ORIGINAL

1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF W. KEITH MILNER
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET NO. 990149-TP
5		May 4, 1999
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, YOUR BUSINESS ADDRESS AND
8		YOUR POSITION WITH BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC
9		
10	Α.	My name is W. Keith Milner. My business address is 675 West
11		Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375. I am Senior Director -
12		Interconnection Services for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
13		("BellSouth"). I have served in my present role since February 1996,
14		and have been involved with the management of certain issues related
15		to local interconnection, resale, and unbundling.
16		
17	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME W. KEITH MILNER WHO EARLIER FILED
18		DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?
19		
20	A.	Yes.
21		
22	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY BEING
23		FILED TODAY?
24		
25	A.	I will respond to the direct testimony of Mr. Greg Beveridge and Mr.



Gary Lane on behalf of MediaOne Florida Telecommunications, Inc. as it relates to issues associated with unbundled network terminating wire and number portability. In my testimony, I will discuss two themes that run through the testimony of MediaOne's witnesses and discuss why the assumptions underlying that theme are without merit. First, MediaOne apparently believes it can provide service to its customers without incurring a certain level of risk. I believe all businesses take on a certain level of risk in determining the methods by which it will serve the market. However, MediaOne apparently wants the best of both worlds. For example, MediaOne wants the lower prices associated with the pre-wiring of Network Terminating Wire (NTW) at Multiple Dwelling Units (MDUs) (and thus avoiding additional dispatches of BellSouth technicians to provide additional pairs) but only wants to pay for the quantity of network terminating wire pairs actually being used to provide service. Thus, MediaOne tries to inappropriately shift the risk of using unbundled network elements from MediaOne to BellSouth.

Second, MediaOne appears to be concerned only with what it determines is best for MediaOne. BellSouth has obligations as Carrier Of Last Resort (COLR). If no other local service provider is willing to serve a given area or customer within the BellSouth franchise area, BellSouth is required to provide service upon request. Further, the rules of this Commission require that BellSouth provide its facilities all the way to the tenant in MDU complexes rather than stopping at the so-called Minimum Point of Entry (MPOE). MediaOne would seemingly

ignore BellSouth's COLR obligations as well as put service to end user 1 customers at risk by MediaOne's demand that BellSouth be required to 2 move its demarcation point to a MPOE in order for MediaOne to have 3 the access to NTW that MediaOne desires. Other local service 4 providers are using BellSouth's NTW to compete with BellSouth and to 5 win customers and those local service providers are doing so without 6 the unnecessary and disruptive changes to the demarcation point 7 8 sought by MediaOne. 9 MEDIAONE WITNESS MR. BEVERIDGE, ON PAGE 3 OF HIS 10 Q. TESTIMONY, INDICATES THAT THE INTERCONNECTION POINT 11 BETWEEN THE DISTRIBUTION PLANT AND UNBUNDLED 12 NETWORK TERMINATING WIRE (UNTW) WILL USUALLY BE 13 FOUND IN A WIRING CLOSET, A GARDEN TERMINAL, OR 14 ANOTHER TYPE OF CROSS-CONNECT FACILITY, AND IS 15 TYPICALLY AT A MINIMUM POINT OF ENTRY (MPOE) TO THE 16 BUILDING. DO YOU AGREE WITH HIS DESCRIPTION? 17 18 No. According to rules established by this Commission, BellSouth must Α. 19 extend its network facilities into each end-user's premises in a multi-20 tenant building. BellSouth's demarcation policy conforms to those 21 rules. The MPOE referred to by MediaOne would require that a central 22

3

demarcation point be established for each building or complex in

violation of the PSC demarcation rule.

