AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

RECEIVED-FPSC 99 APR - 1 PM 3: 10 RECORDS AND

April 1, 1999



HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Environmental Cost Recovery Clause

FPSC Docket No. 990007-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket on behalf of Tampa Electric Company are the original and ten (10) copies of Prepared Testimony and Exhibit (KOZ-1) of Karen O. Zwolak regarding Environmental Cost Recovery Final True-Ups for the period April 1998 through September 1998 and October 1998 through December 1998.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

ACK		Sincerely,	
	1. 1.	•	
AFA	Varamer	0	
APP		funtise Ly	
CAF		James D. Beasley	
CMU	JDB/pp		
CIR	<u>Enclosures</u>		
EAG) All Davis CD and (
LEG	cc: All Parties of Record (w/enc.)		
LIN	3 torgs		
OPC	RECEIVED & FILED		
RCH			Round and Manager visit (Persiller of Author
SEC	FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS		DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
WAS			U4204 APR-18
ОТН			FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Testimony and Exhibit,

filed on behalf of Tampa Electric, has been furnished by U. S. Mail or hand delivery (*) on this

day of April 1999 to the following:

Ms. Leslie Paugh*
Staff Counsel
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Room 370, Gunter Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0872

Mr. John Roger Howe Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Suite 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mr. John W. McWhirter, Jr. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. P. O. Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601-3350

Ms. Gail Kamaras LEAF 1114 Thomasville Road – Suite E Tallahassee, FL 32302-6390 Mr. Joseph A. McGlothlin McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. Matthew M. Childs Steel Hector & Davis Suite 601 215 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. G. Edison Holland Mr. Jeffrey A. Stone Beggs and Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

TORNEY

OR/G/NAL TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 990007-EI FILED: APRIL 1, 1999

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 990007-EI

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY FINAL TRUE-UPS

APRIL 1998 - SEPTEMBER 1998 and OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT OF
KAREN O. ZWOLAK

DOCUMENT NUMPER-DATE

04204 APR-18

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 990007-EI SUBMITTED FOR FILING 4/1/99

1		BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		KAREN O. ZWOLAK
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, address, occupation and employer.
7		
8	A.	My name is Karen O. Zwolak. My business address is 702
9		North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am employed
10		by Tampa Electric Company in the position of Manager,
11		Energy Issues in the Electric Regulatory Affairs
12		Department.
13		
14	Q.	Please provide a brief outline of your educational
15		background and business experience.
16		
17	A.	I received a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Microbiology in
18	}	1977 and a Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical
19		Engineering in 1985 from the University of South Florida.
20		I began my engineering career in 1986 at the Florida
21		Department of Environmental Regulation and was employed as
22		a Permitting Engineer in the Industrial Wastewater Program.

In 1990, I joined Tampa Electric Company as an engineer in 1 the Environmental Planning Department and was responsible 2 for permitting and compliance issues relating to wastewater 3 treatment and disposal. In 1995, I transferred to Tampa 4 Electric's Energy Supply Department and assumed the duties 5 6 of the plant chemical engineer at the F. J. Gannon Station. In this position, I was responsible for boiler chemistry, 7 maintenance 8 water management, and of environmental 9 equipment and general engineering support. In 1997, I was Energy Issues 10 promoted to Manager, the Electric in 11 Regulatory Affairs Department. My present responsibilities 12 include the areas of fuel adjustment, capacity cost recovery, environmental filings and rate design. 13

14

15

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

16

17

18

19

20

21

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present, for Commission review and approval, the actual true-up amount and the calculations thereof, associated with the environmental compliance activities for the periods April 1998 through September 1998, and October 1998 through December 1998.

22

23

Q. Do you wish to sponsor exhibits in support of your testimony?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

My Exhibit No. (KOZ-1) consists of eight forms Α. Yes. prepared under my direction and supervision. Form 42-1A presents the final true-up for the April 1998 through September 1998 period and also for the October 1998 through December 1998 period; Form 42-2A provides the detailed calculation of the final true-up for both periods; Form 42-3A details the calculation of the interest provision for the two periods; Form 42-4A reflects the calculation of variances between actual and actual/estimated costs for O & M activities; Form 42-5A provides a summary of actual monthly O & M activity costs for the two periods; Form 42-6A provides details of the calculation of variances between actual and actual/estimated costs for capital investment projects; Form 42-7A presents a summary of actual monthly costs for capital investment projects for both periods and, finally, Form 42-8A, pages 1 through 8, consists of the calculation of depreciation expense and return on capital investment for each project.

Witness: Karen O. Zwolak

22

23

1	Q.	What	is	the	sour	ce	of	the	data	which	you	will	present	by
2		way	of t	testi	.mony	or	ex	hibi	ts ir	this	proc	ess?		

A. Unless otherwise indicated, the actual data is taken from the books and records of Tampa Electric Company. The books and records are kept in the regular course of our business in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and practices, and provisions of the Uniform System of Accounts as prescribed by this Commission.

Q. What is the actual true-up amount which Tampa Electric is requesting for the six-month period April 1998 through September 1998 and the three-month period October 1998 through December 1998?

A. Tampa Electric has calculated and is requesting approval of an over-recovery of \$287,647 as the actual true-up amount for the nine-month period April 1998 through December 1998. This is comprised of the actual true-up amount of an over-recovery of \$299,097 for the six-month period April 1998 through September 1998 and the actual true-up amount of an under-recovery of \$11,450 for the three-month period October 1998 through December 1998.

Q. What is the adjusted net true-up amount which Tampa Electric is requesting for the April 1998 through December 1998 period which is to be carried over and refunded/recovered in the next projection period?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

Tampa Electric has calculated and is requesting approval of an under-recovery of \$1,053,356 reflected on Form 42-1A, as the adjusted net true-up amount for the combined six-month period, April 1998 through September 1998 and the threemonth period, October 1998 through December 1998. adjusted net true-up amount is the difference between the sum of the actual over and under recoveries for the combined periods April 1998 through September 1998 and October 1998 through December 1998 as depicted on Form 42-1A. The actual true-up amount for the period April 1998 through September 1998 is an over-recovery of \$299,097 as compared to the \$386,745 actual/estimated over-recovery amount approved in FPSC Order No. PSC-98-0408-FOF-EI. actual true-up amount for the period October 1998 through December 1998 is an under-recovery of \$11,450 as compared to the actual/estimated true-up amount of an over-recovery of \$954,258 approved in FPSC Order No. PSC-98-1224-FOF-EI.

