STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners:
JOE GARCIA, CHAIRMAN
J. TERRY DEASON
SUSAN F. CLARK
JULIA L. JOHNSON
E. LEON JACOBS, JR.



DIVISION OF WATER & WASTEWATER CHARLES H. HILL DIRECTOR (850) 413-6900

Public Service Commission

January 8, 1999

Mr. F. Marshall Deterding Rose, Sundstrom & Bentley, LLP 2548 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Docket No. 981288-WU - Application for Certificate to operate a water utility in Charlotte and Lee Counties by Town & Country Utilities Company

Dear Mr. Deterding:

Please find enclosed a copy of a letter received from the Department of Community Affairs in response to your application of certification of Town & County Utilities Company. A staff analyst, Mr. Walker (413-6924) has been assigned to assist with this case. Please call if you have additional questions.

		Respectfully,
ACK		John DWillias
AFA		John Rook Collect
APP	And the second s	John Williams
CAF	And the second second	Bureau Chief
CMU		Division of Policy Development
CTR		Structure
EAG	- Attachment	
LEG		
LIN	cc: Division of Records and Reporting	
OPC	Division of Legal Services (Reyes)	
RCH	JW:ndw	
SEC		

00490 JAN 138

& Industry

WAS ____



STATE OF FLORIDA

John C-

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

"Helping Floridians create safe, vibrant, sustainable communities"

LAWTON CHILES
Governor

JAMES F. MURLEY
Secretary

December 4, 1998

Mr. Charles H. Hill
Division Director
Division of Water and Wastewater
Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FI 32399-0850

RECEIVED

DEC 07 1998

Florida Public Service Commission Division of Water and Wastawater

Re:

PSC Docket No. 981288-WU: Application by Town and County Utility Company for an Original Certificate in Charlotte and Lee Counties, Florida

Dear Mr. Hill:

We have completed the review of the application for original utility certification for Town and County Utility Company received on October 15, 1998. The Board of County Commissioner's of Charlotte County, Florida, has raised objections to the proposed Town and Country Utility certification. The County believes that the certification of this area for water services will promote a sprawling development pattern in the County, a process the County is trying to control by requiring that new developments be consistent with the Urban Service Area strategy and the policies of Charlotte County's comprehensive plan.

In support of Charlotte County, the Department of Community Affairs hereby objects to the proposed water service certification because it will negate the purpose of Charlotte County's Urban Service Area strategy and be inconsistent with the policies of the comprehensive plan adopted pursuant to Chapter 163, Part II, Florida Statute.

The Future Land Use Map designation in Charlotte County, where about 90 percent of the subject area occupies, is mostly Agriculture/Conservation (maximum of 1 dwelling unit per 40 acres). The portion in Lee County is in Rural designation as well. This subject area is outside the Urban Service Area of Charlotte County, extremely rural and not projected to grow within the planning timeframe. According to Charlotte County staff, only 62 people lived in the 90,000-acre area during the 1990 US Census.

It is the objective of Charlotte County's comprehensive plan to direct intense development to the Urban Service Area, and discourage development within rural and agricultural areas, in order to promote a coherent and systematic growth of the county. Objective

2555 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD • TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-2100 Phone: 850.488.8466/Suncom 278.8466 FAX: 850.921.0781/Suncom 291.0781 Internet address: http://www.state.fl.us/comaff/dca.html

Mr. Charles H. Hill December 4, 1998 Page Two

1.1 of Charlotte County's Future Land Use Element specifically requires the county to direct the timing, location, density and intensity of development and infrastructure to the Urban Service Area. The proposed utility certification is not supported by Charlotte County's Comprehensive Plan Infrastructure Element policies. For example, Policy 9.1.1 encourages utility services to occur in a manner that supports the Urban Service Area strategy of the County, i.e., be directed toward the infill areas. Similarly, Policy 9.1.4 specifies that certificated areas will not be extended or expanded for potable water or sewer service outside Infill Area boundaries of the Urban Service Area. The proposed utility certification area is not supported by the Urban Service Area strategy of the County and will be inconsistent with the policies of Charlotte County's comprehensive plan. Furthermore, the utility certification has the potential to promote intense development in a rural area, premature conversion of agricultural land to urban use and the sprawling of development.

These very concerns were addressed by the Governor and Cabinet approximately eight years ago when Charlotte County adopted its original comprehensive plan under the 1985 Growth Management Act. The Department issued a Notice of Intent to find that comprehensive plan not in compliance with state law and presented its case to an Administrative Law Judge. The Judge concurred with the position taken by the Department, and forwarded his Recommended Order that the plan be found not in compliance to the Governor and Cabinet who, sitting as the Administration Commission, ordered the County to amend its comprehensive plan to prohibit the public provision of water and sewer outside the Urban Service Area, to reduce densities in the outlying areas to one dwelling unit per forty acres (the County had proposed one unit per acre), and to direct the provision of infrastructure within the Urban Service Area in order to combat urban sprawl. The County complied with that mandate by amending its original plan.

Importantly and quite commendably, the County has furthered these principles by adopting into its current plan policies that further and, in fact, strengthen the principles to which it was directed by the Governor and Cabinet. It is also worthy of note that 1000 Friends of Florida bestowed upon the County an award for outstanding achievement based upon the significant measures in the current comprehensive plan to direct orderly and well-timed growth.

The current proposal by Town and Country is contrary to the important principles embodied in the original Charlotte County comprehensive plan, as amended, and in the newly-adopted plan. These directives came from the Governor and Cabinet, sitting as the state's supreme land planning decision-making body, and should be afforded significant weight.

Mr. Charles H. Hill December 4, 1998 Page Two

Thus, for the reasons stated above, the Department recommends that this request for water certification be denied. If you have any questions on this matter, please call Bernard O. Piawah, Planning manager, Bureau of Local Planning at (850) 487-4545.

Sincerely,

J. Thomas Beck, Chief Bureau of Local Planning

JTB/bp

cc: David Smith, Charlotte County Planning Department

Wayne Daltry, Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council

Ms. Burton, Charlotte County Attorney