, I'm not. А 18 Q Do you have any training as an engineer? I feel I do now. 19 A 20 Q From these hearings? From your hearings, from trying to find out 21 A the facts about your company. 22 From any other source other than these 23 Q hearings, do you have any training as an engineer? 24 25 A No, sir.

1 Well, other than this case, have you ever Q 2 participated in a Public Service Commission 3 proceeding? No, I have not. 4 A Have you ever been accepted as an expert in 5 0 any proceeding? 6 7 No, I have not. A That's all I have. 8 MR. GATLIN: CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. Any other 9 questions? 10 No, ma'am. Thank you. MR. MCLEAN: 11 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Ms. Walla, thank you 12 for testifying before us today and thank you for 13 making your issues known to this Commission. 14 WITNESS WALLA: Thank you. 15 MR. GATLIN: I'd like to move to strike 16 Ms. Walla's testimony as it relates to engineering 17 matters as not being expert in those instances where 18 she gave opinions as to what should or should not or 19 should not have been done as to engineering matters 20 21 only. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Motion denied. 22 Any other public testimony? 23 That's the last MR. McLEAN: No, ma'am. 24 customer who has signed up to testify. 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Are there any
 other members from the public that would like to
 testify that did not sign up to testify this morning?
 (No response)

Let the record reflect that no additional
individuals have stated or indicated that they'd like
to testify this morning.

I want to thank all of you for coming out 8 this morning. Let me make sure that you all are aware 9 you will have another opportunity this evening at 6 10 o'clock to continue your public statements for those 11 of you who might go home or talk between the break or 12 stay for the technical hearing. If you'd like to 13 share comments with the Commission, we will be here 14 and available at 6:00. We're going to take a short 15 break -- we'll go ahead and go into recess on the 16 customer hearing until tonight at 6:00. We'll take 17 about a ten-minute break, then we'll come back and 18 begin the technical portion of our hearing. And feel 19 free to stay if you'd like to hear the testimony that 20 will be presented by all of the parties. 21 Thank you again for your participation. 22 23 (Brief recess taken.) 24 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We're going to go back on 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

the record and begin the technical portion of our 1 2 hearing. 3 I know that when we started earlier, the 4 only individuals that were sworn in were the customers. There were four customers that stood. 5 So at the appropriate time I'll swear in the witnesses. 6 7 Counsel, are there any preliminary matters? MR. JAEGER: Yes. The preliminary matter 8 that I referred to was on December 2nd Staff filed its 9 request to strike a portion of remand testimony of 10 Michael Acosta, and we're asking that Lines 3 through 11 10, Page 10 of the remand testimony be stricken. In 12 those lines he states first the reuse --13 I'm sorry. Could you go CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: 14 back. You said rebuttal? 15 MR. JAEGER: It's in the direct portion of 16 his remand testimony, and it's Lines 3 through 10, 17 Page 10. 18 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Page 10. 19 MR. JAEGER: Line 3 through 10. 20 21 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Go ahead. MR. JAEGER: As you know, on the reversal 22 and remand from the First DCA they reversed us on our 23 setting of the capacity of the wastewater treatment 24 plant capacity 1.25 million based on average daily 25

flows, and we did that in our order, in order number 1 2 -- just a second. We issued PSC-98-0509-PCO-SU on April 14th, 1998, and we set the capacity of the water 3 treatment plant at 1.2. The Commission voted to 4 5 reopen the record for the limited purpose of deciding what flows should be used in the numerator when DEP 6 7 set the capacity of the plant based on annual average daily flows. That's the only purpose. Plus we 8 recognized there was increased rate case expense. And 9 those were the two main issues we opened the record 10 for. 11

I list in my motion nine issues that were set out in the Prehearing Order, and none of those deal with reuse or the testimony by Mr. Acosta on reuse. Staff believes this testimony is totally irrelevant, was not a part of the reversal of the First District Court of Appeal, and, therefore, should not be left in the record.

19 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Mr. Gatlin.
20 MR. GATLIN: Thank you. Prior to the
21 Southern case, Southern States case, from the DCA that
22 Mr. Acosta refers to in that testimony which is
23 Page 10, Line 3, the Commission interpreted the
24 statute to mean that used and useful applied to reuse
25 just as any other facilities. In the Southern States

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

case, which was the case -- order was entered 1 June 10th, 1998 the District Court interpreted the 2 statute to mean that reuse facilities were special and 3 distinct, and that if the investment in those 4 facilities were prudent, they were to be considered 5 100% used and useful. That opinion came down since 6 7 the final Commission order in this case, the Florida Cities case, and, therefore, it is our position that 8 9 that's controlling.

10 And the courts have held, particularly in the Sugarmill Woods Civic Association case versus 11 12 Southern States, that when there's an intervening 13 change in statute or an intervening controlling court opinion, that that becomes the law that has to be 14 followed. So I don't know whether Mr. Jaeger may be 15 II technically right. There's no issue that says reuse 16 II facilities are 100% used and useful. And I don't know 17 18 that it makes any difference. The Court has said they are. And I would assume that the Staff would 19 20 recommend to the Commission that you follow what the Court has said and recognize them as 100% used and 21 useful. 22

The question that is asked Mr. Acosta is please set forth the manner in which used and useful should be determined in this case. And he correctly

notes that the Court has ruled that reuse is 100%. 1 Τ think to answer the question that was posed to him he 2 has to say that. He has to say the reuse is 100% and 3 then go on to the question about annual average day 4 5 and the max day/month kind of question. But I don't see the particular point in striking the testimony. 6 7 He's only reciting what is a fact that has to be considered when making a determination of used and 8 9 useful.

10 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Staff. MR. JAEGER: Chairman Johnson, the Utility 11 appealed this order way back a couple of years ago. 12 And we were only reversed on those two issues that I 13 mentioned. And we've taken care of one. And now it's 14 15 like they've had their appeal and all we have is the one reversal that we're looking at. And now it's like 16 they are wanting to take another look at this appeal 17 that they've already had one shot at. I think we've 18 been reversed on just one issue that remains and that 19 20 this reuse issue is not a part of that.

21 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Let me ask you a
22 question. With respect to the first sentence, the
23 interpretation of what happened in the Southern States
24 Utilities versus Florida Public Service Commission
25 case. I don't know -- and I will rule at the end of

1 the argument -- but it strikes me that this is sort of 2 a statement of law, an interpretation of law. And I 3 don't know if he would be the appropriate person to 4 speak to statements of law in his prefiled testimony. 5 So that is one thing.

The other is that to the extent that it is a 6 7 question of law, is this appropriate for him to raise -- for Mr. Gatlin to raise in his brief -- see, 8 I don't know the status of the law. Mr. Gatlin is 9 saying from a legal perspective this is the law and 10 that this happened between an appeal in a case, and 11 that under -- you know, under general legal principles 12 this should apply. Could that argument be made in the 13 brief? 14

MR. JAEGER: I believe it could clearly be
made as a legal argument, yes. And it is a legal
question as to what happens when there's an
intervening case during the pendency of this appeal.

19

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Mr. Gatlin.

MR. GATLIN: Madam Chairman, he's not offering an opinion as an attorney, he's representing to you what he understands the case to be. And he's simply saying when you calculate used and useful in response to the question at the top of the page that you have to take into account what the District Court

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 of Appeals said in that case. And, you know, I don't 2 think it would -- it's possible that the Staff would 3 recommend to the Commission that the Commission ignore 4 that case when it makes the determination in this 5 case.

6 MR. McLEAN: Just a brief notation. Mr. 7 Gatlin noted that the Southern States case was cited 8 somewhat earlier than we got together on this case. 9 But the fact is the prehearing conference in this case in which Commissioner Garcia presided, the Southern 10 States case had certainly been decided by then. 11 And I 12 think the test whether any testimony is proper or not 13 is whether it is probative of any contested issue in the case. And as Mr. Jaeger points out there are 14 eight or nine contested issues, and this testimony 15 doesn't go to any of them. I don't know that it's 16 been stricken as a necessary remedy because I'm not 17 sure what Mr. Gatlin is suggesting that you do with 18 this testimony. It won't help you decide any of the 19 20 enumerated issues.

So I think it's essentially irrelevant. If it were live testimony I would certainly move to strike it and I'd test the witness and I'd ask you to test the witness to say what issue does that go to? And it doesn't go to a contested issue so far as I can

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 || **te**ll.

25

MR. GATLIN: I think that's right. It
doesn't go to a contested issue. The Court has said
that reuse facilities shall be 100% used and useful as
in the calculation of used and useful for the
investment of the utility. And I don't think there's
any question that's what the case says. I don't think
anybody would contest that.

9 I'm simply saying he's simply reciting that
10 that's one of the things that you have to do in his
11 opinion in determining used and useful, is first, you
12 have to recognize reuse facilities as a 100% used and
13 useful pursuant to that court case.

14 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. I'm going to grant 15 the motion, Staff's Motion to Strike, Lines 3 through 10 on Page 10 of Witness Acosta's direct testimony on 16 the grounds of relevance. It doesn't go to a specific 17 18 issue that was posed. And additionally, to the extent this case does have some relevancy to the law that 19 20 applies, I think, Mr. Gatlin, you'll have the 21 opportunity to raise that in your legal brief. 22 MR. GATLIN: Madam Chairman, we'd still like

23 to make a proffer of this testimony, that it remain in 24 there.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, sir. Are there any

1 || other preliminary matters?

MR. JAEGER: Mr. McLean, did you have any
preliminary matters?

4 MR. MCLEAN: I'm sorry. Yes. Only to say 5 that Mr. Biddy had a conflict, of which I was not 6 aware at the prehearing conference, and I would like him to be able to be called tomorrow. 7 I think Commissioner Garcia alluded to that a little earlier. 8 But he'll be here at about 10:15 in the morning if 9 that's okay. I've discussed that with the parties 10 individually and I did not draw an objection to it. 11 12 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Then we'll note that for the record, and Mr. Biddy will be taken out of order 13 no earlier than tomorrow at 10:15 a.m. 14 15 Mr. Gatlin. MR. GATLIN: We have, and it's reflected in 16 17 the Prehearing Order, we have a witness to take out of order today, Mr. Harley Young from DEP. 18 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: He needs to be taken out 19 of order? 20 İİ 21 MR. GATLIN: Yes. His order would be at the end of the rebuttal. He's only available today. I've 22 discussed that with the parties and I think 23 24 Commissioner Garcia has recognized that in the 25 Prehearing Order.

1 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Will do. Any other preliminary matters? 2 3 MR. GATLIN: Can you give us any estimate of what kind of schedule you're going to follow as far as 4 5 lunchtime or breaks or that kind of thing? 6 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: What I'm anticipating, 7 unless we get off to a very slow start is going for a 8 couple of hours, maybe about 2:00, taking a very short lunch, about 30-minute lunch, and then going for 9 another couple of hours, but giving individuals the 10 opportunity to break for dinner before the 6:00 11 hearing. 12 13 MR. GATLIN: That's very helpful. Thank you. 14 15 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Uh-huh. Any other questions? Could the witnesses please stand. 16 17 (Witness collectively sworn.) MR. GATLIN: Are you ready? 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	MICHAEL ACOSTA
2	was called as a remand witness on behalf of Florida
3	Cities Water Company and, having been duly sworn,
4	testified as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. GATLIN:
7	Q Would you please state your name and
8	address?
9	A My name is Michael Acosta. Address 4837
10	Swift Road, Suite 100, Sarasota, Florida.
11	Q By whom are you employed?
12	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Acosta I
13	apologize, Mr. Gatlin. Could you get right up on the
14	microphone.
15	WITNESS ACOSTA: I just pulled it forward.
16	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay.
17	Q (By Mr. Gatlin) By whom are you employed
18	and in what capacity?
19	A I'm employed by Florida Cities Water Company
20	as vice president of Engineering and Operations.
21	Q Did you prepare for presentation here today
22	prepared testimony consisting of 12 pages?
23	A I did.
24	Q If I were to ask you those questions that
25	are set forth in that prepared testimony, would your
ĺ	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 answers be the same as those set forth in that 2 prepared testimony? They would. I have a couple of 3 A 4 typographical corrections to make. 5 Would you give us those? 0 6 A On Page 7, Line 1, the word "sever" Sure. 7 should be "severe". On Page 11, Line 10, the word 8 "tree" should be "three". And on Page 11, Line 12, the final word in there, in that paragraph, the "g" 9 10 should be stricken, so it would be "within." 11 One final one that is already stricken, but just for the record, Page 10, Line 21, the word 12 13 "under" at the end of that line is already stricken, but shouldn't be there at all. 14 15 Does that complete your corrections? 0 That's correct. 16 A 17 Did you have with your testimony three Q exhibits, one which is Page 6 of 7 of Waterway Estates 18 Advanced Treatment Plant Permit Application indicated 19 as MA-1, and a Design of Municipal Wastewater 20 Treatment Plant Manual of Practice No. 8, Volume 1, 21 Water Environmental Federation, Page 74, MA-2, and a 22 letter to FDEP (Edwards) to the FCWC (Overton) 23 requiring submission of construction/expansion permit 24 25 application 11-9-92, MA-3. And Chapter 62-600.405 FAC

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 MA-4?

2

A That's correct.

2	A That's correct.
3	MR. GATLIN: Madam Chairman, we'd like to
4	have those identified as one composite exhibit.
5	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: It will be identified as
6	Composite Exhibit 1.
7	MR. JAEGER: Chairman Johnson, we have 32
8	exhibits from the prior proceedings, and I would
9	suggest that we continue numbering them sequentially
10	and start with 33 since this is a reopening of the
11	record.
12	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: That will be fine. Then
13	strike that and we will identify this as Composite
14	Exhibit 33. Michael Acosta's Composite Exhibit 33
15	direct.
16	(Exhibit 33 marked for identification.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		FLORIDA CITIES WATER COMPANY
2		REOPENING OF RECORD WATERWAY ESTATES
3		ADVANCED WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT
4		DOCKET NO. 950387 - SU
5		REMAND TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL ACOSTA
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	Α.	Michael Acosta, 4837 Swift Road, Suite 100, Sarasota, Florida 34231.
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
10	Α.	I am employed by Florida Cities Water Company (FCWC) as Vice
11		President, Engineering & Operations.
12	Q.	Please describe your educational background and professional
13		qualifications.
14	Α.	I received my Bachelor of Science degree in Environmental
15		Engineering from the University of Florida in 1985. I have been a
16		registered professional engineer in the State of Florida since 1991.
17	Q.	Please describe your professional engineering experience concerning
18		wastewater treatment facilities.
19	Α.	I have 13 years of continuous experience in the planning, design,
20		permitting and construction of wastewater treatment facilities. I have
21		been involved in the planning, design, permitting and construction of
22		11 upgrades and/or expansions of wastewater treatment plants. This
23		includes completion of capacity analysis reports, all aspects of
24		process design, advanced treatment process design, effluent disposal
25		including reuse of reclaimed water and land disposal systems and all

1 associated permitting.

2 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain why average daily flow in
the maximum month (ADFMM) should not be ignored by the Public
Service Commission (Commission) in determining the percentage of
the Waterway Estates Advanced Wastewater Treatment Plant
(Waterway) that is used and useful.

Q. Please explain the Commission's traditional method of determining
used and useful for wastewater treatment plants.

- 10 A. The Commission has historically used the ADFMM, for the test year in
- 11 question, plus the margin reserve flow equivalent divided by the

12 design treatment plant capacity. The formula used is as follows:

13 U&U Percentage=ADFMM+Margin Reserve Flow/ Design Capacity.

14 The use of ADFMM recognizes the inevitable peaks in treatment plant

15 flows that the plant experiences and that must be treated to water

16 quality standards established by the Florida Department of

17 Environmental Protection (FDEP). The Margin Reserve Flow

18 accounts for the changing demands of existing customers and growth

19 expected within the service area. Finally, the Design Capacity of the

plant is the flow at which the plant can consistently meet the waterquality standards mandated by FDEP.

Q. Did the Commission follow this methodology of using ADFMM in the
 numerator of its used and useful calculation in Florida Cities Water
 Company (FCWC), North Fort Myers Division's previous rate case,
 Docket 910756-SU?

2

1 A. Yes.

2	Q.	Did the Commission use ADFMM to calculate how much treatment
3		capacity was used and useful in other FCWC rate cases?
4	А.	Yes. These include, most recently, in re: Application FCWC (Golden
5		Gate Division), 92 F.P.S.C. 8:270, 291 (1992); in re: Application of
6		FCWC (South Ft. Myers System), 92 F.P.S.C. 4:547, 551-552 (1992);
7		and in re: Application of FCWC, (Barefoot Bay Division), 97 F.P.S.C.
8		2:561, 566-68 (1997).
9	Q.	Did the Commission change its methodology for calculating used and
10		useful for wastewater treatment plant in this docket?
11	Α.	Yes, sometime between the Proposed Agency Action Order and the
12		Final Order in this case the Commission changed the flow used in the
13		numerator of the used and useful formula from ADFMM to annual
14		average daily flow (AADF).
15	Q.	Do you know why the Commission changed the flow from ADFMM to
16		AADF?
17	Α.	In the Final Order, PSC-96-1133-FOF-SU, the Commission states
18		"The flows to be considered should be annual average flows, as
19		specified in the DEP permit" and "Flows shown in the MFRs for the
20		used and useful calculations are not annual average flows, but instead
21		are average flows from the peak month. These flows do not match the
22		plant design [nor] the permitting considerations in the DEP
23		construction permit. For these reasons, the flows shown in the MFRs
24		are rejected." The Commission apparently believes that because the
25		basis of design of the plant is AADF that all peak flows should be

875

ignored. The Commission has also relied upon a change in the permit
 application form used by FDEP for wastewater treatment facilities as a
 reason to change the methodology.

Q. Has the FDEP changed the method by which plant capacity is
determined?

6 **A. No**.

Q. What did the FDEP do regarding operating permits that is different
than before?

9 A. In approximately 1991, the FDEP changed the permit application form.

10 This change required the permittee to designate the basis of design,

as AADF, ADFMM, three-month average daily flow or other of the

12 treatment plant, Exhibit (MA-1) shows the designation on the

13 Waterway permit application. This change bears no relationship to,

14 nor does it change, the capacity of Waterway or any other wastewater

15 treatment plant.

Q. Prior to the new form designating basis of design, what was the basis
of design used for Waterway and where was it noted.

A. The basis of design for Waterway and almost all domestic municipal
 wastewater treatment plants is AADF. This was typically noted in the
 engineering report that was submitted with the permit application.

21 Q. Why was AADF and not ADFMM chosen as the basis of design?

A. As in the previous answer, AADF is almost exclusively the basis of
 design flow for domestic municipal wastewater treatment plants. A
 basis of design of ADFMM is usually reserved for highly seasonal
 treatment plants, plants whose flow is received over a condensed

1 portion of the year such as a campground or recreational vehicle park. 2 In these cases, flow may not be received by the plant year round and 3 the use of AADF would give an artificially low flow. The use ADFMM 4 as the basis of design does not preclude the use of peak flow in the 5 design of the treatment plant. Indeed, peak flows must be 6 incorporated and would be calculated in a similar fashion as if the 7 basis of design were AADF. The peak flow design for plant using 8 ADFMM as the basis of design would approximate a peak day or peak 9 several days. In designing Waterway, using AADF, peak flows were 10 accounted for as discussed in more detail in the remand testimony of Thomas A. Cummings in this docket. 11

- Q. Did the FDEP change in format change the plant capacity of
 Waterway prior to expansion.
- 14 **A**. No.

Q. Did the FDEP permit application form change affect the design of the
 expansion of Waterway?

A. No, since the basis of previous design of Waterway was already
 AADF, the design was unaffected by the change.

Q. Should the Commission change the traditional method of determining
 used and useful so that the flows used in the numerator of the used
 and useful formula (that is, use of ADFMM or peak flows) depends
 upon (and "matches") the flows shown on the FDEP permit as the

- 23 permitted plant capacity (that is AADF or ADFMM)?
- A. No, peak flows (ADFMM) should always be considered. While the
 basis of design flow may be AADF, the hydraulic component is but one

1 of the considerations in the design of a wastewater treatment plant. The biological process design is equally, if not more, important than 2 the hydraulic component. To look at only the AADF without regard for 3 peak flows misses the important biological process design, hydraulic 4 peaks and other important permitting or design considerations. As 5 6 stated in the recognized authority Design of Municipal Wastewater 7 Treatment Plants, Manual of Practice No. 8, Vol. I, Water Environment Federation, Alexandria, VA, 74 (1992), "Wastewater treatment plants 8 and their processes are commonly discussed and defined in terms of 9 their average day capacity. As a practical matter, average day 10 conditions are points on a curve of events that may not be observed 11 on a daily basis. Sound design practice does not use average day 12 condition for anything except as a convenient point of reference for 13 peaking factors that are actually of interest in the design. 14 Conceptually, preferred practice applies two peaking factors: a 15 hydraulic peak and a process peak." In reality a plant's capacity is its 16 17 ability to (1) pass a specific instantaneous flow rate (gallon per minute or million gallons per day), (2) satisfy a specific biochemical oxygen 18 demand (pounds per hour or day), (3) remove specific amounts of 19 20 suspended solids (pounds per day), and (4) remove specific amounts of dissolved mineral and organic compounds (pounds per day). 21 22 Characterizing capacity in terms of these parameters would be much 23 more accurate but obviously too cumbersome for practical use. 24 Each component and process unit must be designed to meet the expected peak pollutant and hydraulic loading. Failure to select the 25

6

severe most sever combination would result in hydraulic backup in the 1 2 wastewater collection system or spills from treatment units and/or 3 failure to meet effluent quality standards. Obviously, any of these 4 events is serious since environmental regulations would likely be 5 violated and the ability to provide continuous quality service is 6 jeopardized. Again, from Design of Municipal Wastewater Treatment 7 Plants, Manual of Practice No. 8, Vol. I, Water Environment 8 Federation, Alexandria, VA, 74-75 (1992), "Process design should be 9 based on required performance attainment at maximum process 10 loading conditions. Before Public Law 92-500 (Clean Water Act) and its implementing regulations, performance and the loading basis on 11 12 which performance was to be measured were considered in terms of seasonal or annual average conditions. Now, a minimum definition for 13 14 this condition of design corresponds with the compliance interval 15 included in the plant's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination 16 System permit. This interval typically represents the maximum month 17 and week period of compliance as noted in Chapter 2." Exhibit 33 (MA-2) 18

878

19 The Commission's simplistic approach is inappropriate and leads to 20 erroneous conclusions that could jeopardize both continuous quality 21 service and the environment.