23

5

1	Q.	Is this true-up calculation consistent with the true-up
2		methodology used for other cost recovery clauses?
3		
4	A.	Yes, it is. The calculation of the true-up amount follows
5		the procedures established by this Commission as set forth
6	,	on FPSC Schedule A-2 "Calculation of True-Up and Interest
7		Provision for the Fuel Cost Recovery Clause."
8		
9	Ω.	Are all costs listed in Forms 42-4A through 42-8A
10		attributable to environmental compliance projects approved
11		by the Commission?
12		
13	A.	Yes, they are.
14	<u> </u>	
15	Q.	How did actual expenditures for the period April 1998
16	1	through December 1998 compare with Tampa Electric's
17	<u> </u> 	actual/estimated projections as presented in previous
18		testimony and exhibits?
19		
20	A.	As shown on Form 42-4A, total O & M costs were \$1,183,745,
21		or 70%, higher than actual/estimated projections. Form 42-
22		6A shows the total capital investment costs were \$12,769,

23

or 1% lower, than actual/estimated projections. O & M and

1 2

capital investment project variances that were greater than 10% of the actual/estimated costs are explained below.

3

4

O & M Variances:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

allowance expense SO₂ Allowance Costs: SO₂ was \$1,210,262 or 177% greater than projected. SO, allowance costs were greater in the last quarter of 1998 for two First, Tampa Electric experienced a primary reasons. temporary shortage of medium sulfur coal due to shipping delays and had to utilize a higher sulfur replacement coal. This caused the company consume additional to SO₂ allowances. Second, economy sales, which help to off-set SO₂ allowances costs for the retail customers, were lower than anticipated due to milder weather conditions for the last quarter of 1998. These two events resulted in an overall increase in SO, allowance costs.

18

19

20

21

22

23

17

Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning Project: Actual project expenditures were \$2,707, or 11% greater than the actual/estimated expenditures. This variance is due to the timing of sulfur deliveries in the month of December. The company made an effort to maximize on-site sulfur storage

in anticipation of higher usage during the winter months.

Capital Investment Variances:

1. Upgrades for Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1: The return on investment and depreciation for the Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 were \$5,793 or 48% lower than actual/estimated projections. Project construction costs were less than estimated. Also, project delays affected the timing of cash flows which contributed to the variance.

2. Upgrades for Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2: The return on investment and depreciation for the Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 were \$6,861, or 45%, lower than the actual/estimated projections. Delays in the project's schedule affected the timing of cash flows which contributed to the variance. reflected on Form 42-6A.

3. Upgrades for Phillips Tank #4: The return on investment and depreciation for the Phillips tank project were \$86, or 11%, lower than estimated for the October 1998 through December 1998 period. This variance is primarily due to project delays and timing of cash flows.

Docket No. 990007-EI

Witness: Karen O. Zwolak

Tampa Electric Company

1 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

2

3 A. Yes, it does.

4

5

6

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 990007-EI FILED: APRIL 1, 1999

FINAL TRUE - UP

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY

COMMISSION FORMS 42-1A THROUGH 42-8A

APRIL 1998 - SEPTEMBER 1998

and

OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 990007-EI FILED: APRIL 1, 1999

FINAL TRUE UP ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY COMMISSION FORMS 42-1A THROUGH 42-8A APRIL 1998 - SEPTEMBER 1998 and OCTOBER 1998 - DECEMBER 1998

INDEX

DOCUMENT NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1	Form 42-1A	1
2	Form 42-2A	2
3	Form 42-3A	3
4	Form 42-4A	4
5	Form 42-5A	5
6	Form 42-6A	6
7	Form 42-7A	. 7
8	Form 42-8A	8

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-Up Amount for the Period April 1998 to December 1998

Line		Period Amount
	1a End of Period Actual True-Up for the Period April 1998 to September 1998 (Form 42-2A, Lines 5 + 6 +10)	\$299,097
	1b End of Period Actual True-Up for the Period October 1998 to December 1998 (Form 42-2A, Lines 5 + 6 +10)	(\$11,450)
Pa	1c Total End of Period Actual True-Up (1a + 1b)	287,647
Page -1-	2a Estimated/Actual True-Up Amount approved for the Period April 1998 to September 1998 (Order No. PSC-98-0408-FOF-EI)	386,745
	2b Estimated/Actual True-Up Amount approved for the Period October 1998 to December 1998 (Order No. PSC-98-1224-FOF-EI)	954,258
	2c Total Estimated/Actual True-Up Amount approved for the Period April 1998 to December 1998 (2a + 2b)	1,341,003
	3 Final True-Up Amount to be refunded/(recovered) in the projection period January 1999 to December 1999 (Lines 1a + 1b) - (Lines 2a + 2b)	(\$1,053,356)

() Reflects Underrecovery

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-Up Amount for the Period April 1998 to December 1998

End-of-Period True-Up Amount (in Dollars)

