25

1	PARTICIPATING:
2	JUNE MCKINNEY, FPSC Division of Legal
3	Services.
4	ANN SHELFER, MICHAEL BARRET and
5	LEVENT ILERI, FPSC Division of Communications.
6	CHARLES REHWINKEL, Sprint
7	MIKE SCOBIE, GTE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
I	ı

NAME PAGE NO.	1	INDEX	
## MAC HORTON ## Direct Statement	2	WITNESSES	
MAC HORTON Direct Statement 24	3	NAME	PAGE NO.
Direct Statement	4		
MARTY BURTON Direct Statement 27 SHANNON STAUB Direct Statement 29 HELEN BECKER Direct Statement 35 PAUL PHILLIPS Direct Statement 36 GREG WANNER Direct Statement 38 WILLARD COY Direct Statement 40 JOHN FELLIN Direct Statement 42 KATHLEEN SCHNEIDER Direct Statement 46 JAMES EWING Direct Statement 51	5		
7	6		24
### SHANNON STAUB Direct Statement	7		
9	8	Direct Statement	27
10 HELEN BECKER 11 Direct Statement 35 12 PAUL PHILLIPS 36 14 GREG WANNER 36 15 Direct Statement 38 16 WILLARD COY 40 17 Direct Statement 40 18 JOHN FELLIN 40 19 Direct Statement 42 20 KATHLEEN SCHNEIDER 46 21 Direct Statement 46 22 JAMES EWING 46 23 Direct Statement 51	9		
11 Direct Statement	10	Direct Statement	29
PAUL PHILLIPS Direct Statement GREG WANNER Direct Statement MILLARD COY Direct Statement JOHN FELLIN Direct Statement AU KATHLEEN SCHNEIDER DIRECT Statement JAMES EWING DIRECT Statement JAMES EWING DIRECT Statement JOHN FELLIN JOHN FELLIN JOHN FELLIN JOHN FELLIN DIRECT STATEMENT JOHN FELLIN JOHN	11	HELEN BECKER	
Direct Statement 36 GREG WANNER Direct Statement 38 WILLARD COY Direct Statement 40 JOHN FELLIN Direct Statement 42 KATHLEEN SCHNEIDER Direct Statement 46 JAMES EWING Direct Statement 51	12	Direct Statement	35
14 GREG WANNER 15 Direct Statement 38 16 WILLARD COY 17 Direct Statement 40 18 JOHN FELLIN 19 Direct Statement 42 20 KATHLEEN SCHNEIDER 21 Direct Statement 46 22 JAMES EWING 23 Direct Statement 51	13	PAUL PHILLIPS	
GREG WANNER 38	14	Direct Statement	36
Direct Statement 38 WILLARD COY Direct Statement 40 JOHN FELLIN Direct Statement 42 KATHLEEN SCHNEIDER Direct Statement 46 JAMES EWING Direct Statement 51		GREG WANNER	
WILLARD COY Direct Statement 40 JOHN FELLIN Direct Statement 42 KATHLEEN SCHNEIDER Direct Statement 46 JAMES EWING Direct Statement 51		Direct Statement	38
Direct Statement 40 JOHN FELLIN Direct Statement 42 KATHLEEN SCHNEIDER Direct Statement 46 JAMES EWING Direct Statement 51		WILLARD COY	
JOHN FELLIN Direct Statement 42 KATHLEEN SCHNEIDER Direct Statement 46 JAMES EWING Direct Statement 51		Direct Statement	40
Direct Statement 42 KATHLEEN SCHNEIDER Direct Statement 46 JAMES EWING Direct Statement 51		JOHN FELLIN	
XATHLEEN SCHNEIDER Direct Statement 46 JAMES EWING Direct Statement 51	į	Direct Statement	42
Direct Statement 46 22 JAMES EWING 23 Direct Statement 51	l	KATHLEEN SCHNEIDER	
JAMES EWING Direct Statement 51	ĺ	Direct Statement	46
Direct Statement 51		JAMES EWING	
		Direct Statement	51
29			
	25		

- 1		
1	WITNESSES CONTINUED:	
2	STEFANIE MEAD	
3	Direct Statement	54
4	GRACE AMODEO	
5	Direct Statement	59
6	CHARLES MCALLISTER	
7	Direct Statement	60
8	BRUCE POMEROY	
10	Direct Statement	65
11	JILL LEAH	
12	Direct Statement	68
13	GIOVANNA DEVENY	
14	Direct Statement	70
15	NANCY HARPER	
16	Direct Statement	74
17	TAYLOR MEALS	
18	Direct Statement	76
19	CHARLES REHWINKEL Direct Statement	78
20	Direct Statement	70
21	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	93
22		
23		
24		
25		

_ _

(The following has been transcribed from tapes provided by the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.)

MS. McKINNEY: Good morning. By notice issued on February 22nd, 1999, this time and place was set for this workshop in Docket No. 990184 to investigate the boundary exchange issues in south Sarasota County and north Charlotte County, the Englewood area. The purpose is set out in the notice.

My name is June McKinney and I'm the attorney assigned to this case. We're going to be following the agenda I hoped everybody picked up this morning, the yellow sheet, as it states and whatever is in it. The first thing is we'd like to make Staff introductions on the Florida Public Service Commission.

This is Levent Ileri. He's technical Staff, an engineer with the Public Service Commission. Back there waving is Ann Shelfer. She's also technical Staff. And Michael Barrett who's technical Staff. Wave Michael.

At this time we'd also like any members of the industry to please stand up and introduce yourself and tell what company you are from and also any public officials so we'll know who is here.

MR. SCOBIE: My name is Mike Scobie. I'm

with GTE. (Unintelligible)

MR. REHWINKEL: My name is Charles Rehwinkel and I'm from Sprint-Florida. (Unintelligible)

MR. PHILLIPS: Paul Phillips, Englewood Water District.

MS. MCKINNEY: Mr. Phillips, you can go ahead and join the parties of record. That's what the table is for. Please join us.

Any other public officials? We don't want to miss anybody. (Unintelligible)

MS. McKINNEY: Anyone else?

We'd like to welcome you all here.

The workshop that's set today is a method in which the Commission goes and develops issues and finds out what's going on with Floridians pertaining to a particular area. We're here today to discuss telecommunications, and, of course, the boundary line. I'm going to give you a little history of what's happened to bring you up-to-date.

I want you to know that the Englewood community has been very involved in what's going on.

I, personally, have received about 300 letters, faxes and e-mails from the community, and that's very helpful to the Commission. We thank you, because we wouldn't know what's going on if you didn't contact

us. So I wanted to let you know. Thank you. People come up apologizing for jamming up my fax machine and jamming up my e-mails, I don't mind. My computer crashed I was getting so many from Englewood.

(Laughter) But if, in fact, you hadn't let us know, we wouldn't know what was going on. So thank you. If you have have concerns, please let the Commission know.

What happened was, a while back the Commission set workshops in various places. They were set in December '98 and January and February of '99 to hear issues pertaining to the proposed area code change.

There was some confusion from the letters that I received and so I'm trying to clarify the process so you understand before we go on. I want to make sure that everybody here understands.

When an area code takes place, a change, the Florida Public Service Commission does not become involved unless there's a complaint, okay. So we don't have jurisdiction to do anything about an area code change unless somebody formally complains.

When we first find out that there's going to be an area code change, the industry, those being the parties, the telephone companies that are involved in the areas of the area code, get together and they meet with an agency called NANPA, that's a federal agency; they control all of the area codes for the whole United States, and they keep up with what's going on in all of the area codes for the whole United States. And they look and they say, "If Florida's going to exhaust or run out of numbers in a certain area. We need to meet and decide to do something about it."

Well, last summer they meet with the industry, GTE and Sprint, and they made a plan for how they were going to resolve this. They come to an agreement and that's how an area code change take place. The Florida Public Service Commission doesn't have anything to do with it unless there's a complaint.

So what we did was we set the workshops that I nexted earlier in December in late '98 and early January to travel across Florida. Because we were interested in knowing what the Citizens of Florida had to say about the area code change.

I'm sure you heard about the workshop that was set in Bartow. And when we went to that workshop that's when we learned about the Englewood problem, okay. That's why we set these workshops. We want to do that.

The workshop in Bartow was held on February 5th. That's when we first learned about the problem in Englewood. Well, the Commission is very consumer oriented, so Staff, the individuals here, we went back to the Commission and we said, "Well, what can we do about Englewood?" If, in fact, there's anything we can do to help you.

We met for about a week. We talked with the industry, because we have to talk to them. It's their area. They are the people with the actual lines. And we said there might be something we could do for them, so let's set them for a hearing. That's how this workshop was set today.

We opened the docket in your case on

February 17th, 1999, and that's docket now 990184.

There are other areas that the companies have been

getting together and helping, for example, Fort Meade.

And they had a similar meeting to the one we're having today. And there was a resolution that was made between the community and industry to help that area out.

After we set your hearing dates and the date for this workshop, a formal complaint was filed on the area code. It was filed on February 28th. So that's after everything was already set pertaining to

Englewood.

Under the law we're required to set a hearing when there's a formal complaint. And so a hearing was set for the 941 area code, and we opened the docket on March 1st.

The Commissioners understood the urgency of the area code change, and the reason why it's so urgent is because permissive dialing -- that's when you could use the old area code and new area code when there's a change -- that's supposed to start in March of 1998. The permanent area code change was supposed to take place in September -- so sorry, not '98; '99. The permanent area code change was supposed to take place in September of '99. That's right around the corner, ladies and gentlemen. So the Commissioners put everything on a fast track because they understood the urgency. So therefore the hearing for the area code, 941 area code, was set for April 8th and 9th. It's coming up.

Well, at that point Staff and I met and we're trying to decide how to handle your situation, Englewood, since we had set that beforehand. And we decided it was best that we come on down here, hear your concerns and see what we could do to still help you. There are some other things going on also.

There's been a motion filed by Sprint and GTE, now Sarasota County and Charlotte County, to combine all of the cases together. Because whatever happens in the 941 area code, expects what happens in Englewood. That motion is still pending at this time. The Prehearing Officer has not made a ruling.