Q. Is it good engineering practice to design all the components of a
 wastewater treatment plant on an AADF basis?

A. No. By the very definition of average, if all components were designed
 on an annual average basis, peak flows could not be contained and

1 peak organic loadings could not be treated to the water quality 2 standards required in the FDEP permit. It should be noted that peak flows and peak organic loadings do not necessarily occur 3 4 simultaneously. A peak organic loading can upset the biological 5 process very quickly, much quicker than a peak flow. If treatment 6 plants were designed to only meet the AADF any flow in excess of the 7 AADF would result in overflows or in effluent that did not meet all 8 water quality standards.

9 Q. Do you agree with the Commission that the type of flows used in the
10 numerator and denominator of the used and useful formula must both
11 be peak flows or both be annual average flows, that is, that the flows
12 must "match"?

13 Α. No, I do not. A determination of used and useful must be concerned 14 with the maximum flows the treatment plant may experience in order to 15 allow for such an event. This is the only way to ensure that safe, 16 adequate service is continuously provided. In Florida, large seasonal 17 population fluctuations contribute to widely varying use patterns for 18 water, and therefore wastewater, service. Using the AADF completely 19 misses these seasonal fluctuations. The use of AADF is analogous to 20 a person having one foot in freezing water and one foot in boiling 21 water and saying that on average the person is comfortable. 22 Obviously, this analogy shows that widely varying peaks are ignored 23 by an average. The same is true of the use of AADF. A treatment 24 plant design based on solely the AADF would lead to a plant that 25 could not provide service during peak flow and/or peak organic

8

loading periods. There is no "matching" used in the design of a 1 2 wastewater treatment plant. To use such a concept in the determination of used and useful for a plant is improper. A plant 3 designed on an AADF basis must also be able to contain and treat the 4 5 ADFMM when it arrives. To ignore this basic design principle is 6 simply wrong. 7 Q. Does the margin reserve calculation allow any recognition into rate base of facilities required to accommodate maximum flows 8 experienced in connection with current customers? 9 10 Α. Generally no. Q. Please explain your answer. 11 Assuming existing customers do not increase their usage, margin 12 Α. reserve would be used by future customers. The maximum flows 13 associated with current customers would not be accounted for within 14 the margin reserve calculation. 15 Q. Does AFPI allow any recognition into rate base of facilities required to 16 accommodate maximum flows experienced in connection with current 17 18 customers? 19 Α. No, it does not. Q. Please explain your answer. 20 AFPI does not allow facilities into rate base. AFPI is associated with 21 Α. prudently constructed plant that is deemed non-used and useful plant, 22 which is associated with future customers. As such, AFPI makes no 23 accommodation for maximum flows experienced in connection with 24 current customers. 25

880

Q. Please set forth the manner in which used and useful should be
 determined in this case.

3	А.	First, the reuse facilities used and useful determination should be
4		determined separately from the rest of the facilities, pursuant to the
5		Court's interpretation of 367.0817 in Southern States Utilities v.
6		Florida Public Service Commission, et. al., Case No. 96-4227 (June
7		10, 1998) (Fla. 1st DCA 1998). These facilities as well as the
8		Lochmoor site were found by the Commission to have been prudently
9		incurred (Order No. PSC-96-1133-FOF-SU, pg. 39). They must
10		therefore be considered 100% used and useful in rate base.
11		Second, use of the ADFMM in the numerator and the plant capacity of
12		1.25 mgd, as ordered by the court in Florida Cities Water Co. v.
13		Florida Public Service Commission, 705 So. 2d 620 (Fla. 1st DCA
14		1998) results in a 100% used and useful determination:
15		%U&U=[(1.1753+0.0573)/1.25][100]=98.61, say 100%
16	Q.	What would the result be if the Commission used AADF in the
17		numerator of the equation instead of ADFMM?
18	Α.	Use of AADF in the numerator would yield a used and useful
19		determination of 80%, as follows:
20		%U&U=[(0.9421+0.0573)/1.25][100]=79.94, say 80%
21		It is interesting to note that the AADF during the test year was under
22		less than the permitted capacity of the plant (0.9421 mgd compared to
23		1.0 mgd) yet FDEP, under the requirements of 62-600 FAC, required
24		that the plant be expanded Exhibit (MA-3). Using the
25		Commission's methodology (AADF), the calculated used and useful

1 percentage for the Waterway Estates Advanced Wastewater

Treatment Plant prior to expansion would have 99.94%. Clearly, any
additional plant capacity would yield a result of less than 100 percent
used and useful. This is a clear indication that the use of AADF does
not recognize what is happening at the treatment plant (peak flows)
and is not consistent with Chapter 62-600 FAC.

Q. Please describe the requirements of Chapter 62-600 FAC as it relates
 to wastewater treatment plant planning and construction.

9 Α. Chapter 62-600.405 requires utilities to initiate planning via an initial Capacity Analysis Report (CAR) upon the tree-month average daily 10 flow exceeding 50% of the permitted capacity of the treatment plant. If 11 the CAR indicates that capacity will be equaled or exceeded withing 12 the next five years preliminary design must be initiated. If the capacity 13 14 will be equaled or exceeded with the next four years plans and specifications for the necessary expansion must begin to be prepared. 15 If capacity will be equaled or exceeded within the next three years a 16 complete construction permit application must be submitted to the 17 FDEP within 30 days of submission of the CAR. If capacity will be 18 equaled or exceeded within the next six months an operation permit 19 application for the expanded facility must be submitted to the FDEP. 20 Exhibit 33 (MA-4) 21

Q. Does Commission's used and useful percentage (80%) accurately
 represent the percentage of facilities which are needed to provide
 service to current customers?

25 A. No, it does not.

1 Q. Please explain your answer.

 \sim

2	А.	Under this determination, sufficient capacity to accommodate the
3		maximum month flows is not recognized. The plant is required, by
4		regulation, to not only accept these flows but also to biologically treat
5		the flows sufficiently to meet effluent water quality standards
6		established and enforced by the FDEP. A plant designed both
7		biologically and hydraulically to accommodate AADF without regard to
8		peak flows will not meet these requirements.
9	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
10	Α.	Yes.

1 MR. GATLIN: Mr. Acosta is available for 2 questions. 3 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Public Counsel. MR. MCLEAN: Yes, ma'am. 4 5 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. MCLEAN: 6 7 Good morning, Mr. Acosta. Q Good morning. 8 Ά Mr. Acosta, you hold a degree in engineering 9 Q from a school up in Gainesville; is that right? 10 Yes. It's "The University of Florida." 11 A It was on the tip of my tongue. 12 Q Did you study used and useful as a concept 13 in engineering school, sir? 14 Not used and useful as a concept. The 15 A components of used and useful certainly. 16 I see. When you took -- you took a 17 0 professional engineering exam and passed it as well? 18 That's correct. A 19 Did it have any element of used and useful 20 0 on it? 21 To the extent that plant capacity is a 22 A determination of -- in the design of wastewater 23 treatment plants it's on there, but not a specific 24 direct used and useful PSC calculation, no. 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 Q Not the regulatory concept of used and 2 useful, correct? That was not on the PE exam. 3 A Correct. 4 Q When did you learn about what it is and how? 5 Generally, sometime after I came to Florida A 6 Cities in 1985. The exact time frame of introduction 7 to used and useful I'm not exactly sure. Sometime in 8 the last 13 years. 9 0 How did you become aware of the used and useful concept? 10 11 A Probably through some cases here in South 12 Fort Myers, in particular, when I was regional engineer in Fort Myers for Florida Cities as they 13 14 || related probably to Fiesta Village. 15 Would that have included conversations with Q 16 other persons engaged in the used and useful as an endeavor? 17 It would have included conversations of used 18 A and useful of particular plants that Florida Cities 19 20 || had, yes. 21 But in a general sense, your education, if 0 you will, on the issue of used and useful came not 22 from formal education but from conversations you had 23 had and exposure you had had to the issue, rather than 24 25 formal education. Is that the case? FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

A On used and useful as a concept, as I said before, certain approaches used within the used and useful determination are engineering terms that should -- and under 471 Florida Statutes -- only be determined by professional engineers.

Let me ask you to listen to this sentence 6 Q 7 and see if you agree with it: I believe that the concept of used and useful, while it may be an 8 engineering one, as it is applied to a ratemaking 9 function, that it takes an intertwining of expertise 10 and experience and background to come to a conclusion 11 as it relates to ratemaking. Do you agree with that 12 13 statement, sir?

14 A Generally, yes.

Thank you. Let's move to your testimony, to 15 Q the lines of Page 4 of your direct rebuttal -- I'm 16 sorry, remand testimony. Your direct testimony. 17 Page 4, Line 21 -- I'm sorry, Line 24, where you say: 18 "highly seasonal." I believe what you're saying 19 there, and tell me if I have the correct impression, 20 is that the design basis for the Waterway Estates 21 utilities is average annual daily flow, and that you 22 recognize the design basis for some plants might be 23 average daily flow maximum month; is that correct? 24 25 A They can certainly -- there are four boxes

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

to be checked on the permit applications, so there can
 be varying bases of design for a wastewater treatment
 plant, yes.

4 Q And it is typical, I think -- I have the
5 impression from your testimony is that it's typical
6 that the box for ADFMM, that is average daily flow
7 maximum month, is checked for utilities which are
8 highly seasonal; is that correct?

9 A That would be a more appropriate basis of 10 design for a plant that had a highly seasonal flow.

11 Q Are you recommending to the Commission that 12 the Waterway Estates plant has to deal with a highly 13 seasonal flow?

14 A To the extent that the flows vary by 20 and
15 30% over the course of the year, yes.

16 Q The way you use "highly seasonal" there on 17 Line 24, you're asserting that that highly seasonal is 18 the justification for checking ADFMM in the box, but, 19 in fact, they didn't check that box, did they, sir? 20 A No.

21QAre you saying they checked the wrong box?22ANo.

23 Q Okay. So is it true then, can I conclude 24 from that that the Florida Cities Company Waterway 25 plant is not a plant which can be characterized as

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 highly seasonal for the purposes you're discussing 2 there?

A The average daily flow in the max month, as a basis of design as I used it here, envisioned a plant similar to -- I believe on the next page in the testimony, where it talks about an RV park, where flow may only be coming to that plant on an intermittent basis. So I think that ADFMM could be used at Waterway Estates without any problem.

10 Q Are you saying, then, that that the Waterway 11 Estates plant is highly seasonal to the same extent 12 that one of those mobile home parks to which you make 13 reference is?

14 **A** No, I didn't say that.

15 Okay. Turn to Page 5 and then Line 19. Q You're asked a question, should the Commission change 16 II the traditional method of determining used and useful 17 and so forth. I take it by your answer that you 18 accept the word -- use of the word "traditional" in 19 the question. That is, you believe, too, don't you 20 that the Commission does, in fact, have a traditional 21 method of determining used and useful and so forth as 22 23 it continues in that question, correct?

24ATo the extent that the -- that we're talking25about annual average and annual average daily flow in

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

the max month, yes. In all of the cases that I'm 1 aware of involving Florida Cities, the Commission has 2 || used annual average daily flow in the maximum month as 3 the numerator of the used and useful formula. 4 Are you advocating to the Commission in any 5 Q way that it should rely upon tradition alone in making 6 the decision that faces them to today? 7 I think the Commission needs to recognize 8 Ά what they have done historically and think about why 9 they are changing, yes. 10 Would you take the same position with 11 Q respect to imputation of CIAC to margin reserve? That 12 they look at what they have done historically and 13 change now? 14 15 Sure. A They should observe tradition in both 16 Q instances, then? 17 They shouldn't observe tradition in 18 No. A imputation. Been down that road. 19 Tradition is good on this question, but bad 20 0 21 on imputation, correct? That's correct. 22 A On Page 8, Mr. Acosta, you invite the 23 Q Commission's attention somewhat away from hydraulic 24 loading and to the issue of biological loading. Is it 25

fair for me to say that? 1 2 A Page 8. 3 Q I'm sorry. Did I not give you a correct reference? 4 If you'll give me the page and line again. 5 A I'm sorry. I wrote down the number of my 6 Q question rather than the page. (Pause) 7 Mr. Acosta, do you begin to discuss the 8 issue of biological loading in contrast with hydraulic 9 loading in your testimony? 10 I inject a certain portion of the biological 11 А process, yes. 12 What page to you begin that endeavor on? 13 Q (Laughter) 14 I believe Page 6, Line 2. The sentence 15 A begins "The biological process design." 16 Yes, sir. Is it fair for me to interpret 17 Q what you say there that you're inviting the 18 Commission's attention somewhat over the mere measure 19 of hydraulic capacity into the area of biological 20 loading; is that correct? 21 I think that the biological process 22 A associated with the wastewater treatment plant is 23 equally important to the hydraulic loading of that 24 25 plant, yes.

Q Now, when you check one of those boxes on the applications, the one that said average annual daily flow, that is an assertion by the Company that it intends to design and operate a plant that has the capacity of 1.25 million gallons a day average annual daily flow, correct?

A That's correct.

7

8 Q Is there a similar box of sorts that you 9 check to show the Florida Department of Environmental 10 protection what the biological loading capacity of the 11 plant is?

12 A No, there's not a box. You showed that 13 through the Preliminary Engineering Design Report and 14 then through the formal design of the wastewater 15 treatment plant, but that's certainly something that 16 they consider.

17 Q In which they are interested. But for 18 purposes of sizing that plant and for your 19 certification to the DEP of what size the plant is 20 intended to be, there is no reference to biological 21 loading, is there?

A No, I disagree. There certainly is a reference to it. The DEP is not going to permit, at least in my opinion, a plant at 1.25 without knowing what its biological loading characteristics are.

There's not -- I don't believe that that can be done. 1 By reference to the only place in the DEP 2 Q permit application where it mentions 1.25 gallons per 3 day average annual daily flow, there is no reference 4 in that part of the application to the biological 5 capacity of the plant, is there? 6 There's not a reference there. But as I 7 Ά said, the preliminary engineering design report is an 8 integral part, an attachment to the permit application 9 and would be deemed -- the permit application would be 10 deemed incomplete without that Preliminary Engineering 11 Design Report. 12 And if I went to that portion of the report 13 Q I wouldn't find any language to deal with average 14 annual daily flows, would I? 15 You may indeed find what the basis of design 16 17 is, yes. Are you saying I may find that? Q 18 In all likelihood you will find that. 19 The A two are not independent of each other. They must be 20 included together. Obviously, the loading is 21 associated and biological are expressed in milligrams 22 per liter so when we multiply those numbers together 23 to get a total pounds into the plant, it has to be 24 multiplied by some flow basis. So yes, at some point 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 || it's there.

Q And it's your testimony that the two are closely linked together; is that correct? Is that what I just heard you say?

5 A No, that's not what you hear me say. What I 6 said is that the two must be considered in concert 7 together to come up with the ultimate design of a 8 plant. You cannot design a plant only on flow without 9 consideration of the biological and organic loading to 10 the plant. And similarly you can't design only an 11 organic loading without a flow component.

Q When you prepare your capacity analysis
report to the Department of Environmental Protection,
is there any consideration of biological loading in
the rule that requires you to submit that report?

To the extent that the plant is meeting 16 A No. the permit parameters associated with the particular 17 flow at that point, DEP has a monthly report that says 18 you are or are not meeting that organic load. So they 19 would be under a different impression. They would 20 understand the biological load from those reports, not 21 22 from the capacity analysis report.

23 Q But the capacity analysis report is that 24 procedure, if you will, which permits DEP to know how 25 much of your plant is necessary at any particular

portion and how much longer it might be before you 1 need to build another one. Isn't that what it's for? 2 3 I think the Capacity Analysis Report is А 4 generally a planning document for -- in essence to do what you're saying, to see when capacity is likely to 5 be exceeded at the plant. Now, capacity is a 6 7 two-headed monster. There's an organic capacity that 8 can be exceeded without the hydraulic capacity being 9 exceeded. That's not common, but that does happen. 10 Q Do you have a copy of the rule which requires a Capacity Analysis Report? 11 I believe it's one of my exhibits. 12 Hang on a second. I'll pass out one so we 13 Q can all look at it. 14 I've got it. (Counsel hands out documents.) 15 λ CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did you want this 16 identified? 17 MR. MCLEAN: Yes, ma'am, please. 18 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Identify it as 19 Exhibit 34. 20 MR. NCLEAN: 34, Commissioner? 21 22 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Uh-huh. (Exhibit 34 marked for identification.) 23 (By Mr. McLean) Mr. Acosta, does that look 24 0 25 like the rule you and I have just been talking about?

1	A 62-600.405?
2	Q Yes, sir.
3	A Yes.
4	Q Now, with respect I don't want to go over
5	each one of these paragraphs, Mr. Acosta, but I
6	suppose we could. Let's look at Paragraph 3, if you
7	will. I want to ask you, Paragraph 3 is the primary
8	triggering mechanism. Doesn't that pretty much tell a
9	utility whether they need to file a Capacity Analysis
10	Report?
11	A Yes. When in essence, when your
12	three-month average daily flow exceeds 50% of your
13	plant capacity.
14	Q Is there any mention of biological loading
15	in Paragraph 3?
16	X No.
17	Q In fact, if you wanted to look at the
18	Capacity Analysis Report and see whether there's any
19	reference to biological loading, you'd have to look
20	down perhaps to Paragraph 6; is that correct?
21	Let me ask the question differently.
22	Arguably Paragraph 6 has so many parameters that you
23	have to report in the Capacity Analysis Report that it
24	could conceivably include things such as biological
25	loading, correct?

895

It's certainly included in the Capacity 1 Analysis Reports that we have filed. 2 3 Q But with respect to the trigger, an item which tells Florida Cities Water Company whether it 4 needs to file a Capacity Analysis Report, there's no 5 reference to any biological loading in there, is 6 there? 7 The only trigger on the initial filing 8 A No. is hydraulic component. 9 10 Q The hydraulic component. And that is similar pretty much to the Commissions' used and 11 useful analysis, isn't it? It concerns itself only 12 with hydraulic loading, doesn't it? 13 Yes. Its current methodology does. 14 Mr. Acosta, I don't want to test your memory 15 Q 16 || too hard, but Schedule F-6 is the portion of the -page of the MFRs that shows the -- I'm sorry, the used 17 and useful computation and analysis to the Commission 18 in its MFRs; isn't that correct? 19 As I recall that's the correct schedule, 20 A yes. 21 22 Q Can you say whether there's any reference to biological loading on that particular computation? 23 Not that I recall, no. 24 A 25 You don't make that analysis, though, did Q

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

You didn't submit that page for the purposes of you? 1 2 the Commission -- for the purposes of your application 3 before the Commission, did you, sir? Schedule F-6? Did I prepare the schedule? 4 A 5 Yes, sir. Q I think in concert with Mr. Coel we did. 6 A 7 Mr. Coel is the sponsor of that exhibit? 0 8 Mr. Coel, I believe, is to the extent that A he sponsors the MFRs, yes. And he was the preparer of 9 that particular sheet of paper and the Lotus 10 11 spreadsheet. The plant capacity component, and the annual 12 average daily flow, as well as the max month daily 13 flow are either based on my determination of the plant 14 capacity from a professional engineering standpoint, 15 and the -- that's the plant capacity component -- the 16 average daily flow and the max month and the annual 17 average daily flow, are matters of historical record. 18 Did you sponsor the exhibit or did Mr. Coel 19 Q 20 sponsor the exhibit? I believe that Mr. Coel did. 21 A He's not a registered professional engineer 22 Q is he, Mr. Acosta? 23 You'll have to ask him. Not that I'm aware 24 А 25 of.

	N 838
1	Q Okay. Thank you, sir.
2	Do you happen to have a copy of
3	Mr. Cummings' testimony before you, sir?
4	A Ido.
5	Q I want to give everybody time to catch up to
6	get to Mr. Cummings' testimony, but I want to ask you
7	a question about Page 16, Line 21 of Mr. Cummings
8	testimony. Do you have it, sir?
9	A Page 16, Line 21.
10	Q Yes, sir.
11	A Yes, I do.
12	Q He says there "The flow rate used in the
13	design is not the annual average flow of 1.25 million
14	gallons a day, but a daily peak flow rate that is
15	twice the annual average rate." Is that what he says?
16	A That's what those words say. If you read up
17	above, he's talking about the ability of the tanks to
18	pass a hydraulic flow rate without overflowing at any
19	point or facility.
20	Q It is your continuing thesis that the
21	Commission's new, if you will, methodology of
22	computing used and useful ignores peaks; is that
23	correct?
24	A In the ratemaking prospect, it does.
25	Q Yes, sir. And, of course, you're saying
I	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 that the numerator of the load over capacity equation 2 neglects peaks, right?