		(ai Boiles)						Apr 98 - Sep 98				End of
		Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Period	Actual	Actual	Actual	Period
Line	_	April-98	May-98	June-98	July-98	August-98	September-98	Total	October-98	November-98	December-98	Total
1	ECRC Revenues (net of Revenue Taxes)	\$380,177	\$394,696	\$494,731	\$523,379	\$496,174	\$504,457	\$2,793,614	\$470,863	\$411,620	\$412,330	\$1,294,813
2	True-Up Provision (a)	22,927	22,927	22,927	22,927	22,927	22,928	137,563	45,283	45,283	45,284	\$135,850
3	ECRC Revenues Applicable to Period (Lines 1 + 2)	403,104	417,623	517,658	546,306	519,101	527,385	2,931,177	516,146	456,903	457,614	1,430,663
4	Jurisdictional ECRC Costs											
	a. O & M Activities (Form 42-5A, Line 9)	238,671	238,462	321,539	354,389	298,158	291,443	\$1,742,662	290,982	338,741	345,869	\$975,592
	b. Capital Investment Projects (Form 42-7A, Line 9)	152,428	151,125	148,730	149,270	149,374	153,065	\$903,992	153,472	158,113	162,988	\$474,573
	c. Total Jurisdictional ECRC Costs	391,099	389,587	470,269	503,659	447,532	444,508	2,646,654	444,454	496,854	508,857	1,450,165
5	Over/Under Recovery (Line 3 - Line 4c)	12,005	28,036	47,389	42,647	71,569	82,877	284,523	71,692	(39,951)	(51,243)	(19,502)
6	Interest Provision (Form 42-3A, Line 10)	2,235	2,222	2,316	2,439	2,593	2,769	\$14,574	2,855	2,818	2,379	8,052
7	Beginning Balance True-Up & Interest Provision	137,563	128,876	136,207	162,985	185,144	236,379	137,563	271,700	300,964	218,547	271,700
	a. Deferred True-Up from October 1997 to March 1998 (Order No. PSC-98-0408-FOF-EI)	351,717	351,717	351,717	351,717	351,717	351,717	351,717	379,114	379,114	379,114	379,114
	 Deferred True-Up from April 1998 to December 1998 (Order No. PSC-98-1224-FOF-EI) 											
Page	True-Up Collected/(Refunded) (see Line 2)	(22,927)	(22,927)	(22,927)	(22,927)	(22,927)	(22,928)	(137,563)	(45,283)	(45,283)	(45,284)	(135,850)
۱,	End of Period Total True-Up (Lines 5+6+7+7a+8)	480,593	487,924	514,702	\$36,861	588,096	650,814	650,814	680,078	597,662	503,513	503,514
2-10	Adjustments to Period Total True-Up Including Interest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	End of Period Total True-Up (Lines 9 + 10)	\$480,593	\$487,924	\$514,702	\$536,861	\$588,096	\$650,814	\$650,814	\$680,078	\$597,662	\$503,513	\$503,514

⁽a). True-up provision for April 1998 through September 1998 per Commission Order No. PSC-98-0408-FOF-EI; True-up provision for October 1998 through December 1998 per Commission Order No. PSC-98-1224-FOF-EI.

End of

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-Up-Up Amount for the Period April 1998 to December 1998

Interest Provision (in Dollars)

Line	Actual April-98	Actual May-98	Actual June-98	Actual July-98	Actual August-98	Actual September-98	Actual October-98	Actual November-98	Actual December-98	Period Total
1 Beginning True-Up Amount (Form 42-2A, Line 7 + 7a + 10)	\$489,280	\$480,593	\$487,924	\$514,702	\$536,861	\$588,096	\$650,814	\$680,078	\$597,662	
2 Ending True-Up Amount Before Interest (Line 1 + Form 42-2A, Lines 5 + 8)	478,358	485,702	512,386	534,422	585,503	648,045	677,223	594,844	501,135	
3 Total of Beginning & Ending True-Up (Lines 1 + 2)	967,638	966,295	1,000,310	1,049,124	1,122,364	1,236,141	1,328,037	1,274,922	1,098,797	
4 Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 x 1/2)	483,819	483,148	500,155	524,562	561,182	618,071	664,019	637,461	549,399	
5 Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Business Month)	5.55%	5.53%	5.50%	5.60%	5.56%	5.52%	5.22%	5.10%	5.50%	
6 Interest Rate (First Day of Subsequent Business Month)	5.53%	5.50%	5.60%	5.56%	5.52%	5.22%	5.10%	5.50%	4.90%	
7 Total of Beginning & Ending Interest Rates (Lines 5 + 6)	11.08%	11.03%	11.10%	11.16%	11.08%	10.74%	10.32%	10.60%	10.40%	
8 Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2)	5.540%	5.515%	5.550%	5.580%	5.540%	5.370%	5.160%	5.300%	5.200%	
9 Monthly Average Interest Rate (Line 8 x 1/12)	0.462%	0.460%	0.463%	0.465%	0.462%	0.448%	0.430%	0.442%	0.433%	
10 Interest Provision for the Month (Line 4 x Line 9)	\$2,235	\$2,222	\$2,316	\$2,439	\$2,593	\$2,769	\$2,855	\$2,818	\$2,379	\$22,626

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-Up Amount for the Period April 1998 to December 1998

Variance Report of O&M Activities (in Dollars)

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Actual	Variat	nce
Line		Actual	Estimated	Amount	Percent
					
1	Description of O&M Activities				
	1. Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration	\$962,401	\$991,625	(\$29,224)	-3%
	2. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	26,926	24,219	2,707	11%
	3. Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors	0	0	0	0%
	4. Gannon Ignition Oil Tank	0	0	0	0%
٦	5. Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade	0	0	0	0%
Page	6. Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade	0	0	0	0%
4	7. Phillips Upgrade Tank #1 for FDEP	0	0	0	0%
i	8. Phillips Upgrade Tank #4 for FDEP	0	0	0	0%
	9. SO2 Emissions Allowances (includes Economy Sales SO2 Credit)	1,893,568	683,306	1,210,262	177%
	10.SO2 Credit - FMPA	(15,759)	(15,759)	0	
2	Total O&M Activities	\$2,867,136	\$1,683,391	\$1,183,745	70%
3	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$2,867,136	\$1,683,391	\$1,183,745	70%
	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$0	\$0	\$0	0%

Notes:

Column(1) is the End of Period Totals on Form 42-5A.