23

24

1	Q.	ON PAGE 4 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE INDICATES
2		THAT ALL UNTW PAIRS ARE NOT AVAILABLE TO CLECS AND
3		THAT BELLSOUTH RESERVES A MINIMUM OF ONE PAIR, THE
4		"FIRST" PAIR, FOR ITS OWN USE. PLEASE COMMENT.
5		
6	A.	Mr. Beveridge is mistaken. BellSouth will give up the first pair in certain
7		cases. If no spare pairs are available and the end user is no longer
8		using BellSouth's local service, BellSouth will relinquish the pair that it
9		holds in reserve (the first pair) in order for the Alternative Local
10		Exchange Carrier (ALEC) to provide service to the end user. BellSouth
11		expects that in cases where BellSouth has relinquished the first pair to
12		the ALEC under these circumstances and where the end user later
13		decides to acquire local service from BellSouth, the ALEC will relinquish.
14		that first pair back to BellSouth.
15		
16	Q.	MR. BEVERIDGE, ON PAGE 5 OF HIS TESTIMONY, CLAIMS THAT
17		"WHEN BELLSOUTH PROVISIONS SERVICE FOR ONE OF ITS
18		OWN RETAIL MDU CUSTOMERS, IT HAS NO NEED TO CALL OUT
19		A CLEC TECHNICIAN, EVEN IF IT IS DISCONNECTING CLEC
20		SERVICE". DO YOU AGREE?
21		
22	A.	No. For example, BellSouth would have to dispatch its technician if
23		Bellsouth had earlier surrendered its pairs. Another example is where
24		the end user customer has requested a second line be installed and

changes to inside wiring are required.

•		·
2	Q.	MR. BEVERIDGE FURTHER IMPLIES THAT BELLSOUTH'S
3		PROPOSAL WOULD ALWAYS REQUIRE THE PRESENCE OF A
4		BELLSOUTH TECHNICIAN, AT ALEC EXPENSE, WHEN THE ALEC
5		PROVISIONS SERVICE. IS THIS TRUE?
6		
7	A.	No. BellSouth will pre-wire NTW pairs upon request which would
8		obviate the need to have a BellSouth technician dispatched each time
9		MediaOne wants access to a given end user customer.
10		
11	Q.	IN DESCRIBING BELLSOUTH'S PROVISION OF UNTW TO ALECS
12		AND ITS OWN USE, MR. BEVERIDGE STATES "FIRST, THE CLEC
13		MUST PAY BELLSOUTH EVERY TIME BELLSOUTH SENDS A
14		TECHNICIAN TO PROVISION A UNTW PAIR FOR THE CLEC".
15		PLEASE COMMENT.
16		
17	A.	There is no need to dispatch a BellSouth technician each time the
18		ALEC connects its service to end users in a given MDU if the ALEC has
19		requested pre-wiring of a sufficient number of pairs during the initial
20		installation of UNTW. Only the ALEC can determine what it considers
21		to be a sufficient number of pairs. If, instead of pre-wiring pairs,
22		MediaOne elects to request UNTW pairs on a "pay as you go" basis,
23		BellSouth is entitled to recover the costs associated with such
24		dispatches.

1 Q. HOW CAN THE ALEC REDUCE CHARGES FOR PROVISIONING 2 PAIRS?

A. As Mr. Beveridge correctly states on page 5 of his testimony, "The CLEC can reduce these charges by ordering UNTW pairs to every unit in the building, but it then must pay BellSouth \$0.49 a month for each pair, whether it has a customer for that pair, or not". It's simply a case of paying a minimum charge initially as opposed to paying a potentially greater charge for provisioning later on.

I would like to make another point relative to the issue of MediaOne reducing its costs. MediaOne incorrectly states that it must dispatch to rewire the network interface unless BellSouth surrenders its first pair of NTW. This is untrue. Typically, jacks accommodate two different telephone lines (that is, they contain four pins, two of which are connected to the first pair while the other two pins are connected to the second pair). Assuming MediaOne requests and is provided with the second pair, all that would be necessary for end user connectivity is a simple "splitter" jack which the end user would plug into any existing telephone jack. The "splitter" jack is in a "Y" configuration. Thus, with the "splitter" plugged into the wall telephone jack, the end user could simply plug a telephone into either Line 1 (BellSouth) or Line 2 (MediaOne). This "splitter" jack is a very simple, inexpensive device that is used today by BellSouth to enable customers to pick and choose between two lines at any particular jack location. Dispatching is