3 No, that's not what I'm saying. To the 4 extent -- as Ms. Walla pointed out, and I don't 5 disagree with her, the computation of annual average 6 certainly includes the max month within that 7 computation. Not any question about that. However, the plant capacity, the max month must be dealt with 8 9 on that particular day when it arrives at the plant. You can't store it. You can't save it for later use, 10 11 if you will, or for later treatment in this case. 12 So to the extent that that plant must be 13 able to handle that flow, for ratemaking purposes that flow is initially -- not initially, but is ignored by 14 dilution on a low -- on a day when the flow is less 15 16 || than that peak number. 17 I want to ask you about the equation that's Q before the Commission, the equation -- or the fraction 18 that the Commission decided on was average daily flow 19 maximum month. I'm sorry. Strike that. 20 21 The formula that you would like them to use, and the one you suggested at the hearing, was average 22 daily flow maximum month over annual average daily 23 24 flow, correct? 25 A That's correct.

1 Now, the average annual daily flow Q 2 represents the design capacity of the plant; is that 3 right, sir? 4 A Actually let me strike my previous answer. 5 What I have suggested to them is that they use the 6 average day and the max month, divided by the plant capacity, which has been determined at 1.25. 7 8 0 Okay. That's close enough for me. 9 The capacity that's represented here on Page 16 isn't reflected in that fraction, is it, sir? 10 MR. GATLIN: Which fraction? 11 MR. MCLEAN: I think it's my turn to ask the 12 13 questions, actually. (By Mr. McLean) Do you understand the 14 Q 15 question? MR. GATLIN: I object to the question in 16 that it's not clear as to which fraction Mr. McLean is 17 18 talking about. I wonder if the witness knows 19 MR. MCLEAN: 20 which one? (Witness continues) Which fraction? 21 ъ The one for the used and I've got you. 22 0 useful which you are suggesting the Commission use. 23 I The one you just described as average daily flow 24 maximum month over average annual daily flow? 25

That's not what I described. 1 A 2 Well, you can describe it again, please. Q 3 I described annual average daily flow in the Ά max month divided by the plant capacity of 1.25. 4 That's what I described. 5 I accept that. Now, looking to a capacity 6 Q 7 number -- well, let me ask you that fraction. The bottom of that fraction, known as the denominator, is 8 the plant capacity; is that correct? 9 10 A That's correct. And what we're doing here is trying to 11 Q compare the load that that plant faces over its 12 capacity to deal with a load, correct? That's what 13 used and useful is, isn't it? 14 That's what that fraction is. Used and 15 A useful may be something different. 16 It's what it's intended to be. 17 0 I think that that's what it's intended to 18 A I agree with that. 19 be. And you say that the numerator that our side 20 Q is suggesting to the Commission, which is average 21 annual daily flow, ignores peaks, correct? 22 To the extent that the peak monthly flows or 23 λ the peak daily flows are diluted by the low flow days, 24 1 25 || yes.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 I would like to know if the denominator Q seems to include peaks. And a piece of information 2 3 about that, I think, is what Mr. Cummings say on 4 Page 16, Line 21. Mr. Cummings seems to say that this plant is capable of accommodating a peak of 5 2.5 million gallons per day, doesn't he? 6 7 I believe that that's correct. A On a hydraulic basis. 8 9 Q That 2.5 number doesn't find it's way into the denominator of this fraction, does it? 10 I disagree with that. I think it is in 11 A there. A properly designed plant must be, must be 12 || capable of handling the peak hydraulic and peak 13 organic loads. You could not permit the plant at 1.25 14 MGD were it not for its capability to pass a higher 15 hydraulic load. 16 17 0 100% more, correct. At least 100%. And in most cases it's 18 Ά 19 greater than that. 20 0 Okay. Mr. Acosta, would you turn to Page 9, 21 Q 22 Line 12? Mr. Cummings' or mine? 23 A I'm sorry. Your testimony, sir. 24 0 25 A Okay.

1	MR. GATLIN: What was that cite?
2	MR. MCLEAN: Page 9, Line 12.
3	A I'm there.
4	Q (By Mr. McLean) Yes, sir. Again it's your
5	thesis that the computation which the Citizens, and
6	perhaps the Staff, urge upon the Commission ignores
7	the Utility's obligation to treat peaks, correct?
8	A As I have previously stated what I mean that
9	to be.
10	Q And the Citizens have advanced a theory that
11	margin reserve was justified by this Company and
12	others, perhaps by the Florida Water Association as
13	well, as margin reserve being partially capable of
14	handling those peaks for which you say you received no
15	compensation, correct?
16	A I think that what if you go back to my
17	testimony in the original hearing in this case, that
18	margin reserve you'll see that I believe I used the
19	definition of margin reserve to mean two things. One
20	is potential changing demands of existing customers,
21	and to accommodate growth within some specified
22	reasonable time period.
23	Q Can I interpret those changing demands of
24	existing customers to be peaks to some extent?
25	A They could go either up or down, obviously.
ļ	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 Q Are you -- by your testimony today before 2 the Commission are you saying that the varying demands, or the peak demands, of the customers are not 3 the justification for margin reserve? 4 5 A Ask that question again. Yes, sir. Are you saying by your testimony 6 Q today before the Commission that the peak demands 7 placed on the system by customers are no longer 8 justification for margin reserve? 9 I don't think I ever asserted that. I think 10 A that my testimony at the earlier hearing said the 11 changing demands of existing customers. 12 Well, should the Commission compute --13 0 should the Commission look for justification for 14 margin reserve to the peak demands on the plant by the 15 customers? 16 No -- well, partially. The Commission 17 Ά should recognize that the existing customers can 18 change their flows from one minute to the next, one 19 day to the next, and obviously year to year. That 20 needs to be recognized in margin reserve for existing 21 customers. For instance, if the capacity of the plant 22 is 1 MGD this year, and the customers put a demand of 23 1.5 on it, and they are going to stay at that elevated 24 level, you're obviously not going to be within the 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

same ballpark on being able to treat those flows or
 provide water in whichever case.

3 So to the extent that those changing demands 4 can go up and down, it should be included in margin 5 reserve. Margin reserve should also include an allowance for growth within the service area that 6 7 would be expected over some reasonable period of time. So you're not talking about -- when you say 8 Q 9 the changing demands of customers, existing customers, you're not talking about the daily diurnal ebb and 10 flow of the load on the plant. You're talking about 11 long-term trend where the customers, existing 12 customers' demand actually increases; is that what 13 you're saying? 14

I think it can be both. I think that -- but 15 A generally the longer term is what I'm talking about. 16 17 Well, in your testimony you say the maximum 0 flows associated with current customers would not be 18 accounted for within the margin reserve calculation. 19 20 Now, it seems to me like you have excluded the maximum flows associated with current customers from your 21 calculations of margin reserve; isn't that correct? 22 I think that you didn't read the 23 A No. beginning of the answer. The answer in full says 24 25 "Assuming existing customers do not increase their

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

usage, margin reserve would be used for future
 customers. The maximum flows associated with the
 current customers would not be accounted for within
 the margin reserve calculation."

5 Q So then is it true that the peaks that you 6 say that the used and useful function neglects because 7 of its average-over-average calculation are, in fact, 8 included in margin reserve, at least to some extent?

I don't know that I've ever seen anybody 9 break them out. I think that the argument from the 10 industry and that I made at hearing here have been 11 that margin reserve should include both a potential 12 increase in demand by existing customers, some 13 parameter associated therewith, perhaps based on a 14 percentage of the current flows or -- and, excuse me, 15 not or -- a growth allowance for some reasonable 16 period of time. 17

18 Q So you agree with our side then that margin 19 reserve does, in fact, take care of some of those 20 peaks that you say that the average-over-average flows 21 neglect?

A I don't know if that's the current position
of the Office of Public Counsel. It certainly was not
at the hearing that we had a couple of years ago.
Q Suppose I should thank you for agreeing with

1 us. MR. MCLEAN: 2 That's all questions I have. 3 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. JAEGER: 4 5 Good morning, Mr. Acosta, or is it Q afternoon? 6 Mr. Acosta, have you read the positions of 7 the parties as stated in the Prehearing Order? 8 I think I scanned them. I don't know that 9 "read" is an appropriate word. 10 It wouldn't surprise you that all the 11 0 12 professional engineers agree that the wastewater treatment plant must be designed to handle peak flows 13 whether they be hourly, daily or monthly, would it? 14 That's pretty standard. The wastewater treatment 15 plant must be capable of handling peak flows, and that 16 professional engineers agree with that? 17 No, that doesn't surprise me. 18 A Okay. Now, the choice of permitted 19 Q parameters, specifically the period of average flows, 20 21 || is selected by the utility in their permit 22 application; is that correct? The basis of design? 23 A The permitted parameters, you check a box on 24 Q the application with DEP and that's where you check 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

annual average daily flow? 1 I think that that's the basis of design. 2 That is not a permitted parameter. 3 Okay. But that is selected by the utility? 4 0 The basis of design is, indeed, is selected 5 A by the utility. 6 And DEP will not -- would not approve that 7 0 if the plant was not -- it was not appropriate; is 8 9 that correct? I believe that DEP would question it if 10 A there were sufficient reason to question it, yes. 11 But in this case they did approve annual 12 0 13 average daily flow, did they not? I believe that's a fair characterization. 14 A Now, plant hours of operation and required 15 Q manpower, is that determined by the permitted capacity 16 or the design capacity? 17 18 A They are usually one and the same, and they are one and the same in this case. 1.25. 19 Okay. Now --20 0 I guess what I'm saying is there's not a 21 А difference between the permitted capacity and the 22 design capacity in this case. And the staffing 23 requirements -- to answer the question further -- is 24 determined by a matrix in the rule. And the rule is 25

1 an exhibit to my rebuttal testimony.

Well, would it be to the utility's advantage 2 0 3 to get permitted at the lowest average, thereby reducing hours of operation and required manpower? 4 I don't believe it's to the utility's 5 A advantage, no. 6 And is that because the costs are going to 7 Q 8 be passed on to the customers no matter what? 9 No. As we painfully know, all costs No. A are not passed on to the customers no matter what. 10 11 They are subject to the prudency test associated with the normal hearings, and appropriately so. 12 It's been my experience that regulatory 13 requirements on staffing are not big contentious 14 15 issues during hearings or rate cases. But at a lower capacity, there are lesser 16 Q staffing, both hourly and manpower staffing; is that 17 18 correct? Depending on the category of the plant that 19 A could be, yes. In this particular case the staffing 20

21 of the plant before its expansion was 16 hours per day 22 7 days a week. After its expansion was 16 hours per 23 day 7 days a week.

24 Q Mr. Acosta, on Page 8, Line 9 through 13, 25 that's in your testimony, your remand, you testified

that you did not agree that the flows must match; is 1 that correct? 2

3

That's correct.

4 Going back to your college physics days, Q would you agree with the statement that if at some 5 stage in a calculation you find an equation or 6 expression has inconsistent units, you know that 7 you've made an error somewhere? Would you agree with 8 9 that statement?

I'd agree with that statement. I never 10 A found that to be the case in this particular issue. 11 The units of flow are volume over time, be 12 they gallons per minute, gallons per day, million 13 gallons per day. And they are expressed the same 14 whether they are for an average day in the max month 15 or an annual average; those are the units of flow. 16 When you divide those out in the used and useful 17 formula you get a dimensionless number that can be 18 multiplied by a hundred to ascertain a percentage. 19 So I would not characterize any dimensional inconsistency 20 associated with dividing max average day and max month 21 divided by the plant capacity expressed in 22 either million gallons per day or gallons per day. 23 Okay. I believe the max month average daily 24 0 flow for the test year was 1.1-some-odd million; is 25

that correct? 1 It's in my testimony on Page 10, Line 15, 2 A 3 1.1753. 4 0 Okay. That was the max month average daily 5 flow; is that correct? 6 A Yes. 7 And that's what you want to put in the Q 8 || numerator; is that correct? 9 That, plus the associated margin reserve. A 10 Q Plus the margin reserve. And you're going to put that over the capacity of the million gallons 11 || 12 || per day, 2.5 million gallons per day? 13 No. We're putting it over the capacity of A 14 1.25. I'm sorry, 1.25. Okay. If you divide 15 Q 1.37 -- I'm sorry, 1.7 --16 17 A 1.1753. 18 Q Max month average daily flow by 1.25 --19 A You get a dimensionless number, because both 20 flows are expressed in volume per time million gallons 21 per day. They cancel each other out and you get a 22 dimensionless number. The units are flow, are volume 23 per time. 24 Q For the max month. And I don't see where 25 the max month is being crossed out.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Max month is not a unit. The units of 1 A 2 flow -- I have a fluid -- elementary fluid mechanic's book here with me -- the units of flow are volume per 3 time, gallons per day, gallons per minute, those kind 4 5 of things.

You stated earlier in your testimony that a 6 Q plant designed solely upon annual average daily flow 7 would be to a plant that could not provide service for 8 in-peaking flows. Do you remember that? 9

Well, generally yes. A

10

13

25

A

Okay. Does the North Ft. Myers wastewater 11 Q treatment plant have a surge tank? 12

It has a flow equalization tank, yes. Flow equalization tank. What is the purpose 14 Q of the flow equalization tank? 15

Flow equalization tanks have multiple 16 А purposes. A couple of things you can do a with a flow 17 equalization tank. They are generally designed to 18 eliminate the diurnal flow pattern associated with a 19 particular day's flows. And they will -- you can have 20 a properly designed one would collect wastewater as it 21 came into the plant and pump it out at a constant rate 22 to the biological process for constant treating of 23 biological parameters downstream of that. 24

What that allows you to do generally is to

downsize, or make smaller, the treatment tanks' 1 biological process, aeration facilities, filters, all 2 of that stuff can generally be made smaller downstream 3 by the use of that flow equalization tank because you 4 are feeding it at a constant rate. And the tank on a 5 daily basis is taking out those within the day flow 6 pattern, flow changes. You get a peak flow or a peak 7 diurnal flow in the morning when people get up and 8 take a shower, have breakfast. Those kind of things. 9

You get a slug to the plant. It would flow into the flow equalization tank. It would start to fill up. During the period in the afternoon after lunch, the flows tend to slow down and you would be treating more flow than would be coming into the plant during that time, and the flow equalization tank would drop.

Again in the afternoon, early evening you'd 17 get another peak coming in and it would fill up. And 18 then during the nighttime hours you would empty the 19 flow equalization tank. That's the primary purpose of 20 a flow equalization tank. They are not designed to 21 hold the wastewater of 30 days' worth of flow. 22 Obviously, if you had a flow equalization tank of that 23 size, it would cover a large part of North Ft. Myers. 24 But a flow equalization tank will smooth out 25 0

or level out the flow peaks or surges and save them 1 for treatment hours later in the day? 2 Within the day. Within the day I think that 3 A that's true. If you do not have an empty flow 4 equalization tank by 6 a.m. the next morning, you 5 don't have a flow equalization tank any longer. 6 You're either going to overflow it or you're going to 7 have to increase the flow out of it to keep it from 8 overflowing. It's good for a day. Not a month. Not 9 a year. 10 If I could get some water from that pitcher. 11 This isn't Florida Cities' water and there's stuff in 12 it. (Indicating) 13 You say Florida Cities water does have stuff 14 Q in it? (Laughter) (Pause) 15 Are you ready? 16 17 A Yes. Okay. If you took the average daily flow --18 Q of course, if you divide by 24, you would obtain an 19 average hourly flow; is that correct? 20 If you take the --21 A Average daily flow and divide it by 24, 22 Q that's just a mathematical --23 That's not mathematical. You have to No. A 24 take the flow within 24 hours and divide it by 24 to 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

get an average hourly flow. For instance, you do not
 take the average flow over a year, divide it by 24 and
 get an average hourly flow. The two numbers are not
 necessarily the same.

Q Okay. But a wastewater treatment plant has
daily surges certain hours of the days when there are
flows which greatly exceed that hourly average; is
that correct?

9 A If I'm understanding you correctly, if you
10 take the -- say the flow to the plant was 1 MGD, you
11 divide that by 24, you get an average hourly flow,
12 whatever that number turns out to be. And you're
13 asking me are there periods during the 24-hour period
14 where that flow exceeds that number? Is that the
15 question?

16

17

Q Yes.

A The answer is yes.

Q And a well-designed plant would have to have
the capacity to handle those daily surges or peak
flows?

A well-designed plant would have to handle
all flows to the plant, be they peak hour, peak
minute, whatever.

24 **Q** And even if that plant is permitted on an 25 average daily flow basis, they still have to handle

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 all of those flows?

A No different than if it were permitted on any other basis of design such as three month or max month.

5 Q So a well designed plant must be able to 6 handle peak day flows, peak hour flows regardless of 7 the permitted capacity?

8 A I think that all well-designed plants should 9 be able to handle peak flows associated with that 10 particular plant regardless of the basis of design. 11 And it does have something to do with its permitted 12 capacity. Obviously, there is some physical 13 limitation on how much water you can push through a 14 certain size pipe, for instance.

MR. JAEGER: I have no further questions.
 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: How much redirect will
 you have?

18MR. GATLIN: Maybe about ten minutes.19CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We'll do redirect.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

21 || BY MR. GATLIN:

20

Q Mr. Acosta, if the record shows that you directly sponsored and supported Schedule F-6 out of the MFRs, would you stand corrected that Mr. Coel sponsored them?

A I would.

1

6

7

15

2 MR. JAEGER: Chairman Johnson, I just 3 realized I missed one question I'd like to ask. If 4 Ken would indulge me, I'd like to ask one more 5 question. I'm sorry, Ken.

MR. GATLIN: Go ahead.

CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. JAEGER:

9 Q I think I heard you, in questioning -- under 10 Mr. McLean's questioning, that the PSC has always, in 11 cases you were familiar with, used annual average 12 daily flow in the denominator and max month average 13 daily flow in the numerator. Do you remember saying 14 that?

A No. If I said that, I misspoke.

What the Commission has historically done is used the average daily flow in the max month in the numerator and the permitted plant capacity in the denominator.

Q And prior to this case, DEP was not stating
in the permit whether it was based on annual average
daily flow, three-month average daily flow or max
month average daily flow; is that correct?
A No, I disagree with that. It's certainly
on -- the basis of design is checked, for instance, in

the Barefoot Bay case. The basis of design was checked in this case. In fact, it's in the 1989 permit that the basis of design is average flow. It doesn't have a little box that says "annual average" but the information was included in the Preliminary Engineering Design Report available as an appendix or an attachment to the permit application.

8 Q But didn't DEP change their rule at the end 9 of '91 and that's when they went to the annual average 10 flow, three-month average daily flow or max month 11 average daily flow?

I think that -- I don't know the exact 12 timing of the DEP rule change, but those three bases 13 of design have been around for time immemorial. Ι 14 mean, I learned about those in college. They weren't 15 here -- I mean, those didn't get invented in 1991, no. 16 Those have been around for a long period of time. 17 But DEP didn't require that in the permit, Q 18 did it? 19

A There was no box to check but it was
included in the Preliminary Engineering Design Report
what the basis of design was. You have to have that
in order to design a plant.

MR. JAEGER: No further questions.

25

24

1	CONTINUED REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. GATLIN:
3	Q In this case, in the Commission's order, the
4	final order that was appealed, the Commission found
5	that the plant was 65.9% used and useful, and included
6	65.9% of investment in rate base and deleted the other
7	part. What assistance would applying the margin
8	reserve be in recovering any of that investment and
9	putting it in the rate base?
10	A From a magnitude perspective the average
11	daily flow in the max month is 1.1753. The annual
12	average daily flow is .9421 during the test year, and
13	the margin reserve associated with this particular
14	plant during the test year was .0573 million gallons
15	per day, so about 57,000 gallons, if I'm doing that
16	math in my head correctly.
17	Q And would there be an imputation on that
18	margin reserve of CIAC? Pursuant to the Commission's
19	policy?
20	A Historically it's been fully imputed, so is
21	of no help in more recent cases, and I'm not sure
22	which we did in this particular case. There's been a
23	50% imputation, so effectively that margin reserve
24	would be cut in half, or to zero, depending on what

25 was done.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Isn't what your position is that you want Q 1 the investment that is necessary to treat peak and max 2 flows to be in the rate base? 3 MR. McLEAN: Objection, leading. 4 MR. GATLIN: What was it? 5 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: There was an objection. 6 He said you were leading. 7 Sure. Was I really? MR. GATLIN: 8 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: You might want to 9 rephrase it. 10 (By Mr. Gatlin) What is the reason that 11 0 you're asking for a larger percentage to be in rate 12 base? 13 I believe that the peak flows associated 14 A with any particular given wastewater treatment plant 15 must be treated biologically hydraulically and meet 16 all the environmental parameters associated therewith, 17 and should, therefore, be recovered through the rates 18 associated with that particular service territory. 19 The folks within the service territory are generating 20 the flows and should be responsible for paying for 21 22 those flows. In this case -- let me show you the 23 0 Commission Order PSC-96-1133-FOF-SU, Page 17. If you 24 will look at the bottom of the page, read that 25

1 sentence there.