But I just wanted to bring you up-to-date so you could understand. Again the Public Service Commission does not get involved in area code changes unless there's a complaint. We did not receive the complaint until February 28th. So now the issue is before the Florida Public Service Commission and they get to decide what changes will be made pertaining to the 941 area code.

And as this process goes along, what we want to hear from you today, those individuals that signed up to speak, is what your concerns are pertaining to Englewood and how you feel about what's going on.

Also, if you look in the green Special
Report that we handed you it has the specific dates of
the 941 area code, April 8th and 9th, and it has the
locations. All of you are welcome to come out there,
too, because the area code change does affect you.

So that's a little background about what's happened. At this time we'll take any customer or

industry comments. When you come up to the microphone make sure that you state your name. I'll call you individually; state your name and your address for the record, because this is being tape recorded.

Does anybody need of the additional forms, a Special Report or anything? The young lady over there.

At this time I'll start calling out individuals just come to this microphone.

It appears that we have about 20 individuals signed up to speak. Unfortunately we have a time limitation because the auditorium is booked. So if, in fact, somebody says what you want to say, we'd appreciate if you'd limit your comments and perhaps not repeat what they said. I'll call you out individually.

One more thing. I just want to mention that if the dockets are combined, then the Englewood hearing that's set in the summertime will not take place then. You're hearing will be on the fast track to be with the 941 area code hearing. So you'll know about what's going to happen quicker, okay. I'll also be taking questions at the end or if you have questions when you come up you can ask them at that time.

The first person, will Mac Horton, please, come up to speak. (Pause)

I made a mistake. I want you guys to see what's going to happen before you speak, and Levent

Ileri is going to do a slide presentation and explain everything to you technically. (Laughter)

(Unintelligible)

MR. ILERI: Good morning. My name is

Levent Ileri, and I'm Staff with the PSC. I just want
to give you some overview of the significance of the
information which is out of the two different opinions
on area code. (Unintelligible) One is an overlay.

The normal method of demonstration which is (Unintelligible)

THE AUDIENCE: We can't hear back here. We can't hear. Talk into the mike.

MR. ILERI: North American Numbering Plan
Administration, which does the numbering. They assign
the NPAs, numbering in the area, or the area codes,
(Unintelligible)

And in the survey, which is known as COCUS, and based on this information they imported industry and our Commission with regard to the area code rate plan. And that's (Unintelligible) took it from one of those, overlay, and reached a process of this plan.

And this is just a the regular geographic area (Unintelligible). One usually takes the old area code, and the other takes the new area code.

(Unintelligible) With the overlay we take two area codes and you put them under each other, and under those it is said -- (Unintelligible) -- changing your brochures, your advertisements and those kind of changes.

And, again, this is not exact. This is not overlay problem. This is administrative (Unintelligible) Any questions?

And the first alternative is the split which is the industry COCUS and the -- (Unintelligible) -- 941 area code (Unintelligible) The first one is quote -- says -- (Unintelligible) Those periods are indicated as 512 and NXX. And basically this began during our workshops. Staff has chosen two alternatives that includes the Fort Meade exchange and Port Charlotte and Cape Haze exchanges. And, of course, investigation 4.6 at 6.7 years.

The next alternative is (Unintelligible)

Charlotte County. Over here for 941 to 452, of

course, this increases the exhaust area and new area

code (Unintelligible).

We also discovered we can do overlay by

taking new area codes and assigning one area code to the new division, which is -- (Unintelligible) -- Exhaust rates for overlay as it indicates is 12 years. (Unintelligible) Other areas we're not sure -- (Unintelligible) -- under the existing area codes I'm sure they will be expecting -- (Unintelligible) -- to address all area codes. The last time was the (Unintelligible) 941 area code, in which exhaust dates -- (Unintelligible) -- I guess there's -- (Unintelligible)

I'd like to point out in any of those plans in Alternative 1 or Alternative 5, the reason is for the change is ten digit or seven digits due to -
(Unintelligible) -- overlay doesn't change.

don't think any of us understand any of this. I
don't. I'm sorry.

MS. SHELFER: I just want to state. The area code does not change your calling plan on the days that you -- (Unintelligible) -- and you have \$.25 calls, it stays -- (Unintelligible) -- a person makes a call. The overlay section goes to -- (Unintelligible) -- into that county. The number of digits you dial may change but not how much you pay. None of that changes. (Unintelligible)

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ma'am, on Alternative 1 4, the assigned two area code and have a split also, 3 the area code that will be assigned to the old 941, the existing 941 north area, would that be put in place immediately? Would it be activated immediately 5 over not? 6 7 MS. SHELFER: The overlay portion? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. I assume the 8 second area code would be used for an overlay in that 9 alternative. 10 MS. SHELFER: Yes. 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Would that second 12 area code be activated immediately? Do you know? 13 MS. SHELFER: I'm trying to think what's 14 happening -- (Unintelligible) 15 MR. ILERI: Regarding overlay or split, and 16 when you split generally split (Unintelligible). 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Basically you don't 18 19 know when that --20 MR. ILERI: We don't know, no. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: If I read your maps 21 correctly, my understanding only Alternative 1 is the 22 one that divides Englewood. 23 MR. ILERI: That's right. 24

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Has any consideration

25

ever been given to a service function overlay as opposed to a geographical overlay? By that I mean you can take all cellular phones. For example, all cellular, paging, and special function phone lines, data access lines, whatever are assigned a different area code. That way your phone lines remain as we are, if you will, so it would solve the problem for the request of new lines.

been made throughout the nation. It's consistent consensus among Americans that that solves the problem. However, it was ruled in court that you couldn't do that because of anticompetitive -- (Unintelligible) -- customers and companies because some cities they are getting more interest in (Unintelligible) -- arguments about -- they are getting more business out of the area code they had. They they should be treated differently from (Unintelligible) -- than your cell phone company. So the court has ruled that you can't do that and that's why (Unintelligible).

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.

23 (Unintelligible) (Laughter)

MS. McKINNEY: Any additional questions.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have another

question on Alternative 4. It seems that the -there's a split takes place first and then there's 2 equipment, overlays -- . 3 MR. ILERI: This kind of information is from 4 (Unintelligible) be based on -- and (Unintelligible) 5 regarding how to do (Unintelligible). 6 And based on that information, I can't tell 7 you (someone coughs). It will be made when 8 (Unintelligible). 9 I quess I don't UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 10 understand that, the difference between Alternative 1. 11 Alternative 1 is the split. The split that you show 12 in Alternative 4. 13 MR. ILERI: Right. 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But with an overlay. 15 Overlay. It goes -- Alternative MR. ILERI: 16 4 includes the Charlotte County, Port Charlotte and 17 (Unintelligible) 18 Okay. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh. 19 area is more like Alternative 2. Alternative 3 is 20 split. 21

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Alternative 1 with the whole -- (Unintelligible) Area code for the actual site that has area coded (Unintelligible)

Looking at an alternative is adding more from the

22

23

24

25

county end so Englewood stay together. So look at the areas in each map, see which of the different proposals to help Englewood out. Look at the shaded area. The shade is different.

Yes, Commissioner?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I thought that

Alternative 3. Alternative 3 I think is just -
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Louder, Mac, please.

that? (Laughter) Alternative 3 probably addresses the concerns of this particular area better than anything else. But a short period of time we'll have 4.2 years we're out of luck and have to do something again. What would happen if you proposed different alternatives all together? How about an Alternative 6, which was made of Manatee, Sarasota and Charlotte Counties. 941. Would they be able to extend our line out from the standpoint before we run out of numbers? You don't like that? (Laughter)

MS. MCKINNEY: Well, it's not that we don't like it. We have all of these guidelines.

Unfortunately, we have the jurisdiction to make the distinction on the specific area code change that there's not guidelines with the federal that we have to follow, and the law provides that area that's the

most density gets to keep the area code, and that kind of makes things difficult sometimes.

(Unintelligible)

unidentified speaker: On your advantages and disadvantages sheet, and on the map that you've got on the screen up there, I want to ask in the disadvantages under geographic split, Item 3, yours looks a little bit -- shouldn't we put inter-NPA EAS/ECS route will require 10-digit dialing?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Would you interpret all of that for us?

where it's part of your basic local rate. ECS is extended calling service; that is for residential customers, they take 25 cents per call and that's what that is. Local is EAS.

unidentified speaker: I just want to make sure that everyone understands it's all 7-digit dialing today. That you draw a line across the route, would have to go to 10-digit dialing.

MS. SHELFER: He's looking at the NPA.

Anything that's between area codes will require

10-digit dialing.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And then on the map,
I know I've discussed this with -- this is what I

showed to Ann a few minutes ago. Charlotte County line -- put the map back up there.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This map?

County line actually goes through or bisects five exchanges. So you only show the four Charlotte -- you show the four Charlotte exchanges into DeSoto County. And that's true. But so does the Punta Gorda exchange, the North Fort Myers exchange and the Boca Grande exchange. And Punta Gorda comes down into Lee County as well.

mm. ILEMI: Basically mistake over here recording the -- showing these changes. What he's saying is that North Fort Myers exchange goes into Charlotte County, which is -- about this wide here.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right. That's right.

MR. ILERI: And it comes from the north -(Unintelligible)

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's right.

MR. ILERI: Then something (Unintelligible)
Excluding it or including it.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What I wanted to say, there were customers there that would have to call 10-digit to say the county seat if you cut -- if you drew the line strictly along the county boundary.