1		unnecessary when all that is required is end user access to a pre-
2		provisioned line provided on the second pair of NTW by MediaOne. As
3		a point of interest, I recently purchased a "splitter" from Radio Shack for
4		just over \$7.00 that allows a customer to connect two single-line
5		telephone devices to either of two phone lines. I believe that if I could
6		purchase this "splitter" at a retail cost of just over \$7.00, then an ALEC
7		such as MediaOne should be able to purchase a significant amount of
8		"splitters" at wholesale for a fraction of the unit cost of \$7.00 which I
9		paid.
10		
11		The use of such a "splitter" would obviate the need to rearrange inside
12		wire. The first NTW pair is extended to one jack on the "splitter" and
13		the second NTW pair is extended to a second jack on the "splitter".
14		Thus, an end user customer could simply plug a telephone into one jack
15		or the other and thus be connected to the service provider of the
16		customer's choosing.
17		
18	Q.	ON PAGE 6 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE INDICATES
19		THAT "OBTAINING TWO UNTW PAIRS TO EACH UNIT IN AN MDU
20		(IF THEY ARE AVAILABLE) DOUBLES THE MONTHLY COST TO
21		THE CLEC, REGARDLESS WHETHER IT HAS ANY CUSTOMERS".
22		PLEASE COMMENT.
23		
24	A.	This is purely a function of doing business. MediaOne ignores the fact

that BellSouth pays the costs associated with equipment installed and

1 in service as well as for equipment installed but not yet in service. In 2 most cases today, for example, BellSouth installs six pair NTW even 3 though some users may only order one line. The same conceptual 4 considerations apply to MediaOne; that is incurring costs upfront in 5 order to reduce or eliminate possible future costs that are higher. 6 7 Q. MR. BEVERIDGE, ON PAGE 6 OF HIS TESTIMONY, STATES 8 "FINALLY, BECAUSE THIS PROPOSAL DOES NOT INCLUDE A 9 NETWORK INTERFACE DEVICE (NID), THE CLEC MUST 10 UNDERTAKE THE TASK OF LOCATING THE "FIRST" JACK WITHIN THE UNIT - THE POINT AT WHICH UNTW ENTERS THE UNIT". 11 12 PLEASE COMMENT. 13 As an alternative to MediaOne installing its own NID, BellSouth has 14 Α. offered the option to have BellSouth install a NID for MediaOne's use 15 16 with its requested NTW pairs instead of MediaOne dispatching a technician to do the work. To date, MediaOne refuses to pay BellSouth 17 to install the NID. I would note, however, that the practice of using the 18 19 "first jack" as the demarcation point instead of a NID is a common practice and fully compliant with all state and federal regulations. 20 21 Obviously, BellSouth's own technicians must routinely determine the 22

demarcation point (the "first jack" in some cases) to determine whether

the end user customer should be billed for any changes or repairs to

inside wire at the customer's premises. Far from being the "trial and

23

24

1		error" approach suggested by Mr. Beveridge, BellSouth's technicians
2		are adept at determining the likely entrance point to the individual
3		customer's premises and quickly locating the demarcation point. I
4		believe that MediaOne's technicians are or could easily become equally
5		adept.
6		
7	Q.	ON PAGE 7 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE CLAIMS THAT
8		THE DEMARCATION POINT BETWEEN THE UNTW AND THE
9		INSIDE WIRING WITHIN A UNIT IS BEHIND THE "FIRST" JACK, THE
10		POINT AT WHICH UNTW ENTERS THE UNIT. IS THIS CORRECT?
11		
12	A.	Mr. Beveridge is clearly mistaken. The demarcation point is the jack,
13		not behind the jack. The jack creates a clear delineation point between
14		the telecommunications service provider's network and the inside wire.
15		
16	Q.	ON PAGE 8 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE INDICATES
17		THAT BELLSOUTH'S INITIAL PROPOSAL PUTS ALECS AT A
18		COMPETITIVE DISADVANTAGE AND STATES "FIRST, THE CLEC
19		MUST ARRANGE AND PAY FOR THE DISPATCH OF A BELLSOUTH
20		TECHNICIAN TO REARRANGE THE UNTW". IS THIS TRUE?
21		
22	A.	No. BellSouth will charge for provisioning UNTW just as BellSouth will
23		charge for provisioning of any of its services. As stated earlier, if the
24		ALEC at the initial provisioning of UNTW requests pre-wiring of spare
25		pairs, then a dispatch of a BellSouth technician is not necessary each