2 Yes. "In part, the above-mentioned \$800,000 A 3 approximate reduction is due to the elimination of 4 peak flow measurements." Then it goes on. 5 0 That's all you need to read. Does that indicate to you -- could you state whether or not that 6 indicates to you that the Commission, in fact, deleted 7 investment that was attributable to the treatment of 8 II peak flows, max flows? 9 10 A Yes. And is it your position that this investment 11 Q ought to be restored? 12 || 13 Yes. Ά 14 You were asked several questions about Q checking the boxes for the kind of permit for the DEP 15 issuance. What were the other boxes? One was annual 16 17 average day and one was --18 Α The other options are three-month average 19 daily flow, max month average daily flow, and then 20 there's a box characterized as "Other." 21 What would be the capacity of the plant if Q you checked one of the other boxes? 22 A 23 1.25 MGD. 24 No matter what box --Q 25 A Regardless of the box that you check.

Capacity is 1.25? 1 Q Correct. 2 A 3 The checking of the box has no effect on the Q 4 capacity? It's the basis of design. 5 A No. MR. GATLIN: That's all I have. 6 7 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Exhibits. MR. GATLIN: I move exhibits, exhibit 8 9 number --CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: 33. 10 MR. GATLIN: 33. 11 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Show that admitted 12 without objection. 13 (Composite Exhibit 33 received in evidence.) 14 MR. GATLIN: And I believe the testimony was 15 inserted. 16 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: If it wasn't, then it 17 should have been inserted into the record as though 18 read. 19 MR. GATLIN: All right. Thank you. 20 MR. McLEAN: Citizens move Exhibit 34. 21 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Show that admitted 22 without objection. 23 (Exhibit 34 received in evidence.) 24 25 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Acosta.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	We're going to go ahead and take our lunch
2	break now for about 30 minutes. According to my
3	watch. It's 20 to 1:00. We'll reconvene at 10 after
4	1:00.
5	(Lunch recess was taken at 12:20 p.m.)
6	(Transcript continues in Volume 8.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Ш

VULUME 7 120587-50

	2	•
\$10.98 \$40/12 \$12.15 \$39/8 \$14.56 \$38/18 \$2,551,000 \$13/13 \$2.69 \$39/2 \$28.56 \$38/15 \$3.32 \$39/10 \$3.38 \$40/4 \$5 \$39/10, \$39/15, \$39/17, \$46/20 \$5.15 \$39/1 \$60 \$40/21 \$80 \$41/8 \$800,000 \$21/2 \$90 \$41/6	2 846/11, 846/21, 846/22, 847/9, 848/8, 850/5, 850/10, 850/16, 890/15 2.5 902/6, 902/9, 911/12 20 807/14, 923/3 21 850/24, 851/4, 851/21, 870/12, 896/18, 890/7, 896/9, 902/4 21.5% 820/8 21.5% 820/8 22, 73% 813/14 23 851/21 24 886/18, 887/17, 914/19, 914/22, 914/25, 915/2, 915/11 24-bour 915/13 24th 814/1, 814/3, 825/20 2540 807/11, 810/23	9 502/21, 503/2, 509/24 9.08% \$13/17 907 500/16 916 917 500/17 919 500/18 922 500/23, 500/25 923 500/16 93.4% \$20/7 942 \$35/9, \$35/17 9421 919/12 950387-5U 506/4 9th \$14/18
♣ ♣ \$07/3, \$08/23	25th \$14/1, \$52/7 29 \$52/11 2:00 \$68/8 2ml \$14/22, \$66/9	A a.m 806/16, 809/2, 867/14, 914/5 abhorrent 843/15 abide 849/9, 849/23 ability 898/17
3	3	accept \$55/19, 901/6 acceptable \$52/15
*91 918/9 *92 \$42/15 *93 *94 \$47/6, \$4\$/11, \$48/20, \$51/2, \$51/10, \$52/3	3 821/2, 821/7, 821/8, 850/16, 860/11, 860/17, 860/20, 861/23, 866/15, 895/6, 895/7, 895/15 30 913/22, 923/2 30% 887/15 30-minute 868/9 32 871/7	accepted 858/5 access 812/20, 831/5, 843/21 accessible 831/4, 831/7 accessible 831/4, 831/7 accessible 831/4, 831/7 accessible 831/4, 837/11, 827/23, 903/21 accessible 828/3 accessible 828/3
0 0573 919/14 1	32301 807/4, \$16/4 32399 \$16/15, \$16/24 32399-0862 \$07/12 32399-1406 \$07/8 33 \$66/23, \$71/16, \$71/16, \$71/16, \$22/16, \$22/11, \$22/14	account \$50/25, \$64/25 accounted \$48/9, 905/19, 906/3 accounting \$11/24 ACOSTA \$000/13, \$60/11, \$69/1, \$69/9, \$69/15 Acosta's \$66/16, \$71/14 action \$13/8, \$13/11
1 \$13/4, \$48/13, \$52/12, \$76/6, \$76/21, \$71/6, \$64/23, \$15/10 1,000 \$39/17, \$40/14 1.1-some-odd \$10/25 1.1753 \$11/3, \$11/17, \$19/11 1.2 \$19/15, \$61/4 1.755 \$20/15 \$20/16 \$20/16 \$20/16 \$20/16 \$20/17 \$20/11	4 546/11, 546/21, 546/22, 586/16, 596/15	active \$56/2 address \$10/14, \$15/18, \$69/8, \$69/9 ADFMM \$87/6, \$87/18, \$88/8 admitted \$22/12, \$22/22 ADMTD \$88/21 Advanced \$70/19, \$03/10
1.25 \$19/11, \$60/25, \$91/5, \$91/24, \$92/3, \$96/13, 900/7, \$01/4, \$02/14, \$08/19, \$11/14, \$11/15, \$11/18, 921/23, \$22/1 1.3 \$19/17 1.37 \$11/16 1.5 \$19/17, \$04/24 1.7 \$11/16	41 841/10 42-cent 841/6 4443 817/20 471 886/4 4837 869/9	advantage 909/2, 909/6 advertising 838/1 advised 255/20, 856/25 advocating 289/5 aeration 251/16, 913/2 afford 832/14 afternoon 814/18, 907/6, 913/12, 913/17
10 850/6, 850/10, 860/12, 860/17, 860/18, 860/19, 860/20, 861/23, 866/16, 870/7, 870/12, 911/2, 923/3 10,000 839/17 100 869/10 100% 862/6, 862/17, 862/21, 863/1, 863/3, 866/4, 866/12, 902/17, 902/18 10:00 809/13	5 5 821/1, 821/7, 821/9, 852/19, 888/15 50% 844/15, 895/12, 919/23 57,000 919/15 5965 831/21	agency \$13/8, \$13/18, \$36/20 agree \$31/10, \$86/7, \$86/12, 901/19, 906/18, 907/12, 907/17, 910/1, 910/5, 910/8, 910/10 agreeing 906/25 allow \$13/5, \$14/16, \$48/5, \$49/13, \$49/16, \$50/1, \$50/18, \$50/19 allowance 905/5, 906/16
10:10 806/16, 809/2 10:15 867/9, 867/14 10th \$13/23, 814/5, 862/2 11 847/4, 870/7, 870/8 11-9-92 870/28 11 807/7, 810/14	6 6 854/16, 851/21, 859/16, 879/18, 899/15, 895/26, 895/22, 914/5 60% 820/12 62-600.405 808/25, 879/25, 895/1	allowed 348/13, 849/19 allows 912/25 allude 847% allude 367/8 alluding 855/2 amount 318/4, 832/5
12 844/11, 820/6, 850/11, 850/14, 851/7, 851/9, 852/19, 869/22, 870/8, 902/22, 963/2 12,000 839/10 13 852/19, 885/8, 909/24 134% 832/4, 842/16 1375 806/18	631 852/8 65.9% 919/5, 919/6 6:00 859/15, 859/17, 868/11 7	analysis \$50/25, \$93/12, \$93/22, \$93/23, \$94/3, \$94/11, \$95/9, \$95/18, \$95/23, \$96/2, \$96/5, \$96/12, \$96/18, \$96/25 analyse \$56/7 announcement \$99/4, \$12/10 annual \$13/13, \$19/11, \$19/25, \$47/5, \$51/5, \$52/22,
14 847/9, 848/8, 850/24 14 847/9, 848/8, 850/24 14th 861/3 15 911/2 16 996/7, 958/9, 900/10, 902/4, 909/21, 909/22 17 809/21, 920/24 176 832/4 1750 845/12	7 806/9, 808/2, 808/20, 850/24, 851/4, 870/6, 870/18, 999/22, 999/23 73.4% 820/8 74 870/22 75.8% 820/11	861/7, 363/4, 836/22, 838/25, 839/3, 891/2, 891/5, 992/4, 892/15, 897/12, 897/17, 896/13, 898/15, 899/5, 899/23, 900/1, 900/25, 901/3, 901/22, 908/1, 906/12, 910/16, 912/7, 917/11, 917/21, 918/4, 918/9, 919/11, 921/16 answer 831/24, 836/13, 836/22, 863/2, 888/18, 900/4,
1/30 545/12 18,2% 526/11 18th 514/21, 525/4 19 851/21, 852/3, 888/15 1985 585/6 1989 918/2 1991 918/16 1992 13/4, 813/19	\$ \$ \$06/15, \$51/4, \$70/21, \$29/23, \$90/2, 909/24 \$06 306/10 \$12 407/0 \$15 907/4 \$17 908/5 \$15 907/4	965/24, 966/24, 915/17 answering 315/23 answers 336/11, 876/1 anticipating 362/6 apologize 569/13 appeal 314/7, 836/18, 836/19, 861/17, 863/15, 863/17, 864/11, 864/18 appealed 813/19, 814/6, 863/12, 919/4
1994 852/10 1996 813/6, 813/23, 814/3, 814/5, 852/7, 854/11 1997 820/5 1997-98 818/5 1998 806/15, 809/22, 820/9, 861/3, 862/2 1999 814/21, 814/22 1:00 923/3	831 908/7 835 908/9 845 908/11 853 908/12 869 908/14 871 908/23 872 908/15	Appeals 209/18, 814/8, 826/4, 836/1, 836/3, 836/9, 841/15, 842/10, 842/25, 254/13, 865/1 APPEARANCES 207/1, 209/23 appendix 918/6 Applause 244/15 Application 206/5, 870/19, 870/25, 292/3, 292/5, 292/9, 292/10, 297/2, 907/22, 907/25, 918/7
	884 894 806/25	applications 867/1, 891/2 applied 861/24, 886/9

applies \$66/20 apply \$64/13 applying 919/7 appreciate \$26/18, \$45/17 approaches \$\$6/2 appropriate \$10/20, \$11/9, \$12/14, \$12/19, \$15/8, appropriate 510/30, 511/9, 512/14, 512/19, 515 547/15, 560/6, 364/3, 864/7, 387/9, 507/10, 506/8 appropriately 505/12 approve 508/7, 506/12 approximate 921/3 April \$52/7, \$61/3 area \$34/9, \$37/23, \$38/25, \$39/6, \$43/14, \$43/18, 843/24, 890/20, 905/6 Arguably \$95/22 argue \$41/1\$ argued \$29/19 argument \$57/3, \$64/1, \$64/13, \$64/16, 906/10 argumentative \$53/4 ariec \$1\$/22 arrives 399/5 ascertain 916/19 aspect \$36/23 aspects \$51/14, \$57/10 amerted 904/10 asserting \$97/17 assertion \$91/3 assist \$10/20 assistance \$30/16, 919/7 Assistant \$26/25 Associate \$97/6 mociated 890/23, 892/22, 893/17, 905/18, 905/21, 906/2, 906/14, 909/11, 910/21, 911/9, 912/19, 916/9, 919/13, 920/14, 920/17, 920/19 Association 862/11, 903/12 atrocious \$32/16 attached \$1\$/3, \$1\$/10, \$1\$/17, \$20/5, \$21/1, \$21/3, 121/8 attachment \$92/9, 918/7 attention \$\$9/24, \$90/19 attorney \$27/5, \$53/1, \$53/2, \$54/17, \$64/21 attributable 921/8 August \$48/17 authority \$36/15 available \$12/18, \$20/18, \$23/11, \$25/18, \$30/6, \$56/6, \$59/15, \$67/22, \$\$4/1, 918/6 8566, 859/15, 867/22, 884/1, 918/6 Avatar 834/3, 837/7, 837/20 average 819/8, 819/12, 819/25, 820/21, 821/2, 821/8, 846/6, 847/3, 847/5, 848/10, 848/12, 851/5, 851/7, 851/8, 851/18, 852/22, 852/23, 860/25, 861/7, 863/4, 896/22, 856/24, 857/6, 858/3, 858/25, 869/3, 891/2, 896/13, 892/4, 892/14, 895/12, 897/13, 897/17, 807/18, 996/13, 992/14, 895/12, 897/13, 897/17, 977/18, 996/13, 909/3, 910/15, 910/16, 918/21, 917/20, 906/1, 906/13, 909/3, 910/15, 910/16, 918/21, 910/24, 911/4, 911/18, 912/7, 914/18, 914/20, 917/12, 917/12, 917/17, 915/3, 915/7, 915/11, 915/25, 917/11, 917/12, 917/17, 917/21, 917/22, 917/23, 918/3, 918/4, 918/9, 918/10, 918/11, 919/10, 919/12, 921/17, 921/18, 921/19 average-over-average 906/7, 906/20 averaging \$50/14, \$51/6, \$51/19

5 background \$12/24, \$34/3, \$86/11 backgrounds \$25/1 bad \$35/13, \$50/8, \$89/20 ballpark 995/1 Barefoot 918/1 base \$38/11, \$38/12, \$38/15, \$39/7, \$39/14, \$40/7, 844/12, 919/6, 919/9, 920/3, 920/13 based \$35/23, \$60/25, \$61/7, \$97/14, 906/14, 917/21 bases \$\$7/2, 918/13 basis \$56/21, \$96/23, \$57/9, \$85/4, \$52/5, \$92/16, \$92/25, 902/3, 907/23, 906/2, 908/5, 913/6, 915/25, \$16/3, 916/10, 917/25, 918/1, 918/3, 918/22, 922/5 Bay 918/1 beneficial \$46/4 benefit \$19/21 big \$42/16, 909/14 bill \$32/16, \$40/21, \$41/6, \$41/8 bills \$32/14, \$34/18, \$42/13 biological 889/25, 896/9, 896/11, 896/16, 896/20, 994/22, 991/14, 991/26, 991/25, 892/5, 892/22, 993/9, 993/14, 893/21, 895/14, 895/19, 895/24, 896/6, 896/23, 912/23, 912/24, 913/2 biologically 920/16 bit \$12/24, \$17/24, \$27/14, \$32/21 blame \$43/7 bine \$14/23 BOB \$07/16 BOD \$52/15 bolls \$32/12 bend \$34/3

beek 912/3 books \$33/23 bettled \$32/24 bettom 901/8, 920/25 Boulevard \$07/12, \$16/23 bez \$67/6, \$57/15, \$57/19, \$57/21, \$91/8, \$91/12, 907/24, 918/4, 918/20, 921/26, 921/24, 921/25, 922/3 boxes 886/25, 891/1, 921/15, 921/16, 921/22 branch 238/6, 838/7 brenk 239/12, 259/16, 259/18, 368/11, 906/10, 923/2 breakfast 913/9 breaks \$11/18, \$68/5 bridge £39/13 Brief 259/23, 364/3, 864/14, 865/6, 866/21 BRILLHART 2002/8, 235/1, 235/3, 835/8, 835/9, 235/16, 835/17, 837/3, 844/7, 844/23 bring \$28/16, \$56/\$ bringing 855/11 brought 822/17, 833/17 brown 843/25, 844/1, 844/3, 844/5 build \$3\$/3, \$94/2 building \$37/21, \$37/25 bunch \$32/13 Bureau 206/20 uses \$35/1 buy \$32/7, \$34/15 buying \$32/23 C C1 \$06/18 calculate \$20/6, \$64/23 calculation \$14/10, \$14/11, \$48/16, \$66/5, \$94/25, 995/19, 996/4, 996/7, 910/6 calculations \$28/16, 905/22 call \$17/4, \$17/6, \$26/25, \$34/4, \$35/1, \$44/24, \$54/23 Caleosahatchee \$50/21 came \$09/5, \$09/6, \$25/26, \$62/6, \$85/5, \$85/22, 912/22 cancel 911/21 cancel 911/21 capability 902/15 capacity 814/9, 819/9, 819/18, 819/13, 848/12, 848/14, 859/19, 859/26, 851/3, 851/26, 852/13, 866/24, 866/25, 861/3, 861/7, 869/18, 864/22, 896/26, 891/5, 891/16, 892/6, 893/12, 893/22, 893/23, 894/3, 895/18, 994/6, 894/7, 894/8, 894/11, 895/9, 895/13, 895/18, 994/6, 894/7, 894/8, 894/11, 895/9, 895/13, 895/18, 895/23, 896/1, 896/5, 897/12, 897/15, 897/16, 899/1, 899/8, 900/2, 900/7, 900/9, 901/4, 901/6, 901/9, 901/13, 904/22, 908/16, 908/17, 908/22, 908/23, 909/16, 910/22, 911/11, 911/13, 915/19, 916/7, 916/12, 917/18, 921/21, 922/1, 922/4 Cape \$37/21 capital \$33/24 care \$17/18, \$32/8, \$40/17, \$63/14, 906/19 **Carlos 839/6** carry \$23/4 case \$12/25, \$13/4, \$13/7, \$13/9, \$19/11, \$22/19, 823/1, 824/8, 829/15, 831/5, 841/14, 849/12, 854/13, 854/18, 854/20, 855/5, 856/6, 856/7, 856/19, 857/6, 857/11, 858/1, 861/9, 861/21, 862/1, 862/7, 862/8, 961/11, 862/25, 863/25, 864/11, 864/18, 864/22, 865/1, 865/4, 865/5, 865/7, 865/8, 865/9, 865/11, 865/14, 866/7, 866/13, 866/19, 885/25, 899/11, 903/17, 905/2 908/12, 908/19, 908/23, 909/20, 910/11, 917/20, 918/1, 918/2, 919/3, 919/22, 920/23 acs \$\$5/11, \$\$9/1, 942/18, 949/15, 917/11, 919/21 cataloged \$12/18 catch \$35/14, \$98/5 category 909/19 caused 155/23 Center \$96/17 certification \$91/19 certification 391/19 chairing \$11/3 CHAIRMAN 306/13, 309/3, 309/23, 810/1, \$10/6, \$10/11, \$10/17, \$11/1, \$11/2, \$16/14, \$16/18, \$16/20, \$16/24, \$17/5, \$17/14, \$17/18, \$22/7, \$22/9, \$26/18, \$27/4, \$27/18, \$27/21, \$29/10, \$38/15, \$39/7, \$22/20, 82//3, 82//16, 82//11, 824/21, 834/24, 844/17, 844/21, 830/21, 831/11, 834/21, 834/24, 844/17, 844/21, 846/21, 847/10, 847/24, 848/4, 849/13, 849/23, 849/25, 853/10, 853/15, 853/19, 857/12, 858/9, 858/22, 859/1, 859/25, 860/14, 860/19, 860/21, 861/19, 863/10, \$63/11, \$63/21, \$64/19, \$64/20, \$66/14, \$66/22, 866/25, 867/12, 867/19, 868/1, 868/6, 868/15, 869/12, 869/16, 871/3, 871/5, 871/7, 871/12, 884/3, 894/16, 894/19, 894/22, 916/16, 916/19, 917/2, 920/6, 920/9, 922/7, 922/10, 922/12, 922/17, 922/22, 922/25 chance \$28/20, \$46/1\$ change 809/8, 809/9, 809/11, 819/24, 820/2, 862/13, sta/16, 309/14, 904/19, 918/8, 918/13 changed \$32/21 changes 913/7 changing \$89/10, 903/20, 903/23, 904/12, 905/3, 965/9

