



RECEIVED-FPSC

99 APR 23 AM 10: 28

Phone: (305) 443-3710 Fax: (305) 443-1078 2620 S.W. 27th Avenue Miami, FL 33133 Email: sales@stis.com www.stis.com

RECORDS AND REPORTING

April 23, 1999

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Re: Docket No. 980253-TX

Dear Mrs. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above referenced docket are an original and fifteen copies of the Direct Testimony of Ronald C. Smith, Jr. Copies have been served on the parties listed on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

David V. Dimlich

Legal Counsel

Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record

AFA
APP
CAF
CMU
3
CTR
EAG
LEG
MAS
OPC
RRR
SEC
WAW

OTH

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
05254 APR 23 8

RECORDS

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.'s testimony of Rick Smith in Docket No. 980253-TX has been served by U.S. Mail this <u>13</u> day of April 1999.

Ms. Barber Auger Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson, Bell and Dunbar Post Office Box 10095 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-2095

Ms. Laura L. Gallagher 204 S. Monroe Street, Suite 201 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Ms. Kimberly Caswell GTE Florida, Inc. Post Office Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, Florida 33601-0110

Ms. Nancy White BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1556

Ms. Monica Barone Sprint Communications Co., Mailstop GAATIN0802, 3100 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, Georgia 30339

Ms. Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothin, Davidson, Rief, and Bakas, PA 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Ms. Marsha Rule
AT&T Telecommunications of the
Southern States
101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Mr. Robert Scheffel Wright Landers and Parsons Post Office Box 271 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Mr. Kenneth A. Hoffman Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood, Purnell, and Hoffman, PA Post Office Box 551 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551

Mr. Rick Melson Hopping Green, Sams and Smith Post Office Box 6526 Tallahassee, Florida 32314

Ms. Lynn B. Hall Vista-United Telephone Company 3100 Bonnet Creek Road Lake Buena Vista, Florida 32830

Mr. Tom McCabe Quincy Telephone Co., 107 W. Franklin Street Quincy, Florida 32351

Mr. Bill Thomas Gulf Telephone Company 115 West Drew Street Perry, Florida 32347

Mr. Robert M. Post, Jr. Indiantown Telephone Systems, Inc. 15925 S.W. Warfield Boulevard Indiantown, Florida 34956 Mr. John M. Vaughn St. Joseph and Telegraph Co., 502 Fifth Street Port St. Joe, Florida 32456

Mr. Jeffry Whalen Ausley Law Firm 227 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Mr. Richard M. Rindler Swindler and Berlin 3000 K Street N.W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 20008

Mr. Michael McRae TCG –Washington, 2 Lafayette Center 1133 Twenty-First Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036

Ms. Diana W. Caldwell Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0862

David V. Dimlich

Legal Counsel

Supra Telecommunications and

Information Systems, Inc. 2620 S.W. 27<sup>th</sup> Avenue

Miami, Florida 33133

| 1  | Sul | PRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC                            |
|----|-----|--|
| 2  |     | BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION                                   |
| 3  |     | DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD C. SMITH, JR.                                       |
| 4  |     | DOCKET NO. 980253-TX   |
| 5  |     | APRIL 23, 1999   |
| 6  |     |  |
| 7  | Q.  | PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.  |
| 8  | A.  | My name is Ronald C. Smith, Jr. My address is 2620 SW 27 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, |
| 9  |     | Miami, Florida 32303.  |
| 10 |     |  |
| 11 | Q.  | BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?                                 |
| 12 | A.  | I am the Senior Vice President of Marketing for Supra Telecommunications       |
| 13 |     | and Information Systems, Inc. (Supra).   |
| 14 |     |  |
| 15 | Q.  | PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR BACKGROUND AND WORK                                      |
| 16 |     | EXPERIENCE.  |
| 17 | A.  | I am a graduate of the University of Delaware and hold a BS in Marketing.      |
| 18 |     | Prior to my appointment as Senior Vice President of Marketing for Supra        |
| 19 |     | Telecom, I was employed by AT&T for 22 years. My job responsibilities          |
| 20 |     | with AT&T were in the area of development and management of marketing          |
| 21 |     | and sales strategies. I have direct sales experience and product/project       |
| 22 |     | management knowledge.  |
| 23 |     |  |
| 24 | Q   | WHAT ARE YOUR PRESENT RESPONSIBILITIES WITH SUPRA                              |
| 25 |     | TELECOM?  DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE   |
|    |     | 05254 APR 23 ST  |