1		time the ALEC wishes to connect service to its end users. Furthermore,
2		as I discussed in my direct testimony, only an initial entry to a
3		customer's premises would be required to install the NID if the ALEC
4		requests BellSouth to install a NID.
5		
6		BellSouth has discussed with MediaOne and other ALECs the use of a
7		new style of NID that allows the end user customer to connect the
8		inside wire to the loop facilities of either or both of two service
9		providers. One such device is the Siecor INI 200 device manufactured
10		by Siecor Corporation. The use of a device such as the INI 200 allows
11		wiring flexibility such that the end user could have one line provided by
12		BellSouth and a second line provided by an ALEC such as MediaOne.
13		Alternatively, the Siecor INI 200 may be wired such that both first and
14		second lines are both provided by either BellSouth or by an ALEC such
15		as MediaOne. Doing so would obviate the need for a service provider
16		to visit the end user customer's premises after the initial installation of
17		this type of jack.
18		
19	Q.	CONTINUING ON IN HIS DISCUSSION FROM ABOVE, MR.
20		BEVERIDGE STATES "SECOND, A CLEC TECHNICIAN MUST
21		LOCATE THE FIRST JACK IN THE UNIT AND REARRANGE THE
22		WIRING THERE". PLEASE COMMENT.
23		
24	A.	If MediaOne has difficulty in locating the demarcation point, BellSouth
25		will, as an alternative, locate the demarcation point in the unit as well as

1		rearrange wiring upon MediaOne's request.
2		
3	Q.	MR. BEVERIDGE IMPLIES THAT THE ABOVE TASKS ARE
4		UNNECESSARY AND SERVE NO USEFUL PURPOSE. HE STATES
5		"AS I WILL EXPLAIN BELOW, CLEC TECHNICIANS ARE FULLY
6		CAPABLE OF REARRANGING UNTW WITHOUT DISRUPTING
7		OTHER CUSTOMERS' SERVICE OR OTHERWISE HARMING
8		BELLSOUTH'S FACILITIES". WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S POSITION?
9		
10	A.	As fully capable as MediaOne's technicians may be, BellSouth is
11		entitled to protection of its network and even more importantly, to
12		protect the quality of service BellSouth provides to its customers, both
13		its end user customers as well as other local service providers who are
14		BellSouth's customers. MediaOne's technicians could, intentionally or
15		unintentionally, disrupt the service provided by BellSouth to its end user
16		customers or the service provided by other ALECs using BellSouth's
17		UNTW. The FCC requires that "each carrier must be able to retain
18		responsibility for the management, control, and performance of its own
19		network." (First Report and Order 96-325, ¶ 203) MediaOne's proposal
20		strikes at the heart of this provision and, if allowed, would render
21		BellSouth incapable of managing and controlling its network in the
22		provision of service to its end user customers. Clearly, the adoption of
23		MediaOne's proposal stands at odds with the FCC's rules.
24		
25		Further, BellSouth would be completely reliant on MediaOne self-