MR. ILERI: I understand. Thank you. 1 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Boca Grande is also part of Charlotte County. 3 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Part of. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Part of. 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. I mentioned 6 that. 7 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. Isn't Palm Island too? (Simultaneous conversation) 9 It's hard for us who do not 10 MS. SHELFER: live here to quickly realize which exchange is the 11 12 first one. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have a question. 13 14 MS. McKINNEY: Excuse me, I'm sorry. ahead. There is difference between Alternative 1 and 15 Alternative 2. Do you know how much of that's attributable to -- how much is attributable to 17 Fort Meade? 18 MR. ILERI: Alternative 1 is listed as being 19 in the area of -- (Unintelligible) That's the reason 20 why we're here today to discuss options. 21 The question is the UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 22 difference between Alternative 1 and 2 effects not 23 only Englewood but also Fort Meade. And the two of 24

those combined count for .6 year difference in exhaust

25

I'm wondering how much is for each one. It's all 1 2 Englewood? MR. ILERI: I do not have that information. 3 MS. McKINNEY: Population in Englewood? We 4 don't have the specific information with us to answer 5 the question. We'll get back to you on that. 6 There's a rule of 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: thumb. There's only one code in Fort Meade, I 8 believe, so all of the difference is really attributable to the Englewood exchange. Virtually all 10 of it. 11 MS. McKINNEY: Hold on a minute. We think 12 we have that information. Let me check. (Pause) 13 MS. SHELFER: Just to give you an idea, 14 Fort Meade has about 33,200 access lines. Where 15 Englewood has about 22 000, 23,000. So Englewood 16 definitely puts the larger bid on it. 17 Because we're limited with time we're going 18 to go ahead and start taking customer testimony. 19 I'm going to put the mike over, and Ms. McKinney will 20 be calling out, and I guess -- you can come back up 21 22 now. I apologize. MS. McKINNEY: 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Turn the lights on 24 now.

MS. SHELFER: Yes. Uh-huh. And please be careful when you come up because there are a lot of cords.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have a question.
Is this being recorded?

MS. SHELFER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MAC HORTON

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

commissioner Horton: For the record, my
name is Mac Horton, Charlotte County Commission
Chairman and District 3 representative. District 3 is
Englewood, if all of you didn't know that. Okay.

I thought I saw that thing there. It's running. I'm going to try to keep my comments short and precise and tell you what I'd like to see happen. And let's go from there.

And I understand a lot of the concerns from the industry from the standpoint of splitting different areas, and I accept all of the technical jargon that you've given me. And I would like to start and make a couple editorial comments on the Special Report. About halfway down the first page there, it says "The proposed split into northwest and

southwest region parallels the territorial lines dividing GTE Florida and Sprint." In Englewood that's always been our problem because that's where we have always been divided.

We would like to see that changed. And if we can't change something along that line, then we have accomplished nothing. So we need to see that change and look at it a little bit differently.

The plan would take Manatee and Sarasota

Counties and separate Charlotte County from that. I

don't think that's in the best interest of Charlotte

County. I know that's not in the best interest of

Englewood. Whatever we do with this, Charlotte County

needs to be very closely related to their sister

county of Sarasota. Whatever happens to Sarasota with

this needs to happen with Charlotte County, and vice

versa, so that we are not split again in Englewood.

I'm having difficulty. I've got to wear two hats. I've got to wear Charlotte County's hat and I've got to wear Englewood's hat. But I think when you get to the bottom line of everything you're talking about we need to do something along the plan of Alternate 3. That's the only one there that I see that addresses and has Sarasota County and Manatee County and Charlotte County together. I would really

like to exclude Polk but I understand what you're telling me. There's a lot of people up there and there's a lot of consideration there so I understand that.

But we really need to keep -- and I'm going to say it again and probably say it again before I'm through -- we need to keep Sarasota and Charlotte County together. If you take Charlotte County and break out the Englewood section, you've compounded the problem without really resolving it.

So whatever we do we need to keep Sarasota and Charlotte County together and then we can -- have I said that enough? Okay, I've said that enough. I've gotten that point across.

As far as the overlay is concerned, I'm not real excited about the overlay. I understand that may be something that we have to have because it's inevitable, but I've got to keep Sarasota and Charlotte County together. Thank you.

MS. SHELFER: Let me ask you a question. If the choice was to change between a geographic split and an overlay, you believe that the overlay would be more effective in your area keeping it together.

COMMISSIONER HORTON: Unofficially, speaking for myself alone, I would see the overlay as being an

1	
1	accepted alternate if that's the best I can get. But
2	I don't like that.
3	MS. SHELFER: Thank you. I understand.
4	MR. BARRETT: Did that conclude your
5	remarks?
6	COMMISSIONER HORTON: That's it. I've done
7	it.
8	MR. BARRETT: Okay. The next
9	COMMISSIONER HORTON: I want Sarasota County
10	together.
11	MR. BARRETT: We got it.
12	I want to point out, our speakers shall the
13	order that people are going to be called was the order
14	that they signed in, so those of you that came in
15	later, you'll be speaking a little bit later. But the
16	next person we have is Marty Burton. Marty.
17	
18	MARTY BURTON
19	appeared as a witness and testified as follows:
20	DIRECT STATEMENT
21	Ms. BURTON: Good morning. My name is Marty
22	Burton. I'm an Assistant County Attorney with the
23	Charlotte County Attorneys Office.
24	The main concern of Charlotte County has
2.5	heen were well addressed by Chairman Mag Horton as far

as our county not desiring to be split. And he has also explained the quandary that he is in. He wishes to keep the Englewood community together but as Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners he also needs to look at what is best for the county as a whole. And even though we realize we may not be able to have the splits exactly along county lines because of the way the exchanges are delineated, we think it would be best to look at the growth and population locations within each county and feel that at the northern edge of our county we have a lot more growth and development that crosses the county line than we do at the southern edge of our county.

We also believe that in trying to look at how to resolve the Englewood question, we can't really come up with the best solution unless we also look at the 941 docket, which is why we have joined in with Sprint and GTE in the motion to consolidate the two dockets.

We would hope that in the future there would be some way to be able to have some community and governmental input into the plans for the various changes for telephone area codes and for growth of the communication system. We know this is a federal matter and there may not be an opportunity to do this,

but if there's any way that we can, and we're certainly open to suggestions from the PSC, Staff, we would be interested. Thank you.

We would also favor the same alternative that was chosen by Commissioner Horton, which is Alternative 3, if we had to choose between these five.

MR. BARRETT: Shannon Staub.

SHANNON STAUB

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. STAUB: For the record my name is Shannon Staub. I'm Chair of the Sarasota Commission and District 3 representative, which includes the Englewood community.

I think we need to explain to those folks who don't live in this area why we feel factually that the Charlotte County and Sarasota County, particularly the Englewood community, has to stay together.

Back in 1995 both counties created what we call a bi-county advisory board. This board is made up of appointed citizens who HAVE worked very diligently and hard since 1995 to come up with recommendations on how the two counties can serve the Englewood community as one entity.

In looking at that, they have given us
suggestions for land planning, for transportation
planning, for utility planning and for emergency

4 | management.

Englewood is not only unique because of Charlotte and Sarasota splitting it, but it also has two special districts that were created by the state legislature. You have the Englewood Water District and you have the Englewood Fire District. So we are a hodge-podge in Englewood of a lot of government entities.

For the last few years we have strived very hard to bring Englewood as one community. Because when people travel they don't know they are going across the county line; they don't want any attention to that. When they get their water they're not real sure it's from the special district, Charlotte or Sarasota, they just know they get their water. Same thing with emergency management.

So I think that when you look at the community we have to look at all of the efforts that governments and special districts have put into making this a singular community.

We are in the plans right now for major expenditures on transportation routes. Together

Charlotte County and Sarasota County have put together a package where actually Charlotte County tax dollars are going to pay for a road in Sarasota County, and evacuation road that will help the Sarasota County residents during hurricanes. So we're talking about we're not having a problem taking tax money across the line. People don't have a problem using services across the line. So I think the area code needs to stay intact for the Englewood community.

We also -- we're planning an evacuation route that's going to be \$60 million if we get in the next five years; probably going to be more than that. We have put infrastructure in to service the whole Charlotte and Sarasota County area. We have a sports complex that's called a regional complex that serves both counties. We have started a downtown revitalization that's multi millions of dollars. It will take the Charlotte County businesses and the Sarasota County businesses and revitalize both. So there's no county line in our minds right there.

We have a library that takes care of people who live in Charlotte County and Sarasota County. Our students in Sarasota County in high school don't go to Sarasota County High School; they go to Lemon Bay, which is in Charlotte County.

So as you can see, it is a total interrelationship between the counties. To try to break up that relationship will destroy many tax dollars that are forthcoming in planning and an awful lot of government consideration and citizen participation in making the sense of community remain there. We have the Englewood Community Hospital, which is used by both residents of both counties.

The area code, I think, number one, must keep Charlotte and Sarasota County county together.

The druthers, I think the very one I've talked to -- and I understand it's not possible but we're going to put it on the floor anyway, is involve Sarasota,

Manatee and Charlotte Counties have an area code, even if it was a new area code, it could last longer.

Manatee County and Charlotte County also have a lot of interaction which I hope, as we proceed on the April 8th hearing, to be able to tell you more about that interrelationship.

But I think that the issue of Englewood is one that you can't just disregard. Because the people here, primarily the elderly people and the number of school children that we have, would not be conducive to having a split where you've got to do 7-digits here and 10-digits there.

I'm not going to say that we support any of the alternatives at this point. Because I think that it's too easy for people just to say you got up there, you had 20 people voting for Alternative 3, so they'll accept that.

I think what the Commission and the industry needs to really look at is what's best for the geographical area of Sarasota and Charlotte, in my hopes bringing in Manatee and taking that into account.

I think the overlay would not be satisfactory. As I say, our residents are very hard to adapt to change. And I think that the confusion there -- Sarasota County has, I believe, the second highest elderly population in the state and we may be first by now. So I think that we have to give consideration to the demographics of the area.

I'd also like to make just one final statement on the process.

I would hope that when this process happens again, that local governments are really consulted and used as consultants. We can provide extremely good demographic area projects for population growth. We know that our land planning is going to develop areas. We definitely are going to have higher densities. You

all aren't even able to take that into account, I don't believe, at this point. Because we're looking at a major 50,000 acres in northern part of Sarasota County that in our land use planning may incur higher densities. Probably by next year. Meaning that the land there change. It won't happen overnight, but we will be planning.

So I think that if we can work more in concert with the Commission, the Commission Staff and the industry to help get factual information as well as those anecdotes of the folks that live here and put a face on this community, I think, is very important.

So I appreciate the opportunity to speak. In think that if you all can look at keeping Charlotte County and Sarasota Counties together -- and I advocate putting the dockets together so we can discuss the inclusion of Manatee also. Thank you.

MR. BARRETT: Thank you.

I wanted to point out a couple other things.