Chapter \$70/25 characteristics 891/25 characterization 906/14 characterize 910/20 characterized 807/25, 921/20 charge \$38/11, \$38/15, \$36/24, \$39/7, \$40/4, \$40/13. **142/2**1 charged \$37/17, \$38/23, \$39/1 chart \$4\$/21, \$49/1 check \$33/12, \$52/7, \$52/18, \$87/19, \$91/1, \$91/9, 907/24, 907/25, 918/26, 921/25 checked \$18/15, \$87/1, \$87/7, 887/21, 917/25, 918/2, 921/22 checking \$\$7/18, 921/15, 922/3 CHERYL \$\$\$/10, \$37/11, \$44/24, \$45/1, \$45/11 Chief 306/20 children \$32/13, \$32/16 choice 907/19 CIAC 889/12, 919/18 Circle 817/20 cite 903/1 cited \$65/7 Cities 306/6, 307/5, 309/17, 810/5, 813/1, 817/21, \$19/20, \$20/3, \$32/1, \$35/18, \$35/24, \$35/25, \$36/6, \$37/5, \$37/9, \$38/6, \$38/17, \$39/8, \$43/10, \$45/13, 845/20, 848/22, 852/24, 862/8, 869/3, 869/19, 885/6, 885/13, 885/19, 887/24, 889/2, 896/4, 914/14 Cities' 914/12 citing \$46/19 Citisens 307/9, 310/15, 817/2, 817/6, 817/9, 821/24, 831/16, 835/1, 835/4, 840/18, 841/2, 844/24, 845/2, 903/5, 903/10, 922/21 citizens' \$23/7 City \$18/11, \$18/14, \$39/12, \$39/25, \$40/8 Civic \$62/11 claims \$51/5 clear \$44/9, 900/17 clearly \$22/21, \$55/6, \$64/15 client \$55/24 close 900/8 closer \$17/24 Chub \$18/5, \$18/14 code \$31/22 collect 912/21 college 916/4, 918/15 Column \$21/1, \$21/2, \$21/7, \$21/8, \$21/9 combination \$25/6 comfortable \$16/5 Commenced \$06/16 nament \$14/17, \$17/3, \$22/4, \$29/6, \$29/22, \$56/12 COT comments \$17/16, \$22/6, \$28/7, \$49/17, \$50/4, 259/14 COMMISSION 306/1, 307/11, 307/13, \$10/25, \$11/3, \$11/21, \$13/8, \$13/9, \$13/18, \$14/4, \$14/8, \$14/12, \$14/13, \$14/14, \$14/21, \$19/7, \$19/23, \$20/13, 820/15, 821/19, 821/25, 830/1, 835/23, 835/25, 836/4, 837/2, 841/15, 842/10, 843/2, 843/3, 843/18, 847/11, 853/5, 854/14, 857/1, 859/2, 858/14, 859/14, 861/4, 861/23, 862/7, 862/20, 863/24, 865/3, 887/11, 888/16, 888/21, 889/2, 889/5, 889/8, 896/18, 997/2, 997/3, 899/18, 899/19, 900/23, 901/21, 903/6, 904/2, 904/7, 904/13, 904/14, 904/17, 917/16, 919/4, 920/24, 921/7 insion's 309/19, \$13/10, \$89/24, 890/19, 898/21, 919/3, 919/18 COMMISSIONER 966/13, 506/14, \$11/6, \$11/7, \$17/23, \$18/1, \$21/5, \$21/11, \$22/11, \$22/15, \$23/10, 824/5, 824/19, 825/2, 825/5, 825/7, 825/11, 825/21, 226/15, 8228/19, 829/23, 830/5, 836/20, 830/23, 831/3, 831/10, 835/11, 836/12, 844/3, 845/9, 845/10, 846/16, 846/23, 847/18, 850/7, 850/12, 851/22, 854/16, 845/16, 855/14, 855/25, 858/12, 865/10, 867/8, 867/24, 894/21 Commissioners 810/9, 815/21, 845/16 Commissions' 896/11 amittee \$12/3 CO annon 394/9 Company 306/6, 397/5, 309/17, 810/5, 819/10, 813/1, 813/2, 813/14, 815/4, 815/21, 817/21, 818/12 \$18/15, \$18/20, \$20/3, \$24/9, \$24/19, \$24/22, \$26/13, 239/5, 229/13, 232/7, 832/11, 233/22, 234/4, 234/7, 234/8, 236/16, 238/19, 239/3, 252/24, 257/22, 269/3, 269/19, 227/24, 291/3, 296/4, 943/11 Company's \$19/21, \$23/7, \$29/18, \$45/20 comparable \$39/8 compare \$21/5, 901/12 compared \$21/2 comparison \$35/5, \$39/4 comparisons \$37/19, \$39/23 compensated \$33/19 compensation 903/15 compiling \$1\$/21 complete \$4\$/5, \$70/15 completed \$26/9 complex \$22/22

complicated \$15/3, \$21/23 component \$93/11, \$96/9, \$96/10, \$97/12, \$97/16 components \$\$4/16 Composite \$08/24, \$71/4, \$71/6, \$71/13, \$71/14, 922/14 computation 896/18, 896/23, 899/5, 899/7, 963/5 compute 904/13 computer \$12/20, \$31/9 computers \$12/14 computing \$96/22 concept 384/13, 384/15, 385/1, 385/10, 886/1, 386/8 concerned \$09/7, \$21/24, \$24/25 concerns \$11/8, \$96/12 concert \$93/6, \$97/6 conclude \$\$7/23 conclusion \$21/18, \$45/24, \$52/22, \$86/11 condition \$21/4 conditions \$19/15 conference \$23/19, \$23/25, \$24/3, \$25/3, \$25/17, \$56/2, \$57/8, \$65/9, \$67/6 conferences \$54/3 conflict \$67/5 confusing \$20/24 conscious \$29/3 conserve \$42/6 consideration \$52/23, \$93/9, \$93/14 considerations \$21/25, \$51/14 consistently \$46/3 constant 912/22, 912/23, 913/5 constraints \$27/7, \$27/8 construction/expansion \$70/24 contacted 856/23 contain \$52/11 contentious 909/14 contest \$66/\$ contested \$65/13, \$65/15, \$65/25, \$66/3 continuation \$55/16 continue \$49/14, 859/11, 871/9 continue \$49/14, 859/11, 871/9 continued \$004/17, \$00/18, 917/7, 919/1 continuing \$36/10, \$90/20 continuing \$36/10, \$96/20 contrast \$99/9 contributing \$47/7 controlling \$62/9, \$62/13 convened 309/2 Convention 306/17 conversations 885/15, 885/18, 885/23 copies \$17/15, \$45/7, \$53/20 Copy 308/25, 823/24, 825/22, 826/14, 826/15, 845/14, \$47/15, \$48/1, \$49/1, \$94/10, \$96/2 Ceral \$37/22 CONTROL \$36/17, \$36/18, \$70/16, \$71/2, \$84/19, \$85/2, \$85/3, \$86/20, \$86/24, \$87/8, \$88/23, \$89/21, \$89/22, \$90/3, \$90/21, \$91/6, \$91/7, \$93/3, \$85/28, \$95/25, 396/19, 396/20, 398/23, 399/24, 399/25, 901/9, 901/10, 901/13, 901/22, 902/7, 902/17, 903/7, 903/15, 905/22, 907/22, 908/9, 909/18, 910/2, 910/3, 911/1, 911/5, 911/8, 914/20, 915/8, 917/23, 922/2 corrected 916/24 corrections \$70/4, \$70/15 correctly 862/25, 915/9, 919/16 correspondence 853/16 costs 909/7, 905/9 Counsei \$07/6, \$07/7, \$09/14, \$10/13, \$10/19, \$10/20, \$11/19, \$15/5, \$17/1, \$17/3, \$26/25, \$27/1, 868/7, 884/3, 894/15, 906/23 Counsel's \$24/20, \$24/22, \$26/11, \$28/25 counter \$41/17 Country \$18/14 County 306/7 couple \$11/12, \$12/6, \$23/18, \$33/18, \$41/21, \$63/12, \$68/8, \$68/10, \$79/3, \$96/24, \$12/17 course \$27/4, \$29/16, \$49/23, \$52/7, \$\$7/15, \$96/25, 914/19 Court 309/18, 814/7, 815/14, 820/4, 831/21, 836/1, 836/3, 836/9, 841/15, 842/10, 853/19, 854/12, 861/17, 862/2, 862/13, 862/18, 862/21, 863/1, 864/25, 866/3, 866/13 courts \$37/1, \$62/10 cover 913/24 covered \$24/2 eriticize \$21/21 Cross \$05/15, \$08/16, \$08/17, \$16/3, \$30/12, \$55/10, \$55/11, \$84/5, \$07/3, \$17/7 crossed 911/25 CROUCH 997/16 CSR 806/20 customer \$09/15, \$11/3, \$12/11, \$14/2, \$16/12, \$16/21, \$17/4, \$17/21, \$23/23, \$24/17, \$27/5, \$28/9, \$28/16, \$30/7, \$30/8, \$35/18, \$48/13, \$49/15, \$49/20, 154/24, 154/25, 155/4, 155/9, 166/13, 166/15, 157/1, 857/4, 857/10, 858/25, 859/17

ers \$11/12, \$13/20, \$16/15, \$23/21, \$26/21, \$27/24, \$25/4, \$28/14, \$28/18, \$29/19, \$36/7, \$49/16, 856/6, 856/7, 860/5, 983/20, 983/24, 984/3, 944/3, 94/12, 904/16, 904/18, 904/22, 904/23, 985/9, 985/12, 985/18, 985/21, 985/25, 986/2, 986/3, 986/13, 989/8, 505/10 ans' \$28/7, 965/13 C. cut \$42/13, 919/24 cutting \$44/15 Ð daily \$19/8, \$19/12, \$19/25, \$20/1, \$20/21, \$21/1, \$21/9, \$46/6, \$47/3, \$47/5, \$51/5, \$51/7, \$51/18, 152/22, 152/23, 160/25, 161/8, 186/22, 186/24, 187/6, 888/3, 888/25, 889/3, 891/3, 891/6, 892/4, 892/15, 895/12, 897/13, 897/17, 897/18, 898/14, 899/19, 999/23, 900/1, 900/24, 900/25, 901/3, 901/22, 901/24, 905/10, 907/14, 908/1, 908/13, 910/24, 911/4, 911/18, 912/7, 913/6, 914/18, 914/22, 915/6, 915/19, 915/25, 917/12, 917/13, 917/17, 917/22, 917/23, 918/10, 918/11, 919/11, 919/12, 921/19 darkened \$45/14 data \$18/9, \$18/19, \$18/21, \$19/3 DATE 806/15 day \$19/11, \$48/13, \$52/18, \$52/13, \$63/4, \$91/5, \$92/4, \$96/14, \$99/9, \$99/15, \$66/6, \$62/6, \$64/26, \$99/21, \$99/23, \$16/14, \$16/15, \$16/21, \$16/23, 911/12, 911/21, 912/4, 913/6, 914/2, 914/3, 914/9, 916/6, 919/15, 921/17 day's \$12/20 day,million 916/13 eay, munon 910/13 day/month 863/5 days 852/12, 901/24, 909/22, 909/23, 910/4, 915/6 days 913/22 DCA 814/11, 866/23, 861/21 deal \$37/6, \$37/12, \$55/9, \$61/14, \$\$7/12, \$92/14, 901/13 deals 851/12 dealt \$99/8 DEASON \$96/13, \$11/6, \$29/23, \$36/5, \$30/20 debatable \$19/16 debated \$24/14 December \$96/15, \$66/9 decide \$23/8, \$65/19 decided \$19/24, \$65/11, \$99/19 deciding \$61/5 decision \$15/13, \$36/2, \$36/9, \$89/7 decisions \$22/1 definition \$93/19 degree \$84/9 deleted 919/6, 921/7 deliberations \$15/13, \$21/19 demand 904/23, 905/13, 906/13 demands 903/20, 903/23, 904/3, 904/7, 904/12, 904/15, 905/3, 905/9 democracy \$36/25 denied \$58/22 denominator \$19/18. 901/8, 902/1, 902/10, 917/12. 017/10 DEP \$18/21, \$19/2, \$61/6, \$67/18, \$91/19, \$91/23, \$92/2, \$93/18, \$93/24, \$07/25, \$08/7, \$08/10, \$17/20, \$18/8, \$18/13, \$18/18, \$21/15 Department \$59/17, \$91/9, \$93/13 depends \$39/18, \$39/19 deposing \$29/13 describe 901/2 described 900/24, 901/1, 901/3, 901/5 describing 846/15, 847/2 design \$19/9, \$19/10, \$19/13, \$51/20, \$70/20, \$\$4/23, delaga 31379, 813719, 813713, 83149, 97409, 9740, 836/21, 836/23, 887/2, 887/10, 888/4, 899/16, 891/4, 891/13, 991/13, 921/2, 822/16, 893/7, 833/6, 893/10, 896/13, 906/2, 907/23, 906/2, 906/5, 908/17, 908/23, 916/3, 916/3, 916/3, 918/3, 918/6, 807/25, 918/1, 918/3, 918/6, 807/25, 908/15, 908/25, 908/17, 908/25, 916/15, 916/25, 918/1, 918/3, 918/6, 916/25, 916/15, 916/15, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/15, 918/25, 918/14, 918/21, 918/22, 918/23, 922/5 tsigned \$13/13, 902/12, 907/13, 912/7, 912/14, 912/21, 913/21, 916/5 designing \$51/14 detail \$12/8 details \$56/6 determination \$18/23, \$47/2, \$63/8, \$65/4, \$84/23, \$86/3, \$97/14 determine \$10/7, \$18/4, \$18/24, \$38/24, \$47/5 determined \$26/12, \$62/25, \$86/5, 900/7, 908/16, 906/25 determines \$36/21 determining \$19/7, \$66/11, \$\$\$/17, \$38/22 develop \$29/14 development \$37/21, \$37/25 Dick \$18/11 difference \$26/5, \$26/11, \$26/14, \$32/25, \$62/18, 002/22 differences \$18/22

difficult \$22/18, \$23/4, \$25/20 düemma \$27/13 diluted 901/24 dilution 399/15 dimens ional 918/28 dimensionless 910/18, 911/19, 911/22 dinner \$6\$/11 Direct 308/5, 508/7, 308/9, 508/11, 308/14, 308/15, 517/12, 830/15, 831/19, 835/7, 845/5, 846/19, 860/16, 866/16, 869/5, 871/15, 884/25, 886/16, 886/17 directed \$26/13 direction \$25/24 dirt \$33/16 disabled \$56/1\$ disagree 891/22, 899/5, 902/11, 917/24 disappearing \$33/3, \$33/9 disappointed \$39/23 discharge \$59/20 discoloration \$44/10 discretion \$14/12 discus 390/8 discussed \$12/17, \$67/10, \$67/23 discussing \$22/17, \$\$5/1 discussion \$24/13, \$25/13 disfranchise \$56/12 diaregarded \$18/18 District \$09/18, \$14/7, \$19/4, \$37/4, \$37/22, \$38/2, \$38/4, \$54/12, \$61/17, \$62/2, \$64/25 diurnai 985/14, 912/19, 913/8 divide 819/8, 916/17, 911/15, 914/19, 914/22, 914/25, 01/16 01/16, 910/17, 910/15, 910/15, 910/15, 9 915/2, 915/11 divided 900/6, 901/4, 910/22 dividing 910/21 Division 806/7, 907/11, 907/16, 810/22, 819/3 DOCKET 106/4 Dockway \$45/12 document \$25/8, \$94/4 documents \$25/24, \$26/20, \$45/10, \$47/22, \$50/2, 894/15 doesn't \$24/17, \$25/9, \$26/1, \$29/11, \$29/15, \$65/16, 865/25, 866/3, 866/17, 895/8, 896/13, 902/6, 902/9, 907/18, 918/4 dollars \$53/1 deuble \$42/15 download \$31/\$ downsize 913/1 downstream 912/24, 913/3 draw \$67/11 drawa \$4\$/24 drink \$43/25 Drive \$45/12 drop \$13/16 dump \$42/4 dumping \$42/7 during \$18/5, \$18/7, \$64/18, 999/15, 913/12, 913/15, 913/19, 915/13, 919/12, 919/14 R easily \$31/3, \$31/7 easy \$31/4 ebb \$45/10 EBIE 306/6, \$31/13, \$31/15, \$31/20, \$31/21, \$34/23 educate \$22/25 education \$85/21, \$85/23, \$85/25 educational \$11/17 Edwards \$76/23 effect \$13/24, \$13/25, \$23/25, 922/3 effinent \$52/1, \$52/14, \$52/20 effort \$40/1 efforts \$37/12 eight \$48/20, \$65/15 element \$\$4/20 elevated 944/24

eliminate 912/19

employee \$19/2

918/6, 918/21

estry \$19/3

enco

elimination 921/3

empty 913/19, 914/4

passes \$39/13

prated \$65/20

endeaver \$27/9, \$\$5/17, \$90/13

employed \$69/11, \$69/17, \$69/19

end \$22/17, \$63/25, \$67/22, \$70/13, 918/8

CHOCKNUT &4/77, 000/17, 070/19 engaged \$45/16 engineer 857/15, 857/18, 837/24, 845/13, 897/22 engineering 858/17, 858/20, 869/20, 854/9, 884/14, 854/18, 886/3, 886/9, 891/13, 892/8, 892/11, 897/15,

engineers \$11/22, \$11/23, \$86/5, \$07/12, \$07/17 entered \$62/1 entertain \$11/25, \$15/4

Environmental \$39/5, \$59/17, \$79/22, \$91/9, 893/13, 920/17 envisioned \$68/4 equalization 912/13, 912/14, 912/15, 912/16, 912/18, 913/4, 913/11, 913/15, 913/20, 913/21, 913/23, 913/25, 914/5, 914/6 equally \$90/24 equals \$20/12 equation \$46/6, \$46/8, \$99/1, \$99/17, \$99/18, 910/6 equipment \$12/19, \$18/15, \$51/16 errer 914/8 ence \$94/4, \$95/11 Estates \$17/22, \$18/7, \$70/18, \$86/21, \$\$7/12, \$\$8/9, 222/11 Estero \$39/6 estimate \$68/3 evening \$14/3, \$28/17, \$59/10, 913/17 evidence \$14/13, \$45/23, \$45/24, \$45/25, \$53/3, 922/14, 922/24 exam \$\$4/18, \$\$5/2 Examination 308/12, 308/14, 308/15, 308/16, 308/17, 308/18, 816/3, 830/12, 383/23, 369/5, 884/5, 907/3, 916/20, 917/7, 919/1 examine \$55/10 examining \$55/11 exceed 915/7 exceeded 894/6, 894/8, 894/9 exceeds \$95/12, 915/14 excluded 905/20 Excuse 841/22, 906/15 excused \$56/2 exercised \$14/14 Exhibit \$08/24, \$51/12, \$51/13, \$53/13, \$53/15, \$71/4, \$71/6, \$71/14, \$71/16, \$94/20, \$94/23, \$97/7, \$97/19, \$97/20, 909/1, 922/8, 922/14, 922/21, 922/24 EXHIBITS \$05/26, 852/2, 870/18, 871/8, 894/12, 922/7. 922/8 existing 903/20, 903/24, 904/12, 904/18, 904/21, 905/9, 905/12, 905/25, 906/13 expand \$41/20 expansion 909/21, 909/22 expected 905/7 expense \$61/9 expenses \$13/6, \$13/14, \$33/24 experience \$56/11, 909/13 experiences \$48/10 expert \$30/1, \$55/5, \$58/18 expertise \$26/21, \$96/10 exposure \$85/24 expressed \$92/22, 910/14, 910/22, 911/20 expression 910/7 eye \$21/14