| 1  | A. | I have the responsibility for developing the product line to be offered to   |
|----|----|--|
| 2  |    | Supra's target customers. This includes executing Supra's marketing strategy |
| 3  |    | as envisioned by our business plan.  |
| 4  |    |  |
| 5  | Q. | HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE ANY STATE                               |
| 6  |    | PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION?   |
| 7  | A. | No, I have not testified previously in any state public service commission   |
| 8  |    | proceedings.   |
| 9  |    |  |
| 10 | Q. | WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?                                       |
| 11 | A. | The purpose of my testimony is to address the Florida Public Service         |
| 12 |    | Commission's (Commission) proposed rules dealing with the circumstances      |
| 13 |    | under which a customer may terminate an incumbent local exchange             |
| 14 |    | company (ILEC) contract service arrangement or tariffed term plan, Rules     |
| 15 |    | 25-4.300, F.A.C., 25-4.301, F.A.C., and 25-4.302, F.A.C.                     |
| 16 |    |  |
| 17 | Q. | WHAT WILL BE THE BENEFITS TO CONSUMERS OF THE                                |
| 18 |    | COMMISSION'S PROPOSED "FRESH LOOK" POLICY?                                   |
| 19 | A. | Historically, the incumbent local exchange companies (ILECs) have            |
| 20 |    | negotiated customer contracts and have tariffed service offerings which      |
| 21 |    | require long-term commitments by consumers. Chapter 364, Florida             |
| 22 |    | Statutes, and the Telecommunications Act of 1996 provide for the             |
| 23 |    | development of local competition in the telecommunications industry.         |
| 24 |    | Alternative local exchange companies (ALECs) are now entering the local      |
| 25 |    | market in Florida and are attempting to compete for the husiness of          |

customers who may be locked into these ILEC contracts. These proposed rules will allow consumers to terminate these ILEC contracts covering local services offered over the public switched network. Consumers will be able to consider alternative service offerings that may provide greater benefits or lower rates than the contracts entered into with the ILECs. This proposed rule will serve to foster competition in Florida by removing current barriers to competition.

Q.

A.

## HOW WILL THE PROPOSED "FRESH LOOK" RULES BENEFIT ALTERNATIVE LOCAL EXCHANGE COMPANIES IN GENERAL?

The Florida Commission staff estimates that there several thousand contract service arrangements and tariffed term plans that would be eligible for early termination under the proposed rules. These proposed rules will allow customers a window of opportunity to exit these ILEC contract service arrangements or tariffed term plans that were entered into during a time when the ILEC was the only choice. This may be the only way that ALECs will be able to compete for the business of these particular customers.

A.

## Q. HOW WILL THE PROPOSED "FRESH LOOK" RULES AFFECT SUPRA?

It is Supra's goal to provide the benefits of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 by offering lower prices and an innovative range of services to Florida telecommunications subscribers. Supra will be in a much better position to market these competitive offerings if certain customers who are currently locked into long-term ILEC contracts are allowed to exit those contracts and

| 1  |    | have the opportunity to choose services at lower rates and with limited      |
|----|----|--|
| 2  |    | liability for termination charges.   |
| 3  |    |  |
| 4  | Q. | SHOULD ANY REVISIONS BE MADE TO THE PROPOSED RULE?                           |
| 5  | A. | Yes. The current version of the proposed rule calls for the Fresh Look       |
| 6  |    | Window to begin 60 days after the effective date of the rule and remain open |
| 7  |    | for two years from the starting date of the Fresh Look Window. Supra would   |
| 8  |    | like to propose that the window remain open for four years. Because of       |
| 9  |    | various problems ALECs are currently experiencing in the provision of local  |
| 10 |    | service, the longer window will provide even greater competitive             |
| 11 |    | opportunities for consumers.   |
| 12 |    |  |
| 13 | Q. | DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?   |
| 14 | A. | Yes.   |
| 15 |    |  |