I told you that the speakers are going to be called in the order that they signed in. If you chose to decline to speak, you can just -- when your name is called, if you just say, "I don't wish to speak," that's perfectly fine. And if you signed in and you did not indicate that you wanted to speak, we will

still ask you if you want to speak once we clear all of the folks that have signed in.

(End of Side A, Tape 1.)

MR. BARRETT: Helen Becker and Paul Phillips.

HELEN BECKER

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. BECKER: I'm Helen L Becker. And I live at 5226 Neville Terrace in Gulf Coast, which is on the Myakka River in the Cape Haze peninsula, and my formal address is Port Charlotte. And I live 15 miles away from Port Charlotte, but thanks to General Development, that's my address.

I've lived here 20 years. We've already -when we first came we had a 813 exchange; then it
became 941. And I, myself, think that the Cape Haze
peninsula is being excluded from a lot of things. I
think you need to realize that Charlotte County is
really divided by the Myakka River. And it costs me a
quarter; before it was long distance now it's a
quarter to call Elga Bean (ph), which is across the
town. And it costs me -- whatever -- it's a long
distance call for me to call Japanese Gardens, up in

the other side of Englewood.

We're just -- nobody wants us. And I think this is all wrong. I belong to an organization that includes Manatee, Sarasota and Charlotte County.

That's a nice group. I don't understand why you have Polk County in here in the first place. If you're going to put us with anybody, why don't you put us with Hardee and DeSoto? I mean, I just don't understand it. Thank you.

PAUL PHILLIPS

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. PHILLIPS: For the record my name is

Paul Phillips. I'm one of the elected members of the

Englewood Water District. And the Water District is

located at 201 Selma Avenue. The headquarters happens
to be in Sarasota County.

I'd like to describe further -- you heard from the Commissioners of both Charlotte and Sarasota County. Well, the Water District is a small political body formed in 1959 by the state legislature for the purposes of providing water and sewer service for this area.

Now, I have passed out maps for the

legislative -- or the PSC people. But for the rest of you, I'm sure you all know this is the Englewood Water District area. And if you look very carefully you find this is the county line. Right there. And so the people who get water and sewer live down here. People who get water and sewer live up here and they totally disregard -- pay no attention to the county line.

you can just put it down -- if there was any division or -- of difficulty of dialing or things for the staff or for the citizens because of overlay, the difference between seven digits and ten digits, this would make life extremely difficult, if not impossible for these people. It's imperative that the Water District, as a small political body within both Sarasota and Charlotte County, remain as a single entity.

We have people that are calling -- in other words, if you can imagine an irate customer calling because a pump station is overflowing in their front yard, they are about as mad as they can get at that point. And then they have to remember, "Oops, I have to dial ten digits to report this versus seven if I'm calling somewhere else." Forget it. It's a terrible situation; most unsatisfactory for everyone.

The Water District, as I said, is a political entity, a special district, and that it is imperative that it continue to operate in the way it has.

Right now, for example, the sewer plant servicing the entire Englewood area is located in Charlotte County. The water facility servicing the entire water district is located in Sarasota County. So you can see that it crosses this county imaginary line with absolutely no impact whatsoever on our operation. And we would hope and respectfully request that whatever happens that it will continuously be no impact on the operation.

Thank you.

MR. BARRETT: Greg Wanner.

GREG WANNER

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. WANNER: My name is Greg Wanner. And
I'm owner of an Internet service provider called
Englewood On-line, some of you may be aware of.
Currently we operate out of Charlotte County. We are
moving into Sarasota County.

I guess my main purpose for coming here

today is just to express -- I can tell you there is a lot of confusion if the area code changed. I receive at least one or two e-mails myself per day, not to mention every public event I attend or every public meeting that we go to I always hear the question, in this area code change, does that mean I have to get a new service provider because you're going to be long distance? Of course, I try to educate the the public and tell them no, that's not the case. It's simply that you're going to have to dial ten digits.

Once again, as the Commissioners have explained, there's an older population. Technology sometimes just does not — they just don't grasp the concept of what that means. And I think generally speaking, when everyone sees that you have to include an area code, you've just become programmed that you have to dial "1" and then the area code.

We have seen cases, too, where we do have instances in certain areas -- because we cover a large area, we actually go from Sarasota to Punta Gorda is where we provide local service -- and we have had cases where customers have dialed a local number using the area code when it should have been blocked and being charged long distance charges. Of course, I get the irate customer with the \$200 phone bill.

So I guess all I'm trying to say is I think it's going to create a lot of confusion and I'd have agree with Commissioner Staub's proposal, that we include Manatee, Sarasota and Charlotte County as one area code. If that needs to be a new area code, that would be fine, but at least make us that one section or one community so that we can all remain and we don't have that problem of trying to reeducate. I don't like the idea of an area code change but I'd rather see that than an overlay or a split. Thank you.

MR. BARRETT: Dr. Willard Coy.

WILLARD COY

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. COY: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. For the record, I'm Willard Coy. I'm the vice-chair of the Englewood Area Planning and Advisory Board. And our purpose, to reiterate what Chairman Staub had said, the board's purpose is to advise the governing bodies of Sarasota County, Charlotte County, and the city of North Port on the coordinated provision of county services to the entire Englewood community.

out to these people, if you'll look right on the upper left, the area shown on the map area is approximately what we consider the northern boarder of Englewood, and then the Gulf will be the western boarder. And the Myakka River would be the eastern boarder. And you can see that the Englewood area encompasses all of Cape Haze peninsula and that's what we consider the Englewood area. There's no dividing line as the Sarasota county line.

Emphasizing the fact that we are coordinating the efforts between the Sarasota and Charlotte County, it's very important -- it's important to keep this intact. Agree that Manasota -- I beg your pardon -- Manatee, Sarasota and Charlotte Counties should be one, but your Plan 3 would be the next acceptable one.

I think at this time, rather than continue on with anything else that everybody has said, that I would just add my recommendations to keep no division in the Englewood area; to have minimal effect on businesses and residents; a minimum effect on existing emergency services. And since there is another inframeasure in the near future, we must consider that. I'm concerned about the ability of the

forces-to-be on the projected time limits. The track record isn't very good. I wonder what's going to happen if we set something we're going to be here for six years and suddenly, "oops", it's only two years. We must consider that.

The other main concern that I think people have in this area is that Englewood, in the past, has been forgotten. We want you to recognize that 60% approximately of all of the tourist tax dollars are spent in the Englewood area. So the businesses are seriously affected by you.

Thank you.

MR. BARRETT: John Fellin.

1.0

JOHN FELLIN

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. FELLIN: Good morning. For the record,
John Fellin. And is as usual, I'm not sure what hat
to wear this morning. I do serve as the Chairman of
the Chamber of Commerce's Position and Politics
Committee that brought the Chamber into this at the
outset. I also am the Chairman of the Englewood Area
Planning Advisory Board that's been referred to
before. Also the president of Digital Electronic

Systems who manufacture communications and telecommunications equipment.

Nobody likes change. And change is inevitable. When you make change, you have to draw a line where that change occurs. And no matter where you draw that line someone is not going to like where that line is at.

I hope that from what you've heard today that you understand that this is not your typical situation. We don't have a situation where Charlotte County is saying, "No, don't change our area code," and Sarasota County is saying, "No, that's fine. We're going to stay the same." Both government agencies, the ones that originally proposed to stay in 941 and those that weren't, are both saying this is a bad idea.

We all, I think, are under the mechanics of the geographical division. We've gone through that just about three years ago when we went from 813 to 941. I think, at least I -- I'm not -- technical aspect of this, I'm a little foggy at just how the overlay works on a mechanical situation. I've heard differing opinions, even from the people at this table, as to we have to dial 10-digit numbers or just if you're going to a different area code. I'd like

someone to state that clearly. Someone who understands, with authority can state that for the record, so we really know what we're talking about here today.

A question was raised about the sequencing of the overlay; whether that had to take effect immediately, concurrent with the geographical split or could that be furthered to some later point in time.

I would think, just again a simple practical sense aspect, if that could be sequenced at some future date.

We've also heard the suggestion of creating a new area code for Manatee, Sarasota and Charlotte Counties. Obviously the time frame we're looking at to implement this change that we're looking at does not permit that. At least, not from my perspective. I don't think you could go through the mechanics of the hearing process and implement that in a timely fashion.

Certainly from the alternatives that have been presented so far, Alternative 4 has the best exhaust of all of the alternatives that have been presented, and on that basis, certainly that could be supported.

I'd like to point out that Alternative 3

would be an intermediate step. It includes the same geographical boundaries as the best exhaust,

Alternative 4. It would be consistent with a new area code for Manatee and Sarasota Counties, all of which would stay within the 941 area code. Seems to me, since we're up against the wall here, we're under the gun; we've got to do something and do something fast.

Let's do 3. That gives us at least a couple of years to try to figure out whether we want to see eventually implement the overlay, create a new area code for the three-county area or some other alternative that may come out of Washington. Let's face it folks, the day is not that far off. We're going to run out of area codes.

And I guess that's all I have to say today. Thank you.

MS. SHELFER: Just to answer the question he asked on overlay, whether you dialed seven or ten digits, federal guidelines states on an overlay it's mandatory 10-digit dialing for everyone. So 7-digit dialing is completely gone in a overlay situation.

MR. BARRETT: Kathleen Schneider. Oh. Okay. Pardon me.

Who's on deck is Mr. Ewing, I think. It's a little difficult to read. Erwin. You're on deck after

Kathleen.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

KATHLEEN SCHNEIDER

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. SCHNEIDER: For the record my name is

Kathleen Schneider. I'm an attorney with the Sarasota

County Attorneys Office. And I have a few comments to

make about various points here in the process.

I guess one of the questions I have is where we've heard about the NPA guidelines and that the quidelines are intended to be followed and they are sort of restrictive at this point, and the Commission can't work around these and has to stay within them, which makes sense. However, the guidelines state that relief plans are to enable exhaust periods to be from eight to ten years. So I guess our question in the count is: Except for the overlay, none of these alternatives meet the guideline of the eight-to-ten year exhaust period. And one of the points that we want to make, and it's actually better made in the 941 docket, but you can see this issue overlaps into this docket, which, again, is why we want them consolidated, is the county doesn't have any ownership of 941 at this point. What we would really like to

see is something -- the area code service areas to be reconfigured so that we have a longer exhaust period.