1 reporting how many UNTW pairs it uses. Any other ALEC could 2 likewise use UNTW pairs and would have to let BellSouth know that it 3 was so doing in order for BellSouth to recover its costs. How 4 MediaOne believes accurate records of UNTW inventory and current status (that is, in use, spare, or defective) would be maintained is a 5 6 mystery. In reality, such accurate records could not be kept, thus 7 denying BellSouth any reasonable control over its property and 8 inevitably leading to service disruptions. 9 ON PAGE 8 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE STATES THAT Q. 10 11 "BELLSOUTH'S INITIAL PROPOSAL DOES NOTHING TO REDUCE BELLSOUTH'S COSTS WHEN IT REGAINS THE RIGHT TO SERVE 12 AN MDU UNIT. BY RETAINING EXCLUSIVE CONTROL OF THE 13 FIRST PAIR, BELLSOUTH AVOIDS HAVING TO REARRANGE THE 14 UNTW (WHICH TAKES ONLY A FEW MINUTES), BUT IT STILL 15 MUST DISPATCH A TECHNICIAN TO REARRANGE THE WIRING 16 WITHIN THE UNIT". WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE? 17 18 Within its franchise area, BellSouth has obligations as COLR. If no 19 Α. other local service provider is willing to serve a given area or customer, 20 BellSouth is required to provide service upon request. Further, the 21 22 rules of the Commission require BellSouth to provide its facilities all the way to the tenant's dwelling in MDU complexes. In order to fulfill its

COLR obligations, BellSouth has paid for the installation of the wiring of

its network to the end user's unit. By maintaining the first pair of NTW

23

24

to the unit, BellSouth remains able to fulfill its obligation as the "carrier of last resort" and causes minimum disruption to the end user if it regains the right to serve the end user after being lost to a competitor. If an end user decides to return to BellSouth for local service, BellSouth does not necessarily have to dispatch since the first pair, in most cases, is still connected through to the unit. Likewise, MediaOne is free to use UNTW pairs in like manner should the customer again choose MediaOne.

Q. MR. BEVERIDGE, ON PAGE 10 OF HIS TESTIMONY, SAYS "I
CANNOT DISCERN FROM READING THE CONTRACT LANGUAGE
WHEN, IF EVER, BELLSOUTH INTENDS TO ALLOW MEDIAONE TO
USE THE FIRST PAIR". PLEASE COMMENT.

Α.

In Attachment 1 to Mr. Beveridge's testimony, the language clearly indicates "BellSouth will maintain a minimum of one pair, which is called the "first pair", of UNTW for the provision of its local services to its end user customers. BellSouth will provision, to the CLEC, any additional spare pairs (after the first pair) that are available. If all spare pairs to a particular end user are being utilized and the end user wishes to change service providers (e.g., from BellSouth to CLEC-1), BellSouth will relinquish the pair that it holds in reserve (the first pair) in order for the CLEC to provide service to the end user". I believe this language to be completely clear.

1	Q.	FURTHER ON PAGE 10 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE
2		STATES "BELLSOUTH DOES NOT EXPLAIN WHY IT SHOULD NOT
3		BE RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLING NIDs IN EXISTING MDUs.
4		AFTER ALL, A NID IN EACH UNIT IS NECESSARY ONLY BECAUSE
5		BELLSOUTH DEMANDS EXCLUSIVE (OR NEAR EXCLUSIVE)
6		ACCESS TO THE FIRST PAIR; MEDIAONE GETS NO BENEFIT
7		FROM IT". PLEASE COMMENT.
8		
9	A.	BellSouth is fully compliant with both state and federal regulations.
10		Those regulations do not require a traditional NID in each unit so long
11		as the loop is properly grounded. I find it interesting that Mr. Beveridge
12		makes no reference to the inherent cost of installing such NIDs. If
13		MediaOne wants BellSouth to install NIDs, BellSouth has already
14		offered to do so if MediaOne is willing to pay for the materials and work
15		required.
16		
17	Q.	ON PAGE 11 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE INDICATES
18		THAT BELLSOUTH DESCRIBES A NID AND SOMETIMES REFERS
19		TO IT AS A "CONDOMINIUM" NID AND GOES ON TO SAY THAT NO
20		SUCH DEVICE EXISTS. IS HE CORRECT?
21		
22	A.	Mr. Beveridge is wrong. In my direct testimony, I showed pictures of
23		this particular device called the Siecor INI 200 device manufactured by
24		Siecor Corporation.