7

F-6 396/16, 397/3, 916/23 FAC \$79/25 faces 889/7, 901/12 facilities \$61/25, \$62/3, \$62/5, \$62/17, \$66/4, \$66/12, 913/2 facility \$38/11, \$36/15, \$96/19 fact \$127, \$19/16, \$21/15, \$25/11, \$25/12, \$25/22, \$28/2, \$40/23, \$42/14, \$47/3, \$47/8, \$48/10, \$51/1, \$51/6, \$63/7, \$65/9, \$87/19, \$88/21, \$95/17, \$46/7, 966/19, 918/2, 921/7 factor \$47/7 facts \$57/22 fair \$13/16, \$36/16, \$37/1, \$43/16, \$96/1, \$96/17, 908/14 fall \$4\$/22 family \$41/9 fault \$43/9 faulting FCWC 876/23 FDEP \$50/19, \$51/1, \$52/14, \$52/18, \$52/19, \$70/23 February \$14/21 Federation \$76/22 fee \$40/4 feed \$51/15 feeding 913/5 fees \$53/1, \$53/2 Fiesta 385/14 fight \$43/18 figure \$29/29, \$38/12 figures \$51/18 file \$23/16, \$23/17, \$47/14, \$48/3, \$54/9, \$54/12, 856/3, 895/9, 896/5 filed \$13/11, \$16/10, \$30/10, \$49/6, \$49/7, \$49/8, \$55/20, \$60/9, \$96/2 filing \$42/24, \$96/8 fill 913/12, 913/18 filled \$33/8 filters 913/2

find \$25/25, \$31/4, \$34/8, \$57/21, \$92/14, \$92/16, **392/18, 392/19, 902/9, 910/6** findings \$22/3, \$22/5 fine \$15/25, \$16/17, \$71/12 fined \$44/12 finished \$15/19, \$55/6 fired \$43/6 firm \$10/2 fixed \$49/24 fint \$40/4 filiritian 256/4 FLORIDA 206/1, 206/6, 206/19, 207/4, 207/5, 207/8, 207/9, 207/10, 207/12, 209/17, 210/4, 210/5, \$10/12, \$10/15, \$10/16, \$10/24, \$11/2, \$13/1, \$17/10, \$17/21, \$18/11, \$18/14, \$18/21, \$19/2, \$19/20, \$20/3, \$31/17, \$31/22, \$32/1, \$32/19, \$34/19, \$35/5, \$35/18, \$35/23, \$35/25, \$36/6, \$37/5, \$37/9, \$38/5, \$38/17, **239/8**, \$43/10, \$45/3, \$45/13, \$45/20, \$48/21, \$50/17, 852/24, 862/7, 863/24, 869/2, 869/10, 869/19, 884/11, \$25/5, \$25/13, \$25/19, \$56/4, \$57/24, \$89/2, 891/9, \$95/4, 903/12, 914/12, 914/14 flow \$1\$/19, \$19/8, \$19/12, \$19/25, \$20/1, \$20/21, \$21/1, \$21/2, \$21/9, \$21/17, \$46/7, \$47/3, \$47/5, \$47/7, \$51/5, \$51/7, \$51/19, \$52/22, \$52/24, \$86/22, \$86/24, \$87/6, \$87/10, \$87/13, \$88/3, \$58/6, \$88/25, 899/3, 891/3, 891/6, 892/4, 892/25, 893/8, 893/11, 893/3, 895/12, 897/13, 897/14, 897/17, 897/18, 953/18, 955/12, 97/13, 97/14, 97/17, 97/18, 996/13, 996/13, 996/14, 956/18, 959/13, 959/14, 859/15, 959/19, 859/23, 859/24, 966/1, 900/24, 960/25, 961/3, 961/22, 961/24, 965/11, 966/1, 960/13, 916/12, 910/16, 910/25, 911/5, 911/18, 911/22, 912/2, 912/3, 912/7, 912/13, 912/14, 912/15, 912/16, 912/17, 912/19, 913/4, 913/6, 913/7, 913/6, 913/16, 913/11, 913/14, 913/4, 913/6, 913/7, 913/6, 913/16, 913/11, 913/14, 913/15, 913/26, 913/21, 913/22, 913/23, 913/25, 914/1, 914/4, 914/6, 914/18, 914/26, 914/20, 914/25, 915/1, 915/2, 915/3, 915/16, 915/11, 915/14, 915/25, 917/12, 917/13, 917/17, 917/22, 917/23, 918/3, 918/10, 918/11, 919/11, 919/12, 921/4, 921/19 flows 818/6, 847/4, 848/12, 848/22, 848/25, 858/13, 850/14, 851/1, 851/2, 851/6, 852/11, 861/1, 861/6, 861/8, 887/14, 992/15, 961/23, 901/24, 904/19, 945/1, 905/18, 965/21, 966/2, 906/26, 907/24, 913/13, 915/7. 907/20, 910/1, 911/20, 912/9, 912/20, 913/13, 915/7, 915/20, 915/22, 916/1, 916/6, 916/9, 920/3, 920/14, 920/21, 920/22, 921/9 fluid 912/2 folks \$23/8, \$27/19, 920/20 follow \$29/25, \$37/8, \$62/20, \$68/4 follow-up \$25/5 followed \$62/15 follows \$17/11, \$31/18, \$35/6, \$45/4, \$69/4 footnote \$19/1 ferce \$43/20 forced \$44/14 ferfeited 854/22, 854/24 formal \$13/9, \$13/21, \$85/23, \$85/25, \$91/14 format \$36/3 formula \$99/4, \$99/21, 910/18 Fort 306/19, 507/5, \$13/3, \$17/20, \$31/22, \$35/16, \$35/17, \$37/4, \$37/11, \$38/6, \$38/7, \$38/10, \$38/16, \$38/22, \$38/25, \$39/2, \$39/7, \$39/12, \$39/14, \$40/9 \$40/10, \$40/18, \$41/2, \$41/5, \$42/18, \$45/12, \$45/17, 885/12, 885/13 910/11, 919/4 und ur \$41/9, \$60/5, \$96/25 ourth \$19/5 FPSC \$97/16 fraction 899/18, 900/10, 900/11, 900/17, 900/21, 901/7. 901/8, 901/15, 902/10 frame \$\$5/6 free \$11/14, \$12/2, \$59/20 freedom \$29/14 friendly \$27/10 front \$09/10, \$10/5 frustrating \$43/16 Ft \$06/6, 912/11, 913/24 fulfilled \$37/14 function \$\$6/10, 906/6 functional \$18/16, \$39/24, \$46/5 future \$39/17, 906/1

G.

gains \$33/24 gailonage \$36/23, \$39/1, \$40/13 gailons \$19/11, \$39/1, \$39/9, \$39/17, \$40/14, \$46/13, \$23/12, \$91/5, \$92/3, \$98/14, \$02/6, \$10/13, \$10/14, \$10/23, \$11/11, \$11/12, \$11/20, \$12/4, \$19/14, \$19/15 gaivanized \$41/22 GARCIA \$06/14, \$11/7, \$17/23, \$18/1, \$21/5, \$21/11, \$22/11, \$12/15, \$23/10, \$24/5, \$24/19, \$25/2, \$25/5, \$25/7, \$25/11, \$25/21, \$26/15, \$28/19, \$30/23,

\$31/3, \$31/10, \$35/11, \$36/12, \$44/3, \$45/9, \$46/16, 946/23, 947/18, 958/7, 859/12, 951/22, 954/16, 955/2, 855/14, 858/12, 856/19, 967/8, 867/24 GATLIN \$97/2, \$99/24, \$65/7 generate \$13/13 generating 920/20 generic 850/25 entieman \$16/19 entiemen \$10/8, \$12/7 Gelf \$18/5 government \$36/21 grant \$66/14 granted \$13/3, \$13/6, \$13/22, \$13/23 gratitude \$37/12 greater \$19/13, 902/19 GREEN \$06/4, \$17/6, \$17/8, \$17/13, \$17/15, \$17/19, \$17/25, \$18/2, \$21/7, \$21/12, \$22/8, \$22/14, \$23/5, \$23/24, \$24/16, \$24/24, \$25/3, \$25/16, \$22/14, \$23/5, \$27/26, \$22/6, \$22/12, \$25/16, \$25/21, \$30/4, \$30/18, \$2010, \$210, \$210, \$210, \$210, \$29/21, \$30/4, \$30/18, \$30/22, \$31/2, \$31/7, \$31/12 greeted 209/6 grounds 30% grounds 316/2 grounds 366/17 growth 903/21, 905/6, 906/16 8 824/7, 827/23, 853/17, 908/21 guess \$24/7 Gulf \$39/5

H

half \$41/11, \$42/13, \$42/14, 919/24 hand \$16/22, \$17/16, \$45/ handed \$52/17, \$53/12 handle \$19/14, \$22/23, \$36/14, \$40/6, \$99/13, 907/13, 915/19, 915/21, 915/25, 916/6, 916/9 handled \$52/1 handling 902/13, 903/14, 907/16 hands \$26/15, \$45/10, \$94/15 Hang \$46/16, \$54/16, \$94/13 erside 206/17 Hart hard \$36/14, \$96/16 HAROLD \$97/6, \$10/12 HARRY \$98/4, \$17/5, \$17/19 hats \$22/21 head \$19/16 headed \$19/5 hearings \$11/4, \$12/11, \$14/1, \$21/21, \$21/23, \$22/1, \$22/12, \$37/10, \$49/18, \$57/20, \$57/21, \$57/24, 909/12, 909/15 beld \$14/1, \$22/2, \$62/18 held \$14/1, \$22/2, \$62/18 HELEN \$06/5, \$35/1, \$35/3, \$35/9, \$35/16 help \$27/13, \$34/5, \$45/16, \$65/19, 919/21 helpful \$26/14, \$26/23, \$27/17, \$68/13 helping \$27/13 high \$19/14 higher \$59/19, \$59/20, 992/15 highest \$34/15 highlighted \$45/13 historical \$97/18 historically 889/9, 889/13, 917/16, 919/20 hold 884/9, 913/22 holding \$09/17, \$25/2 holds \$42/4 home \$12/16, \$59/12, \$\$\$/12 hounding \$32/2 hour \$2\$/21, 915/22, 916/6 hourly 907/14, 909/17, 914/20, 915/1, 915/3, 915/7, **915/11** hours 868/8, 868/10, 908/15, 909/4, 909/21, 909/22, 913/19, 914/2, 914/25, 915/6 hundred 910/19 hydraulic 339/24, 396/9, 890/26, 890/24, 894/8, 296/9, 296/10, 296/13, 296/18, 962/8, 962/13, 962/16 hydraulically 920/16

I

I&I \$18/24, \$21/4, \$21/15, \$48/25 ID \$09/21 idea \$25/23, \$26/10 ideatification \$71/16, \$94/23 identified \$71/4, \$71/5, \$94/17 identify \$71/13, \$94/19 ignore \$65/3 ignore \$05/22, \$01/22, \$03/6 III \$32/22 imagine \$29/17 immemorial \$18/14 impression \$26/20, \$87/5, \$93/20 improvements \$34/2 impution \$99/12, \$09/19, \$29/21, \$19/17, \$19/23

imputed 919/20 in-peaking 912/9 in-place \$50/22 in-service. income #32/18 incomes \$40/24 incomplete \$92/11 stency 910/20 incom: inconsistent 916/7 increase \$13/3. \$13/14. \$13/15. \$35/24, \$46/24, \$42/16, 905/25, 906/13, 914/8 Increased \$06/5, \$13/6, \$61/9 increases \$13/5, \$13/12, \$37/15, \$37/16, \$43/13, 905/13 independent 892/20 index \$13/5 indicate 921/6 indicated 819/16, 859/6, 876/19 indicates 921/7 Indicating \$29/24, 914/13 indulge 917/4 Industries \$37/7, \$37/21 industry 906/11 inevitable 848/9 inflated \$4\$/25 inflow \$19/14 inflows \$4\$/10 influences \$21/15 inform \$55/18 Informal \$36/7 information \$12/14, \$14/22, \$18/17, \$26/22, \$27/12, \$30/6, \$31/5, \$39/21, \$45/6, \$49/2, \$52/5, 962/2, 918/5 informational \$53/14 informed \$18/11. \$21/24, \$30/5 initial \$96/5 inject 890/11 input \$23/5 inquire \$38/9, \$47/10 Inserted \$08/15, 922/16, 922/18 insight \$26/2 instructed \$49/8 integral \$92/9 interest \$32/10 intermittent \$\$\$/7 Internet \$12/12, \$12/23, \$36/25 interpret 896/17, 963/23 interpretation \$63/23, \$64/2 interpretations \$56/8 interpreted \$61/23, \$62/2 intertwining \$86/10 intervened \$56/15, \$57/5 intervening \$62/12, \$62/13, \$64/18 intervenors \$23/14, \$23/16 introduction \$15/6 invented 918/16 investigated \$43/4 investigators \$43/5 investment \$62/4, \$66/6, 919/6, 919/8, 920/2, 921/8, 921/11 invite 899/23 inviting \$99/18 irrelevant \$61/16. \$65/21 land \$40/1 insuance 921/16 insue \$22/17, \$23/2, \$24/1, \$25/15, \$26/4, \$29/5, \$37/2, \$45/24, \$46/2, \$55/7, \$56/10, \$62/16, \$63/19, 863/20, 865/13, 865/24, 865/28, 866/3, 866/18, 885/22, 885/24, 889/25, 890/9, 910/11 inrued 309/21, 813/18, 814/4, 825/19, 861/2 inrues 814/8, 822/22, 822/25, 824/2, 824/11, 824/14, 824/23, 826/4, 855/10, 858/14, 861/10, 861/12, 863/13, 865/15, 865/20, 909/15 item \$96/3

J JAEGER \$07/16, \$10/22 January \$13/23 job \$33/21 JOE \$06/14, \$11/7 JOHNSON \$06/13, \$09/3, \$09/23, \$10/1, \$10/6, \$16/17, \$11/1, \$16/14, \$16/18, \$16/26, \$16/24, \$17/14, \$17/18, \$22/7, \$22/9, \$22/9, \$26/18, \$27/4, \$27/18, \$27/21, \$28/16, \$29/15, \$29/26, \$29/21, \$31/11, \$34/21, \$34/24, \$44/17, \$44/21, \$46/21, \$47/24, \$484/4, \$49/13, \$49/25, \$53/16, \$53/15, \$53/19, \$87/12, \$489/9, \$59/22, \$59/1, \$35/25, \$66/14, \$66/25, \$67/12, \$63/11, \$63/21, \$64/19, \$66/14, \$66/25, \$67/12, \$67/19, \$68/1, \$68/6, \$68/15, \$69/12, \$69/16, \$71/5, \$71/7, \$71/12, \$84/3, \$94/16, \$94/19, \$94/22, \$16/16, \$16/19, \$17/2, \$206, \$20/9, \$22/7, \$22/10, \$22/12, \$22/17, \$22/22, \$26/17

JOY 306/20, \$15/14, \$15/17 judgment \$24/21, \$26/6, \$26/8 JULIA \$66/13, \$09/3, \$11/1 July \$13/4, \$13/6, \$14/1, \$14/3 June \$62/2 stification 387/18, 904/4, 904/9, 904/14 stified 903/11 KELLY 806/20 Ken 917/4, 917/5 KENNETH 307/2, 309/24 knowledge \$32/9 knowledgeable \$26/22 known 846/1, 856/24, 858/14, 901/8 knows 856/20, 900/19 lack \$45/25 ladies \$10/1\$ lady 309/5, \$32/15, \$40/28, \$56/15 land \$33/3, \$33/7 language 892/14 large 832/5, 913/24 larger \$19/19, 920/12 later \$17/17, \$23/15, \$30/13, \$99/10, \$99/11, 914/2 Laughter 390/14, 914/15 Inw 818/2, 862/14, 864/2, 864/4, 864/7, 864/9, 864/10, 866/19 laying 824/14 lays \$24/22 leading 920/4, 920/7 learn \$85/4 learned 918/15 leave \$24/12, \$28/2 Lee 306/7 left \$11/7, \$61/18 Legal \$07/11, \$10/23, \$36/14, \$64/10, \$64/12, \$64/16, \$66/21 lengthy \$51/13 lens \$21/13 letter \$70/23 letters \$43/20 level \$26/20, 904/25, 914/1 life \$36/21 likelihood 892/19 limitation 916/13 limitations 850/21, 850/23 limited \$32/17, \$61/5 Hane 833/6, 846/11, 846/21, 846/22, 847/9, 848/8, 850/5, 850/10, 850/16, 850/24, 851/4, 860/20, 861/23, 870/6, 870/7, 870/8, 870/12, 870/13, 886/18, 887/17, 888/15, 890/5, 890/15, 890/7, 890/9, 902/4, 902/22, 903/2, 909/24, 911/2 Lines 851/21, 852/8, 852/19, 866/11, 866/13, 866/17, 866/15, 886/16 linked \$93/3 Het \$61/12 listed \$24/25, \$52/21 listen \$11/14, \$12/15, \$12/17, \$22/19, \$23/1, \$86/6 listening \$11/16 liter \$52/20, \$92/23 Nitile \$09/13, \$12/24, \$17/24, \$23/22, \$33/15, \$67/8, 918/4 live \$17/20, \$30/13, \$35/9, \$35/17, \$36/25, \$43/24, \$65/22 lived \$41/10 ad \$93/19, \$93/21, \$99/1, 901/12, 901/13, 902/16, 965/11 ading \$99/25, \$99/9, \$99/10, \$99/21, \$90/24, 991/10, 991/21, 991/25, 992/21, 993/9, 993/11, 993/14, 995/14, 895/19, 995/25, 896/6, 896/13, 896/23 Jonda 902/14 local \$39/5 Lochmoor \$1\$/5, \$1\$/13 long-term 965/12 lost \$21/13, \$33/16, \$41/13, \$48/6 Lotus 397/10 low \$99/15, 901/24 lower 909/16 LUCILLE 808/6, \$31/13, \$31/15 iunch 868/9, 913/13, 923/1 iunchtime 868/5 3 MA-1 808/23, 870/20 MA-2 808/23, 876/22

MA-3 505/23, 576/25 MA-4 505/23, 571/1 Madam \$10/11, \$17/5, \$47/10, \$49/22, \$64/20, 866/22. 871/3 Madison 307/7, \$16/14 magnitude 919/16 main \$16/4, \$61/10 maintained \$13/15 mail 833/5 TRABASE ent \$43/16 manner \$62/24 mannewer 908/16, 909/4, 909/17 Manual \$79/21 March 814/22 March 514/22 margin 599/12, 963/11, 963/13, 903/18, 903/19, 964/4, 964/9, 964/15, 964/21, 965/4, 905/5, 905/19, 965/22, 906/1, 966/4, 966/8, 906/12, 906/18, 911/9, 911/16, 919/7, 919/13, 919/18, 919/23 marked 871/16, 894/23 MARKED F Sources MARSHALL #7/16 match 910/1 material 256/12 math 919/16 mathematical 914/23, 914/24 matrix 906/25 Matter 806/4. 816/9. 854/5. 860/8. 909/8, 909/10. 921/24 matters \$16/5, \$58/18, \$58/20, \$60/7, \$67/1, \$67/3, 868/2, 897/18 S68/2, 57/13 max \$19%, \$19/25, \$20/20, \$21/1, \$21/9, \$21/16, \$46/6, \$47/3, \$51/7, \$51/19, \$52/11, \$52/23, \$63/5, \$46/6, \$47/3, \$57/13, \$57/17, \$59%, \$59/8, \$00/6, \$61/4, \$10/15, \$10/21, \$10/24, \$11/4, \$11/18, \$11/24, \$11/25, \$12/1, \$16/3, \$17/12, \$17/17, \$17/22, \$18/10, \$19/11, \$20/2, \$21/9, \$21/19 markaum \$20/18, \$20/18, \$20/14, \$52/19, \$26/24 maximum \$39/10, \$39/18, \$40/14, \$52/19, \$86/24, \$\$7/7, \$89/3, \$99/20, \$99/23, 900/25, 905/17, 905/20. 966/2 McClosky 807/2, \$10/3 McLEAN 807/5, \$19/12 meaning 846/3, 846/4 meaningful \$20/19, \$20/23 measure \$99/19 measurements 921/4 mechanic's 912/2 mochanism 295/8 meet 920/16 meeting \$33/11, \$93/16, \$93/19 meetings \$33/10 member \$10/12 members \$11/20, \$11/21, \$12/2, \$12/3, \$15/6, 815/21, 815/22, 859/2 memory 896/15 mention 819/1, 895/14 mentioned \$63/14 mentions \$92/3 meter \$38/10, \$36/11, \$35/17, \$39/18, \$40/11 meters 309/8, 843/8 methanol 851/15 method \$19%, \$28%, \$20/7, \$20/9, \$28/10, \$\$\$/17. \$88/22 nethodology \$96/14, \$98/21 MFRs 396/17, 896/19, 897/9, 916/24 MGD 819/15, 819/17, 902/15, 904/23, 915/10, 921/23 MICHAEL 306/13, 366/11, 869/1, 869/9, 871/14 microphone \$69/14 middle \$4\$/18 mike \$17/24, \$35/14, \$56/9 milice \$17/24, 555/13, 556/5 milices \$25/13, 856/7, 856/5 milligrams \$52/26, 892/22 million \$19/11, \$46/13, 852/12, \$66/25, 891/5, 896/13, 962/6, 916/23, 916/25, 911/11, 911/12, 911/20, 010/14 mind \$36/16, \$39/10, \$40/1, \$53/7, \$53/9 minute \$36/18, 964/19, 916/13, 912/4, 915/23 minutes 916/18, 923/2 mined 917/3 misspoke 917/15 mistaken \$29/1 mobile \$\$\$/12 moment \$15/20, \$47/11 money \$28/21, \$32/2, \$32/9, \$33/20, \$34/1, \$42/1 Menroe 806/18, 807/3, 818/4 monster 894/7 onth \$19/8, \$19/25, \$21/10, \$40/5, \$41/8, \$42/1. 846/6, 847/4, 848/22, 858/14, 851/7, 851/9, 851/19, 851/3, 851/11, 852/13, 856/24, 857/7, 858/3, 899/1, 899/3, 897/13, 897/17, 899/6, 999/8, 999/23, 900/6, 900/25, 901/4, 910/15, 910/21, 910/24, 911/4, 911/18, 911/24, 911/25, 912/1, 914/9, 916/3, 916/4, 917/12, 917/17, 917/23, 918/10, 919/11, 921/19 monthly \$18/12, \$18/20, \$21/2, \$21/8, \$21/17, \$52/4, 893/18, 901/23, 907/14

enths \$32/3, \$41/21, \$48/14, \$48/17, \$48/20, \$51/3, 851/7, 851/9 months' \$47/4, \$48/11 morning 309/14, \$14/2, \$17/13, \$17/14, \$2\$/15, \$31/20, \$31/24, \$35/8, \$59/3, \$59/7, \$59/9, \$67/9, \$84/7, \$94/8, 997/5, 913/8, 914/5 Motion \$58/22, \$61/12, \$66/15 m 858/22, 861/12, 866/15 meve \$34/18, \$58/16, \$65/22, \$86/15, 922/2, 922/21 Mr. Acestn \$46/14, \$47/1, \$56/5, \$59/16, \$51/5, \$61/14, \$61/22, \$62/23, \$69/12, \$84/1, \$84/7, \$64/9, 209/23, 290/8, 294/24, 295/5, 296/15, 297/23, 902/21, 907/5, 907/7, 909/24, 916/22, 922/25 Mr. Acosta's \$16/11, \$45/21, \$46/10 Mr. Biddy \$67/5, \$67/13 Mr. Chairman 849/3 Mr. Coel 297/6, 397/7, 397/8, 397/19, 397/21, 916/24 Mr. Cummings 351/13, 351/25, 352/9, 398/7, 962/3, 982/4 Mr. Cummings' \$45/22, \$46/25, \$51/11, \$51/22, 852/21, 898/3, 896/6, 902/23 Mr. Gatlin \$06/12, 508/14, 508/16, 508/18, 509/24, \$10/2, \$10/9, \$47/18, \$47/18, \$47/26, \$48/1, \$49/3, E10/2, E10/9, E47/18, E47/18, 547/28, 548/1, 549/3, 249/22, E53/22, E53/24, E54/16, E55/14, E56/18, 856/14, E56/20, E57/3, E57/15, E57/14, E57/15, E58/8, E59/16, S61/19, S61/20, E66/2, B67/15, E64/19, B64/20, 265/18, 566/2, E66/20, E66/22, B67/15, E67/16, B67/21, 859/3, 268/13, B68/18, S69/6, B69/13, E69/17, E71/3, E54/1, S90/11, S90/16, S63/1, S16/18, S16/21, S17/6, 919/2, 920/5, 920/8, 930/11, 922/6, 922/8, 922/11, 922/15, 922/20 Mr. Gatlin's \$55/2 Mr. Green \$17/23. \$22/7. \$22/10. \$22/11. \$26/18. \$29/23, \$30/21, \$31/11 Mr. Harley 867/18 Mr. Harry 817/6 Mr. Jack \$10/13 Mr. Jaeger 308/16, 306/17, 309/16, 816/22, 816/9, \$16/17, \$16/19, \$23/10, \$23/12, \$25/19, \$36/13, \$36/22, \$44/28, \$53/12, \$54/21, \$55/8, \$60/8, \$60/16, \$60/20, \$60/22, \$62/15, \$63/11, \$64/15, \$66/14, \$67/2, 871/7, 907/4, 916/15, 917/2, 917/8, 918/24 Mr. McLean 208/15, \$10/11, \$10/17, \$11/19, \$17/5, \$27/2, \$27/4, \$29/10, \$31/13, \$35/1, \$44/19, \$44/24, \$55/19, \$55/25, \$58/11, \$58/24, \$65K6, \$67/2, \$67/4, 884/4, 864/6, 894/18, 894/21, 894/24, 906/12, 900/14, 906/17, 900/19, 903/2, 903/4, 907/2, 926/4, 922/21 Mr. McLean's \$34/4, \$24/10, \$36/11, \$29/17, 917/10 Mr. Robert \$1\$/11 Ms. Crump 309/5, 814/24 Ma. Johnson \$27/15 Ms. Lucille \$31/21 Ma. Walla \$46/17, \$47/12, \$50/2, \$53/10, \$53/11, \$53/21, \$54/18, \$55/4, \$56/1, \$56/22, \$57/7, \$57/16, 858/12, 899/4 Ma. Walle's \$58/17 multiple 912/16 multiplied 992/25, 910/19 multiply 892/23 Municipal 870/20 Myers 306/6, 306/19, 307/5, 813/3, 817/30, 831/22, 335/10, 835/18, 837/4, 837/11, 838/6, 838/7, 838/10, 838/16, 838/22, 838/25, 839/2, 839/7, 839/12, 839/14, 840/9, 846/10, 840/18, 841/2, 841/3, 842/18, 845/12, 845/17, 885/12, 885/13, 912/11, 913/24