Now, this may mean that the split is into more than one other area code, as is suggested now.

And it may mean that there are two new area codes.

And, of course, we advocate Manatee, Charlotte and Sarasota into one area code because of the political interconnection as well the community interconnection in those areas.

I know I've spoken to Ms. McKinney at the PSC and I understand that the NANPA does not like to do that. But I also see in the guidelines that they say generally only one area code is used in a split. It's not something that is categorically prohibited, at least I haven't been shown anything that does that.

I think that the guidelines also indicate there are issues to be considered during NPA relief planning. And they specifically state that the public reaction to, and political involvement in boundary decisions, the impact on market identity, recognition, geographic identity, public familiarity, public costs, 911 system impacts — these are all issues that the NANPA specifically says should be considered in relief planning. And it's our position that these have not been considered, at least to the point that we would

like to have them considered at this point.

And so we really would strongly advocate -I disagree with the previous speaker that there isn't
time to reconfigure this into a new area code. I
think that we should get on the -- we should just work
toward that goal so that we have an eight-to-ten year
period within which we can all function.

The multiple area code, the overlay issue,
Sarasota County is very much against this. As
Commissioner Staub has indicated, it's very confusing
for residents of the county to have their neighbor
have a different area code number; the business across
the street to have a different area code number. It's
hard enough to remember numbers themselves without
having to worry about what the area codes are for your
neighbors and businesses that you normally frequent.

Also, as the prefiled testimony indicates in the 941 docket, which, of course, is not in this docket but I'll bring it into play right now, is our emergency services director has stated that multiple area codes in one county can end up to be problematic during an emergency episode. And I think that he is one that can better address them. I'm not going to attempt to go into the particulars on them, which is another reason why this docket should be combined.

But it has to do with when computer systems break down and you have to end up with manual operations and sites; just a situation that all of us, in this area where we are very hurricane vulnerable, that we certainly don't want this kind of a situation, which overlays will definitely bring that problem to the front.

And also those people advocating overlays, I think that you should remember that if right now we're talking about one overlay, but there's nothing to prevent that overlay from exhausting and another overlay from being in place. So we could have three, four, you know, different area codes in our own community, and eventually we may end up with one area code per county. We may end up with one area code per city. I mean, who knows? The point that we want to make is let's take care of that now. Let's give us the exhaust period now.

Okay, we're in this -- I'll speed it up -- but we're in this jeopardy period, which I'm not sure what the name is, where they say, "Hurry up. We're running out of numbers."

And the other point I want to ask the Commission to consider is this -- and I probably have the number wrong -- local portability, whatever that

is right now as I understand it -- and I'm a novice at this, so forgive me if I'm mixing things up. But I understand that there are something like 10,000 numbers that are given to each carrier at a point, and they use these numbers. And the local portability is to reduce that amount to 1,000 numbers. And so I guess my question is, if we're in this jeopardy situation, has the Commission or will the Commission, look to see, as a result, different carriers used up their numbers? Are there numbers that haven't yet been used that they can put into the pot and get to a jeopardy position, give it some extra time. That may be so fundamental and so ridiculous that you're laughing. But I don't know. So I'm just asking, you know, maybe, think about this. I realize it's being test marketed. It was test marketed in Illinois and some other areas, and may be more acceptable.

But we would ask, don't make this easy and just come up with an easy solution. Give it some thought because we are, as a community, very concerned about the impact this has on emergency services, the community businesses and the residents themselves.

Thank you.

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SHELFER: I'll answer one of the questions.

We spoke with NANPA just the other day, and the tentative exhaust date for area codes for the United States is the year 2007. One of the things that was suggested that we look at is what we call number pooling, number portability, where you'll be able to take your phone number if you move.

The Commission is very much into number utilization. There are problems at the federal level that limit this state's jurisdiction and their ability to work with this, but I believe that in the near future you'll see much activity in this arena. Maybe like doing a 1,000 block number assignment instead of the current 10,000. And that will keep the exhaust date on the area code down as well.

So we are working toward that. Mr. Ewing.

JAMES EWING

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. EWING: Good morning. My name is James Ewing. I'm the spokesman for the Southwest County Alliance of Homeowners Associations. Now, that's southwest Sarasota County; all of our members in Sarasota County. There are about 4,000 homes in our alliance.

Our steering committee suggests, and this is the first time that I've heard this today, that we try to eliminate an immediate second division. There's no question that this area code is going to be divided now, but why not divide it into three area codes now, so that in a couple of years we don't -- we're not faced with doing it again. It's a real pain in the neck for businesses and even individual homeowners to go through an area code change.

Our committee suggests -- and by the way, we did not have these alternates before us -- the alternates that have been proposed here today. But we suggested that as part of the division of 941, that three areas be established. One would be Manatee, Hardee, and Polk Counties. One would be Sarasota, Charlotte and DeSoto counties. And one would be Lee, Collier and the main part of Monroe Counties. Glades, Hendry, Highlands and Okeechobee Counties unassigned. They could be left with Area 3 as they now are, Lee Collier and Monroe, or they could be divided as eastern extensions of each of the three areas we propose. This would keep an almost immediate second division of the area codes from happening.

I don't know whether the federal government will consider moving one area code into three, but the

figures that have been presented here this morning on probable need in the future are talking about 4.2 years before they need to break up the new division of 941.

Past history tells us that that probably is not long enough. Like right now, we're in the midst of having to break up 941 about two years earlier than the projected date was supposed to set.

We are definitely opposed to dividing
Charlotte and Sarasota Counties into different area
codes. There is too much connection between them. If
you take the part of Charlotte County from the Myakka
River to the Lemon Bay to the Gulf, and assign it with
Sarasota County, then you've divided Charlotte County
into two different area codes, and this is not good.
Let's keep Charlotte and Sarasota County in one area
code.

MS. SHELFER: All right. Two more points.

The time that we estimate the exhaustion of these area codes is based on information that's provided us by NANPA, and that's the National Association Numbering Plan Administration. So it's not something that we determine. So that information, like I said, is provided.

The second thing I'd like to address, while

we do have the alternative for the two area codes, the likelihood that we will actually be able to get to is probably slim to none, especially in light that we were informed that area code exhaustion was scheduled for 2007. I believe the direction that you will see the state commissions heading in is more number conservation of the numbers that we already have. Some information we've been doing recently is there are a lot of unused numbers available. It's just a matter of how we can get them back.

MR. BARRETT: Grace Amodeo -- and please forgive me if I do butcher your name -- you're speaking after Stefanie Mead.

STEFANIE MEAD

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. MEAD: Good morning. I'm Stefanie Mean.

I'm Chairman of Economic Development for the Englewood

Area Chamber of Commerce. I have a business in the

Sarasota County area, and my retail sales covers 70%

of the Charlotte County area within the Englewood

community.

Basically I'm just going to ask you a few questions to kind of clear some things up in my mind.

Alternative plan No. 3 looks real good to us. And that's in keeping with the Chamber of Commerce's resolution.

It's also in keeping with a proposal, a letter from Frank Tambarino (ph). He's the director of the Committee for Economic Development in Sarasota County. And I believe you have a copy of this letter but I'm just going to go ahead and give it to you for your records.

Basically that says please don't split the Englewood community. Okay.

My question, first off, was for alternative Plan 3, okay, after alternative Plan 3 has been exhausted in 4.2 years, would an overlay automatically come into effect?

MS. SHELFER: We'd go through the exact same procedures that we're going through now.

MS. MEAD: You would have to do that. Okay.

MS. SHELFER: Alternative 3 will be presented with an on-site plan, and then --

MS. MEAD: Okay. In the event that the alternative plan No. 4, the overlay comes into effect, are you going to change the terminology of "area code" to "prefix"?

MS. SHELFER: No. The prefix is the first

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

three digits --MS. MEAD: What are you going to address 2 that area code as? Because that's going to cause a 3 considerable amount of confusion. Because area code, in the minds of the citizens, normal people, we think 5 of area codes as designating an area. So would you change your marketing? 7 MS. SHELFER: It will be the same. 8 (Simultaneous conversation.) 9 MS. MEAD: It will still say "area code." 10 MS. SHELFER: -- same area. 11 MS. MEAD: Okay. So my neighbor across the 12 street could have a different area code. 13 MS. SHELFER: Yes. 14 MS. MEAD: Okay. And if there's an 15 emergency, if somebody is trying to call, they haven't 16 gotten the right area code, there will be that delay 17 like on the 911. 911 goes through fast. But for 18 hospitals or anything like that, right? (Simultaneous 19 conversation) 20

21 MS. MEAD: Pardon?

22

23

24

MS. SHELFER: You'll have to know the area code.

MS. MEAD: You'll have to know the area code. Okay.

The other thing I just wanted to know is 1 historically have overlays worked pretty well in other 2 3 areas to your -- ah ha, June is laughing. MS. SHELFER: The only one that we felt was 4 5 implemented is Dade County. 6 MS. MEAD: Dade County. 7 MS. SHELFER: Florida. (Simultaneous conversation) 8 9 MS. MEAD: Okay. As far as I'm aware of, Charlotte County is the fastest growing county in the 10 state of Florida. 11 In the event that after you all decide with 12 your recommendations, I hope you're going to recommend 13 alternative Plan 3 -- but in the event, after 4.2 14 15 years is exhausted, and the population density of 16 Charlotte County supersedes that of Polk County, could we then like go that -- keep that 941 area code for 17 Charlotte, Sarasota and Manatee? 18 19 MS. McKINNEY: Definitely. Whenever your area code stops, the whole process starts over again. 20 21 MS. MEAD: Yeah. We have -- it's going to 22 stop the 2007 or something. MS. McKINNEY: Whole exhaustion --23 24 (Simultaneous conversation)

MS. MEAD: But this is going to exhaust in

25

4.2 years so we still have some opportunity. That's 2 what I'm saying. Okay. And the last thing I just want to ask is the 3 CASR time schedule for the docket -- I know it's 5 tentative -- it says that on 9-9-99 the Staff is going to give its recommendations. 6 7 MS. McKINNEY: Stefanie, that has changed, 8 the dates of the CASR. MS. SHELFER: The docket has consolidated. 9 Those are nearer the dates of 941 area code. 10 11 MS. MEAD: Okay. Which --12 MS. McKINNEY: But the hearing date is changed to use the docket number -- (Unintelligible) 13 MS. MEAD: Okay. 14 15 MS. McKINNEY: Because information is taken of a few that -- fast track. 17 MS. MEAD: Okay. Very good. I'd like to 18 thank you for the opportunity you've given us in 19 Englewood to state our case and make our comments 20 known. And on behalf of the Chamber, we are -- we recommended that you seriously consider alternative 21 22 Plan 3. Thank you. 23 MS. MEAD: Charles McAllister, you're on deck. The next speaker is Grace Amodeo.