1	Q.	FURTHER ON PAGE 11 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE
2		STATES THAT "PREMISES WIRING SHOULD BE GROUNDED AT
3		THE MPOE, WHERE IT ENTERS THE BUILDING; IF THAT IS DONE
4		PROPERLY, THERE IS NO NEED TO GROUND THE FACILITIES AT
5		EACH UNIT". PLEASE COMMENT.
6		
7	Α.	Pairs are grounded at a "garden" terminal or similar device located at
8		each building in a MDU environment, whereas in a single family
9		situation the pairs are grounded at each dwelling, typically using a NID.
10		Contrary to Mr. Beveridge's statements, according to the rules of this
.11		Commission, there is no MPOE. Instead, BellSouth places a
12		demarcation within each dwelling within the MDU. BellSouth properly
13		grounds its loops according to safety codes and industry standards.
14		-
15	Q.	IN MR. BEVERIDGE'S TESTIMONY ON PAGE 12, HE INDICATES
16		THAT CUSTOMERS WOULD NOT BENEFIT FROM HAVING NIDs
17		INSTALLED IN THEIR APARTMENTS AND THAT MOST PEOPLE
18		WOULD FIND IT INCONVENIENT. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR.
19		BEVERIDGE'S ASSESSMENT?
20		
21	A.	No. I believe that the customer could very easily change service
22		providers (that is, BellSouth or MediaOne). As I showed in my direct
23		testimony, the end user customer can change service providers simply
24		by moving the modular plug on the Siecor INI 200 from one jack to

another. I believe customers would want the flexibility supplied by such

1		an arrangement.
2		
3	Q.	MR. BEVERIDGE, ON PAGE 13 OF HIS TESTIMONY, DESCRIBES
4		MEDIAONE'S PROPOSAL TO GIVE ALL LEC'S EQUAL ACCESS TO
5		THE "ACCESS CSX". PLEASE RESPOND.
6		
7	A.	BellSouth makes UNTW available to ALECs that wish to order UNTW
8		through the process that has been set up to request, order, and
9		provision UNTW. Any ALEC that desires to, providing UNTW pairs are
10		available, can utilize this process to gain access to UNTW. MediaOne's
11		proposal raises the question of how BellSouth would know an ALEC
12		had used UNTW? BellSouth would not know, thus effectively denying
13		BellSouth control of its own property.
14		·
15	Q.	MR. BEVERIDGE FURTHER STATES "IF CLEC-1 WINS THAT
16		CUSTOMER'S BUSINESS, ITS TECHNICIAN WILL SIMPLY
17		DISCONNECT BELLSOUTH'S JUMPER, BOTH AT "BST CSX" AND
18		AT "ACCESS CSX," AND CONNECT A NEW JUMPER BETWEEN
19		"CLEC-1 CSX" AND "ACCESS CSX," THEREBY CONNECTING ITS
20		DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES TO THE FIRST UNTW PAIR". WOULD
21		THIS BE AN APPROPRIATE METHOD TO TRANSITION SERVICE?
22		
23	A.	No. In a "room-mate" situation, for example, how would the ALEC know
24		it was appropriate to disconnect BellSouth's jumper or another ALECs
25		iumner? In this situation, the ALEC wouldn't know and could thus

7		cause service disruption to Bell-South's customers or the customers of
2		another ALEC using BellSouth's UNTW.
3		
4	Q.	ON PAGE 14 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE INDICATES
5		THAT BELLSOUTH KNOWS EXACTLY WHICH UNTW PAIRS SERVE
6		WHICH UNITS BASED ON INFORMATION IN BELLSOUTH'S
7		DESIGN LAYOUT RECORDS (DLRs) AND THAT ACCESS TO THE
8		DLRs IS KEY TO MEDIAONE'S PROPOSAL. PLEASE COMMENT.
9		
10	A.	First of all, BellSouth will not provide DLRs, as there is no DLR on
11		UNTW. This is because UNTW is a non-designed service as well as a
12		non-inventoried item. DLRs are associated with designed UNE loops.
13		Second, if there were DLRs for UNTW, how could BellSouth keep DLRs
14		up to date if any service provider were allowed to change cross-
15		connections? The answer is simply that such records could not be kept
16		accurate and such inaccuracy would heighten the risk of service
17		disruptions.
18		
19	Q.	ON PAGE 14 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. BEVERIDGE REFLECTS
20		THAT UNLIKE BELLSOUTH'S PROPOSAL, MEDIAONE'S
21		PROPOSAL WOULD ESTABLISH THE DEMARCATION POINT AT
22		THE MPOE, RATHER THAN WITHIN THE INDIVIDUAL UNITS.
23		PLEASE COMMENT.
24		
25	A.	First of all, as BellSouth understands the Florida PSC's rules regarding