Column(2) is the approved Estimated/Actual amount in accordance with

FPSC Order No. PSC-98-0408-FOF-EI

Column(3) = Column(1) - Column(2)

Column(4) = Column(3) / Column(2)

KET NO. 990007-EI PA ELECTRIC COMPANY

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Current Period Actual/Estimated Amount April 1998 to December 1998

O&M Activities (in Dollars)

	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	End of Period	Method of Classification	
Line	Apr-98	May-98	Jun-98	Jul-98	Aug-98	Sep-98	Oct-98	Nov-98	Dec-98	Totai	Demand	Energy
1. Description of O&M Activities												
la Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration	\$109,879	\$31,684	\$66,748	\$180,702	\$ 97,945	\$ 79,458	\$94,362	\$122,863	\$178,760	\$962,401		\$962,401
Ib Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	2,255	0	4,452	0	5,052	5,083	2,210	0	7,874	\$26,926		26,926
Ic Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0		0
Id Gannon Ignition Oil Tank	0	0	0	0	ø	O	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	
le Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	0	
1f Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	0	
lg Phillips Upgrade Tank #1 for FDEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	0	
Ih Phillips Upgrade Tank #4 for FDEP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	0	
Ii SO2 Emissions Allowances	153,356	221,850	277,253	200,891	217,028	220,566	207,953	225,347	169,324	\$1,893,568		1,893,568
lj SO2 Credit - FMPA	(15,759)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(15,759)		(15,759)
2. Total of O&M Activities	249,731	253,534	348,453	381,593	320,025	305,107	304,525	348,210	355,958	2,867,136	0	2,867,136
3. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	249,731	253,534	348,453	381,593	320,025	305,107	304,525	348,210	355,958	2,867,136		
Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0		
5(Betail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.9485018	0.9405529	0.9227622	0.9287095	0.9316706	0.9552151	0.9555280	0.9728060	0.9716581			
6. Qetail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.9063903	0.9076499	0.9247896	0.9263690	0.9229481	0.9268748	0.9205512	0.9766484	0.9158070			
ப்பு 7. Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A)	236,870	238,462	321,539	354,389	298,158	291,443	290,982	338,741	345,869	2,716,453		
7a Add back FMPA/LAK Excess Emissions Allocation	1,801	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,801		
8. Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (B)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
9. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M												
Activities (Lines 7 + 8)	\$238,671	\$238,462	\$321,539	\$354,389	\$298,158	\$291,443	\$290,982	\$338,741	\$345,869	\$2,718,254		

Notes:

(A) Line 3 x Line 5

(B) Line 4 x Line 6

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Final True-Up Amount for the Period April 1998 to December 1998

Variance Report of Capital Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs (in Dollars)

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Actual	Varia	nce
Line	_	Actual	Estimated	Amount	Percent
1	Description of Investment Projects				
	1. Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration	\$830,541	\$830,541	\$0	0%
	2. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	501,372	501,372	0	0%
	3. Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors	64,092	64,092	0	0%
	4. Gannon Ignition Oil Tank	43,911	43,911	0	0%
	5. Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade	6,285	12,078	(5,793)	-48%
Page	6. Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade	8,437	15,298	(6,861)	-45%
	7. Phillips Upgrade Tank #1 for FDEP	682	768	(86)	-11%
φ	8. Phillips Upgrade Tank #4 for FDEP	1,062	1,091	(29)	-3%
2	Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	\$1,456,382	\$1,469,151	(\$12,769)	-1%
3	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$1,396,005	\$1,396,005	\$0	0%
4	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$60,377	\$73,146	(\$12,769)	-17%

Notes:

Column(1) is the End of Period Totals on Form 42-7A.

Column(2) is the approved Estimated/Actual amount in accordance with

FPSC Order No. PSC-98-0408-FOF-EI

Column(3) = Column(1) - Column(2)

Column(4) = Column(3) / Column(2)

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Actual Period Amount April 1998 to December 1998

Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs (in Dollars)

La Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration \$93,028 \$92,842 \$92,656 \$92,469 \$92,282 \$92,096 \$91,099 \$91,723 \$91,536 \$830,541 \$158,0000 \$10,00000 \$10,00000 \$10,00000 \$10,00000 \$10,00000 \$10,00000 \$10,00000 \$10,00000 \$10,00000 \$10,00000 \$10,00000 \$10,00000 \$10,00000 \$10,000000 \$10,000000 \$10,000000 \$10,000000 \$10,	Line	Actual April-98	Actual May-98	Actual June-98	Actual July-98	Actual August-98	Actual September-98	Actual October-98	Actual November-98	Actual December-98	End of Period Total
Is Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	I Description of Investment Projects (A)										
Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 156,458 156,122 155,786 155,449 155,111 154,775 154,438 154,102 153,764 1,396,005 1,	1a Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration	\$93,028	\$92,842	\$92,656	\$92,469	\$92,282	\$92,096	\$91,909	\$91,723	\$91,536	
16 Genon Ignition Oil Tank	1b Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning	56,236	56,104	55,972	55,840	55,708	55,576	55,444	55,312	55,180	
le Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade 17 34 50 83 164 365 805 1,780 2,987 \$6,285 16 Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade 112 26 45 75 115 273 552 1,390 5,949 \$8,437 1g Phillips Upgrade Tank #1 for FDEP 3 5 5 11 26 41 53 90 142 311 \$682 1h Phillips Upgrade Tank #4 for FDEP 3 5 7 9 9 13 28 67 207 723 \$1,062 2 Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	1c Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors	7,194	7,176	7,158	7,140	7,121	7,103	7,085	7,067	7,048	
If Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade 12 26 45 75 115 273 552 1,390 5,949 \$8,437 Ig Phillips Upgrade Tank #1 for FDEP 3 5 5 11 26 41 53 90 142 311 \$682 Ih Phillips Upgrade Tank #4 for FDEP 3 5 7 9 13 28 67 207 723 \$1,062 2 Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs 160,901 160,840 161,168 160,743 160,379 160,409 160,849 162,499 168,594 1,436,382 3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 156,458 156,122 155,786 155,449 155,111 154,775 154,438 154,102 153,764 1,396,005 4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 4,443 4,718 5,382 5,294 5,268 5,634 6,411 8,397 14,830 60,377 5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor 6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9227622 0.9287095 0.9316706 0.9552151 0.9555280 0.9728060 0.9716581 6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9227896 0.92263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.9205512 0.9766484 0.9158070 Description of the project of the p	1d Gannon Ignition Oil Tank	4,408	4,648	5,269	5,101	4,935	4,915	4,897		•	
11 Big Bear Tuth (1) Engine Trans #2 For FDEP 12 Straig Energy S	le Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade	17	34	50	83	164	365	805	1,780	-•	
Phillips Upgrade Tank #1 for FDEP 3 5 7 9 13 28 67 207 723 \$1,062	If Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade	12	26	45	75	115	273	552	•	•	
2 Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	1g Phillips Upgrade Tank #1 for FDEP	3	5	11	26	41	53	90			
3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor 6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (B) 7 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (C) 7 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for	1h Phillips Upgrade Tank #4 for FDEP	3	5	7	9	13	28				
4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 4,443 4,718 5,382 5,294 5,268 5,634 6,411 8,397 14,830 60,377 5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor 0.9485018 0.9405529 0.9227622 0.9287095 0.9316706 0.9552151 0.9555280 0.9728060 0.9716581 6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.9205512 0.9766484 0.9158070 5 Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (B) 148,401 146,841 143,753 144,367 144,512 147,843 147,570 149,912 149,406 1,322,605 9 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (C) 4,027 4,284 4,977 4,903 4,862 5,222 5,902 8,201 13,582 55,960	2 Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	160,901	160,840	161,168	160,743	160,379	160,409	160,849	162,499	168,594	1,456,382
4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 4,443 4,718 5,382 5,294 5,268 5,634 6,411 8,397 14,830 60,377 5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor 0.9485018 0.9405529 0.9227622 0.9287095 0.9316706 0.9552151 0.9555280 0.9728060 0.9716581 6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.9205512 0.9766484 0.9158070 Display Language Costs (B) 148,401 146,841 143,753 144,367 144,512 147,843 147,570 149,912 149,406 1,322,605 9 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (C) 4,027 4,284 4,977 4,903 4,862 5,222 5,902 8,201 13,582 55,960 9 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for	3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	156.458	156,122	155,786	155,449	155,111	154,775	154,438	154,102	153,764	1,396,005
6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.9205512 0.9766484 0.9158070 9 Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (B) 148,401 146,841 143,753 144,367 144,512 147,843 147,570 149,912 149,406 1,322,605 9 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (C) 4,027 4,284 4,977 4,903 4,862 5,222 5,902 8,201 13,582 55,960		•	-	5,382	5,294	5,268	5,634	6,411	8,397	14,830	60,377
6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.9205512 0.9766484 0.9158070 The provided Hand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.9205512 0.9766484 0.9158070 The provided Hand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.9205512 0.9766484 0.9158070 The provided Hand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.9205512 0.9766484 0.9158070 The provided Hand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.9205512 0.9766484 0.9158070 The provided Hand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.9205512 0.9766484 0.9158070 The provided Hand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.9205512 0.9766484 0.9158070 The provided Hand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.920512 0.9766484 0.9158070 The provided Hand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.9205512 0.9766484 0.9158070 The provided Hand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9263690 0.9229481 0.9268748 0.9205512 0.9766484 0.9158070 The provided Hand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9063903 0.9076499 0.9247896 0.9268748 0.920512 0.9766484 0.920512 0.97	5 Retail Energy Inristictional Factor	0.9485018	0.9405529	0.9227622	0.9287095	0.9316706	0.9552151	0.9555280	0.9728060	0.9716581	
Up Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (B) 148,401 146,841 143,753 144,367 144,512 147,843 147,570 149,912 149,406 1,322,605 9 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (C) 4,027 4,284 4,977 4,903 4,862 5,222 5,902 8,201 13,582 55,960 9 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for	6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor				0.9263690	0.9229481	0.9268748	0.9205512	0.9766484	0.9158070	
9 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for	ta de la companya de	148,401	146,841	143,753	144,367	144,512	147,843	147,570		•	
01/0 01/0 01/0 01/0 01/0 01/0 01/0 01/0	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (C)	4,027	4,284	4,977	4,903	4,862	5,222	5,902	8,201	13,582	55,960
01/0 01/0 01/0 01/0 01/0 01/0 01/0 01/0	1 Total had disting a Bassacashla Casta for										
	,	\$152,428	\$151,125	\$148,730	\$149,270	\$149,374	\$153,065	\$153,472	\$158,113	\$162,988	\$1,378,565

Notes:

(A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-8A, Line 9

(B) Line 3 x Line 5

(C) Line 4 x Line 6

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Actual/Estimated Amount for the Period April 1998 to December 1998

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual April-98	Actual May-98	Actual June-98	Actual July-98	Actual August-98	Actual September-98	Actual October-98	Actual November-98	Actual December-98	End of Period Amount
I. Inv	vestments											
a.	Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
b.	Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
C.	Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. (Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Pla	int-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	\$8,239,658	
3. Les	ss: Accumulated Depreciation	(624,015)	(643,241)	(662,467)	(681,693)	(700,919)	(720,145)	(739,371)	(758,597)	(777,823)	(797,049)	
4. CW	VIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ò) O	
5. Net	t Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$7,615,643	7,596,417	7,577,191	7,557,965	7,538,739	7,519,513	7,500,287	7,481,061	7,461,835	7,442,609	
6. Av	erage Net Investment		7,606,030	7,586,804	7,567,578	7,548,352	7,529,126	7,509,900	7,490,674	7,471,448	7,452,222	
7. Ret	turn on Average Net Investment				*							
a.	Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)		55,928	55,787	55,646	55,504	55,363	55,222	55,080	54,939	54,797	\$498,266
ь. Ра	Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		17,874	17,829	17,784	17,739	17,693	17,648	17,603	17,558	17,513	159,241
8.0 0 1v	estment Expenses											
a. 1	Depreciation		19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226	19,226	173,034
9 0	Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. 1	Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d.	Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. (Other	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Tot	tal System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 +8)		93,028	92,842	92,656	92,469	92,282	92,096	91,909	91,723	91,536	830,541
a. I	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		93,028	92,842	92,656	92,469	92,282	92,096	91,909	91,723	91,536	830,541
ъ. 1	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Ene	ergy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9485018	0.9405529	0.9227622	0.9287095	0.9316706	0.9552151	0.9555280	0.9728060	0.9716581	
11. Der	mand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9063903	0.9076499	0.9247896	0.9263690	0.9229481	0.9268748	0.9205512	0.9766484	0.9158070	
I2. Ret	tail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		88,237	87,323	85,499	85,877	85,976	87,971	87,822	89,229	88,942	786,876
13. Ret	ail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14. Tota	al Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 +	13)	\$88,237	\$87,323	\$85,499	\$85,877	\$85,976	\$87,971	\$87,822	\$89,229	\$88, 94 2	\$786,876