25

GRACE AMODEO

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. AMODEO: My name is Grace Amodeo and I live the 17079 O'Hara Drive in Port Charlotte, Florida. And I am the person who headed the petition to eliminate long distance charges in all of Charlotte County.

We're divided by two rivers but that we can't do anything about. We do not want to be divided by a telephone company.

We heard from two Commissioners. There are no county lines. Sarasota County and Charlotte County are one.

helping to pay for this road that we're talking about. The evacuation road. Every one of them. Even the people who are in Boca Grande and in Palm Island. I don't think we should leave these people out when we're talking about area code and being together.

We're doing a tourism campaign. What happens when we have our ads in the new magazines, newspapers and we have two or three area codes; what are people going to think about this? I don't know. I just think this is all crazy.

Most of us don't know anything about LATA lines, ECS, NPA. These are all foreign things to us. And all we know is that we don't want to be divided. And we've heard that from two commissioners, and we are standing up and saying it all over again.

Older population. We have the oldest population in the United States, I hear. We're going to go to ten digits. We all have problems with seven digits. What are we going to do with ten of them? We're going to have a lot of mistakes, that's for sure. I don't know what the answer to it is but I just think it's crazy.

If we have to have an area code, let us stay together with one area code, not with two or three.

One. And I do not like Alternative 3, 4 or any of them, so go back to the drawing boards.

Thank you.

CHARLES MCALLISTER

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. McAllister: My name is Charles

McAllister. I live on Cape Haze. I operate a

business in this area. My business services clients

in Charlotte as well as Sarasota Counties. I'm a

member of the Englewood area community. Because the Englewood area community encompasses Cape Haze, whether that is recognized by Sprint, GTE or any other large business monopoly entity is irrelevant. A community is defined from the grassroots up; not imposed by what an outside agency puts down.

I'm echoing the sentiments of public officials as well as private citizens here. I'm going to reenforce and repeat some of them and maybe elaborate on a couple of. Number one -- and I'll just point out the results of a few things.

If you think about it, and think about it in terms of community and then look at this absurd diagram, you'll see the areas of connection between the peak area and the Manatee-Charlotte area. It's a little crisscross; it's a little point. It's a point on the map, as defined by Euclid, as an area having no dimensions.

There's absolutely no area of connection; logically, rationally or any other way. It's an artificial construct.

Polk was part of the 813 area code. It was shut off simply because it doesn't have quite as high a population density as Hillsborough County does.

However, it's the northern half of the Polk area is

rapidly growing. More importantly, the Polk area has absolutely nothing to do in terms of its focus with the Charlotte, Manatee and Sarasota areas. Charlotte, Manatee and Sarasota are coastal communities. As Mac Horton, said we have a lot in common and that's because we're coastal communities. Tourism is a very, very large area. Retirement living. Businesses that are service businesses who service the retirement and the tourism industries. All of these things are related to the essence of your community, which was that we are a coastal community. So trying to take an area from way inland in the state and arbitarily include it, it just doesn't make any sense.

I just might point out that I'm a member of the Englewood Executive Business Network. Most of our members are members also of the Rotary, the Chamber, and a number of other business organizations. Trying, with proposition No. 1, to divide the Englewood community area, would have a tremendous negative impact on most of our local businesses. As person after person has stated here, we service people in Sarasota as well as Charlotte County. The Englewood community is a unified community, and our representatives and our utilities need to recognize that.

The proposition No. 1 is not really a geographic split; it's a legal boundary split and it needs to be dropped forever.

One final point. And again I'm echoing something that was introduced by Commissioner Horton. We need to resolve this Sprint-GTE difference in service in this community area.

I live and do a lot of business on

Cape Haze. I tried to get voice mail service. When

Sprint took over United Telephone Company, which as

many of you know, is known colloquially as "the

Flintstone Telephone Company," they promised that they

would bring their high technology to our area. As far

as I'm concerned, they have not lived up to that

promise.

I recently attempted to get voice mail service and I was told that the wonderful Sprint voice mail service is available to everybody in our area except Cape Haze residents. There we have an alternative service, which requires us to call in on a long distance charge -- and I'm sorry, if it's 25 cents, that's a long distance charge, whether or not you have to dial the area code. If I have to pay extra for it you're treating me as if it's long distance.

And I said, okay, fine. I presume I'm going to get a special dial tone so I know when I have messages and when I have to call in. And they said, "No. We don't provide a special dial tone to people on Cape Haze. Only to people in the rest of Charlotte County." So now I have to dial in seven, eight, ten times a day to see whether or not I have messages. The toll charges just keep piling up.

So Sprint is treating us in this area in a completely different way. They are dividing Charlotte County. They are treating part of the county one way; part of the county another way. Cape Haze folks woke up a few years ago. The old land disputes were resolved. As a result of that drive down in the area. It's one of the most rapidly growing areas for development in one of the most rapidly growing counties in the country and you need to recognize that.

We absolutely, as Mac Horton said, need to, as part of this issue, resolve the problems and the differences, and if necessary, Sprint needs to sell out to GTE that portion of the area so that we all have the same telephone service. (Applause)

So in that sense, take proposition No. 1, throw it away. I endorse proposition No. 3. However,

what we need to do -- and I think I'd really like to see an alternative one where we had Manatee, Sarasota and Charlotte Counties all together. We are a unified community. Let's stay that way.

MR. BARRETT: Mr. Pomeroy.

BRUCE POMEROY

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. POMEROY: Good morning. My name is

Bruce Pomeroy. I'm the past chairman of the Taxpayer

League of Charlotte County.

Back in 1995 there was an organized drive to circulate a petition to petition the Public Service Commission, after they closed off the regulatory powers back in July of '95, that we in Charlotte County were trapped between two telephone Corporations: GTE and United-Sprint.

In October I had received correspondence from Mr. Allen from United-Sprint, and from Mr. Dobbins from the GTE. They were very concerned about the rates that we pay in Charlotte County long distance within our county to our governments, to our stores, the whole nine, ten yards.

We see the dilemma that we're faced with

with the expansion of the telephone corporations, the new customers they are taking on board, and certainly it's about time that the telephone companies address rate changes as well.

It is very true. I live in Englewood east.

Grove City, Englewood east are 474, 473, 475 and

probably 460. I live in Charlotte County. I can call

anyplace in Sarasota County with a flat rate of 25

cents. But let me tell you, if I call my stores in

Port Charlotte or Punta Gorda, it will be long

distance rates.

However, GTE gave us five or six different options in which we can consider different optional plans. They did not give us a flat rate fee. And as I stand here I'll tell you right now United-Sprint said we're willing to work with GTE back in '95 to do something effectively for these long distance rates within our county.

Believe me when I tell you this: Not much was done. So here we are today talking about area code changes, but the root of the problem is that the telephone corporations in which they extend over here to Charlotte County line, GTE should sell out their interest to United-Sprint, if that's the way to go or vice versa, but it's high time that the residents,

Citizens of Florida, start talking to these telephone corporations, saying, "Look, you're expanding your company's services. You're cutting back on the services of repairs," and I think we all have seen that happen. "It's about time that you started doing something creative with your actual rates."

Now we have three nice people here today.

I'd like them to take it back and say, "Look, in

Charlotte County we'd like to extend the boundaries to

the entire part of Charlotte County in this area

code." Whatever the new area code will be.

We, in Englewood, do share many, many things between our communities because we are Englewood.

Grove City is part of Englewood. The entire Cape Haze is part of Englewood. So it's high time that we become more realistic. And when the Public Service Commission said to us, in '95, "We are very sympathetic with your problems in the area," when they consented 25 cents Sarasota County flat rate. I think it's about time they say, "Well, look, you people in the telephone company, start doing something constructively for your people you service in the area."

So it's about time. We can take this whole packet here and I can show you a whole docket of

different information that came back from the Public Service Commission, from the telephone corporation. 2 Here we are today and I believe the gentlemen who 3 spoke from the homeowners group did make a point. If we're going to have more area codes we should consider 5 it now rather than later down the road. But it's 6 important that we maintain the integrity of Charlotte 7 County, Sarasota County, and I hate to say it, Manatee County is included as well. Any questions? From the Public Service Commission? Thank you. 11

MR. BARRETT: Harold Heil, you'll be on deck. You decline.

Giovanna Deveny, or something like that. You'll be following Jill Leah.

16

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

17 18

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

19

20

21

23

25

DIRECT STATEMENT

JILL LEAH

MS. LEAH: Good morning. I'm Jill Leah. I'm president of Highwave, Incorporated. We are a local Internet service provided located in Englewood in Sarasota County. Our customer base includes residents and businesses who can reach our service by dialing a local phone number. Currently this includes the

geographic area from Nokomis south to Placida, including the whole Cape Haze peninsula, and west to the Myakka River.

If the area code changes at the Sarasota

Charlotte County line, this will have a negative

impact on the perception of our service area. I

realize that you said that the change will not be

monetary for customers. However, the perception will

be there that there is a division.

Regardless of whether or not the local calling area changes, the long distance perception will be there, and it will, in effect, our livelihood depends on that perception and it depends on the decision that's made by the Public Service Commission and GTE and Sprint.

I understand there's a need to make a change and I feel that it's not in the best interest of the community of Englewood to divide the area code at the county line. The local calling area, which is currently in effect in Englewood, should remain under a single area code. Of the alternatives that were suggested today, the two that best represent my preference would be Alternative No. 3. However, if at all possible, an additional alternative that would include Manatee, Sarasota and Charlotte, even if they

are all under a new area code, would be my preference.