demarcation points, the MediaOne concept of a MPOE is not in accordance with those rules. As I indicated earlier in this testimony, according to Florida PSC rules, BellSouth must extend its network facilities into each end-user's premises in a multi-tenant building. The MPOE referred to by MediaOne would require that a central demarcation point be established for each building or complex in violation of the PSC demarcation rule. Second, MediaOne's proposal would constitute taking of BellSouth property and would create a morass of issues including jurisdiction, confiscation of property, and customer confusion.

Q. IN MR. LANE'S TESTIMONY ON PAGE 3, HE CONTENDS THAT A
DISPATCH OF A BELLSOUTH TECHNICIAN – AT MEDIAONE'S
EXPENSE – IS REQUIRED EVERY TIME MEDIAONE WANTS TO
GET ACCESS TO UNTW. IS HE CORRECT?

A. Mr. Lane is obviously misinformed. As I indicated in my direct testimony, at MediaOne's request, BellSouth will pre-wire NTW pairs, which would obviate the need to have a BellSouth technician dispatched each time MediaOne wants access to a given end user customer. Additionally, as an alternative to MediaOne installing its own NID, BellSouth offered the option to have BellSouth install a NID for MediaOne's use with their requested NTW pairs instead of MediaOne dispatching a technician to do the work. To date, MediaOne refuses to pay BellSouth for such pre-wired connections or to install the NID. In

1		addition, the use of previously mentioned splitter jacks will eliminate the
2		need to do any inside wiring work in many instances.
3		
4	Q.	MR. LANE GOES ON TO STATE "BECAUSE WE MUST HAVE A
5		BELLSOUTH TECHNICIAN PRESENT TO PROVISION SERVICE, WE
6		MUST COORDINATE THE PRESENCE OF OUR TECHNICIAN, THE
7		CUSTOMER, AND BELLSOUTH'S TECHNICIAN, OVER WHOM WE
8		HAVE NO CONTROL. IT SIMPLY IS NOT WORKABLE". IS HIS
9		ASSESSMENT CORRECT?
10		
11	A.	No. As I previously stated, a BellSouth technician is not needed under
12		BellSouth's proposal to pre-wire UNTW pairs. If, alternatively,
13		MediaOne chooses the "pay as you go" alternative, it must accept the
14		coordination inherent in such a choice.
15		
16	Q.	MR. LANE STATES THAT MEDIAONE CANNOT SERVE THE
17		RESIDENTS OF MDUs IN THE AREAS IN WHICH IT NOW
18		PROVIDES LOCAL TELEPHONE SERVICE. PLEASE COMMENT.
19		
20	A.	BellSouth's offer presents MediaOne with a reasonable method of
21		access to NTW. Other ALECs are in business, winning customers,
22		using BellSouth's UNTW.
23		
24	Q.	ON PAGE 5 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. LANE STATES "ONCE NPAC
25		HAS RECEIVED THE FOC AUTHORIZING THE PORTING OF A