Notes:

- (A) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)
- (B) Line 9a x Line 10
- (C) Line 9b x Line 11

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Actual/Estimated Amount for the Period April 1998 to December 1998

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Big Bend Units I and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning
(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual April-98	Actual May-98	Actual June-98	Actual July-98	Actual August-98	Actual September-98	Actual October-98	Actual November-98	Actual December-98	End of Period Amount
I. Investmen	ts											
	litures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
b. Clearin			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Retiren	nents		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Other			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Plant-in-Se	ervice/Depreciation Base	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	\$5,017,734	
3. Less: Acc	numulated Depreciation	(617,258)	(630,862)	(644,466)	(658,070)	(671,674)	(685,278)	(698,882)	(712,486)	(726,090)	(739,694)	
4. CWIP - No	on-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
5. Net Invest	ment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$4,400,476	\$4,386,872	\$4,373,268	\$4,359,664	\$4,346,060	\$4,332,456	\$4,318,852	\$4,305,248	\$4,291,644	\$4,278,040	
6. Average N	let Investment		4,393,674	4,380,070	4,366,466	4,352,862	4,339,258	4,325,654	4,312,050	4,298,446	4,284,842	
7. Return on	Average Net Investment											
⁺ ⊜ a. Equity (Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)		32,307	32,207	32,107	32,007	31,907	31,807	31,707	31,607	31,507	\$287,163
b. Debt Co	omponent (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		10,325	10,293	10,261	10,229	10,197	10,165	10,133	10,101	10,069	91,773
8 nvestment	t Expenses											
a. Depreci			13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	13,604	122,436
b. Amorti:			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Disman	ntlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Propert	ty Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other		_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Total Syste	em Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 +8)		56,236	56,104	55,972	55,840	55,708	55,576	55,444	55,312	55,180	501,372
	rable Costs Allocated to Energy		56,236	56,104	55,972	55,840	55,708	55,576	55,444	55,312	55,180	501,372
	erable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Energy Jui	risdictional Factor		0.9485018	0.9405529	0.9227622	0.9287095	0.9316706	0.9552151	0.9555280	0.9728060	0.9716581	
	urisdictional Factor		0.9063903	0.9076499	0.9247896	0.9263690	0.9229481	0.9268748	0.9205512	0.9766484	0.9158070	
12. Retail Ene	rgy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		53,340	52,769	51,649	51,859	51,902	53,087	52,978	53,808	53,616	475,008
	nand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14. Total Juris	dictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 +	13)	\$53,340	\$ 52,769	\$51,649	\$51,859	\$51,902	\$53,087	\$52,978	\$ 53,808	\$53,616	\$475,008

Notes:

(A) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

DOCKET NO. 990007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
DOCUMENT NO. 8
PAGE 2 OF 8
FILED: APRIL 1, 1999

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Actual/Estimated Amount for the Period April 1998 to December 1998

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors (in Dollars)

Line Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual April-98	Actual May-98	Actual June-98	Actual July-98	Actual August-98	Actual September-98	Actual October-98	Actual November-98	Actual December-98	End of Period Amount
I. Investments											
 a. Expenditures/Additions 		\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Retirements	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	\$866,211	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(80,888)	(82,765)	(84,642)	(86,519)	(88,396)	(90,273)	(92,150)	(94,027)	(95,904)	(97,781)	
4. Other (A)	(236,408)	(236,408)	(236,408)	(236,408)	(236,408)	(236,408)	(236,408)	(236,408)	(236,408)	(236,408)	_
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$548,915	\$547,038	\$545,161	\$543,284	\$541,407	\$539,530	\$537,653	\$535,776	\$533,899	\$532,022	-
6. Average Net Investment		547,977	546,100	544,223	542,346	540,469	538,592	536,715	534,838	532,961	
7. Return on Average Net Investment											
a. Equity Component Grossed Up For	Taxes (B)	4,029	4,016	4,002	3,988	3,974	3,960	3,947	3,933	3,919	\$35,768
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x		1,288	1,283	1,279	1,275	1,270	1,266	1,261	1,257	1,252	11,431
Survestment Expenses											
(iQa. Depreciation		1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877	16,893
b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
t. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dismantlement d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other	.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (L	ines 7 +8)	7,194	7,176	7,158	7,140	7,121	7,103	7,085	7,067	7,048	64,092
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Ene		7,194	7,176	7,158	7,140	7,121	7,103	7,085	7,067	7,048	64,092
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Der		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9485018	0.9405529	0.9227622	0.9287095	0.9316706	0.9552151	0.9555280	0.9728060	0.9716581	
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9063903	0.9076499	0.9247896	0.9263690	0.9229481	0.9268748	0.9205512	0.9766484	0.9158070	
12. Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Con	sts (C)	6,824	6,749	6,605	6,631	6,634	6,785	6,770	6,875	6,848	60,721
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Co	The second secon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$6,824	\$ 6,749	\$6,605	\$6,631	\$6,634	\$6,785	\$ 6,770	\$6,875	\$6,848	\$60,721

Notes:

- (A) Represents the Net Book Value of the Big Bend Unit 4 CEMs which is currently recovered through base rates.
- (B) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)
- (C) Line 9a x Line 10
- (D) Line 9b x Line 11

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Actual/Estimated Amount for the Period April 1998 to December 1998

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Gannon Ignition Oil Tank
(in Dollars)