Thank you.

MR. BARRETT: Nancy Harper, you're on deck.

GIOVANNA DEVENY

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. DEVENY: I'm Giovanna Deveny. I chair a coalition of neighborhood associations that's called South Venice 2010. You might wonder Venice, what are they doing here? Many of our almost 10,000 members have family, they have businesses in the Englewood area and are very sensitive to what happens down here.

I would humbly, respectfully request that the Public Service Commission do what so many people tell us all the time: Think outside the box. You have various plans here. I think given the tremendous growth going on in this area you have to think of other considerations, and I'll get into that shortly.

I just finished serving for three years on a multistakeholders group in Sarasota County. We looked at the next 50 years of growth in Sarasota County as well as growth in the adjoining counties, Manatee and Port Charlotte. Understanding what I do now, and if you all saw our local morning paper in Manatee County,

they are immediately planning for 10,000 more homes. In Sarasota County I know that the growth in south Sarasota County is tremendous. Charlotte County. You've heard from folks who live in Charlotte County tremendous growth there.

So when we say please ask them to think outside the box, instead of thinking in terms of three counties, think in terms of two, sarasota, Charlotte and I suggest that you give them the same area.

Sarasota County and Charlotte County are joined at the hip in the city of Englewood. The county line runs through the middle of that city. In our opinion, government should do everything possible to preserve the sense of community that Englewood enjoys, recognizing and appreciating the need to encourage a sense of community in the area, as you have heard this morning. Local governmental bodies have joined together and have been working together for years in interlocal agreement.

County Commissions have collaborate positively on funds for the building of roads. School boards have worked successfully on a reciprocal agreement for school attendance. Students attend Englewood Elementary School and Sarasota County school. They then attend Anjer (ph) Junior High and

Lemon Bay High School. Those are Charlotte County schools. This has been running smoothly for years.

The sense of community is further strengthened through afterschool and weekend school activities. These events include students from Sarasota County and Charlotte County. Patients from both Sarasota County and Charlotte County feel fortunate to have medical facilities so close by. Government has a responsibility to remove as many obstacles for residents in a time of need for health care. Overlays would place obstacles in the path of residents.

What I would suggest to you, and I'll have a copy of my remarks, but this part is off my sheet -- our coalition of neighborhood associations now representing about 10,000 people, joined because we felt government wasn't sensitive to our needs.

In the Englewood area, the alliance has formed and you heard their speaker. Well, I'm suggesting to you folks to take back to the Public Service Commission is that we are -- the human beings are not people that you can geographically draw lines and say this is going to happen here, here and here. We are human beings. You've got to look at the human face and listen to what's happening to people in the

area and then rethink what it is you're doing and try to do what is in the best interest of those people. Because when you get down to what government is all about, government is supposed to protect the health, safety and welfare of the people of the country. That's all. All the rest of it is gingerbread or whatever the politicians want to put with it. Your basic goal is to protect the health, safety and welfare. So I'm suggesting our welfare, and perhaps our safety, is also involved in this. Picture yourself the partner of someone who has need of a doctor. Or think of yourself as a school secretary who must call home because an elementary school child has become ill at school. In a time of stress, confusion of numbers could complicate reaching people. Consider these the dialing of seven numbers. contemplate dialing ten.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sarasota County and Charlotte Counties are fortunate that we have not been afflicted by the problems of the very large counties that have few choices for dealing with monumental problems brought on by very large populations. We can preserve our sense of community for a while yet.

Please help us to do that. We respectfully request that you do all in your power to permit

Sarasota County and Charlotte County to operate under the same area code.

Thank you.

MR. BARRETT: I'm down to our last speaker that has indicated they'd like to come forward and make comments. Is there anyone in the audience that did not indicate so when they signed in but would like to add something to the discussion? Okay. If you'll come on up, be on deck. Our next signed in speaker is Nancy Harper.

NANCY HARPER

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

the person at the elementary school that does do the calling for children that are not in attendance. My school's boundaries include both Sarasota County and Charlotte County. There is a pocket of Charlotte County children that are districted to my school in Sarasota County. As of February 26th, 78 children lived in Charlotte County. They are less than two miles in some points to our school. They could probably walk to school quicker than they can remember 10 digits.

You are requiring -- one of the most difficult things in the world is a child learning their phone number. Now you're asking those four year olds in preschool, the five year olds in kindergarten to remember ten digits. That is even a little bit more mind boggling than the senior citizens remembering 10 digits.

If we have emergencies -- in the classroom a child is injured or very, very sick, whoever is on call -- if it is a substitute in the office, they may not realize that, "Oh, excuse me. I have to call that person at work in Charlotte County, and isn't there three extra numbers?" In the meantime, a child could go into a diabetic coma. And we need to keep Englewood together.

I have been a registrar for 15 years. I was the only elementary school in this town. So I dealt with the Sarasota County students, Charlotte County students, and Boca Grande's Lee County students. I know what the paperwork and the involvement is being combined.

L.A. Anjer (ph) Middle School represents students in our community residents of both county -- all three counties, excuse me. The same with Lemon Bay High School. And if not for just the businesses

and the convenience, Englewood has been so unique, let's still keep us together for the sake of the children.

Thank you.

MR. BARRETT: Taylor Meals.

_ _ _

TAYLOR MEALS

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. MEALS: My name is Taylor Meals. I'm a new resident to Englewood within the past year. And when I came to Englewood I came to Englewood; I didn't come to Charlotte County and I didn't come to Sarasota County.

And I'm glad that the Public Service

Commission had an opportunity to come here, as well as the phone companies. And I think you've found on your way into Englewood, it doesn't matter if you came from the north, if you came from the east, or you came from the south, but to get here you came on a two-lane road, and then somewhere when you got to the end of that two-lane road, it said "Welcome to Englewood."

So it doesn't matter when you leave here today, if you go by a different route, you're going to leave by a two-lane road until you get to Port Charlotte, you get

out to the freeway, or you get up to freeway. At the end of this two-lane road you've got Englewood. Englewood is a community. It's not a community that 3 says "Welcome to Englewood, Charlotte County" or 4 "Welcome to Englewood, Sarasota County." It says 5 "Welcome to Englewood." Englewood is a total 6 community.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have three children. I have a nine year old that goes to school at the elementary school. Ms. Harper -- or my daughter goes to their school, at the elementary school in Sarasota County. I have a 13 year old that goes to the middle school in Charlotte County. My son goes to school at the high school in Charlotte County. To me, as a resident of Englewood, the county lines don't mean anything. I think it would be a disaster for this community if we were to have two separate phone -- two different area codes in this small community. I think that you should give it consideration to look at where Englewood is, what Englewood is and keep it together under one area code. Thank you.

MS. SHELFER: You all will be tired of me before the day is over.

There's two comments that I want to make. One was -- and I'm horrible with names, but the

gentlemen was referring to \$.25 plans, ECS as being toll. Because there's a charge associated with it, in the eyes of some it may appear to be toll. But if you are actually paying toll, you will realize there's a substantial difference from paying by the minute than paying the flat rate charge. There are people all over the state I can tell you, who would do most anything they could to be able to have the \$.25 plan.

The second thing I wanted to state, just as a comment, as a telephone holder, too, I have BellSouth and I can't get on voice mail, too, so I sympathize.

MR. BARRETT: Charles Rehwinkel, Sprint.

Before we move on, one more call. Is there anyone
else that would like to say anything? Okay. Go
ahead, Charles.

18 CHARLES REHWINKEL

appeared as a witness and testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you. My name is Charles Rehwinkel. I'm an attorney for Sprint, representing the company in the three dockets that you've heard about today.

I really do appreciate the effort that the

community has extended to the parties and to the Commission to come down and see Englewood. I've never been here. I grew up in North Florida but I was born in Fort Myers, but this is one part of the state I've never been to and I'm glad to be here.

I think what you've heard today demonstrates that what happens with regard to this area will be most influencial in how the area code relief plan is ultimately designed by the Public Service Commission. And in that regard, we think this strongly supports consolidating all of the dockets and making all of the decisions at one time. We do say, as the telephone company that serves 2 million customers throughout Florida and a large portion of the customers in the existing 941 area, that between Sprint and GTE we serve an a million and a half access lines. Over a million customers in this area. And the interests of all of these customers are what we must take into consideration, and what the Public Service Commission must take into consideration.

And certainly the issues you have raised are -- they are different than the average customers' concerns that live, say, in the middle of an area and they won't be affected about where you draw a line. So you raise significant concerns.

But I would just like to say we're going to go down to Fort Myers on the 9th to continue the hearing process. And we haven't heard from Lee County for whatever reason. No one showed up at the Lee County hearing. And sometimes it's based on the way they think the plan is going to go. Sometimes it's base on what notice or advertisement they got of the meeting, but I don't believe we're going to go and hear from no one again, especially after the complaint that we got that started this whole docket.

So what I'm saying is that when all is said and done, the Commission will have to hear from other interests, other geographic concerns that people have. People may come up with other plans based on the way they'd like it to look relative to Lee County.

so we are neutral on what plan comes up except for when there are technical or cost considerations that are insurmountable. And we will bring those up to the Commission in the hearing process that take place. We have AL witness that is testifying. He's an expert in the engineering and the area code issues, and I know GTE has an expert as well testifying.

We would like to say that the three area code solutions which I think is Alternative 4 that

we've heard about, it does have some appeal with respect to the relief time. But we believe that it would be relatively imprudent to go forward with a docket and a decision that would come out in July, that we would submit to the North American Numbering Plan Administrator, and they would say we can't do this.

You've heard about the scarcity of codes through all 50 states. The chance that they would give us a third code to accomplish an optimal result is pretty low. And if we get down the road in the hearing process and find out that we have been rebuffed and have to start all over again, we will have a severe problem. Because the code administrator has said there's an extraordinary jeopardy in this area, which means that their existing projections are not going to last.

I think you heard that from the Commission Staff. That's a practical concern that we have. We are not close to that solution but we do not want that solution to be sought and others foregone, and then we find ourselves in a impossible situation. Because, like I said, two companies that are here today have to serve the interests of a million and a half access lines and over a million customers.