NAME 508/3, 509/3, \$10/11, \$11/1, \$15/18, \$17/19, \$35/8, \$35/12, \$35/14, \$35/15, \$35/16, \$45/11, \$69/7, 869/9 \$17/1 NAOH 851/15 marrow 824/11 nocessary \$11/5, \$13/15, \$65/17, \$93/25, 920/2 need \$09/9, \$09/12, \$32/18, \$33/24, \$34/5, \$42/9 \$42/11, \$42/12, \$44/10, \$49/17, \$50/8, \$94/2, \$95/9. 921/5 needs \$32/11, \$67/19, \$99/8, \$96/5, 984/21 neglect 906/21 neglects \$99/2, 906/6 neighbor \$33/5 Neither \$20/11, \$56/1 new \$26/7, \$43/1, \$45/23, \$45/25, \$53/3, \$96/21 nighttime 913/19 nine \$48/11, \$61/12, \$65/15 mitrogen \$52/15 normal 909/12 North 306/6, 307/5, \$13/2, \$17/20, \$31/21, \$35/9, \$35/17, \$37/4, \$37/11, \$39/7, \$38/10, \$38/25, \$46/9, \$46/10, \$40/18, \$41/2, \$41/5, \$45/12, 912/11, 913/24 notation \$65/6 Bote \$18/10, \$67/12 motes \$63/1

11

motice 309/14, 309/16, 309/21, 847/21 November 809/21, 825/4, 825/20 NUMBER 806/21, 819/18, 861/1, 890/6, 899/16, 901/7, 902/9, 910/18, 911/19, 911/22, 915/12, 915/14, 922/9 numbering \$71/9 numbers \$45/15, \$92/23, 915/3 numerator \$19/24, \$26/1, \$20/20, \$21/17, \$46/8, \$47/3, \$47/8, \$61/6, \$95/4, \$95/1, \$01/20, \$11/8, 917/13. 917/18 Oak \$97/12, \$10/23 object 990/16 objecting 547/24 Objection 545/4, 567/11, 920/4, 920/6, 922/13, 922/23 obligation 963/7 observe \$89/16, \$89/18 obtain \$13/16, 914/19 obtained \$18/19 October \$26/9, \$48/18 edd \$57/2 offered \$53/3 affering \$64/21 Office \$97/7, \$19/12, \$19/13, \$24/4, \$24/10, \$24/20, \$24/22, \$26/11, \$28/25, \$56/23, 906/23 Officer \$24/8, \$25/13 official \$15/11 old \$20/6, \$20/9, \$20/10, \$32/17 opened 361/10 operate 201/4 operating \$13/2, \$13/13, \$18/13, \$18/20, \$52/4 operation 906/15, 909/4 Operations \$69/20 opinion \$23/9, \$49/4, \$62/6, \$62/14, \$64/21, \$66/11, 291/24 opinions \$58/19 opportunity 821/28, 829/6, 829/22, 856/12, 857/4, 859/10, 866/21, 868/11 opposed \$25/6 OPS \$19/2 options 921/18 Order 809/19, 813/15, 813/19, 814/4, 814/5, 824/6, Order 809/19, 813/15, 813/19, 814/4, 814/5, 824/6, Uruer 809/19, 813/15, 813/19, 814/4, 814/5, 824/6, 824/12, 825/19, 826/3, 827/16, 854/19, 861/1, 861/3, 862/1, 862/7, 863/12, 867/13, 867/17, 867/18, 867/20, 867/21, 867/25, 963/12, 867/13, 967/17, 867/18, 867/24 organic 893/9, 893/11, 893/19, 894/7, 902/14 originall 819%, 903/17 originally 843/1 overcharead 827/17 827/16 overcharged \$37/17, \$37/18 everflow 914/7 everflowing 396/18, 914/9 everruled 348/4 **Overton 870/23** everturn \$36/9 everturned \$36/2 owe \$37/11 eveners \$37/9

P P.A #7/3 Pacific \$17/20 Pages 806/10, 869/22 paid \$34/18 painfully 999/9 paper \$37/8, \$52/25, \$53/13, \$97/10 paragraph \$18/3, \$19/5, \$70/9, \$95/6, \$95/7, \$95/15, \$95/20, \$95/22 paragraphs 895/5 parameter 906/14, 908/3 arameters \$20/22, \$52/14, \$52/19, \$93/17, \$95/22, 907/20, 907/24, 912/24, 920/17 park \$95/6 parks \$\$\$/12 part \$15/11, \$28/20, \$39/13, \$61/16, \$63/20, 892/5, 892/9, 913/24, 919/7, 921/2 ne \$19/2 part-th participant \$56/22 participate \$25/18, \$36/22 perticipated \$22/12, \$22/16, \$58/2 participates \$49/21, \$56/19 participating \$28/21, \$49/15, \$56/18 participation \$59/22 arties \$47/13, \$55/18, \$55/21, \$59/21, \$67/10, 67/23, 907/8 arty \$47/12, \$47/19, \$47/20, \$47/21, \$47/22, 947/23, 946/2, 949/9, 853/25, 854/7, 854/20, 855/9, 855/17, 855/19, 855/23, 855/19, 857/7 page 994/13, 896/18, 902/15 paged 884/18, 909/8, 909/10

patience \$21/20 patiern 912/19, 913/7 Pause 896/7, 914/15 pay \$32/18, \$34/1, \$38/14, \$38/18, \$39/1, \$39/2, \$40/8 aying \$32/19, \$33/20, \$34/12, \$40/12, \$42/1, \$42/7, paying \$32/19, \$33/19, \$33/19, \$33/19, \$42/19, \$43/14, \$43/15, \$53/8, 920/21 PE #45/2 peak \$47/6, \$48/20, \$48/22, \$50/13, \$51/6, \$51/9, prim. 01/76, 000/26, 000/26, 000/26, 001/3, 001/3, 001/2 851/17, 852/3, 852/11, 996/14, 899/16, 901/23, 901/24, 902/5, 902/13, 904/3, 904/15, 907/13, 907/16, 913/7, 913/18, 915/19, 915/22, 916/6, 916/9, 920/2, 920/14, 921/4, 921/9 aks \$48/9, \$96/22, \$99/2, 961/22, 962/2, 963/7, peaks \$48/9, \$96/22, 579/4, 594/44 \$63/14, \$63/24, \$66/5, \$66/26, \$14/1 NCY 364/18 percentage \$32/7, 966/15, 916/19, 920/12 peried \$18/6, \$18/8, \$48/23, 903/22, 905/7, 906/17, 907/20, 913/12, 915/13, 918/17 periods 915/13 permit \$19/10, \$70/19, \$70/24, \$\$7/1, \$91/23, \$92/3, 892/9, 892/10, 893/17, 902/14, 907/21, 917/21, 918/3, 918/7, 918/18, 921/15 permits \$93/24 permitted \$50/18, \$50/20, \$51/3, \$52/13, 907/19, 907/24, 908/3, 908/16, 908/22, 909/3, 915/24, 916/2, 916/7, 916/11, 917/18 perspective 364/10, 919/10 philosophical \$36/13 phosphate \$52/16 physical 916/12 physically \$30/14 physics 910/4 picks \$25/7 piece \$92/2 pieces \$33/18 pipte 916/14 pipe 916/14 piping 841/22 pitcher 914/11 PLACE 806/17, 809/21, \$11/5, \$39/12, \$37/11, \$37/14, \$48/7, \$92/2 placed 904/5 planning 394/4 plant \$14/10, \$17/22, \$18/7, \$18/25, \$19/9, \$19/13, \$21/3, \$4\$/10, \$50/18, \$50/19, \$50/22, \$51/14, \$52/1, \$60/25, \$61/4, \$61/7, \$70/19, \$70/21, \$84/22, \$\$7/3, \$\$7/10, \$\$7/12, \$\$7/25, \$\$\$/5, \$\$\$/7, \$\$\$/1, \$74/23, \$70/25, \$71/4, \$71/1, \$71/15, \$71/18, \$71/19, \$71/24, 9926, 992/24, 893/8, 893/16, 893/16, 893/25, 894/5, 995/13, 897/12, 897/14, 897/16, 899/8, 899/9, 899/12, 075/13, 07/114, 07/114, 07/116, 079/0, 079/0, 079/12, 900/2, 900/6, 901/4, 901/9, 901/12, 902/5, 902/12, 902/14, 904/15, 904/22, 905/11, 907/13, 907/16, 906/8, 906/15, 909/19, 909/21, 910/22, 912/7, 912/8, 912/12, 912/22, 913/16, 913/14, 915/5, 915/10, 915/15, 915/21, 915/22, 915/24, 916/5, 916/10, 917/18, 913/23, 919/5, 919/14, 920/15, 921/21 plants \$84/24, \$85/19, \$86/23, 916/8 pleasantly \$45/19 pleaty \$34/1 Plus 861/8, 911/9, 911/10 pocketing \$43/11 point \$20/25, \$29/10, \$29/12, \$38/5, \$39/4, \$40/22, 856/14, 863/6, 892/25, 893/18, 898/19 pointed 899/4 points \$35/21, \$65/14 policy 919/19 a \$11/11, \$16/10, \$16/16, \$16/21, \$54/10, orti \$59/19, \$60/1, \$60/10, \$60/16, \$90/11, \$92/13, \$94/1, 296/16 pertiens \$11/14, \$11/17, \$57/5 posed \$63/2, \$66/18 position \$28/22, \$24/23, \$26/3, \$26/5, \$26/7, \$26/13, \$29/5, \$29/18, \$55/15, \$62/8, \$89/11, 996/22, 920/1, 021/11 positions 907/7 possible \$19/22, \$23/6, \$65/2 potential 983/20, 906/12 ounda \$92/24 Practice \$70/21 prefile \$30/2 Prefiled \$08/15, \$30/6, \$30/11, \$30/19, \$30/20, \$54/4, \$64/4 prehearing \$23/18, \$23/25, \$24/3, \$24/6, \$24/8 825/3, 825/17, 825/19, 826/2, 847/19, 854/3, 854/19, 856/2, 856/3, 887/7, 861/13, 865/9, 867/6, 867/17, 867/25, 907/8 prejudging \$26/9 prejudice \$57/11 preliminary 309/4, \$12/10, \$16/8, \$16/9, \$26/5, \$26/7, \$60/7, \$60/8, \$67/1, \$67/3, \$68/2, \$91/13, 892/8, 892/11, 918/5, 918/21 prepare 849/16, 869/21, 893/12, 897/4 prepared \$1\$/2, \$1\$/4, \$47/14, \$47/16, \$49/5, \$54/9, 869/22. 869/25. 876/2

preparer \$97/9 preparing \$26/19 presentation \$69/21 presented \$46/1, \$59/21 presenting \$41/14, \$41/17 presided \$65/10 president \$69/20 pretty \$26/24, \$29/4, \$95/8, \$96/11, 907/15 Price \$13/5 primary 895/7, 913/20 principles 864/12 principles \$64/12 probative \$65/13 problem \$18/24, \$21/15, \$23/12, \$23/15, \$33/2, \$41/25, \$58/9 problems \$55/24 procedure \$15/1, \$21/21, \$93/24 procedures \$29/25 proceeding \$12/10, \$12/15, \$13/8, \$47/13, \$54/1, 854/10, 854/15, 855/15, 865/17, 866/3, 856/6 PROCEEDINGS 866/11, 826/4, 871/6 recess \$11/17, \$12/21, \$13/9, \$15/3, \$16/3, \$26/24, \$27/9, \$36/18, \$90/12, \$90/16, \$90/22, 912/23, 913/2 processed \$13/7 product \$25/24 professional \$15/6, \$84/18, \$86/5, \$97/15, \$97/22, 907/12, 907/17 proffer \$66/23 profit \$41/23 promised \$33/15 preef \$53/3 properties \$34/13 property \$33/7, \$34/16 proposed \$13/7, \$13/11, \$13/12, \$13/18 prospect 898/24 Protection \$56/17, \$91/16, \$93/13 protest \$13/10 protested \$20/3, \$43/2 proven \$41/1 provide \$14/16, \$17/2, \$49/14, \$58/2, 965/2, 912/8 prudency 909/11 prudent 853/2, 862/5 PSC \$47/2, \$\$4/25, 917/10 PSC-96-1133-POF-SU 809/28, 920/24 PSC-98-0509-PCO-SU 861/2 PUBLIC 306/1, 307/6, 307/7, 307/10, \$10/13, \$10/18, \$10/19, \$11/2, \$11/13, \$11/18, \$11/21, \$14/16, \$15/5, \$17/1, \$17/3, \$19/7, \$19/23, \$20/13, \$20/15, 820/17, 821/18, 821/25, 822/4, 822/25, 823/7, 823/11 \$24/19, \$24/22, \$26/11, \$26/22, \$26/24, \$27/1, \$28/25, \$35/23, \$35/25, \$36/4, \$41/15, \$42/9, \$43/2, \$43/3, \$43/17, \$49/14, \$49/20, \$53/4, \$54/5, \$58/2, \$58/23, 859/2, 859/11, 863/24, 884/3, 906/23 pulled \$69/15 pump 912/22 purpose \$16/4, \$18/21, 861/5, 861/8, 912/14, 913/20 purposes \$36/24, 859/15, 853/14, 888/1, 891/18, 897/1, 897/2, 899/13, 912/17 push 916/13 put 309/10, \$22/19, \$26/19, \$33/18, \$33/23, \$41/20, 846/3, 846/5, 853/16, 854/22, 855/10, 904/23, 911/7, 911/11 putting \$37/23, \$38/1, \$42/24, \$43/12, 911/13, 919/9 0 quality \$35/21, \$40/17, \$42/2 quarter \$09/10 quarteriy \$46/5 question \$11/25, \$29/8, \$35/22, \$36/14, \$53/22, \$63/23, \$63/2, \$63/4, \$63/5, \$63/22, \$64/7, \$64/17, \$64/24, \$66/7, \$18/16, \$18/26, \$88/23, \$89/20, \$90/7, \$95/21, \$98/7, \$99/7, 900/15, 900/16, 904/5, 908/19, 966/11, 966/24, 915/15, 917/3, 917/5

question and answer \$38/2 questioning 917/9, 917/10 questions \$11/18, \$126, \$15/1, \$15/4, \$15/22, \$15/23, \$15/24, \$22/9, \$30/11, \$31/25, \$34/22, \$44/18, \$44/20, \$53/11, \$53/21, \$57/13, \$58/10, \$68/16, \$69/24, \$264/2, \$96/13, \$97/2, \$16/15, \$18/24, \$21/14 quicker \$27/12 quote/unquote \$38/12

racked \$53/1 rainy \$48/18, \$48/23 raine \$16/22, \$33/4, \$64/8, \$66/21 RALPH \$07/10, \$10/22 raine \$13/4, \$13/7, \$13/16, \$13/16, \$37/19, \$38/12, \$38/16, \$33/22, \$35/25, \$39/7, \$39/9, \$39/15, \$46/7, \$40/12, \$41/11, \$42/13, \$42/16, \$42/18, \$44/15, \$56/6, \$61/9, \$96/12, \$96/14, \$96/15, \$96/18, \$09/15, \$12/22,

913/5, 919/6, 919/9, 920/3, 920/12 rated \$49/12 ratemaking \$50/15, \$86/9, \$86/12, \$96/24, \$99/13 Rates 306/5, 813/4, 813/24, 813/25, 838/8, 843/3, 843/15, 920/18 ratio \$19/7, \$19/19, \$19/24, \$20/2, \$20/10, \$20/13, 821/16 ratios \$1\$/23, \$19/6 raw \$37/6 reach \$32/10 react \$49/19 read \$69/14, \$1\$/2, \$25/25, \$36/10, \$30/15, \$56/11, 898/16, 985/23, 907/7, 997/10, 920/25, 921/5, 922/19 reading \$21/13, \$43/8, \$45/20 reams \$52/25 reason \$15/10, \$26/14, \$42/25, 906/11, 926/11 reasonable \$13/16, \$22/2, 903/22, 905/7, 906/16 rebate \$41/6, \$42/15 robuttai \$50/4, \$60/15, \$67/22, \$\$6/16, 909/1 Tooania 2004, 000-13, 000-14, recens \$59/16, \$59/23 reciting \$63/7, \$66/9 recommend \$62/20, \$65/3 recommendation \$14/20 recommending \$\$7/11 reconvene 923/3 record \$14/12, \$15/12, \$15/18, \$27/25, \$29/7, \$50/1, 852/9, 853/16, 854/5, 859/5, 860/1, 861/5, 861/10, 861/18, 867/13, 870/12, 871/11, 897/18, 916/22, 922/18 recovered 920/18 recovering 919/8 recovery \$13/5 Redirect \$98/16, \$08/18, 916/16, 916/19, 916/20, 919/1 reduce \$19/19 reduces \$20/1 reducing 909/4 M 921/3 reductio eference \$\$\$/13, \$96/4, \$91/26, \$91/23, \$92/2, 892/4, 892/7, 895/19, 896/6, 896/22 reflect \$59/5 reflected \$67/16, 900/10 reflecting \$13/14 refund \$13/24, \$42/20 regional \$\$5/12 registered 897/22 regular \$46/25 regulatory \$85/1, 909/13 related \$85/14 relates \$58/17, \$86/12 released \$26/16 relevance \$66/17 relevancy \$66/19 rely \$15/12, \$99/6 remain \$13/25, \$29/3, \$66/23 remains \$63/19 remand 309/19, 316/11, 346/10, 346/25, 360/10, 360/12, 860/17, 860/23, 869/2, 886/17, 909/25 remedy \$65/17 remember \$32/3, 912/9, 917/13 renting \$32/15 reepen \$14/12, \$61/5 respening \$71/10 repeat \$35/12, \$35/13 rephrase 920/10 Report \$12/7, \$14/23, \$24/3, \$52/4, \$52/5, \$91/13, 892/8, 892/12, 892/13, 893/13, 893/15, 893/18, 893/22, 893/23, 894/3, 894/11, 895/10, 895/18, 895/23, 896/5, 918/6, 918/21 REPORTED 206/20 reporter \$15/14, \$53/20 Reporting \$66/20 reports \$18/13, \$18/20, \$52/16, \$93/21, \$96/2 representative \$11/19 representatives \$11/24, \$36/4 represented 900/9 representing \$10/9, \$64/21 represents \$16/19, 900/2 uest \$13/23, \$16/10, \$18/16, \$23/24, \$35/23, reques requested \$13/20, \$18/13, \$29/18, \$25/12 require \$30/1, \$30/5, 918/18 required 906/15, 909/4 requirements \$51/15, \$51/16, 908/24, 909/14 requires \$93/15, \$94/11 requiring \$70/24 research \$37/13 reserve \$26/6, \$26/8, \$89/12, 903/11, 903/13, 903/18, 903/19, 904/4, 904/5, 904/15, 904/21, 905/5, 905/19, 905/22, 906/1, 906/4, 906/8, 906/12, 906/19, 911/9, 911/10, 919/8, 919/13, 919/18, 919/23 reserves \$24/20

idence \$26/22 TPM resident \$24/17, \$41/5 residential \$40/11 residents \$21/22, \$29/19, \$40/4, \$43/13 respect \$12/8, \$63/22, \$\$9/12, \$95/4, \$96/3 respects \$56/18 respond \$49/5, \$49/10, \$49/18, \$57/9 response \$59/4, \$64/24 responses \$49/7 responsibility \$55/17 responsible \$20/21 restored 921/12 result \$19/20 results \$20/12, \$20/14 return \$13/17, \$41/3 me \$18/4, \$18/12, \$18/16, \$59/21, \$60/13, \$61/14, 861/15, 861/24, 862/3, 862/16, 863/1, 863/3, 863/20, 866/4, 866/12 reversal \$09/19, \$60/22, \$61/16, \$63/16 reversed \$14/8, \$66/23, \$63/13, \$63/19 reversing \$27/16 reviewing \$18/9 rights \$49/11 River \$50/21 Road \$35/9, \$35/17, \$69/10, \$89/19 Room \$06/18, \$07/8, \$09/6 RPR 806/20 Ruden 807/2, 810/2 Rule 306/25, 826/5, 847/17, 856/8, 856/10, 863/25, 393/15, 394/10, 394/25, 906/25, 918/8, 918/13 ruled \$63/1 rales \$49/9 ruling \$49/22, \$56/9 rma \$34/3 running 309/11, \$33/22 Russell 807/3, \$16/3 RV 255/6