Line Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual April-98	Actual May-98	Actual June-98	Actual July-98	Actual August-98	Actual September-98	Actual October-98	Actual November-98	Actual December-98	End of Period Amount
1. Investments											
a. Expenditures/Additions		(\$59,097)	\$98,929	\$0	(\$23,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
b. Clearings to Plant		Ó	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$572,920	\$513,823	\$612,752	\$612,752	\$589,752	\$589,752	\$589,752	\$589,752	\$589,752	\$589,752	
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(4,288)	(6,054)	(7,885)	(9,876)	(11,830)	(13,747)	(15,664)	(17,581)	(19,498)	(21,415)	
4. CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	
4a. Other (A)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	(266,000)	
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$302,632	\$241,769	\$338,867	\$336,876	\$311,922	\$310,005	\$308,088	\$306,171	\$304,254	\$302,337	
6. Average Net Investment		272,201	290,318	337,872	324,399	310,964	309,047	307,130	305,213	303,296	
7. Return on Average Net Investment											
troa. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (B)		2,002	2,135	2,484	2,385	2,287	2,272	2,258	2,244	2,230	\$20,297
Cb. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		640	682	794	762	731	726	722	717	713	6,487
8 Investment Expenses											
a. Depreciation		1,766	1,831	1,991	1,954	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917	17,127
b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		4,408	4,648	5,269	5,101	4,935	4,915	4,897	4,878	4,860	43,911
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		4,408	4,648	5,269	5,101	4,935	4,915	4,897	4,878	4,860	43,911
10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9485018	0.9405529	0.9227622	0.9287095	0.9316706	0.9552151	0.9555280	0.9728060	0.9716581	
11. Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9063903	0.9076499	0.9247896	0.9263690	0.9229481	0.9268748	0.9205512	0.9766484	0.9158070	
12. Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (D)		3,995	4,219	4,873	4,725	4,555	4,556	4,508	4,764	4,451	40,646
14. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 1	3)	\$3,995	\$4, 219	\$4,873	\$4,725	\$4, 555	\$4,556	\$4,508	\$4, 764	\$4, 451	\$40,646

Notes:

- (A) Represents the Net Book Value of the Gannon Ignition Oil Tank which is currently recovered through base rates.
- (B) Lines 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)
- (C) Line 9a x Line 10
- (D) Line 9b x Line 11

EXHIBIT NO.

DOCKET NO. 990007-EI

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

(KOZ-1)

DOCUMENT NO. 8

PAGE 4 OF 8

FILED: APRIL 1, 1999

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Actual/Estimated Amount for the Period April 1998 to December 1998

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade
(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual April-98	Actual May-98	Actual June-98	Actual July-98	Actual August-98	Actual September-98	Actual October-98	Actual November-98	Actual December-98	End of Period Amount
1. 1	nvestments											
а	. Expenditures/Additions		\$2,588	\$1,172	\$1,878	\$5,082	\$11,452	\$30,048	\$38,796	\$113,400	\$87,84 5	
ь	. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c	. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d	. Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. P	lant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$91,432	\$204,832	\$292,677	
3. L	ess: Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(107)	(453)	(1,033)	
4. C	CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	416	3,004	4,176	6,054	11,136	22,588	52,636	0	0	0	
5. N	let Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$416	\$3,004	\$4,176	\$6,054	\$11,136	\$22,588	\$52,636	\$91,325	\$204,379	\$291,644	•
6. A	verage Net Investment		1,710	3,590	5,115	8,595	16,862	37,612	71,981	147,852	248,012	
7. R	Leturn on Average Net Investment											
<u>'</u>	. Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)		13	26	. 38	63	124	277	529	1,087	1,824	\$3,981
	. Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		4	8	12	20	40	88	169	347	583	1,271
8. 1	nvestment Expenses											
	. Depreciation		0	0	0	0	0	0	107	346	580	1,033
b	. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c	. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d	. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
е	. Other	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Т	otal System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 +8)		17	34	50	83	164	365	805	1,780	2,987	6,285
а	. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ь	. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		17	34	50	83	164	365	805	1,780	2,987	6,285
10. E	inergy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9485018	0.9405529	0.9227622	0.9287095	0.9316706	0.9552151	0.9555280	0.9728060	0.9716581	
	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9063903	0.9076499	0.9247896	0.9263690	0.9229481	0.9268748	0.9205512	0.9766484	0.9158070	
12. R	Letail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0
13. R	letail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		15	31	46	77	151	338	741	1,738	2,736	5,873
14. T	otal Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	\$ 15	\$31	\$ 46	\$77	\$151	\$338	\$741	\$1,738	\$2,736	\$5,873

Notes:

(A) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

TAMPA ELECTRIC CON (KOZ-1) DOCUMENT NO. 8 PAGE 5 OF 8 FILED: APRIL 1, 1999

тишри влесте сощрину

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Actual/Estimated Amount for the Period April 1998 to December 1998

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual April-98	Actual May-98	Actual June-98	Actuai July-98	Actual August-98	Actual September-98	Actual October-98	Actual November-98	Actual December-98	End of Period Amount
1. Investm	nents											
a. Expe	enditures/Additions		\$1,530	\$1,562	\$2,296	\$3,920	\$4,189	\$28,361	\$29,213	\$143,549	\$601,011	
b. Cles	arings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Retii			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Othe	ėr		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Plant-in	n-Service/Depreciation Base	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$816,047	
3. Less: A	Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(952)	
4. CWIP -	Non-Interest Bearing	416	1,946	3,508	5,804	9,724	13,913	42,274	71,487	215,036	0	
5. Net Inv	restment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$416	\$1,946	\$3,508	\$5,804	\$9,724	\$13,913	\$42,274	\$71,487	\$215,036	\$815,095	•
6. Average	e Net Investment		1,181	2,727	4,656	7,764	11,819	28,094	56,881	143,262	515,066	
7. Return	on Average Net Investment											
a. Equi	ty Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)		9	20	34	57	87	207	418	1,053	3,787	\$5,672
b. Debt	t Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		3	6	11	18	28	66	134	337	1,210	1,813
8. investm	nent Expenses											
a. Depr	reciation		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	952	952
⊢d). Amo	ortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0 Disn	nantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(Dd. Prop	erty Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>, ' e</u> . Othe	er	· -	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
ω olamic	notes Deservable Francis (Lines 7 LR)		12	26	45	75	115	273	552	1,390	5,949	8,437
	ystem Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 +8) expenses (Lines 7 +8)		0	0	0	/3 0	0	0	0	0	J,549 0	6,437 0
	overable Costs Allocated to Demand		12	26	45	75	115	273	552	1,390	5,949	8,437
IO Energy	Jurisdictional Factor		0.9485018	0.9405529	0.9227622	0.9287095	0.9316706	0.9552151	0.9555280	0.9728060	0.9716581	
	d Jurisdictional Factor		0.9063903	0.9076499	0.9247896	0.9263690	0.9229481	0.9268748	0.9205512	0.9766484	0.9158070	
12. Retail E	Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		11	24	42	69	106	253	508	1,358	5,448	7,819
	urisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13) _	\$11	\$24	\$42	\$69	\$106	\$253	\$508	\$1,358	\$5,448	\$7,819