So that's all I have. Thank you. 1 MS. McKINNEY: Yes, Commissioner. 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I understand that 3 Pinellas -- that they submit an area code just for 4 Pinellas County, and if we're suggesting Sarasota and 5 Charlotte, why would it be so hard to get a new code 6 when that was done, what, within the last two years? 7 MS. McKINNEY: The area code and what's 8 9 actually going to take place is going to be based on the hearing and the evidence that's presented. 10 11 this time we can't tell you exactly what's going to happen and what's not. It's going to be based on the 12 13 evidence, the witnesses and what comes out and then the Commissioners there make a decision. 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I guess my concern is 15 I have been hearing that new area codes are really hard to get; one in a million chance. But if I -- and 17 is it correct that another county got one just for the 18 county? 19 20 MS. McKINNEY: That's Brevard County, I think that's what you're talking --21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, Pinellas. 22 I wasn't aware of Pinellas. 23 MS. McKINNEY: UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think we want to 24

make sure that we get the same fair treatment and

25

we'll be pursuing on April 8th putting a lot more on the record. But I think that to say that you can't get new area codes, then one county got one recently, isn't quite kosher.

MS. McKINNEY: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'd like to say that
I've got -- (Unintelligible)

in it either, but I'm aware of, I think, what happened. Really, that was an area code split of 813, okay. And based on the -- well really, the companies, all these code-holders, cellular code providers, local phone company in this case -- just reviewed, permitted an overlay was the most efficient use of the codes in the longest exhaust period.

The Commission determined, after a hearing process, that there would be a split. And a split would be along a geographic boundary. There was only one code utilized, and it was for not just Pinellas, but Pinellas and western Pasco County. And I've heard a number of the customers here are concerned about not having a Charlotte County split, and I truly understand that. You need to think about, or, hopefully, be thankful that's what comes out of this; just one area code.

Poor Pasco County has three area codes, and for better or worse -- and I don't know of any severe or major problems. I haven't heard the feedback from them. But it's not just one area code for Pinellas, it was a geographic split that was determined and based on the code utilization and the customer -- the line split between western Pasco and Pinellas. That's how the code is assigned.

MS. SHELFER: It was a new code.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It was one new code.

A geographic split.

MS. SHELFER: It ended up that it was a geographic split. There was going to be a new code assigned anyway, the initial request was that it be (Unintelligible) -- a code is common one way or the other. Just like here. There's going to be a new area code. It's just a matter of how it's going to be (Unintelligible). So that's what happened with Pinellas. Instead of being on the overlay they ended up going the geographic split; Hillsborough, 813 kept, Pinellas got the new.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And western Pasco.

MS. SHELFER: Pasco -- I'll tell you that I spoke to someone about Volusia County. There's a city in Volusia County that is split by two telephone

companies, two area codes -- I mean -- a LATA, two companies, and they have three area codes to call into the city. So there are places that are worse off. So unfortunately, you know, that's only --

unidentified speaker: I appreciate that. I
guess it doesn't make it right because we have an
opportunity --

MS. SHELFER: No. No. (Simultaneous
conversation)

MS. SHELFER: I hope that anything that we have been able to explain is that we will do whatever we can do. But there are limitations. But you guys have given us lots and lots of information and through the hearing process, are going to do issue ID on this. You're idea of the area code and your proposal to want to do Manatee, Charlotte and Sarasota can be something that addresses the issue identification, which is the next step.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: One follow-up to the Commissioners' questions. The area codes -- is give alternatives to -- Alternative 3

(Unintelligible-shuffling of papers in mike) You would be planning that about now.

we're notified of it. (Unintelligible)

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Then you have to come 1 back for another split, unfortunately, two years --2 3 MS. SHELFER: Projections were correct --UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It may be sooner 4 because -- (Simultaneous conversation) 5 I guess my point is UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 6 they are very scarce area codes; area codes that are 7 going to exhaust in 2007, we're going to be back 8 taking the area codes from that pot in three or four 9 years anyway if Alternative 3 is selected. 10 of papers) Why not do it now. Give us two -- break 11 up this area --12 MS. SHELFER: I don't think they'll do it. 13 There's nothing to say that's not the way the question 14 will -- (Background noise interference) It's not 15 our --16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right. 17 That's a federal decision. MS. SHELFER: Ι 18 19 can just tell you historically that they have -especially now that they won't. (Unintelligible) 20 As far as possible, but through processes 21 like this, it's often difficult because -- it's like 22 the committee; once you see the people and you hear 23 the stories, you can link the communities together. 24

And that's what happened in 407. Once you hear the

25

stories, once the Commissioners get a geographic foot with an overlay we've never done that anywhere in the state that I -- and what Mr. --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We didn't hear any of that.

MS. SHELFER: Okay. Sorry.

I forgot what I was saying.

MS. McKINNEY: Talking about 407.

MS. SHELFER: I was addressing whatever we looked at 407, we ended up doing an overlay with a geographic split. And the result of that was from customer testimony.

where they were unique and not linked to Orlando as all of the surrounding communities and counties are.

I mean, they were ready to go at it on who got linked to Orlando. The final decision was they all were. It was based on the overlay and Brevard County ended up with their own area code.

The exhaust for Brevard, I believe, sits at about eight years, Levent? But because we did it that way, it reduced the life of Orlando down to about 4.2, I believe, it sits at now. So what the Commission looks at where maybe one area has a longer exhaust, we wished we could do them all at that five, six, seven

and eight years. Sometimes that's not possible. But NANPA does request that we do it for at least five.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Brevard didn't get their own area code. They share it with the rest.

ms. shelfer: Yeah. Yeah. I'm sorry. Let me clarify that. They did.

what happens with Brevard is they don't have to do the overlay. They are giving up all their 407 codes. 407 is going to go into the Orlando area. The overlay, which is also 321, will go over the remaining portion of Orlando and Brevard, will just have 7-digit dialing and only have 321 so they didn't get their own area code. It was an overlay with a geographic split. When we get in issue ID we can discuss all of the alternatives that can be done through this proceeding.

MS. McKINNEY: Yes, Commissioner.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I want to talk about Alternative 4 for just a second. Whoever answer this question, please do.

Alternative 4 is a continuation, I'm assuming, of Alternate 3, so that we have the same split with an overlay. Okay. You're going to have a split; you've got two numbers. If I understand the overlay at all, it means you have a third number. If

I'm correct what we're all saying is instead of using those three numbers that way, folks, let's split this up into three things and use those same three numbers. I think that's what we're all saying. So we don't have a problem with a mysterious number out there that doesn't exist. You're telling us in Alternate 4 it does.

MS. McKINNEY: Thank you.

unidentified speaker: I guess I made a comment. That's what we're seeing. Got the possibility of three numbers. Split this thing up three ways and get the most bang for our bucks.

MS. McKINNEY: Yes, ma'am.

you're talking about, are the attendees at the hearing invited people? Are those only --

MS. McKINNEY: Ma'am, you're welcome to come. Everybody is welcome to come.

The hearing is scheduled for 10:00 and 6:00 on April the 8th. They are called service hearings. Those are hearings where the Commissioners will be there and listen to Floridians tell them specifically what their opinion is on the issues that and that's in Sarasota. And I'm telling you about the Sarasota one because it's closest. But if you look at the Special

Report everybody, in the far page in the corner on the side it has the hearing dates and times, and everybody is welcome to come. A service hearing is where the Commissioners listen to Floridians about what you think about pertaining to the issues.

Yes, sir.

unidentified speaker: At today's presentation, what is your feeling, gut feeling, as to the things you have heard at this meeting? How do you think it's going to turn out for our community?

MS. McKINNEY: Sir, I can't give you an answer as to that. (Laughter)

No, there's a reason why. Because a decision will be made by all of the Commissioners here. All of the evidence that's presented. We haven't had April 9th and April 8th yet so we haven't heard what everybody said. But what each of you have said today will be part of what takes place in that hearing. If the dockets are consolidated it will become one. Yes, ma'am.

unidentified speaker: You can write and
send in --

MS. MCKINNEY: Yes. We have information on here how you can contact us in other ways. Tell your friends. Please feel free.

I have about 300 letters, like I told you, from the Englewood community. I don't have a problem. I tried to respond to everybody, but everybody didn't send me their address because some of them were e-mails and it was kept out. We don't have a problem with that. That's why we're here. We want to know what you think. And it's very important to the Commissioners how consumers feel about these issues.

I'd like to thank everybody for their comments and for coming out. I hope that we were helpful as much as you were toward us with your comments. We really appreciate it because it helps us informing the Commissioners as to what's going on.

Again, spread the word about the hearings. We want everybody to come out. We're going to take a ten-minute break -- excuse me we're going to take a five-minute break. They are going to kick us out of the auditorium because somebody else is scheduled.

However, we're having an issue identification and I'm going to go over the petitions for this record, 990184, which is the Englewood case. We need Sprint, a representative from Sprint. GTE and the Chamber to stay, as well as the Water District. Did he leave? He's gone, unfortunately. Anybody else is welcome to stay and watch. And Charlotte County

and Sarasota County, we know that you've intervened. Commissioners, we'd also like you to stay, with your attorneys.

An issue identification is when Staff meets with the actual parties of record -- and your Commissioners have intervened -- that's a legal term -- to be a party of record where they could actually talk and put evidence in and represent you, Englewood. So issue identification is when we're going to sit around this table right here, decide what the issues are pertaining to your case that will go all the way to hearing and that's what we'll be dealing with the hearing. Please stay and watch. Won't take that long. But we're going to take a five-minute break before we get started.

MS. SHELFER: I'm going to do a follow up just because I'm a nonlawyer here. Even though you haven't testified, this is a workshop and everything that you've said we will consider. I encourage you to come and state it again at the hearing. You will be sworn in. It's your opportunity as the public to talk directly to the Commissioners. So please come to the Sarasota ones.

(Tape ends here.)

I	
1	STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	I, JOY KELLY, RPR, Chief, Bureau of Reporting, Official Commission Reporter,
4	
5	Do hereby certify that I stenographically transcribed the said proceedings from tape recordings
6	delivered to me by Commission Staff.
7	DATED this 6th day of April, 1999.
8	
9	
10	JOY KELLY, RPR
11	Chief, Bureau of Reporting Official Commission Reporter
12	(850) 413-6732
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	