S

and \$41/12

San \$39/6 Sanibel \$39/25, \$40/\$ Sarasota \$69/10 save 899/10, 914/1 scauned 907/9 schedule 368/4, 896/16, 896/20, 897/3, 897/4, 916/23 scheduled 809/20, \$14/14 school \$84/10, \$54/14 Schuster 807/3, 810/3 season \$48/18, \$48/23 seasonal \$86/19, \$87/8, \$87/10, \$87/13, \$87/16, 887/17, 888/1, 888/11 seat \$15/17 seated \$19/8, \$11/5, \$15/14, \$16/25 second \$46/16, \$61/2, \$94/13 secure 809/8 selected 997/21, 998/4, 998/5 sell \$34/13 send \$33/11, \$39/20, \$39/22 sense \$\$5/21 sentence \$63/22, \$86/6, \$99/15, 921/1 separate \$55/6, \$55/7 September \$14/5, \$47/6, \$48/17, \$51/10, \$52/3, 852/18 sequentially \$71/9 serious \$21/4, \$49/11 serve \$47/22 served \$37/5 serves 837/5 serves 839/6 SERVICE 806/1, 807/10, 811/2, 811/21, 819/7, 819/23, 820/13, 820/15, 821/19, 821/25, 832/11, 835/23, 835/25, 836/4, 840/6, 840/11, 840/16, 841/15, 842/10, 843/2, 843/3, 843/17, 853/4, 858/2, 863/24, 945/6, 912/8, 920/19, 920/26 serviceable 846/4 Services \$07/11, \$10/23 servicing \$38/20 nion 827/17 set \$13/9, \$23/17, \$61/3, \$61/7, \$61/13, \$62/24, 869/25, \$70/1 setting \$60/24 sever \$70/6 SEVERE \$70/7 sewer \$33/6, \$38/14, \$38/25, \$39/7, \$39/16, \$40/6, 846/10 share 859/14 sheet 897/10 sheets 853/12 short \$59/15, \$65/8 short-te ermi \$51/25, \$52/9 shot \$63/18 show 844/10, 891/9, 920/23, 922/12, 922/22

ower 913/9 shows \$26/5, \$48/22, \$96/17, 916/22 Shreve's \$10/13 Shumard 307/11, \$10/23 side \$39/9, \$39/13, \$41/10, \$53/16, 901/20, 906/18 sign \$59/3 signed \$15/7, \$59/25 sit \$15/17, \$15/26, \$29/2 sitting \$11/19 situation \$56/4, \$57/\$ nix \$32/3 sine \$38/17, \$39/16, \$91/19, 913/24, 916/14 sizes \$40/11 sizing 991/18 slow 368/7, 913/13 sludge \$51/16 sing 913/10 small \$19/21 smaller 913/1, 913/3 nell \$32/23 Smith 907/2, \$19/3 nooth 913/25 smughy \$25/14 socking \$37/24 sod \$33/18 Sonnet \$31/21 sore \$40/15 sort \$22/15, \$22/20, \$22/21, \$22/24, \$24/10, \$24/14, \$28/21, \$31/4, \$36/19, \$64/1 sounds \$25/14 source \$57/23 South \$19/3, \$13/2, \$38/6, \$38/15, \$38/22, \$39/2, \$39/6, \$39/9, \$39/14, \$42/18, \$85/11 Southern \$61/21, \$61/25, \$62/12, \$63/23, \$65/7, \$65/10 Southwest \$19/4, \$37/21 specified 903/21 sponsor 897/7, 897/19, 897/20 monsored 916/23, 916/25 sponsors 897/9 spensors 5/7/ spreadsheet 97/11 Staff 907/13, 810/25, 811/20, 811/21, 812/3, 814/20, 815/6, 815/21, 816/10, 821/25, 822/3, 823/6, 823/14, 823/17, 824/9, 824/20, 824/21, 826/3, 826/6, 827/12, 823/17, 824/9, 824/20, 824/21, 826/3, 826/6, 827/12, \$29/10, \$30/9, \$44/5, \$60/9, \$61/15, \$62/19, \$63/10, 365/2, 983/6 Staff's \$66/15 staffing 908/23, 909/14, 909/17, 909/20 stage 910/6 stand 311/22, 315/10, 316/22, 342/10, 368/16, 916/24 standard 907/15 standards \$51/1 standing \$23/22 standpoint \$97/15 stands \$40/15 start 309/15, \$34/7, \$42/5, \$68/7, \$71/10, 913/11 started \$32/21, \$32/23, \$60/3 State 207/9, 209/17, 210/16, 212/13, 215/17, 217/16, 231/17, 235/5, 236/5, 243/1, 245/3, 269/7, 921/6 Statement 205/5, 208/7, 208/9, 206/11, 217/12, \$18/3, \$31/19, \$35/7, \$45/5, \$56/3, \$64/2, \$86/13, 910/5, 910/9, 910/10 statements \$11/9, \$15/19, \$27/25, \$36/8, \$59/11, 964/4 states \$51/25, \$60/13, \$61/21, \$61/25, \$62/12, \$63/23, \$65/7, \$65/11 status \$64/9 statute \$61/24, \$62/3, \$62/13 Statutes 386/4 statustory \$27/7 stay \$11/13, \$25/7, \$25/1, \$59/13, \$59/20, 904/24 stepped \$43/4 stock \$34/4 steed \$60/5 stop \$34/11 storage \$51/16 store 899/10 story \$33/4 straight \$52/12 strange \$4\$/19 Street 306/18, 307/3, 307/7, 810/4, 810/14 stricken \$60/12, \$65/17, \$70/10, \$70/11, \$70/13 Strike \$16/10, \$58/16, \$60/10, \$68/23, \$66/15, 871/13, 899/20, 900/4 strikes \$64/1 striking \$63/6 stuck \$34/15 studied \$48/19 study \$\$4/13 stuff \$27/6, \$31/1, \$41/22, 913/3, 914/12, 914/14 subject \$13/24, \$52/6, 909/11 subjects \$21/23 rubmission \$79/24

submit 893/15, 897/1 submits \$15/20 suffice \$46/25 sufficient \$49/24, 906/11 Sugarmill 362/11 suggestion \$23/3 Suite 307/3, 369/10 ummer 851/17 supported 916/23 surge 912/12 surges 914/1, 915/6, 915/19 surprise \$55/3, \$55/23, \$56/1, \$56/20, 907/11, 907/18 swear \$15/10. \$60/6 swearing \$16/7 Swift \$69/10 sworn \$16/23, \$17/10, \$31/17, \$35/5, \$45/3, \$60/4, 368/17, 369/3 system 904/8 T table \$18/3, \$18/10, \$18/17, \$20/5, \$21/1, \$21/3, 821/ TAC-1 \$51/12 talk \$59/12 talking \$38/\$, \$45/\$, \$46/24, \$48/15, \$50/16, \$\$\$/24, 894/25, 896/17, 900/18, 905/8, 905/10, 905/11, 905/16 talks \$\$\$/6 c 307/4, 307/8, 307/12, \$10/4, \$10/14, Tallaheee \$18/24 tank 842/3, 912/12, 912/13, 912/14, 912/15, 912/18, 913/4, 913/5, 913/11, 913/15, 913/20, 913/21, 913/23, 913/25, 914/5, 914/6 tanks 896/17, 912/16 tanks' 913/1 taste \$43/24 technical \$11/4, \$11/10, \$11/11, \$11/14, \$11/16, \$11/25, \$12/11, \$14/19, \$16/13, \$16/16, \$27/19, \$28/3, \$28/13, \$28/17, \$29/17, \$36/23, \$49/4, \$49/18, \$59/13, 859/19, 860/1 ten \$40/14, \$4\$/21, 916/18 ten-minute \$59/18 tend 913/13 term \$19/9, 905/16 a \$\$6/3 ier si territory 920/19, 920/20 TERRY 806/13, 811/6 test \$46/11, \$48/15, \$48/20, \$51/2, \$52/10, \$65/12, 865/23, 865/24, 896/15, 909/11, 910/25, 919/12, 919/14 965/23, 865/24, 896/15, 909/11, 910/25, 919/12, 919/14 testified 817/11, 831/18, 835/6, 845/4, 869/4, 909/25 testify 812/22, 815/8, 816/15, 816/21, 823/23, 859/1, 855/1, 855/8, 855/12, 857/1, 857/4, 858/25, 859/3, 859/7 testimonies 840/19, 845/22, 846/5, 854/5 Testimony 908/15, 811/13, 814/2, 814/17, 814/19, 815/11, 815/19, 816/11, 816/12, 823/6, 823/7, 823/11, 823/16, 823/18, 828/7, 828/16, 829/17, 830/2, 830/9, 826/11, 825/18, 828/7, 828/16, 829/17, 830/2, 830/9, \$30/11, \$30/15, \$30/20, \$34/25, \$35/20, \$36/6, \$36/8, \$44/22, \$45/21, \$46/11, \$46/15, \$46/25, \$47/14, 844/22, 845/21, 846/11, 846/18, 846/25, 847/14, 847/16, 848/2, 848/3, 848/5, 849/4, 849/6, 849/14, 850/5, 851/11, 851/23, 852/21, 853/4, 854/4, 854/10, 854/12, 855/7, 855/21, 856/3, 856/13, 854/17, 858/23, 859/20, 860/10, 866/12, 866/17, 861/14, 861/15, 861/22, 863/10, 864/14, 865/12, 865/15, 865/19, 865/22, 866/16, 866/23, 869/22, 869/25, 876/2, 876/17, 886/23, 886/17, 887/5, 888/6, 890/10, 993/2, 876/17, 886/23, 896/1, 902/24, 903/17, 904/1, 904/6, 903/2, 986/6, 996/0, 902/24, 903/17, 904/1, 904/6, 903/17, 909/15, 996/1, 909/25, 911/2, 912/6, 922/15 Thank 816/17, 816/24, 817/5, 821/11, 821/18, 822/6, 822/0, 96/17, 816/24, 817/5, 821/11, 821/18, 822/6, \$12/7, \$26/17, \$27/15, \$39/21, \$39/22, \$31/11, \$31/12, \$34/19, \$34/21, \$34/23, \$34/24, \$44/16, \$44/17, \$44/21, \$44/23, \$45/16, \$48/6, \$50/3, \$50/12, \$53/9, 853/10, 853/20, 858/9, 858/11, 858/12, 858/13, 858/13, 859/8, 859/22, 861/20, 868/13, 886/15, 896/15, 996/15, 922/20, 922/25 theory 903/16 theory 903/16 they've 853/2, 963/15, 863/18 Third 818/19 thousand \$39/1, \$39/9, \$46/14 thousands \$53/1 three \$23/20, \$46/14, \$48/17, \$51/3, \$53/12, \$70/8, three-month \$20/20, 895/12, 917/22, 918/10, 921/18 thumb \$40/16 tight \$23/21 TIME \$06/16, \$09/11, \$09/20, \$10/21, \$11/10, \$15/9, \$18/5, \$18/7, \$19/16, \$20/9, \$23/1, \$23/21, \$26/19, \$28/4, \$29/8, \$29/9, \$29/15, \$33/3, \$33/2, \$33/11, \$34/11, \$36/7, \$40/20, \$41/1, \$47/15, \$49/8, \$49/17, 852/13, 860/6, 885/6, 898/5, 903/22, 965/7, 906/17, 910/12, 911/20, 911/23, 912/4, 913/15, 918/14, 918/17 timely \$13/10

times \$43/20 timing \$27/8, 918/13 tip \$94/12 tongue top \$33/18, \$37/28, \$43/6, \$64/24 tough \$22/20, \$22/22, \$22/24, \$22/25 tewns \$37/20 tradition \$89%, \$99/16, \$89/18, \$89/20 traditional \$55/17, \$85/19, \$55/21 trail \$37/8 training 857/18, 857/24 transcribing 815/15 transcript \$25/6, \$25/25 transmit \$12/22 transmitted \$12/12 treat 903/7, 905/1, 920/2 treated \$51/1, \$52/1, \$52/14, 920/16 treating 912/23, 913/14 treatment \$14/9, \$17/22, \$18/7, \$37/2, \$46/2, \$40/7, \$40/10, \$60/24, \$61/4, \$70/19, \$70/21, \$84/24, \$87/2, \$90/23, \$91/15, \$99/11, 907/13, 907/15, 912/12, 913/1, 914/2, 915/5, 920/15, 921/8 tree \$70/5 trend 905/12 trick \$31/6 trigger 896/3, 896/8 triggering \$95/8 trouble \$21/13 true \$\$7/23, 946/5, 914/4 truth \$28/22 TSS 852/15 Tuesday 306/15 turn \$44/8, \$\$\$/15, 900/12, 902/21 turned \$35/24 turns 915/12 two \$09/10, \$10/18, \$14/8, \$14/25, \$21/6, \$22/21, \$23/14, \$53/22, \$61/10, \$63/13, \$92/20, \$93/2, \$93/6, 903/19, 915/3 two-headed \$94/7 two-parter \$29/3 typed \$17/15 typographical \$79/4

U

unfair \$21/22, \$55/3 unit 912/1 units 918/7, 910/12, 910/16, 911/22, 912/1, 912/3 University \$84/11 unknown \$200/15, \$20/24 upper \$43/10 urging \$56/11 uncriul \$14/10, \$14/11, \$18/23, \$19/6, \$19/19, \$20/7, \$21/16, \$45/24, \$46/2, \$46/4, \$46/8, \$47/8, \$48/16, \$661/24, \$662/6, \$662/17, \$62/22, \$66/4, \$46/8, \$47/8, \$48/16, \$661/24, \$66/8, \$662/17, \$62/22, \$66/4, \$46/8, \$47/8, \$48/16, \$661/24, \$66/8, \$662/17, \$62/22, \$66/4, \$46/8, \$43/9, \$64/23, \$66/4, \$66/5, \$866/11, \$66/13, \$84/15, \$84/16, \$85/16, \$85/16, \$82/17, \$84/20, \$84/25, \$25/2, \$85/7, \$85/10, \$85/16, \$85/16, \$85/19, \$84/20, \$84/25, \$86/1, \$86/8, \$85/17, \$85/16, \$85/16, \$85/19, \$84/20, \$84/25, \$86/1, \$86/2, \$85/7, \$85/10, \$85/16, \$85/19, \$96/12, \$96/13, \$96/22, \$90/23, \$91/14, \$91/16, \$96/6, \$910/17, \$919/5 uncer \$27/10 utility \$13/20, \$23/13, \$46/9, \$46/10, \$41/11, \$43/3, \$54/25, \$63/11, \$66/6, \$95/9, \$47/11, \$96/4, \$96/6 utility \$13/20, \$23/13, \$46/9, \$46/10, \$41/11, \$43/3, \$54/25, \$63/11, \$66/6, \$95/9, \$47/21, \$90/4, \$90/6

valid \$35/20 value \$19/15, \$19/21 values \$19/17, \$19/19 variances \$39/20 variations \$51/25, \$52/9 vary \$57/14 varying \$87/2, \$04/2 verbase \$31/8 vice \$69/20 views \$55/24 Village \$85/14 violations \$37/13, \$49/11 violations \$37/13, \$49/11 violations \$37/13, \$49/11 violations \$37/13, \$49/11 violations \$37/13, \$49/11 violations \$37/13, \$44/13 voice \$139/24 VOLUMIE \$66/9, \$08/2, \$85/20, \$51/17, \$70/21, \$10/12, \$11/20, \$11/22, \$12/3 voice \$14/21 voted \$23/2, \$61/4

-

₩	
wages \$32/6 wait \$38/18	
walk \$14/25 WALLA \$0\$/10, \$37/12, \$44/24, \$45/1, \$45/6,	
845/11, 846/28, 846/22, 846/24, 848/6, 888/3, 858/10, 858/13, 851/24, 853/18, 858/15	
Wastewater 806/5, 807/17, 813/2, 813/4, 814/9, 817/22, 818/7, 819/3, 838/8, 840/2, 866/24, 870/20,	
864/23, 887/2, 899/23, 891/14, 997/12, 997/15, 912/11, 912/21, 913/22, 915/5, 920/15	
watch 923/3 Water 806/6, 807/5, 807/16, 809/17, 810/5, 813/1,	
\$17/21, \$18/4, \$18/11, \$18/12, \$18/14, \$19/20, \$20/3, \$32/2, \$32/11, \$32/14, \$32/16, \$32/20, \$32/23, \$32/24,	
\$32/25, \$34/7, \$34/13, \$34/18, \$35/18, \$35/24, \$36/1 , \$36/6, \$37/4, \$37/5, \$37/9, \$37/22, \$38/2, \$38/3,	
838/6, 838/14, 838/17, 836/21, 836/23, 839/16, 540/2, 546/6, 546/17, 540/21, 541/8, 541/11, 542/2, 542/3,	
842/6, 842/7, 843/10, 843/24, 843/26, 844/4, 844/5, 844/8, 845/20, 852/24, 861/3, 869/3, 869/19, 870/22,	
896/4, 903/12, 905/2, 914/11, 914/12, 914/14, 916/13 Waters 845/13	
Waterway \$17/22, \$18/6, \$70/18, \$56/21, \$87/12, \$57/24, \$88/9, \$88/10	
wearing 822/21 week 823/14, 909/22, 909/23	
weeks \$23/14, \$23/18, \$23/20 welcome \$31/12, \$22/8	
well-designed 915/18, 915/21, 916/8 West 907/7, 816/14	
wheelbarrow \$33/17 widow \$40/20	
WILLIS 907/16 winter 951/17	
wish \$29/9, \$36/24 wishes \$27/6, \$36/8	
withdraw \$54/2 withdrawa \$47/22, \$53/25, \$54/7, \$55/19, \$55/22,	
856/16, 857/6, 857/7 withdrew 856/17	
witness \$17/9, \$17/13, \$17/15, \$17/19, \$17/25, \$18/2, \$17/, \$21/12, \$228, \$22/4, \$23/5, \$33/24, \$24/16, \$100	
234/24, 825/3, 825/16, 826/16, 826/17, 826/23, 827/15, 827/26, 828/6, 828/12, 828/23, 828/26, 829/11, 829/16, 828/15, 828/16, 828/12, 828/23, 828/26, 829/11, 829/16,	
\$29/21, \$30/4, \$30/18, \$30/22, \$31/2, \$31/7, \$31/12, \$31/16, \$31/20, \$34/23, \$35/4, \$35/8, \$35/16, \$37/3, \$44/7, \$44/23, \$45/2, \$45/6, \$45/11, \$46/20, \$46/22,	
346/24, 348/6, 849/3, 859/3, 859/14, 859/13, 851/24, 853/18, 858/15, 865/23, 865/24, 866/16, 867/17,	
\$\$\$/17, \$69/2, \$69/15, 998/19, 998/21 WITNESSES \$\$\$2, \$11/10, \$16/2, \$16/23, \$28/17,	
\$36/1, \$49/19, \$54/23, \$55/11, \$55/12, \$66/6, \$68/16	
wonder \$3\$/2, 900/19 Woods \$62/11	
word \$46/14, \$47/1, \$70/6, \$70/7, \$70/9, \$70/12, \$85/19, 907/10	
words \$22/2, \$38/13, \$44/11, \$95/16 work \$26/19, \$39/24	
workers \$43/7 working \$27/12	
works \$26/24, \$36/15, \$54/21 worried \$09/11	
werry \$15/25 worth \$34/16, \$40/21, \$42/21, 913/22	
writien \$43/20, \$49/17, \$57/10 wrong \$32/22, \$51/20, \$87/21	
wrole \$946	
x	
X 506/1	
Y	
year \$33/14, \$46/9, \$48/11, \$48/15, \$48/20, \$51/2, \$51/5, \$52/10, \$\$7/15, 904/20, 904/23, 916/25, 914/10,	
915/2, 919/12, 919/14 year's 842/21	
yearty \$48/9 years \$37/7, \$48/21, \$63/12, \$85/8, 906/24	
Yerk 843/1 Young 867/18	
7.	
zero 919/24	
zip \$31/22	