Notes:

(A) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)

(C) Line 9b x Line 11

DOCUMENT NO. 8
PAGE 6 OF 8
FILED: APRIL 1, 1999

T NO. 990007-EI ELECTRIC COMPANY

⁽B) Line 9a x Line 10

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Actual/Estimated Amount for the Period April 1998 to December 1998

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Phillips Upgrade Tank #1 for FDEP (in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual April-98	Actual May-98	Actual June-98	Actual July-98	Actual August-98	Actual September-98	Actual October-98	Actual November-98	Actual December-98	End of Period Amount
1. Investr	ments									****	*** -**	
a. Exp	penditures/Additions		\$570	\$0	\$1,019	\$2,219	\$836	\$1,684	\$2,878	\$3,616	\$22,679	
b. Clea	arings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
••	irements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Oth	ner		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Plant-in	n-Service/Depreciation Base	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,206	\$12,822	\$35,501	
3. Less: A	Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(15)	(50)	(127)	
4. CWIP	- Non-Interest Bearing	0	570	570	1,589	3,808	4,644	6,328	0	00	0	
5. Net Inv	vestment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$0 .	\$570	\$570	\$1,589	\$3,808	\$4,644	\$6,328	\$9,191	\$12,772	\$35,374	
6. Averag	ge Net Investment		285	570	1,080	2,699	4,226	5,486	7,760	10,982	24,073	
7. Return	on Average Net Investment											
⁺Ta. Equ	ity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)		2	4	8	20	31	40	57	81	177	\$420
က် (Gb. Deb	ot Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		1	1	3	6	10	13	18	26	57	135
8 . Investr	ment Expenses											
Ta. Dep	preciation		0	0	0	0	0	0	15	35	77	127
b. Am	ortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Disi	mantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Pro	perty Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Oth	er	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Total S	System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 +8)		3	5	11	26	41	53	90	142	311	682
	coverable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	coverable Costs Allocated to Demand		3	5	11	26	41	53	90	142	311	682
10 Energy	y Jurisdictional Factor		0.9485018	0.9405529	0,9227622	0.9287095	0.9316706	0.9552151	0.9555280	0.9728060	0.9716581	
	nd Jurisdictional Factor		0.9063903	0.9076499	0.9247896	0.9263690	0.9229481	0.9268748	0.9205512	0.9766484	0.9158070	
12. Retail	Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		3	5	10	24	38	49	83	139	285	636
	Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13	3)	\$3	\$ 5	\$10	\$24	\$38	\$ 49	\$83	\$139	\$285	\$636

Notes:

- (A) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)
- (B) Line 9a x Line 10
- (C) Line 9b x Line 11

EXHIBIT NO.

DOCKET NO. 990007-EI

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

(KOZ-1)

(KOZ-1)

(KOZ-1)

PAGE 7 OF 8

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Actual/Estimated Amount for the Period April 1998 to December 1998

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Phillips Upgrade Tank #4 for FDEP
(in Dollars)

Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual April-98	Actual May-98	Actual June-98	Actual July-98	Actual August-98	Actual September-98	Actual October-98	Actual November-98	Actual December-98	End of Period Amount
I, Investm	nents											
a. Expe	enditures/Additions		\$ 571	\$0	\$277	\$163	\$796	\$2,052	\$ 3,696	\$17,170	\$63,214	
b. Clea	rings to Plant		0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Retir	rements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Othe	er		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	
2. Plant-in-	-Service/Depreciation Base	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,555	\$24,725	\$87,939	
3. Less: A	Accumulated Depreciation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(12)	(63)	(241)	
4. CWIP -	Non-Interest Bearing	00	571	571	848	1,011	1,807	3,859	0	0	0	•
5. Net Inve	estment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$0	\$ 571	\$571	\$848	\$1,011	\$1,807	\$3,859	\$7,543	\$24,662	\$87,698	
6. Average	e Net Investment		286	571	710	930	1,409	2,833	5,701	16,103	56,180	
7. Return o	on Average Net Investment											
ે⊏a Equit	ty Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)		2	4	5	7	10	21	42	118	413	\$622
to b. Debt	Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		1	i	2	2	3	7	13	38	132	199
8. Investm	ent Expenses											
ĭa. Depr	reciation		0	0	0	0	0	0	12	51	178	241
b. Amo	ortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	nantlement		Û	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0
	erty Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Othe	r		. 0	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Total Sy	ystem Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 +8)		3	5	7	9	13	28	67	207	723	1,062
a. Reco	verable Costs Allocated to Energy		0	0	Đ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Reco	overable Costs Allocated to Demand		3	5	7	9	13	28	67	207	723	1,062
10, Energy	Jurisdictional Factor		0.9485018	0.9405529	0.9227622	0.9287095	0.9316706	0.9552151	0.9555280	0.9728060	0.9716581	
11, Demand	d Jurisdictional Factor		0.9063903	0.9076499	0.9247896	0.9263690	0.9229481	0.9268748	0.9205512	0.9766484	0.9158070	
I2, Retail E	inergy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		3	5	6	8	12	26	62	202	662	986
14. Total Ju	risdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	_	\$3	\$5	\$6	\$8	\$12	\$26	\$62	\$202	\$662	\$986

Notes

- (A) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1.628002)
- (B) Line 9a x Line 10
- (C) Line 9b x Line 11

EXHIBIT NO.

DOCKET NO. 990007-EI

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(KOZ-1)
(KOZ-1)
PAGE 8 OF 8
PAGE 8 OF 8
FILED: APRIL 1, 1999