1 NUMBER, IT ALLOWS ONLY 18 BUSINESS-HOURS TO COMPLETE 2 THE PORTING OF THE NUMBER, OR WE MUST RE-START THE 3 PROCESS. THEREBY POSSIBLY DELAYING SERVICE TO OUR 4 CUSTOMER. IF BELLSOUTH DOES NOT RETURN THE FOC TO 5 MEDIAONE AT THE SAME TIME THE NUMBER IS AUTHORIZED 6 FOR PORTING, MEDIAONE WILL NOT KNOW THAT THE 18-HOUR 7 "CLOCK" HAS STARTED RUNNING". WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S 8 POSITION ON THIS ISSUE? 9 MediaOne is incorrect. MediaOne is in complete control of notification 10 Α. in the provisioning process concurrent with the 18 hour "clock". The 11 12 provisioning flow is such that when a BellSouth end-user agrees to 13 change service to MediaOne, MediaOne notifies BellSouth of the 14 change using a Local Service Request ("LSR"). BellSouth then 15 provides a Firm Order Confirmation ("FOC") to MediaOne at which time 16 both BellSouth and MediaOne will create and process service orders. At this time, MediaOne sends a create message to the Number 17 Portability Administration Center (NPAC) who in turn notifies BellSouth 18 of the proposed porting activity. BellSouth will then send a concurrence 19 message to NPAC and provisioning subsequently proceeds under the 20 control of MediaOne until completion. Since BellSouth allows 21 MediaOne to send the create message to NPAC – as opposed to 22 BellSouth -- MediaOne is in control of when provisioning will begin and 23

thus an 18 hour window is not an issue.

24

1	Q.	HOW DO YOU RESPOND TO MR. LANE'S REQUEST FOR TIMELY
2		ADVANCE NOTICE OF LNP SYSTEM MAINTENANCE
3		REQUIREMENTS ON PAGES 6 & 7 OF HIS TESTIMONY?
4		
5	A.	BellSouth's target availability for the Local Service Management
6		System (LSMS) is 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, except for
7		regularly scheduled maintenance. These generally occur during the
8		published NPAC downtime for maintenance and updates on Sundays
9		between the hours of 7am and 1pm. Planned down times for routine
10		maintenance and updates and for major updates, which would require
11		the system to be down longer than from 7am to 1pm on Sundays, are
12		sent to NPAC 7 days ahead of time for broadcast to all affected service
13		providers.
14		
15		Maintenance windows should be scheduled consistently among all
16		parties who maintain a portion of the systems and links used for LNP,
17		including LSMS. Partial failures will occur if each party schedules
18		maintenance independently. The maintenance window was discussed
19		at the NPAC Cross Regional Meeting of April 7, 1999. This meeting
20		was attended by 82 participants including a representative of
21		MediaOne. At that meeting, a 7 day notification process was agreed
22		upon. As a result, BellSouth is not willing to commit to the 30 day
23		notification interval proposed by MediaOne in Mr. Lane's testimony.
24		

1		Bell-South is willing to consider another notification interval but believes
2		the interval should be consistent in the industry for the reasons
3		mentioned above. In an attempt to further clarify notification intervals,
4		BellSouth will initiate discussion among industry participants in the May
5		1999 meeting of the Local Number Portability Working Group.
6		
7	Q.	MR. LANE FURTHER STATES "ONCE A CUSTOMER'S SERVICE
8		HAS BEEN MOVED FROM BELLSOUTH TO MEDIAONE, THE
9		CUSTOMER WILL BE UNABLE TO RECEIVE CALLS UNTIL
10		BELLSOUTH HAS COMPLETED THE PORT ACTIVATION". IS THIS
11		CORRECT?
12		
13	A.	MediaOne, as the new service provider, is in control of when end-user
14		calls are routed to MediaOne's switch. MediaOne, as a facilities-based
15		carrier, does not purchase unbundled loops. Therefore, if MediaOne
16		does not send the NPAC activate message, then the end-user calls will
17		continue to be routed through BellSouth's switch.
18		
19	Q.	IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE ON WHICH YOU WOULD LIKE TO
20		COMMENT?
21		
22	A.	Yes. I would like at this time to make a correction to my direct
23		testimony filed in this proceeding. On page 15, line 20, the phrase
24		"Local Carrier Service Center (LCSC)" should be corrected to read
25		"Unbundled Network Element Center (UNEC)". Similarly, on page 15 at

line 22, the phrase "LCSC" should be corrected to read "UNEC". I apologize for any confusion this error may have caused.

DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. Yes.